

March 31, 2008

ATTN: Deputy Director

Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs Mail stop T7 E-18 U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11545 Rockville Pike, Two White Flint North Rockville, MD 20852-2738

RE: Smith Ranch-Highland Operations NRC License SUA-1548 (TAC J00514) Request for Additional Information, Reynolds Surety Estimate

Dear Mr. Mandeville:

In your letter dated February 15, 2008 the NRC requested additional information and clarification regarding the items identified in your correspondence for the Reynolds Ranch surety estimate. Power Resources dba Cameco Resources has reviewed the seven items (comments) and provided responses for each below. These responses pertain only to the Reynolds Ranch amendment area, the Smith Ranch - Highland Uranium Project comment response will follow shortly in a separate correspondence.

Because some of the responses directly affected the previously submitted Reynolds Ranch surety estimate, Cameco Resource has enclosed an updated electronic file of the updated surety estimate for your review.

Comment 1:

The Reynolds Ranch surety estimate is not affected by the potential for impacted soils associated with liquid releases from the headerhouses due to design standards for headerhouses in the proposed Reynolds Ranch. Headerhouse design standards for all mine units now require concrete basements (walls and floor) in each headerhouse. Underground mine unit pipes serving the headerhouse utilize special "Link-Seals" to ensure a impermeable barrier between the concrete wall and piping.

A wet sump with alarm is incorporated into the design standard. The purpose of the sump and alarm is to shut down the headerhouse should aqueous liquid be detected in the sump

CAMECO RESOURCES Smith Ranch-Highland Operation Mail: P.O. Box 1210 Glenrock, WY 82637 USA

Tel: (307) 358-6541 Fax: (307) 358-4533 www.cameco.com After restoration is complete, the concrete floor and walls will be surveyed, decontaminated if necessary, and the concrete properly disposed during decommissioning.

The volume estimate per headerhouse under WF BLDGS K129 was increased to account for the additional concrete removal. No soils are expected to be impacted from potential leaks inside the headerhouses.

Comment 2:

The attached north-south Reynolds Ranch Cross-Section demonstrates a continuous confining strata of low permeable shale averaging 60' from surface. The average potentiometric surface at Reynolds Ranch is 300'.

Comment 3:

The surety estimate of \$20,000 for spare well replacement parts cost is derived from actual annual well replacement parts based previous operating years. Based on historical usage of replacement parts the majority of replacement parts are well pumps. The annual estimated of \$20,000 cost is based upon well replacement parts purchased over the years. The replacement cost has been incorporated into GW REST J100 of the surety estimate calculation spreadsheet. In addition to the annual replacement cost, Cameco Resources has committed to replacing all production and injections well head "wet" fittings (all plastic and metal fittings, hoses, caps, etc.) with new equipment every five years. A cost of \$185 per well been added to the UC-MIT section in the spreadsheet. This additional cost has been incorporated into the MIT section due to the 5-year replacement interval coinciding with the 5-year MIT test.

Comment 4:

Cameco Resources has recently determined 5 Pore Volumes (PV) utilizing bioremediation and a new restoration technology is sufficient to restore mine units for the Reynolds Ranch area. The Christensen Ranch In-situ uranium mine north of the Reynolds Ranch area only required 5.2 PV's to successfully restore MU-6. This restoration was completed using hydrogen sulfide as a reductant. Cameco Resources believes bioremediation is more effective restoration technology than standard reductants utilized previously.

Fewer PV's are anticipated for future restoration than what has historically been documented. PV totals in the past at the Smith Ranch-Highland Operation are misleading due to the fact the previous restoration was always commingled with low-level production of the mine units, thus accounting for higher PV's during "restoration periods".

Low level production while commingled with restoration means only a portion (<40%) of the production fluid (after leaving the ion exchange column) enters the Reverse Osmosis (RO) unit. The remaining fluid never enters the RO unit and is recycled back into the mine unit as injection fluid. With closure of the facility very limited production will occur thus allowing for increased throughput though the RO units.

Cameco Resources believes 5 pore volumes (1 sweep, 4 RO with 2 bioremediation) is sufficient to restore a mine unit if 100% of the restoration water is sent through the RO unit resulting in cleaner injection fluids being incorporated back into the mine unit. For bonding purposes the calculations assume no production will take place other than what is recovered from the IX columns.

Comment 5:

Cameco Resources has revised the Groundwater Restoration Worksheet to reflect a total of 5 pore volumes (1 sweep, 4 reverse osmosis with bioremediation) for Mine Unit 27 in the Reynolds Ranch amendment area. The calculated restoration and stability period for this area totals 3.5 years, however 4 years was used for the surety estimate. The Reynolds Ranch will utilize a dedicated deep disposal well with the permitted limit of 150 gallons per minute (gpm). The disposal capacity exceeds the RO bleed rate of approximately 50 gpm.

The Reynolds Ranch surety estimate has been revised to reflect the change from a 2.0 to 5-year restoration schedule.

Comment 6:

1. The conversion factor for kilowatt to horsepower has been addressed and reference on the Recurring Costs Basis Worksheet.

2. The REY Satellite, Central Plant, and Main Facility utility costs are derived from actual operating costs during the 2007 operating year. The REY Satellite (not constructed) assumes operating costs are similar to currently operating Satellites which are similar in design and throughput.

3. The cost for the Environmental Manager, Environmental Technician, and Maintenance Technician are based on Cameco Resources current labor rates for the referenced positions.

4. The Elution Unit Chemical Cost was adjusted slightly, from \$900 to \$1,198. This change was based on actual operating cost for the months of January and February 2008. Section UC-ELUT was updated to reflect this change.

5. The Restoration Spare Parts cost was incorporated into section GW REST cell J100.

Comment 7:

Cameco Resources concurs with the NRC comments pertaining to the Radiation Survey following reclamation. The surety estimate has been revised to incorporate a radiation survey for Mine Unit 27 and associated structures (satellite, disposal well, etc.) within the Reynolds Ranch area. Based on recently completed radiation surveys for other Mine Units, the costs for a radiation survey is \$432 per acre. The Reynolds Ranch disturbance area will be approximately 44.1 acres, The calculated costs for Reynolds Ranch radiation survey is \$19,051. This amount has been added to section GW REST J92 of the revised surety estimate calculation for the Reynolds Ranch area.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (307) 358-6541, ext. 46.

Sincerely,

Adhell le l'anth

John McCarthy Manager-Environmental Health & Safety

Enclosure

Cc: S. Magnuson L. Spackman WDEQ/LQD C. Foldenauer File SR 4.3.3.1 S. Bakken

CAMECO RESOURCES INC SMITH RANCH URANIUM PROJECT REYNOLDS RANCH SURETY ESTIMATE REVISION

Total	Re	storation	and Recla	mation Co	ost Estima	te			
I.	GR	OUNDWA	TER RESTO	ORATION C	COST				\$3,649,690
II.	EQ	UIPMENT	REMOVAL	& DISPOS	AL COST				\$31,347
III.	BU	ILDING DE	EMOLITIO	N AND DISI	POSAL CO	ST			\$179,464
IV.	WF	LLFIELD	BUILDING	S & EQUIPI	MENT REM	IOVAL & D	ISPOSAL O	COST	\$87,329
V .	WE	ELL ABANI	DONMENT	COST					\$406,526
VI.	WF	ELLFIELD .	AND SATE	LLITE SUR	FACE REC	LAMATIO	N COST		\$46,518
VII.	то	TAL MISC	ELLANEO	US RECLAI	MATION C	OST			\$225,203
	SU	BTOTAL R	ECLAMAT	ION AND F	RESTORAT	ION COST	ESTIMATE	C.	\$4,626,077
<u>`</u>									
CPI E	SCA	LATOR J	J LY 1, 2007	TO NOV 20	007 = 0.90%				\$41,635
							S	UBTOTAL	\$4,667,712
		AD	MINISTRA	TIVE, OVEF	RHEAD, AN	D CONTIN	GENCY ITE	MS (25%)	\$1,166,928
								TOTAL	\$5,834,640
				TOTAL C	ALCULATE	D SURETY	(IN 2008 E	OLLARS)	\$5,834,600
							-		
	·								·
		· · · · ·							

1

RECURRING COST				
DEDOTDIO	Item	Amount (\$)	Units	Cost Basis
ELECTRICAL	Bower Cost (ourrant actual costs)	\$0.051	low/br	Cost of algorithicity from aureant contract Parific Power and Light
	Kilowatt to Horsenower	\$0.746	kw/m	Conversion obtained from Unican Engineering Software
	Horsenower per gnm	\$0.167	hn/anm	Conversion obtained from Oneon Engineering Software
	Per 1000 gallons numped	\$0.600	ner 1000 gal	
	Cost per Month REV Sat 1 (Nat. gas. elec.)	\$6316	unit	Cost based on 2007 monthly average
	Cost per Month Central Plant (Nat. gas, elec.)	\$5,657	unit	Cost based on 2007 monthly average and facility operating 25% of the time
	Cost per Month (Main Office)	\$1,846	unit	Cost based on 2007 monthly average
LABOR RATES				
	Operator	\$136.34	dav	Labor costs from current in-field charges paid by PRI
	Environmental Manager	\$100,000	vear	Labor Management costs from current in-field charges paid by PRI
	Environmental Technician	\$80,000	vear	Labor Technician costs from current in-field charges paid by PRI
	Maintenance Technician	\$34,000	year	Labor costs from current in-field charges paid by PRI
CHEMICAL				
	Reductant	\$0.30	per 1000 lb	Chemical costs from current PRI vendor purchase agreements
•	Cement	\$7.62	sack	
	Plug Gel	\$6.45	sack	
	Hydrochloric Acid	\$0.1375	lb	
	Elution Unit Chemical Cost	\$1,198	unit	Based on Jan and Feb 2008 actual elution costs
ANALYTICAL				
	Guideline 8	\$200	batch	Analytical costs from current contract with Energy Labs, Casper, Wyoming
	6 Parameters	\$70	batch	
	Other In-House (Radon, Biological, Soils, etc.)	\$50	batch	In-house estimate for material and labor
SPARE PARTS				
	Restoration Spare Parts	\$20,000	year	Costs for spare parts from operator experience, mainly pump motor repair/replacement
TRANSPORTATION				
AND DISPOSAL		6 1 66		
	11 (e)(2) Material Transport	\$1.33	cubic yard	Costs for Transportation and disposal from current contracts with NRC Licensed Facility
	11 (e)(2) Material Disposal	\$11.00	cubic yard	& contract trucker
	Soil/Solid Waste Transport (T1(e) (2)	\$1.33	cubic yard	Costs for Transportation and disposal from current contracts with NRC Licensed Facility
	Soil/Solid Waste Disposal (11(e) (2)	\$3,70	cubic yard	& contract trucker
	Soil/Solid waste (non-contam., on-site)	\$1.25	cubic yard	In-nouse estimate basea on material cost and labor
VEHICLE				·
OPEDATION				
OFERATION	Unit Cost	\$20.21	unit	Cost ner WDFO Guideline 12
	Blittedst	\$20.21	unit	Cost per WDLQ Guidenne 12
PLANT				
DISMANTLING				
DIDIDINI	Concrete Footer Demolition	\$12.22	cubic foot	Costs per WDEO Guideline 12 App K
	Concrete Floor Demolition	\$3.40	cubic foot	compet in 222 commonly 12,122
PLANT				
DECONTAMINATION				
AND DISPOSAL				
	Direct Disposal Plant Floor	\$1.25	cubic yard	Costs for Transportation and disposal from current contracts with NRC Licensed Facility
	Solution (HCL) Application Rate	\$0.57	square foot	In-house estimate based on actual material cost
PIPE REMOVAL				
	2-inch SDR 13.5 inj. & prod. Removal	\$0.91	foot	Costs for pipe removal from operator experience
	Trunkline Removal	\$0.43	foot	Includes labor and equimpment

.

Revised June 2007

RECURRING COSTS

RECURRING COST			
	Item	Amount (\$) Units	Cost Basis
EQUIPMENT			
	Cat Trackhoe	\$1,125 week	Costs for equipment rental from Wyoming Machinery, Casper, Wyoming. All inclusive (labor, repairs, fuel, and Mob)
	Shredder	\$50,000	Equipment owned by PRI
	Cat Motor Grader	\$814.22 acre	Costs per WDEQ Guideline 12, App. 11
	Drill Rig	\$110.00 hour	Costs for equipment from operator experience
	Hose Reel	\$45.00 hour	Costs for equipment from operator experience
	Cementer	\$45.00 hour	Costs for equipment from operator experience
	Dozer	\$814.22 acre	Costs per WDEQ Guideline 12, App. 11
	Scraper	\$814.22 acre	Costs per WDEQ Guideline 12, App. 11
	Pulling Reel	\$45.00 hour	Costs for equipment from operator experience
	Manlift	\$8,900.00 month	Costs for equipment from operator experience
	Belly Dump	\$100.00 hour	Costs for equipment from operator experience
RECLAMATION			
	Discing and Seeding	\$280 acre	Operator Experience based on Current Contractor Pricing
	Top Soil Application	\$0.71 acre	Costs per WDEO Guideline 12, App. 11
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MIT			
	Mechanical Integrity Testing	\$373.13 well	Operator Experience based on Current Contractor Pricing
			• •

RECURRING COSTS

Ground Water Restoration	Mine Unit-27				
PV Assumptions					
Wellfield Area (ft2) (HH x 20 patterns x 10,000)	1,923,061				
Wellfield Area (acres)	44.1				
Affected Ore Zone Area (ft2)	1,923,061				
Avg. Completed Thickness	20				
Porosity	0.27				
Flare Factor	17	-			
Affected Volume (#3)	65 384 074				
Kaallana nan Dara Valuma	122.050			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Realions per role volume	132,030				
Number of Patterns in Unit(s)					
Current	205				-
Estimated next report period	0				
Total Estimated	205		-		
	203				
Number of Wells in Unit(s)					
Production Wells					
Current	205				
Estimated next report period					 •
Total Estimated	205				
Interview Wells	205				
	672				
	5/2				
Estimated next report period	0				
Total Estimated	572				
Monitoring Wells					
Current	85				
Estimated next report period	0				
Total Estimated	85				
Number of Wells per Wellfield	862				
Total Number of Wells	862				
Avarage Well Depth (ft)	860				
Average wen Deput (It)	800		-		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
I. Ground Water Sweep Costs					
PV's Required	1		•		
Total Kgals for Treatment	132.050				
Ground Water Sween Linit Cost (\$/K gal)	\$1.40			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Subtotal Ground Water Sweep Costs per Wellfield	£195.110				
Testal Ground Water Sweep Costs per wennerd					
Total Ground water Sweep Costs	\$185,119				
II. Reverse Osmosis Costs					
PV's Required	4				
Total Kgals for Treatment	528 199				
Reverse Osmosis Unit Cost (\$/K gal)	\$0.96				
Culture Osmosis Ont Cost an Wallfald	\$504,992				
Tetal Devenue Osmosis Costs per weinteid	\$304,002				
1 Julai Reverse Usmosis Costs	3304,882				
III. Chemical Reductant Costs					
Total Kgals for Treatment (2 Pore Volumes)	264099				
Chemical Reductant Unit Cost (\$/Kgal)	\$0.30				
Subtotal Chamical Reductant Costs ner Wallfold	\$79.330				
Tatal Chemical Reductant Costs	\$77,430				
Total Chemical Reductant Costs	\$/3,200				
	1		1		

.

Coursed Western Destantion		Mine Unit 27								
Ground water Restoration		Wille Unit-27	 							
IV. Elution Costs			 							
A. Elution Processing Costs			 					· · ·		
Kgals/Elution Required		35,000	 							
Number of Elutions		19								
Processing Unit Cost (\$/Elution)		\$1,198								
Subtotal Processing Costs per Wellfi	eld	\$22,762								
	Total Elution Costs	\$22,762								
B Deep Well Injection Costs										
Deep Well Injection Volume (Kg	als/Flution)	12	 							
Total Keals for Injection		228								
Deen Well Injection Unit Cast (\$	(K cols)	\$1.45	 							
Deep wen injection Onit Cost (a	(Kgais)	\$1.4J	 							
Subtotal Deep well injection Costs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3001	 					·····		
Subtotal Well Injection Costs per Wellfi		\$23,093	 					<u> </u>		
	I otal Well Injection	\$23,093	 							
	Total : Elution & Deep Well	\$45,855								
v. Wonitoring and Sampling Costs			 		· · ·					
A. Active Restoration Period			 							
Estimated Restoration Period (Years)	4	 							
1. UCL Sampling						·				
# of Wells		85								
\$/sample		FALSE								
Samples/Year		6								
Sub-total Restoration Analyses	\$0									
B. Stability Period										
Estimated Stabilization Period (Year	s)	0.5								
1 Full Suite Analyses (Guideline 8)										
# of Wells		40	 							(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Formelas/Voor			 							(
Samples/ 1 cal		5 C 200	 							
5/sample		\$200	 							
2. Short List Analyses			 							
# of Wells		40	 						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Samples/Year		9	 							
\$/sample		\$70				•				
Sub-total Stability Analyses		\$24,600								
Subtotal Monitoring and Sampling Costs	per Wellfield	\$43,651			Í					
Radiation Survey		\$19,051								
Total Monitoring and Sampling Costs		\$43,651								
VI. Mechanical Integrity Test (MIT) Cost	<u>s</u>		 					•		
Five Year MIT Unit Cost (\$/well)		\$373								
Number of Wells (30% of Inj. and R	est. Wells)	172								
Subtotal Mechanical Integrity Testing C	osts per Wellfield	\$64,035								
Total Mechanical Integrity Testing Co	\$64,035									
Wellfield Replacement Parts (pumps,	\$20,000									
		 							1	
TOTAL RESTORATION COSTS PER WELL	FIELD	\$920,010								
TOTAL WELLFIELD RESTORATION C	OST	\$920,010								
		DD 1	 				· · ·			
VII. Building Utility Costs	· · · · ·	KK-1	 					· · · · · ·		
Electricity (\$/Month)		\$6,316	 		L					
Number of Months		30								1

·

	F I	•	1	1	1		
Ground Water Restoration	Mine Unit-27						
Subtotal Utility Costs per Building	\$189,480						
Total Building Utility Costs	\$189,480		 				
	,						
VIII. Vehicle Operation Costs							
Number of Pickup Trucks/Pulling Units (Gas)	5						
Unit Cost in \$/hr (WDEQ Guideline No.12, Table D-1)	\$20.21						
Average Operating Time (Hrs/Year)	1000						
Total Number of Years (Average)	4						
Total Vehicle Operation Costs	\$404,200						
IX. Labor Costs							
Number of Environmental Managers/RSOs	1						
\$/Year MV	\$100,000					1	
Number of Restoration Managers	1						
S/Year MV	\$80,000						
Number of Environmental Technicians	1						
\$/Year MV	\$34,000						
Number of Operators/Laborers	4						
S/Year MV	\$34,000		 				
Number of Maintenance Technicians	1.						
\$/Year MV	\$34,000						
Number of Years	4						
Total Labor Costs	\$1,536,000						
IX Conital Casts	01,500,000		 				
Purchase PO Linits (18800 com Linits)	\$600.000						
Total Capital Casts	\$600,000		 				
	3000,000						
TOTAL GROUND WATER RESTORATION COSTS	\$3,649,690						

,				
Equipment Removal and Loading	Satellite RR-1			
I. Removal and Loading Costs				
A. Tankage				
Number of Tanks	10			
Volume of Tank Construction Material (ft [*])	397			
I. Labor				
Number of Persons	3]			 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ft ³ /Day	25			
Number of Days	16			
\$/Day/Person	\$136			
Subtotal Labor Costs	\$6,544			
2. Equipment				
Number of Days	16			
\$/Day	\$338			
Subtotal Equipment Costs	\$5,408			
Subtotal Tankage Removal and Loading Costs	\$11,952			
B. PVC/Steel Pipe	4000			
PVC Pipe Footage	4000			
Average PVC Pipe Diameter (incnes)				
Shredded PVC Pipe Volume Reduction (ff /ff)	0.016			 +
Volume of Shreaded PVC Pipe (it)	04			
Average Steel Pine Diamotor /inches)				
Volume (ft ³)				 +
	v	·		 1
Number of Persons	2			 +
Ft/Day	300			
Number of Days	13			 1
S/Dav/Person	\$136			
Subtotal PVC/Steel Pipe Labor Costs	\$3,545			
Subtotal PVC/Steel Pipe Removal and Loading Costs	\$3.545			
C. Pumps				
Number of Pumps	13			1
Average Volume (ft ³ /pump)	4.93			
Volume of Pumps (ft ³)	64.09			
1. Labor				
Number of Persons	. 1			
Pumps/Day	2			
Number of Days	7			
\$/Day/Person	\$136			
Subtotal Labor Costs	\$954			
Subtotal Pump Removal and Loading Costs	\$954			
D. Dryer				
Dryer Volume (ft ³)				
1. Labor				
Number of Persons	0			 -
Ft/Day	0			
Number of Days	0			
S/Day/Person	\$136			
Total Labor Cost	30			
Fotal Dryer Dismaning and Loading Cost	50 006			
Total Equipment Removal and Loading Costs per Facility	\$22,333			
Total Equipment Removal and Loading Costs				
II. Transportation and Disposal Costs (NRC-Licensed Facility)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
A. Tankage				
Volume of Tank Construction Material (ft ³)	397			
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ft ²)	436			 <u> </u>
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft [*])	\$12.33			
Subtotal Tankage Transportation and Disposal Costs	\$5,376			
B. PVC / Steel Pipe				
Volume of Shredded PVC Pipe (ft')	64			 ┥────┤─────
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ff ²)	/0			
Volume of Sider Fipe (if)				 1
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$\mathbf{S}^3)	C12 22			 +
Subtotal PVC Pine Transportation and Disposal Costs	\$12.33			 +
	4004			 1
Volume of Pumps (ft ³)	64			 1
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ft ³)	70			 1
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33			
Subtotal Pump Transportation and Disposal Costs	\$863			
D. Dryer				
Drver Volume (ft ³)	0			
Volume for Disposal Assuming Dryer Remains Intact (ft ³)	0			
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33			
Total Dryer Transportation and Disposal Costs	\$0			
Subtotal Equipment Transportation and Disposal Costs per Facility	\$7,102			
Total Equipment Transportation and Disposal Costs				
UI Bealth and Safety Costs				 +
Radiation Safety Fourinment	61 750			 +
Total Health and Safety Costs	1250			 +
	1250			
SUBTOTAL EQUIPMENT REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL COSTS PER FACILIT	\$31,347			
TOTAL EQUIPMENT REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL COSTS	\$31,347		1	 1

	REY DW #1	Satellite	1			
Building Demolition and Disposal	Buildings	REY-1				
I. Decontamination Costs						
A. Wail Decontamination						
Area to be Decontaminated (ft ⁻)	0	0				
HCI Acid Wash, including labor (\$/ft ⁻)	\$0.59	\$0.59			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Subtotal Wall Decontamination Costs	\$0	\$0				
B. Concrete Floor Decontamination						
Area to be Decontaminated (ft [*])	0	9000				
HCl Acid Wash, including labor (\$/ft ²)	\$0.21	\$0.21				
Subtotal Concrete Floor Decontamination Costs	\$0	\$1,873	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
C. Deep Well Injection Costs						
Total Kgals for Injection	0	9				
Deep Well Injection Unit Cost (\$/Kgals)	\$1.45	\$1.45	·			
Subtotal Deep Well Injection Costs	\$0	\$13				
Subtotal Decontamination Costs per Building	\$0	\$1,886	<u> </u>			
Total Decontamination Costs						· · ·
II Demolition Costs						
A Puilding						
A. Duilding				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Assumptions. Drugs hide demolition unit part of $(0, 72/6)^3$ for additional					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Diver oldg, demontion unit cost of \$0.75/it for additional						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Values of Duilding (G ³)	((0.2	402.000				
Volume of Building (it)	000.3 £0.178	402,000				
Demontion Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/IT)	\$0.178	\$0.178				
Subtotal Building Demolition Costs	\$110	\$71,330				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B. Concrete Ploor		12,000				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Area of Concrete Floor (ff)	0	13400				
Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (S/IT)	\$3.17	\$3.05				
Subtotal Concrete Floor Demolition Costs	\$0	\$40,870				
C. Concrete Footing						
Length of Concrete Footing (ft)	0	463				
Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/ft')	\$12.22	\$12.22				
Subtotal Concrete Footing Demolition Costs	\$0	\$5,658				+
Subtotal Demolition Costs per Building	\$118	\$118,084				
Total Demolition Costs						
III. Disposal Costs						
A Building						
Volume of Building (cv)	24	14889				
					1	1 1
Assumptions					1	
On-site disposal cost of \$1 25/cv					1	+
Dercentage (%)	100	100				+
Volume for Disposal (subic yards)	100	14990			1	+
Disposal Unit Cost (\$/cy)	\$1.25	\$1.25			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+
Subtotal On Site Disposal Costs	\$1.23 \$21	\$1,23				+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Subtotal On-Site Disposal Costs	\$31	\$18,611				

	REY DW #1	Satellite						[[
Building Demolition and Disposal	Buildings	REY-1					}		
2. NRC-Licensed Facility							1		
Percentage (%)	0	0							
Volume for Disposal (ft ³)	0	0	· · · ·						
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ft ³)	0	0							
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33	\$12.33							
Subtotal NRC-Licensed Facility Disposal Costs	\$0	\$0							
Subtotal Building Disposal Costs	\$31	\$18,611							
B. Concrete Floor	· ·								
Area of Concrete Floor (ft ²)	0	13400							
Average Thickness of Concrete Floor (ft)	0.75	0.75)	
Volume of Concrete Floor (ft ³)	0	10050							
Volume of Concrete Floor (cy)	0	372							
1. On-Site									
Percentage (%)	0	75							
Volume for Disposal (cy)	0	279							
Disposal Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/cy)	\$6.39	\$6.39							
Subtotal On-Site Disposal Costs	\$0	\$1,784							
2. NRC-Licensed Facility									
Assumptions:									
Additional \$2.60/cy for segregation of concrete					•				
Percentage (%)	0	25				•			
Volume for Disposal (ft ³)	0	2513							
Segregation and Loading Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$2.60	\$2.60					· ·		
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33	\$12.33						•	
Subtotal NRC-Licensed Facility Disposal Costs	\$0	\$37,512							
Subtotal Concrete Floor Disposal Costs	\$0	\$39,296						1	
C. Concrete Footing									
Length of Concrete Footing (ft)	0	463							
Average Depth of Concrete Footing (ft)	4	4							
Average Width of Concrete Footing (ft)	1	1							
Volume of Concrete Footing (ft ³)	0	1852	1						
Volume of Concrete Footing (cy)	0	69		-					
Disposal Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/cy)	\$6.39	\$6.39							
Subtotal Concrete Footing Disposal Costs	\$0	\$438	_						
Subtotal Disposal Costs per Building	\$31	\$58,345							
Total Disposal Costs	1								
IV Health and Safety Costs									
Radiation Safety Equinment RSO removed per item cost and generated	¢0	\$1.000	÷			· · · · ·		<u> </u>	
one lumn sum cost		\$1,000							
Total Health and Safety Costs		-							 · · · · ·
SUBTOTAL BUILDING DEMOLITION AND DISPOSAL COSTS	\$149	\$179,315							
TOTAL BUILDING DEMOLITION AND DISPOSAL COSTS	\$179,464								

Wellfield Buildings and Equipment Removal and Disposal	Mine Unit-27	 				
I. Wellfield Piping		 				
Assumptions:		 				
Number of Header Houses per Wellfield	11	 		 		
Length of Piping per Header House (ft)	2000	 			 · · · · ·	
Total Length of Piping (ft)	24000			 	 	
A. Removal and Loading						
Wellfield Piping Removal Unit Cost (\$/ft of pipe)	\$0.42					
Subtotal Wellfield Piping Removal and Loading Costs	\$10,080					
B. Transport and Disposal Costs (NRC-Licensed Facility)						
Average Diameter of Piping (inches)	2					
Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	. 0.005			· · ·		
Chipped Volume per Wellfield (ft ³)	120					
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ft ³)	132					
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33					
Subtotal Wellfield Piping Transport and Disposal Costs	\$1,628					
Wellfield Piping Costs per Wellfield	\$11,708					
C. Capitol Costs						
Fiberglass/ poly / PVC Pipe Shredder (Operator Owned)	\$50,000					
BFI Containers (2@\$7,800.00 each) (Operator Owned)	\$15,600				 	
Total Wellfield Piping Costs	\$77,308				 	
II Well Pumps and Tubing		 		 	 	
Assumptions:		 		 	 	
Pump and tubing removal costs included under ground water restoration labor costs		 		 	 	
60% of production/injection wells contain numps and/or tubing				 	 	
A Pump and Tubing Transportation and Disposal	-	 	·	 	 	
Number of Production Wells	205	 		 	 	
Number of Injection Wells	572	 		 		
1. Pump Volume		 		 		
Number of Production Wells with Pumps	123	 		 		
Average Pump Volume (ft ³)	1	 		 		
Pump Volume per Wellfield (ft ³)	123					
2. Tubing Volume	,			 	 	
Assumptions:		 		 _		
Average tubing length/wellfield based on average well depth minus 25 ft					 	
Number of Production Wells with Tubing	123	 		 	 	
Number of Injection Wells with Tubing	343			 		
Average Tubing Length per Well (ft)	835				 	
Tubing Length per Wellfield (ft)	389110	 				
Diameter of Production Well Fiberglass Tubing (inches)	2	 				
Diameter of Injection Well HDPE Tubing (inches)	1.25	 				
Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.005			 e		
Chipped Volume per Wellfield (ft ³)	1946					

.

.

_							
Well	field B	uildings and Equipment Removal and Disposal	Mine Unit-27				
		Volume of Pump and Tubing (ft ³)	2069				
		Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ff ³)	2276				
		Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33				
	Sul	btotal Pump and Tubing Transport and Disposal Costs	\$28.063			· · · ·	
	Pump	and Tubing Costs per Wellfield	\$28,003				
	Total	Rump and Tubing Costs	\$28,003				
	TOTAL		328,003				
III.	Buried	d Trunkline					[
	As	ssumptions:					
	Lei	ngth of Trunkline Trench (ft)	7105				
	A. Rei	emoval and Loading					
		Main Pipeline Removal Unit Cost (\$/ft of trench)	\$0.84				
	Sul	btotal Trunkline Removal and Loading Costs	\$5,968				
	B. Tra	ansport and Disposal Costs (NRC-Licensed Facility)					
	1.	1" Carbon Steel Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)					
		Volume (ft ³)					
	2.	1" HDPE Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)					
		Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)					
		Chipped Volume (ft ³)					
	3.	3" HDPE Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)	7105				
		Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.022				
		Chipped Volume (ft ³)	156				
	4.	6" HDPE Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)	2410				
		Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.078				
		Chipped Volume (ft ³)	188				
	5.	8" HDPE Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)	4100				
		Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.15				
		Chipped Volume (ft ³)	615				
	6.	10" HDPE Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)	0				
		Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.277				
		Chipped Volume (ft ³)	0				
	7.	12" HDPE Trunkline		····			<u> </u>
		Piping Length (ft)	1460				[
		Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.293				
		Chipped Volume (ft ³)	427.78				
	8.	14" HDPE Trunkline					
		Piping Length (ft)	740		.		

Wellfield Buildings and Equipment Removal and Disposal	Mine Unit-27					
Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.359					
Chipped Volume (ft ³)	266					
9. 16" HDPE Trunkline						
Piping Length (ft)	1440					
Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ³ /ft)	0.4					
Chipped Volume (ft ³)	576				1	
10 18" HDPE Trunkline						
Piping Length (ft)				•		
Chipped Volume Reduction (ff ³ /ft)	0.62					
Chipped Volume (ft ³)	0					
Total Trunkline Chipped Volume (ft ³)	2228.73					
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (ft ³)	2452				1	
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12,33					
Subtotal Trunkline Transport and Disposal Costs	\$30.233		 			
Trunkline Decommissioning Costs per Wellfield	\$36,201					
Total Trunkline Decommissioning Costs	\$36,201					
IV. Well Houses			 		ļ	
Total Quantity	777				ļ	
Average Well House Volume (ft')	1.86				/	
A. Removal			 		l	
Total Volume (ft ³)	1445.22		 			
Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/ft ³)	\$0.178	·	 			
Subtotal Well House Demolition Costs	\$257		 		<u> </u>	
B. Survey and Decontamination			 		<u> </u>	
Assumptions:						
Cost per Well House	3.97				· · · ·	
Subtotal Survey and Decontamination Costs	\$3,085					
C. Disposal at NRC licensed Facility					<u> </u>	
Total Volume (cy)	54				<u> </u>	
Volume for Disposal Assuming 10% Void Space (cy)	. 59					
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft ³)	\$12.33				1	
Subtotal NRC Licensed Facility Disposal Costs	\$727					
Well House Removal and Disposal Costs per Wellfield	\$4,069				1	1
Total Well House Removal and Disposal Costs	\$4,069					
V. Header Houses (Includes Booster Stations)						
Total Quantity	11		 			
Average Header House Volume (ff [*])			 			·
A. Removal			 			
Total Volume (ft [*])	8800		 			
Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/ft ³)	\$0,178		 			
Subtotal Building Demolition Costs	\$1,566				1	

Mine Unit-27								
						*		
\$312							<u> </u>	
\$3,428								
326					•			
359								
\$6.39								
\$2,294							-	
\$7,288								
\$7,288						<u> </u>		
\$87,329								
\$87,329								
	Mine Unit-27 \$312 \$3,428 326 359 \$6.39 \$2,294 \$7,288 \$7,288 \$7,288 \$7,288 \$87,329 \$87,329	Mine Unit-27 \$312 \$3,428 326 359 \$6.39 \$2,294 \$7,288 \$7,288 \$7,288 \$87,329 \$87,329	Mine Unit-27					

1

Well Abandonment	Mine Unit-27							
I. Well Abandonment (Wellfields)								
# of Production Wells	205							
# of Injection Wells	572							
# of Monitoring Wells	85							
Total Number of Wells	862							
Average Diameter of Casing (inches)	5							
Average Depth (ft)	860							
Well Abandonment Unit Cost (\$/well)	\$381							
Subtotal Abandonment Cost per Wellfield	\$328,482							
Total Wellfield Abandonment Costs	\$328,482							
II Weste Dimenel Well Abandonment			 					
II. Waste Disposal wen Abandonment	DDW KKI		 ,,					
A. Weil Plugging	•						·	
	¢71.242							
Subtotal Well Plugging Costs per Well - based on current DDW Permit	\$71,342							
B. Pump Dismanting and Decontamination								
Number of Persons	2			1				
Number of Pumps	2							
Pumps/Day	0.5	·						
Number of Days	4							
\$/Day/Person	\$136							
Subtotal Dismantling and Decon Costs per Well	\$1,091							
C. Tubing String Disposal (NRC-Licensed Facility)								
Length of Tubing String (ft)	10100							
Diameter of Tubing String (inches)	2.875							
Volume of Tubing String (ft ³)	455							
Transportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft3)	\$12.33							
Subtotal Tubing String Disposal Costs per Well	\$5,611							
Subtotal Waste Disposal Well Abandonment Costs per Well	\$78,044							ļ
Total Waste Disposal Well Abandonment Costs	\$78,044		 	,				
	0.406		 					
TOTAL WELL ABANDONMENT COSTS	\$406,526	1	1		1	1		

WELL ABAN

Wellfield and Satellite Surface Reclamation	Mine Unit-27				 				
Wellfield Pattern Area Laydown Area and Read Reclamation									
	50.0								
Picking/Seating This Cost (Cost)	\$280				 	 			
	3280				 	 		t	
Subiolal Pattern Area, Laydown Area, and Road Reclamation Costs	314,000				 	 			
Total Wellfield Area Reclamation Costs	\$14,000				 	 			
	nn i				 	 			
II. Salellife Area Reclamation	RR-1				 			+	
Assumptions:						 			
Area of Disturbance (acres)	31					 			
Average Depth of Stripped Topsoil (ft)	1				 				
Surface Grade: Level Ground					 				
Average Length of Topsoil Haul (ft)	1000								
A Rinning Overburden with Dozer									
Riving Unit Cost per WDEO Guideline No. 12 Apr. 11 (\$(acre))	\$814.22								
Subtatal Ringing Costs	\$2.443				 				
D Tangail Appling Costs	32,743				 				
B. Topson Application with Scrapel	4940				 				
Volume of Topson Removed (cy)	4640					 			
Ripping Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.II (S/acre)	\$0.71				 	 			
Subtotal Topsoil Application Costs	\$3,436				 	 			
C. Discing and Seeding									
Discing/Seeding Unit Cost (\$/acre)	\$280	1							
Subtotal Discing/Seeding Costs	\$840		. 1						
Subtotal Surface Reclamation Costs per Satellite	\$6,719								
Total Satellite Building Area Reclamation Costs	\$6,719								
	4.1								
	Mine Hale 27				 	 			
	Nine Unit-27				 	 			
A. Removal and disposal of contaminated soil around wells					 	 		+	
Volume of contaminated soil (0.37 yd3 per injection and production well - estimate)	287.49				 	 			
Disposal of contaminated soil (\$/yd3) (As per Byproduct Materal contract)	\$12.33				 	 			
Equipment (Backhoe \$65/hr)	\$9,343.43				 	 			
Labor (1 man-hour (\$17.hr) per 2 Yd3 - estimate)	\$2,443.67				 				
Subtotal removal and disposal of contaminated soil	\$11,799.42				 				
Total	\$11,799.42								
B Disc and seeding									
	6000.00								
Disc and seeding (est. \$280/acre)	\$280.00						··· .		
Sublotal Recontour and Seeding	\$14,000.00							+	
Total	\$14,000.00					 			
Total Surface Reclamation	\$25,799				 				
	1	[]							
Total	\$46,518								
	1					 			

Misc	ellar	neous Reclamation				
<u>I.</u>	CP	P/Office Area/Pilot Plant/Maint. Shop/Chem. Storage/Yard Reclamation				
	-	Concrete Pad= 0.3 acres				
	_	Total Area = 10.57 acres				
_	<u>A.</u>	Concrete Pad				
		Area of Concrete Pad (ft ²)	0			
		Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/ft ²)	\$3.40			
		Average Thickness of Concrete Floor (ft)	0.50	1		
		Volume of Concrete Floor (ft ³)	0			
		Volume of Concrete Floor (cy)	. 0			
		On-Site Disposal Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/cy)	\$5.00			
		Subtotal Concrete Pad Demolition and Disposal Costs	\$0			
	<u>B.</u>	Assumptions				
		Average haul distance (ft)	0			
		Gravel Road Base Width (ft)	Ň			
		Gravel Road Base Area (acres)	0.0			
	1	Average Road Base Depth (ft)	0.5			
		Volume of Road Base (cy)	0		· · · ·	
		Removal Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.C (\$/cy)	\$0.87			
	B.	Subtotal Gravel Koad Base Removal Costs	\$0			
	<u>.</u>	Overburden Surface Area (acres)	0.0			
		Ripping Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.11 (\$/acre)	\$814.22			•
	_	Subtotal Ripping Overburden Costs	\$0			
	С.	Topsoil Application				
		Assumptions:				
		Area of surface disturbance (ft ²)	0			
		Average thickness of topsoil (ft)	1			
	_	Average naul distance (II)	0%			
	-	Volume of Tonsoil (cv)	0/8			
		Topsoil Unit Cost per WDEO Guideline No. 12, App.C (\$/cy)	\$1,12			
		Subtotal Topsoil Application Costs	\$0			
	D.	Discing/Seeding				
		Assumptions				
		Surface Area (acres)	• 0			
	_	Total Discing/Seeding Costs	\$280			
	Tot	al CPP/Office/Yard Area Reclamation	\$0			
		and David Dealemention	DD 1 Assage	A sauge to WE		
<u>11.</u>	ACC	Assumptions	KK-I Access	Access to wr		
	<u>n.</u>	Surface grade	1%	1%		
		Length of Road (ft)	1000	12000		
		Width of Road (ft)	40	14		
		Area of road (acres)	0.9	3.9		
	B .	Gravel Road Base Removal				
<u> </u>		Assumptions Average haul distance (ft)	1000	1000		
		Gravel Road Base Width (ft)	30	10		
		Gravel Road Base Area (acres)	0,69	2.75		
		Average Road Base Depth (ft)	0.5	0.5		
		Volume of Road Base (cy)	556	2222		
		Removal Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.C (\$/cy)	\$0.87	\$0.87		
—	C	Subtotal Gravel Road Base Removal Costs	\$481	\$1,924		
	<u>.</u>	Overburden Surface Area (acres)	00	3.0		
<u> </u>		Ripping Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12. App.I1 (\$/acre)	\$814.22	\$814.22		-
		Subtotal Ripping Overburden Costs	\$741	\$3,135		
	D.	Topsoil Application				
—		Assumptions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			_
		Average haul distance (ft)	1500	1500		
		Topsoil Surface Area (ft ⁴)	39639.6	167706		
		Depth of Lopsoil (ft)	0.5	0.5		
		Topsoil Unit Cost per WDEO Guideline No 12 App C (\$/cv)	\$1.50	5100 \$0.82		
<u> </u>	-	Subtotal Topsoil Application Costs	\$1,101	\$2,547		
	Ê.	Discing/Seeding				
		Assumptions				
		Surface Area (acres)	0.9	3.9	·	
		LEASCING/Seeding Linit Cost (Macre)	5280	\$280		1

MISC	ellan	eous	Reclamation		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Sub	total R	eclamation Costs per Access Road			\$2,578	\$8,684			
	Tota	al Acc	ess Road Reclamation Costs			\$11,262				
			l_l			Trunk Line #1	Trunk Line #2			
	T					(DD 1 4. MU27)	(MUIOT A. HIII)			
<u></u>	tru	<u>nk 1.11</u>	ies			(KK-1 to MU27)	(MU2/ to HH)			
		Le	igth of Trench (ft)			22000	11000			
	A.	Remo	val and Loading							
		M	in Pineline Removal Unit Cost (\$/f	f of trench)		\$0.01	\$0.01			
		11412	in Tipenne Removal Onit Cost (Sri			30,71	30.71			
		Subto	al Trunkline Removal and Loading	Costs		\$20,020	\$10,010			
	B .	Trans	ort and Disposal Costs (NRC-Lice	nsed Facility)						
	1	1 2"	HDPE Trunkline							
	j.		Piping Length (ft)			0	0			
			$C_{1}^{1} = 1 V_{1}^{1} = D_{1}^{1} + C_{1}^{2} + C_{2}^{3} + C_$	\		0.000	0.000			
			Chipped Volume Reduction (II /II	/		0.005	0.005			
			Chipped Volume (ft')			0	0			
		1. 3"	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			0	0			
				、		0.000	0.000			
			Chipped Volume Reduction (ft /ft	/		0.022	0.022			
			Chipped Volume (ft ³)			0	0			
		2. 6"	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			0	0		1	
	-			\		0.000				
		_	Chipped Volume Reduction (ft"/ft	/		0.078	0.078			
			Chipped Volume (ft ³)			0	0			
		3. 8"	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			0	11000		1	
							11000			
			Chipped Volume Reduction (ft ⁻ /ft)		0.15	0.15			
			Chipped Volume (ft ³)			0	1650			
		3. 10	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			0	0			
	-					0.077	0.077			
		_	Chipped Volume Reduction (it /it)		0.277	0.277			
			Chipped Volume (ft ³)			0	0			
		4. 12	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			0	0			
			$Ch^2 = A V_{ch} = B + A^2 = (A^3/A)$	、		0.202	0.000			
	_		Chipped Volume Reduction (it /it	/		0.293	0.293			
			Chipped Volume (ft')			0	0			
		5. 14	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			0	0			
			Chinned Volume Reduction (0 ³ /0	\		0.250	0.250			
			Chipped Volume Reduction (n/n	,		0.339	0,339			
			Chipped Volume (ft')			0	0			
	1	5. 16	HDPE Trunkline			1				
			Piping Length (ft)			0	0			
		_	Chinged Volume Reduction (A ³ /A)		0.4	0.4			
			Chipped Volume Reduction (if /it	/		0,4	0.4			
		_	Chipped Volume (ft ³)		•	0	0			
		6 18	HDPE Trunkline							
			Piping Length (ft)			22000	0			
			Chinned Volume Paduation (03/0			0.47	0.47			
	-		Chipped volume Reduction (IT /IT	/		0.47	0.47			
		ł	Chipped Volume (ft')			10340	0		1	
		Total	Pipeline Disposal Volume			10340	1650			
		Vo	ume for Disposal Assuming 10%	Void Space (ft ³)		11374	1815		1	
		1	10/0	ABOL:	11: A (0/0 ³)		1015			·····
		117	insportation and Disposal Unit Cos	t (NRC-Licensed Fa	icility) (\$/ft")	\$12.33	\$12.33			
	_					\$140,241	\$22,379			•
	<u>C.</u>	Discir	g/Seeding							
		As	sumptions:							
			Width of Pipeline Trench (ft)			4	4			
			Area of Pipeline Trench (acres)			2.0	1.0		1	
		Di	cing/Seeding Unit Cost (\$/acre)			\$280	\$280		1	
		Subte	al Discing/Seeding Costs			\$200	\$200			
	Sub	total n	adamation Costs nor Direting			\$160 827	\$20J			
	300	- DP	the Dedemetics C			\$100,827	\$32,072			
	1 ot	ai Pip	une Reclamation Costs			\$193,499				
IV	Sett	ling P	asin/Evan. Pond Reclamation			Evaporation Pond	SettlingPond			
	A	Soil P	maling and Monitoring			a aporadon i diu	Schnigt onu			
	<u>n.</u>	3011 3	mping and Wontoring			-	-			
		NU	inder of Soll Samples			0	0			
		\$/\$	ample	L		\$50	\$50			
		Subto	al Soil Sampling and Monitoring C	osts		. \$ 0	\$0			
	<u>B.</u>	Liner/	Subsoil Removal and Disposal							
		As	sumptions:							
			Clay liner and subsoil constitute h	v-product material		[
	ľ		Thickness of clay liner (ft)	•		0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			Thickness of contaminated subsoi	1(0)		0	0			

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Misca	llan	1601	nus Reclamation				
			Removal and Loading Unit Cost based on engineer's design				
		-	removal and Eduling One Cost based on engineer's design				
			Milith + CD = + (0)				
	\rightarrow	_		0	0		
	_	_	Length of Pond (ft)	0	0		
			Depth of Pond (ft)	0	0		
			Surface area of pond (ft ⁴)	0	0		
		1.	Removal and Loading (Settling Pond is not By-Product, therefore can stay in place)			、	
		•	Volume of Clay Liner (cy)	0	0		
			Clay Liner Removal and Loading Unit Cost (\$/cy)	\$3.63	\$3.63		
			Subtotal Liner Removal and Loading Costs	\$0	\$0		
		2	Transportation and Disposal				
			Volume of Clav Liner (f^3)	0	0		
-		-	Volume of Geotextile Liner (ft ³)	· 0	0		
			Volume of Geotextile Liner $(0, 40\%)$ usid (0^3)		0		
	-		Volume of Geotextile Liner ($\frac{1}{2}$ 40% volu ($\frac{1}{1}$)	610.22			
		_	Iransportation and Disposal Unit Cost (\$/ft') (As per byproduct material contract)	\$12.33	\$12.33		
\rightarrow			Subtotal Liner Transportation and Disposal Costs	\$0	\$0		
		Sul	ibtotal Liner Removal and Disposal Costs	\$0	\$0		
	C.	Gra	rade and Contour				
			Volume of Embankment Material (CY)	0	0		
			Average Grade (%)	0	0		
			Distance (ft)	0	0		
-		_	Material Moving Unit Cost per WDEO Guideline No. 12, App.E (\$/cv)	\$0.092	\$0.161		
			Subtotal Grade and Contour Costs	\$0	\$0		
	c l	To	angoil Application	.			
	<u>.</u>		Assumptions:				
	-	-	Assumptions.				
	_	_	Area of surface disturbance (ff)	0	0		
		_	Average thickness of topsoil (ft)	0	0		
	_	_	Average haul distance (ft)	0	0		
		_	Surface grade (%)	0%			
			Volume of Topsoil (cy)	0	0		
			Topsoil Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.C (\$/cy)	\$1.12	\$1.12		
·		Sul	ibtotal Topsoil Application Costs	\$0	\$0		
	D.	Dis	iscing/Seeding				
			Assumptions:				
			Area of surface disturbance (acres)	0.0	0.0		
			Discing/Seeding Unit Cost (\$/acre)	\$280	\$280		
		e.,1	btotal Disping/Seeding Costs	\$200	\$00		
	eh	tote	al Realemation Costs nos Road	\$0			
	<u>340</u>	1012		\$U			
	1 013	<u>ai 2</u>	Settling Basin/Evap. Ponds Reclamation Costs	20			
V.	Mis	cel	llaneous Structures				
	A .	Pot	otable Water Wells				
			Total Depth (ft) (1- 5-inch Diameter Wells, @ 750 ft)	700			
			Well Abandonment Unit Cost (\$/100 ft) - per State Engineers Office	\$63.10			
		Sul	ibtotal Potable Water Wells Abandonment Costs	\$441.70			
	В.	Fue	lel Area			 	
		_	Concrete Floor				
	_		Area of Concrete Floor (ff [*])				
			Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guideline No.12, App.K (\$/ft ⁴)	\$3.40			
			Subtotal Concrete Floor Demolition Costs	\$0			
			Concrete Footing				
			Length of Concrete Footing (ft)	0			
			Demolition Unit Cost per WDEQ Guide. No.12, App.K (\$/lin. ft)	\$12.22			
			Subtotal Concrete Footing Demolition Costs	\$0			
		Sul	ibtotal Fuel Area Costs	\$0			
	Tot	all	Miscellaneous Structures Reclamation Costs	\$441 70			
	100	at P	Aniscenaneous on actual es Rechamation Costs	\$771.7U			
TOT	** el	11 IX	ISCELLANEOUS DECLAMATION COSTS	340,000		r	
100	AL J	111	IJUELLANEOUS RECLAMATION COSTS	\$225,203			
	_	_					
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	- 1			1			

CLAY LINE	ER REMOVAL AND LOADING					
Clay Liner	Removal and Loading Cost					
	Labor =	17	per hour			Based on current labor rates
	Trackhoe =	\$ 1,125.00	per week or	\$ 28.13	per hour	All Inclusive, based on current rental rates
	Belly Dump with Operator =	\$ 100.00	per hour			Based on current contractor pricing
	Belly Dump Size =	20	cubic yards			·
	Disposal Rate =	40	yards/hour			Estimate based on experience
	TOTAL REMOVAL AND LOADING	\$ 3.63	per cubic yard			

WELLER DOWN				T			1		1		
WELLFIELD BUILDING							1	<u>↓ </u>	·	╀─────	
										Ļ	
										L	
Cost per Well Head Cov	er										
	Env. Scanner	17	per hour			Based on c	current labo	r rates			
	Operator =	17	per hour			Based on c	current labo	r rates			
	Total Wellhead	2300									
	HCI 35% Cost	\$ 0.137	per pound			Based on o	current Univ	ar costs for	bulk HCI -	April 2007	
	Acid Usage Ra	4.1	pounds pe	r wellhead o	cover	Estimate b	ased on exp	oerience			
	Acid Unit Cost	\$ 0.56	per wellhe	ad cover							
	Total Labor Ra	\$ 39.70	per hour								
	Cleaning Rate	10	wellheads	per hour		Estimate b	ased on ex	perience			T
	Survey / Deco	\$ 3.97	per wellhe	ad cover							
			[·	I							
				1							
										1	
Cost per Header House				1						1	
	Env. Scanner	17	per hour			Based on d	current labo	r rates		1	
	Operator =	17	per hour			Based on o	current labo	r rates		1	
	Number of Op	2	F			Based on e	experience	T		· .	
	HCI 35% Cost	\$ 0.137	per pound			Based on d	urrent Univ	ar costs for	bulk HCI -	April 2007	1
	Acid Usage Ra	20	pounds pe	r header ho	use	Estimate		T	T	T.	+
	Acid Unit Cost	\$ 274	per header	r house		1					
	Total Labor Ra	\$ 311.64	per hour	1	1				1		1
	Cleaning Rate	1	header hou	use per dav		Estimate b	ased on ex	perience		1	1
	Survey / Decc	\$ 311.64	per heade	r house						1	+
	Currey / Decc		Sor neade							+	<u>+</u>
	1	l	1	1 · · · ·	1	1	I	I	I	1	

۰,

ACID WASH							
Current acid cost is \$2	75/ ton or .13	75pe	r lb.				
Commercial Concentra	ated acid is 37	7%					
Assume a 10% wash s	solution the pr	ice of	f the wash s	olution is \$.	012 per gall	on	
Assume that .25 gallor	of acid wash	is us	sed per sq f	t. to clean w	alls.		
Assume that 1 gallon c	of acid wash is	s use	d per sq ft. I	to clean floo	rs.		
Using the square foota	ige supplied ii	1 the	bond the fo	llowing assu	Imptions we	ere used to	
generate the cost per s	square ft mult	iplier.					
Using the CPP IX and	Plant square	foota	ges the ass	umption is a	as follows		
Acid Wash	(Walls)						
Lehen		Man		Dand CDD	IX and CDF) og fostog	
Labor Dete	<u> </u>	lvien		Bond CPP	IX and CPP	sq. loolage	
Timo	ا ا ق	ni. Ohr					
Mon Lift Bontol	\$9,000,00	Mon	Days				
	\$0,900.00						
Labor Cost per sg. ft	\$0.54						
Acid	\$0.003						
Consumables	\$0.05						
Total	\$0.59						
·							
Acid Wash	(Floors)						
					· ·		
Labor	2	Men		Bond CPP	IX and CPF	esq. footage	e
Rate	\$17	hr.					
Time	15	8hr.	Days				
Labor Oration of the	<u> </u>						
Labor Cost per sq. π.	\$0.15						
Acia	\$0.01						
Consumables	<u>\$0.05</u>						
 Total	\$0.21						
i utai	<u>φ</u> υ.21						
L			1	L		L	

RADIUM TREATMENT			
HUP SURETY ONLY			
Assumptions:			
1. Based on actual operating costs			
Radium Treatment Costs per 1000 Gallons			
Chemical	= \$	0.177	
Filtration	. = \$	0.021	
Electricity	= \$	0.048	
By Product Disposal of Sludge	= \$	0.097	
TOTAL RADIUM TREATMENT COSTS PER	1000 GALLONS = \$	0.34	

GROU	NDWA	TER	SN	/EEP	(GWS	S)											
Assun	nptions	5:															
1.	All pur	nps a	re	5 hp p	umpi	ng	at 5.0	gpm	ו								
2.	Cost c	of elec	tric	ity fro	m Re	cu	rring (Cost	She	eet						1	
3.	All wat	ter pu	mp	ed is	dispo	sed	d at W	/DW	wit	h a 20 I	np pur	np					
4.	Repair	r and	ma	intena	ance d	cos	ts est	imate	ed a	at \$0.50)/1000) ga	allo	ons, Opera	ator	Experience	·
5.	Proces	ss sar	np	ling ar	nd ana	aly	sis co	sts e	stin	nated a	t \$0.0	3/1	00	0 gallons,	Ope	erator Expe	erience
6.	Labor	costs	ar	e not i	nclud	ed											
					L												
Wellfi	eld Pur	nping	J C	osts j	oer 10	000) Gall	ons									
	1000	gal	x	5	hp	x	1	hr	x	0.746	kwh	x	\$	0.051	= \$	0.63	
				5	gpm		60	min		h	0			kwh	, v	0.00	
·																	
Pump	ing to \	WDW	<u>C</u>	osts p	er 10	00	Gallo	ons	_								
	1000	gal	x	75	hp	х	1	hr	x	0.746	kwh	x	\$	0.051	= \$	0.24	
				200	gpm		60	min		h	p			kwh	Ť		
				L	L							ļ					
Repai	r and N	lainte	ena	ince C	osts	pe	er 100	0 Ga	llo	ns					= \$	0.5	
- <u></u>							l										
Proce	ss San	npling	l ai	nd An	alysi	s C	osts	per 1	100	0 Gallo	ons				= \$	0.03	
							ļ										
	1							L				_			<u> </u>		
ΤΟΤΑ		cos	TS	PER	1000	G	ALLO	NS				_			= \$	1.40	

REVER	RSE OSN	105	IS (RO)					1		
				Í							
Assum	notions:										
1.	Cost of e	elec	tricity fi	rom	Recu	urring Cost	Sheet				
2.	75% per	mea	ate/25%	6 re	eject s	plit					
3.	Membra	ne l	ife of 5	ye	ars wi	th a cost of	f \$700	per mem	brane element		
4.	Includes	cos	st of pu	mp	ing fro	om wellfield	to RC) Unit			
5.	Process	sar	npling a	anc	analy	/sis costs e	stimat	ed at \$0.	03/1000 gallons - Operator Expe	rience	
6.	Labor co	osts	are no	t in	cluded	ł					
Revers	se Osmos	sis	Costs	реі	1000	Gallons			Chemical Costs		
	Electricit	ty					= \$	0.48			
	Chemica	als					= \$	\$0.13	Scale Inhibitor	\$2.00	\$/lb
	Membra	ine I	Replace	em	ent		= \$	\$0.06	Dose Rate	6.75	ppm
	Repair a	nd	Mainte	nar	ice		= \$	0.26	RO Flow	400	gpm
	Process	Sa	mpling	and	d Anal	ysis	= \$	0.03			
									lbs scale/1000gal	0.056330727	
TOTAL	RO COS	STS	PER 1	100	0 GAI	LONS	= \$	0.96	•		
									Cost per 1000 gal	\$0.11	
									Cleaning Chemicals	0.02	
									Total Chemical Cost	\$0.13	
									Membrane Replacement		
									For 400gpm RO	400	
									Number of membranes	96	
									Cost per Membrane	\$600.00	
									Years of Life	5	
									Labor to Change Membrane	\$480.00	
				-					0	* ••••	
				-					Cost per 1000 gal	\$0.06	
				-						 	
				-			.			 	
										<u> </u>	1

REVER						
	RSE OSMOSIS (RO) pg2					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
E	lectrical Costs					
fo	or 400gpm RO					
M	line Unit					
С	harge Pumps					
M	line Unit Feed	Motor HP	Motor Quantity	ĸw		
D	eep Disposal Charge Pump	3	40	89.52		
		50	2	74.6		
		60	1	44.76		
		60	1	44.76		
			Total Installed KW	253.64		
			Cost per Hour	\$11.41		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	
			Cost pre 1000gal	\$0.48		

CHEM	ICAL REDU	JCTANT								
Assum	nptions:									
1.	Bioremedia	ation is util	ized							
2.	Based on a	actual oper	rating co	sts dur	ring res	toration ac	tivities			
3.	Added the	cost of us	ing chee	se whe	эу 🛛					
ΤΟΤΑΙ	L CHEMICA	L REDUC	TANT C	COSTS	PERK	(gal		= \$	0.30	
						-				

ELU	TIC	on Pr	OCE	SSI	NG									·			
Assi	um	ption	s:														
	1.	Based	l on a	ctua	al oper	ating	cos	sts									
TOT	AL	PRO	CESS	INC	G COS	TS P	ER	ELU	TION	= \$	1198						
			[1									:		
1												1					

DEEP	WEL	LIN	JE	СТ	ION														
Assun	nptio	າຣ:																	
1.	Pum	p 1	50	٦p	pump	ing a	t 1	00 g	pm				Γ						
2.	Cost	of	elec	tric	ity fro	m Re	ecu	rring	Cost	Sł	neet								
3.	Repa	air a	and I	ma	inten	ance	cos	sts b	ased	on	average	e injec	tio	n v	volume of 8	3,000	,000 gallon	s per year	
4.	Repa	air a	and i	ma	inten	ance	cos	sts e	stima	ted	at \$.50)/1000	ga	allo	ns, Operat	or Ex	xperience		
5.	Cher	nica	al co	st	s base	ed on	av	erag	je inje	cti	on volur	me of	8,0	000	,000 gallor	ns pe	r year		·
6.	Labo	r co	osts	ar	e not	includ	dec							<u> </u>					
							l												
Waste	Disp	osa	al Pi	Jm	ping	Cost	s p	ber 1	000 C	Sal	lons								
	100	0 g	al	v	150	hp		1	hr		0.746	kwh	V	\$	0.051	_ *	0.05		
				^	100	gpm	1^	60	min	^	h	p	^		kwh] — Þ	0.95		
							<u> </u>												
Repair	and	Ма	inte	na	nce (Costs	; p	er 10)00 G	alle	ons					= \$	0.5		
									1										
															· · · · ·				
TOTAL	DE	P١	WEL	L	INJE	CTIO	NC	cos	TS PE	R	1000 G	ALLC)NS	Ś		= \$	1.45		
														1				,	
		_																	

WELL	ABAN	DON	MENT											
										· ·				
Assum	ptions	s:												
1	Typic	al 8 h	our w	orki	ing o	lay								
2	Track	hoe fo	or 8.0 h	r/da	ay to	dig an	d recla	aim pit						
3	Use h	ose re	eel for	8 hr	/day	to pull	equip	ment fr	om we					
4	Use c	emen	ter for	8.0	hr/d	ay to p	ump ce	ement/	olug ge	el 👘				
5	Use to	ow ve	hicle fo	or 8.	<u>0 hr</u> /	day to	tow ho	ose ree	l and c	eme	enter			
6	Labor	for ba	ackhoe	, hc	ose r	eel, ce	mente	r will re	quire 3	wo	rkers at 8.0	hr/day		
	Mater	ials in	clude	7.5	sack	s of ce	ment/	100 ft a	ind 1 sa	ack	of plug gel/	100 ft of 5" v	well casing.	
	Cost	of cen	ient is	\$7.0	<u>62 a</u>	nd plug	gel co	ost is \$	6.45/sa	ack.				
	Ceme	ent cos	sts for 2	200	7 = (<u>GCC D</u>	akota	Cemen	it; Plug	gel	costs for 20)07 = Caspe	er Well Proc	lucts
	-	<u> </u>												
	Fixed	Costs	<u>S</u>											
	Гаск	noe	h a	v	•	20.4		<u> </u>		-0	225.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Haaa	0 Deel/	Tours		⊅ 	28.1	per no	Jur		=⊅	225.00			
	nose	Reel/	houro		le ¢	A E	nor he		· · ·	-¢	260.00			
	Como	0 Intor	nours			45	perno	Jui			360.00			
	Ceme	anter Q	boure	Y	¢	45	nor h			-¢	360.00			
	Tow \	o /ehicle		^	Ψ	43	per no			-φ	300.00			
	1000	8	hours	X	\$	45	ner hr			=\$	360.00			
	Lahor		nours		– *	-0				-Ψ	500.00	,		
3	men=	24	man	x	\$	17	per m	an		=\$	409 02		·····	
			hours	<u></u>	*		hour			T	100.02			
· · · · ····			Total	Fixe	d Co	, osts pe	r 8.0 h	r/dav		=\$	1714.02		·	
										<u>├</u>				
	Varial	ole Co	osts		(pei	- 100 ft	of wel	l depth)					
	Mater	ials	1						[
		7.5	sack o	em	ent	Х	\$	7.62	per	=\$	57.15			
			per 10)0 fe	eet				sack					
		1	sack p	olug	gel	X	\$	6.45	per ho	=\$	6.45			
			per 10)0 fe	eet				plug					

WELL	ABAN	DON	MENT	Pag	e 2								
	Total	mate	rials (Cost	(per	r 100 fi	ofwe	ell dep	th)	\$	63.60		
	Total	numb	er of v	vells	com	pleted	per/da	ay					
			6	1						1			
	Cost	per W	lell pe	er Ur	nit of	f Avera	ige De	epth					
					Wel	l Dept	h (ft)						
						450				=\$	333		
					•	500				=\$	339		
						550				=\$	344		
_						600				=\$	349		
						650				=\$	355		
						700	-			=\$	360		
						750				=\$	365		
						800				=\$	370		
						850				=\$	376		
						900				=\$	381		
						950				=\$	386		

FIVE Y	EAR N	/IECH	ANICAL I	NTE	GR	ITY TE	STS	S (MIT	⁻)					
Assum	ption	s:												
1	Pullin	g Unit	for 8.0 hr	/day	per	Recuri	ring	Cost	She	et				
2	MIT L	Init for	8.0 hr/da	y pe	er Re	curring) Co	st Sh	eet					
3	Labor	for op	peration of	f pul	lling	unit wil	l rec	quire 2	2 w	orke	ers at \$17/	hr		
4	Labor	for op	peration of	f MI	T Ur	nit will re	equi	ire 1 v	vorł	ker a	at \$17/hr			
5	Avera	ge we	lls plugge	d pe	er da	iy is 6								
MIT Co	osts pe	er We	1											
														2
Equipr	nent:													
	Pullin	g Unit												
		8	hours	X	\$	45	per	hour				=\$	360.00	
	MIT U	Init												
		8	hours	X	\$	45	per	hour				=\$	360.00	
Labor:														
	Pullin	g Unit												
		8	hours	X	\$	17.04	per	hour	Х	2	workers	=\$	\$272.68	
	MIT U	Init												
		8	hours	X	\$	17.04	per	hour				=\$	136.34	
						TO.	TAL	MIT	co	ST	PER DAY	=\$	1129.00	
											1			
	Wells	Com	bleted			6	per	day	l					
Well Re	eplace	ment	Fittings, H	lose	, etc	(\$150)	8.	1 houi	lat	or ((\$35)		185	
							M	т со	ST	S PI	ER WELL	=\$	373.17	

MAIN F	PIPELI	NE R	EMOV	AL		#18								
		-	-											
Assum	ptions	s:												
1.	Trenc	hing v	vith tra	ckh	oe a	t 750 ft/da	y							
2.	Pipeli	ne ext	raction	an	d ba	ckfilling w	ith t	rackho	e at 7	50 ft	/day			
3.	Track	hoe re	ental: \$	1,12	25/w	eek all inc	lus	ive fuel	, mair	itena	ance, mob			
5.	Track	hoe o	peratio	n re	quir	es 1 work	er a	at \$17/h	our					
6.	Pipeli	ne ext	raction	rec	quire	s 2 worke	rs a	t \$17/h	iour (ii	n ad	dition to tra	ackhoe ope	rator)	
7.	Pipeli	nes re	moved	l sin	nuta	neously	-							
8.	Includ	les rei	moval	of m	nanh	oles								
9.	Opera	ating s	chedu	le: 8	hrs	/day, 5 da	ys/v	veek						
Main P	ipelin	e Ren	noval (Cos	ts p	er ft of Tr	enc	;h				······		
									-					
Equipr	nent													
	Track	khoe_												
· · · ·		\$	1125	x	1	week	x	1	days	=\$	0.30			
		We	eek		5	days		750	ft					
					<u> </u>				,	L				
														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Labor														
	Track	hoe (Operat	ion										
		\$	17	x	8	man hrs	x	1	days	=\$	0.18	······································		
		ma	n hr		1	day		750	ft					
	Pipeli	ine Ex	ctractio	on										
ļ		\$	17	x	16	man hrs	x	2	day	=\$	0.36		-	
		ma	n hr		1	day		750	ft					
				<u> </u>				ļ						
										-				
	PIPE		REMO	VAL	<u>. co</u>	ST PER I	- <u>T</u>	OF TRE	NCH	=\$	0.84			ļ

WELL	FIELD P	PIPIN	G REN	10\	/AL								
Assum	nptions:	:											
1.	Trench	ing w	ith bad	ckho	be at	: 1500 ft/d	ay						
2.	Pipelin	e ext	raction	an	d ba	ckfilling w	ith b	ackhoe	e at 150	0/day	<u> </u>		
3.	Backho	be rer	ntal: \$1	1,12	5/we	eek, all inc	lusi	ve fuel,	mainte	nanc	e, mob		
4.	Backho	ре ор	eratior	n reg	quire	s 1 worke	er at	\$17/ho	ur				
5.	Pipelin	e ext	raction	rec	quire	s 2 worke	rs at	t \$17/h	our (in a	dditi	on to trackh	oe operato	or)
6.	Operat	ing s	chedul	e: 8	hrs	/day, 5 da	ys/w	reek					
							•						
Main P	Pipeline	Rem	ioval (Cos	ts p	er ft of Pi	ре						
										ļ			
Equipr	ment									ļ			
	Backh	oe											
		\$	1125	x	1	week	x	1	days	:=\$	0.15		
		we	ek		5	days		1500	ft				
										<u> </u>			
												_	
					ļ								
Labor													
	Backh	oe O	perati	on			<u> </u>						
·		\$	17	x	8	man hrs	x	1	days	=\$	0.09	_	
		ma	n hr		1	day		1500	tt			-	
	Pipelir	ne Ex	tractio	on							A (A		
		\$	1/	X	16	man nrs	X	1	day	=\$	U.18		
	ŀ	ma	n hr			day		1500	π			-	
											A 400		
	MAIN	PIPE	LINE	RE	VOV	AL COST	PE	KFIC		=\$	0.420		
1					1								

WELL	FIELD F		REC	AMA	TION					1			<u> </u>						
						Η									-				
Assum	ptions	(Road	S CO	nstruc	ted b	efo	re Ja	nuary	1 19	97):								1	
1	Gravel	road h	ase	remov	ed at	cos	t of \$	0.86/cv	/100	0 ft (V	ND	FO Guideline I		2 Ann C	Level Ground	500 ft haul)			
2	Gravel	road h	ase	avera	ae de	oth	= 0.2	5 ft. av	erao	e wid	th =	: 10 ft		<u>_,</u>		<u>/</u>			
3	Roads	scarifi	ed pr	ior to f	onsoi	an	plicat	ion at o	cost o	of \$41	87	Vacre (WDEO	Guid	feline No. 1	2 Appendix P)				[
- 4	Gradin	a of sc	arifie	d road	ts pric	r to	tons	nil ann	icatio	on at i	cos	t of \$45 65/aci	e (M	DEO Guid	eline No. 12 A	pendix G)			ii
5	Tonsoi	annlie	and at	cost o	f \$0 8	66/	~v/10	nn ft (V) Gui	del	ne No 12 An	$\frac{c}{n}$	Level Grou	und 500 ft baul)			;
6	Strippe	d tons	nil: a	verane	dent	h =	0.67	ft aver	ane	width	= 2	25 ft	<u>, o,</u>			/			·
7	Discinc	/seedi	na c	net of	\$280/		a is ha	ased or	1 acti	ial co	ntr	actor costs				1			
	Discing	/ sceu	ng u		\$200/a		10 00	1360 01				101 00313		·					·
	Gravel	Road	Base	Pom			e nor	1000 f		Pood	$\left - \right $								
	Glavel	1000	4		25 H		<u>3 per</u> 10	#		low		\$0.87		· ·					
		1000		x ⊢	2311	X	10	<u>"</u> >	(6y	X		= \$	80					
	Scarifi	ation (2 por 1	000 ff	of	Pood		21		$\left - \right $	Cy	+						
	Scarin	1000	4	sper i	25 6		1	2070				¢ / 1 07							
		1000	<u>"</u>	ב	25 11	X	4 20		-2	- X		<u>φ41.67</u>	≃\$	24					[
	Cradin	- C +		1000	4		4.3: J		+ <u>m</u> -			acre							
	Gradin	g Cost	s per	1000	π or F	loa					-	A / 5 05				·	··		
	-	1000	π	x —	25 n	X	1	acre		X		\$45.65	= \$	26					
	-						4.3	56E+04	ft ²			acre	<u> </u>						
	Topsol	Applic	catio	n Cost	s per	100	U IT O	r Road	-				ļ						
		1000	<u>ft</u>	x <u>0.0</u>	57 ft	x	25	π,	(1	cy	x	\$0.87	= \$	537					·
				1				-	27	ft ³	<u> </u>	су		1					
	Discing	/Seed	ing C	osts p	er 10	00 f	t of R	oad											
		1000	ft ,		25 ft	l v l	1	acre				\$280	- ¢	161					
						^	4.3	56E+04	I ft ²	^		acre	- ¥	101					
				1															
	TOTAL	. WELI	FIE	LD RC	AD R	EC	LAM	ATION	COS	TS P	ER								
		1000	FT O	F ROA	D (B	EF	ORE	JANU/	ARY	1, 199	97)		= \$	828					
					T.					T.	ΓÍ		1			1			
Assum	ptions	(Road	s co	nstruc	cted a	ftei	r Jan	uary 1.	199	7):									
1.	Gravel	road b	ase	will no	t be re	emo	ved			ľ									
2.	Roads	scarifi	ed pr	ior to f	lopsoi	lap	plicat	ion at a	cost	of \$41	1.87	/acre (WDEQ	Guic	deline No. 1	2. Appendix P)				
3.	Gradin	g of sc	arifie	d road	ls pric	r to	tops	oil app	icatio	on at	cos	t of \$45.65/ac	e (M	/DEQ Guid	eline No. 12, A	opendix G)			
4.	Topsoi	applie	d at	cost o	f \$0.8	6/c	/100	oft (W	DEQ	Guid	elin	e No. 12. App	C. L	evel Grou	nd, 500 ft haul)	ſŕ			
5.	Strippe	d tops	oil: a	verage	e dept	h ≃	0.4 ft	avera	ae w	idth =	= 20) ft	<u> </u>		,				
6.	Discine	/seedi	na c	ost of t	\$280/	acre	is ba	ased or	act	ual co	ntr	actor costs	\square				<u> </u>		
	,,		ΓŢ	1	1	T							<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
	Scarifi	ation (Costs	s per 1	000 fi	of	Road			1	\square		1						
	1	1000	ft		20 ft		1	acre		· · · ·	+	\$41.87	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
				×		1X	1 3	SELO	e42	- X		2010	=\$	19		1			
	Gradin	a Coct		1000	ft of 5				<u>' "</u>		+	2016	+			1		┼───┤	
	Gradin	1000	5 per	1000	2014		4	2010	+-		+	\$15 GE	+	 ···		1	· ·	<u> </u>	
		1000	<u>n</u> (×—'	2011	X		aciel	1.2	X			= \$	21			 		
	T						4.3	56E+04	i ftr			acre					<u> </u>	!	
	lopsoi	Applic	catio	1 Cost	s per	100		r Road			-		-	l				ļ!	
	· · ·	1000	$ \pi $	x <u> 0.</u> 4	40 ft	x	20	<u>π</u> ,	(<u> </u>	cy	-x	\$0.87	= \$	257			<u> </u>	ļ	
			ĽĽ						27	' ft'		су	ļ					ļ	[
	Discing	/Seed	ing C	osts p	<u>er 10</u>	00 f	t of R	oad											
	L	1000	ft .	x L	20 ft	$ \mathbf{x} $	1	acre		X		\$280	- e	129		L			[
			\Box			$\left \right\rangle$	4.3	56E+04	ft ²	^		acre	Ľ	120				<u> </u>	
	TOTAL	WEL	LFIE	LDRC	AD R	EC	LAM	ATION	cos	STS P	ER								
	1	1000 1	FT O	F ROA	AD (A	FT	ER JA	NUAF	Y 1.	1997)		= \$	426					
			Ē		1	П		Ĩ	T		П		†					1	

DISKING/S	SEEDING							
Assumption	ons:							
1.	Based on a	actual contra	actor costs	in 200	7			
2.	Drill Seedir	ng \$250/Acr	e - based o	n cont	racto	r estamate	6/2007	
3.	Seed cost	\$30/Acre - E	Based on 5/	07 see	ed co	sts at SR⊦	IUP	
TOTAL DI	SKING/SEE	DING COS	TS PER AC	CRE	= \$	280.00		



PORE VO	LUME AND	RESTORA	TION TIMI	NG CA	LCU	LATION														
	[1																	
Assumpti	ons:																			
1.	Pore Volur	nes require	d for wellfie	Id res	oratio	on are conse	rvatively	estimated fro	m Table 3-	2, Lewis Wat	ter Consult	ants, Inc.	, Oct. 19	999 (b	elow)					
2.	Restoration	n Target is	Return to C	lass o	fUse	e, Class I Gr	oundwate	r (WDEQ)												
3.	Conservati	vely Assum	ies 1PV gro	oundwa	ater s	sweep, 3PV	s RO with	Reductant a	dded to fina	al 2 PVs of R	O stream (4PV's tot	al)							
4.	Restoration	n Timing is	conservativ	ely es	timat	ted at 2 year	s for all v	ellfields base	ed on 400 g	pm sweep ra	ate and larg	jest weilf	ield affec	cted v	olume (Wellfi	eld 15) at	Smith Ra	nch.	1
-																				
	Tab	la 3.2 Pra	i dicted Well	' Ifield '	1 Rev	storation Ti	mina	1	1			•	'			\square				ļ
				mera																
						Numbe	rof	Time Require	d		Num	ber of	Time	Requ	ired					
	1		Restoratio	on Tar	net	Pore Vol	umes	to Meet Taro	et Resto	ration Target	Pore V	olumes	to M	eet Ta	imet					
	~	netituent	(Backo	wound))	to Meet T	arnet i	Baseline) da	ve (Cla	es of use ^a)	to Mee	t Tarnet	(Class.	oflie	a) dave		-			
	~	Insurgent	(Dacky	jiounu	,	IO MEET I	arger	Dasennej, da	ys (014	33 07 430 7		rialger	(0.033-	01-030	staats	-+				
	— u		0.1	168		32		150		5	1	8		86		\rightarrow				
			0.0	001		3.2		150		0.01	2	3		109						
	Ci		4 1	176		44		210		250	-	0		0					_	÷
	so		113	125		3.8		179		250	2	5		117						
			000	404		0.0		100		200									-	
	HUC	3	228	.194		2.3		109		na ·	ſ	na		na						<u> </u>
	Ca		72.	617		3,8		179		na	r	na		na					-	
	Na Na		22.	525		3.2		150		na	r	na		na					-	
	As		0.0	001 ·		3.0		141		0.05		0		0		-				
			0.1	100		3.2		150		0.75		0		0						
	Fe		0.0	065		0		0		0.3		0		0						
	Mn		0,0	022		4.4		210		0.05	Э	.4		160						
	Mg		17.	364		3.2		150		па	r	na		na		-			-	
	<u> </u> к		7.2	269		3.2		150		na	г	na		na						<u> </u>
	P		0.3	322		3.2		150		2.4	r	a		na						
	SIO;	2	16.	975		3.2		150		na	r	าล		na						
	<u> </u> ∠n		0.0	J10		. 3.2		150		5		v		U			_			<u> </u>
	<u> </u>																	1		1
	····	standards li	sted are for	Wyon	ning (Class I grou	nd water,	although bas	eline wellfie	d						-		1		
	gr gr	ound water	does not m	eet thi	is sta	indard due t	o excessi	ve radium.								_				1
				1	1	1						1								
	1						i		1											

Abbreviations/Acronyms			
\$	Dollars		
\$/Kgal	Dollars per 1000 gallons		
avg	average		
ft	feet		
ft2	square feet		
ft3	cubic feet		
gal	gallon		
gpm	gallons per minute		
H&S	Health and Safety		
H2S	Hydrogen Sulfide		
H2SO4	Sulfuric Acid		
HCl	Hydrochloric Acid		
Нр	Horsepower		
Kgal	1000 gallons		
Kwh	Kilowatt-hours		
NaOH	Caustic Soda		
OD	Outside Diameter		
PPE	personal protective equipment		
PV	Pore Volume Estimate		
reqm't	requirement		
RO	Reverse Osmosis		
WDW	Waste Disposal Well		
yd3	cubic yards		
yr	year		

THIS PAGE IS AN OVERSIZED DRAWING OR FIGURE, THAT CAN BE VIEWED AT THE RECORD TITLED: "FIGURE 1, HORIZONTAL SCALE AS DESIGNATED VERTICAL SCALE 1''= 80'''

WITHIN THIS PACKAGE... OR BY SEARCHING USING THE DOCUMENT/REPORT NO.

D-01X