| Design #                              | 19  | Revision #  | 0  | Page   | 1 of 4  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Survey Unit #(s)                      | CV-3-1  |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description                           | <ol> <li>Survey<br/>and cor<br/>Elevation</li> <li>CV-3-1<br/>Final Si</li> <li>All survey<br/>scintilla</li> <li>All instract<br/>2350-1<br/>subtract<br/>at each</li> <li>The Gradon of<br/>07-001<br/>in MDC</li> <li>Scan such<br/>the required</li> <li>Scan such<br/>the site<br/>this decomposition</li> </ol> | Unit CV-3-1 is located on the<br>hisists of the Sub-pile Room is<br>on.<br>is designated as a Class 1 /<br>tatus Survey Plan (FSSP).<br>eys were performed with a L<br>tion detector.<br>uments used to perform their<br>beta activity IAW procedure<br>Data Logger Survey Instrum<br>ted from the gross activity to<br>survey (static measuremen<br>oss Beta DCGL <sub>w</sub> applied to t<br>and the efficiency correctio<br>calculations was derived fro<br>urveys were performed on 11<br>urements of the FSSP and t<br>entation that the end radiolo<br>release criteria is presented<br>sision was collected under S | e lowest level<br>and the Hallw<br>Area in accord<br>.udlum 2350-<br>se surveys we<br>e CS-011, "Op<br>hent." The ga<br>o calculate the<br>t) location.<br>this survey un<br>n factor (EFC)<br>om PBRF-TBL<br>00% of the ac<br>he Survey De<br>gical condition<br>I in this releas<br>urvey Reques | of the Containm<br>ay floor below th<br>dance with (IAW)<br>1 and a Model 44<br>ere calibrated to<br>eration of the Lu<br>ma componen<br>actual surface to<br>for concrete su<br>D-07-004.<br>cessible surface<br>esign.<br>ns of survey unit<br>e record. Data s<br>t No. 97. | hent Vessel<br>e -44'2"<br>) the PBRF<br>4-116 plastic<br>detect<br>idlum Model<br>t was<br>beta activity<br>h PBRF-TBD<br>rfaces used<br>areas IAW<br>CV-3-1 mee<br>supporting |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | Appro   | val Signatures  |  |  | Date:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FSS/Characterizatio                   | on Engineer   | Robby L. Marquette /  | 3/   | 108  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Rev<br>FSS/Characterization | viewer<br>on Engineer)  | R. Case   | 3  | 110/08   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| FSS/Characterization Manager R. Case  |   |   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

|          |    | 5          | Survey | <b>Unit Release R</b> | ecord  |             |
|----------|----|------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|-------------|
| Design # | 19 | Revision # | 0      | Survey Unit #         | CV-3-1 | Page 2 of 4 |

## 1.0 Description of the Survey Unit

Survey unit CV-3-1 is a Class 1 area located on the lowest level of the Containment Vessel and encompasses the floor of Area 29 and Area 30.

This survey unit was designated as a Class 1 area due to the contamination levels and its location directly below and adjacent to the reactor vessel. Activity above the DCGLw was present during and after plant operations.

The surface of interest includes the floor of Area 30, the connecting Hallway and stairs, and the Sub-pile Room (Area 29) surfaces below the 44' 2" elevation. These surfaces consist of previously painted poured concrete which was sponge blasted to provide a smooth survey surface and to remove dirt and contamination.

The total surface area for the survey unit has been calculated at 51.90 square meters.

# 2.0 Survey Unit Design Information

Survey Design No.19 covers survey unit CV-3-1. IAW PBRF-TBD-07-001, Table 5-3, the DCGL<sub>W</sub> value for this survey unit is 14,600 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>. Visual Sample Plan (VSP) version 5.0 was used to determine the number and locations of sample points within the survey unit. (See Design No.19, Section 2 for additional information)

The scan investigation level was set at 1,400 gross cpm for the 44-116 detector. The scan MDC was 7,025 dpm/100cm<sup>2</sup> based on a minimum net detectable count rate of 501.83. These are all well below the DCGL<sub>w</sub> and appropriate for this survey unit.

The calculated Static Count MDC was 732 dpm/100cm<sup>2</sup> based on the material background count rate of 379.4 cpm which was established under MWH Characterization survey package G9000 401B1. This is well below the DCGL<sub>w</sub> and appropriate for this survey unit.

| SUMMARY OF SAMPLING DESIGN                    |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Primary Objective of Design                   | Compare a site mean or median to a fixed threshold          |  |  |  |  |
| Type of Sampling Design                       | Nonparametric   |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Placement (Location)<br>in the Field   | Systematic with a random start location                     |  |  |  |  |
| Working (Null) Hypothesis                     | The median(mean) value at the site<br>exceeds the threshold |  |  |  |  |
| Formula for calculating                       | Sign Test - MARSSIM version                                 |  |  |  |  |
| number of sampling locations                  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated total number of samples            | 11  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of samples on map <sup>a</sup>         | 11  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of selected sample areas <sup>b</sup>  | 1   |  |  |  |  |
| Specified sampling area <sup>c</sup>          | 51.90 m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |  |
| Size of grid / Area of grid cell <sup>d</sup> | 7.65819 feet / 50.7905 ft <sup>2</sup>                      |  |  |  |  |
| Grid pattern                                  | Triangular  |  |  |  |  |

The following table summarizes the measurement design developed.

<sup>a</sup> Size of grid / Area of grid cell gives the linear and square dimensions of the grid used to systematically place samples.

## 3.0 Survey Unit measurement locations and corresponding data.

See Attachment 1 for a map that shows measurement locations, a table that lists measurement location coordinates, and the corresponding data.

| Survey Unit Release Record |    |            |   |               |        |             |  |  |
|----------------------------|----|------------|---|---------------|--------|-------------|--|--|
| Design #                   | 19 | Revision # | 0 | Survey Unit # | CV-3-1 | Page 3 of 4 |  |  |

#### 4.0 Survey Unit investigations performed and their results.

There were no investigations performed in this survey unit.

#### 5.0 Data assessment results.

Data validation was accomplished by reviewing the acquired data for accuracy including instrument calibration and efficiency, comparing the data collection methodology and instrumentation prescribed in the Survey Request (SR) with the requirements of the design, and reviewing all surveys to ensure completeness and compliance with the SR. It has been determined that the final data presented from the performance of surveys is accurate, that it is complete with regard to procedural compliance, and that it meets the requirements of the Survey Design in order to satisfy the survey unit release criteria established by the FSSP. The following is a summation of the results from data collection activities and the data validation process.

The highest activity on the 11 static measurement locations was 1,298 dpm/100cm<sup>2</sup> at location SM-4. (See table below)

The following table identifies the systematic measurement locations, the results of those measurements in dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>, and the unity for each measurement based on the stated  $DCGL_W$  for the survey unit in dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>.

| Measurement<br>Location | Measurement<br>DPM/<br>100 cm2 | DCGL=<br>dpm<br>14,600 100cm2<br>% Unity |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| SM1                     | 790                            | 0.0541                                   |
| SM2                     | 645                            | 0.0442                                   |
| SM3                     | 734                            | 0.0503                                   |
| SM4                     | 1298                           | 0.0889                                   |
| SM5                     | 532                            | 0.0364                                   |
| SM6                     | 22                             | 0.0015                                   |
| SM7                     | 215                            | 0.0147                                   |
| SM8                     | 887                            | 0.0608                                   |
| SM9                     | 855                            | 0.0586                                   |
| SM10                    | 733                            | 0.0502                                   |
| SM11                    | 669                            | 0.0458                                   |
| Mean                    | 670.9                          | 0.0460                                   |
| Median                  | 733.0                          | 0.0502                                   |
| St.Deviation            | 338.3                          | 0.0232                                   |

Smears were taken at each of the static measurement locations. All smears were counted on the Tennelec with results below the MDA of 11.30 and 12.37 dpm for alpha and 16.63 and 18.04 dpm for beta. The stated MDA's are less than 10% of the DCGL<sub>w</sub> and therefore appropriate for this survey unit.

Scans were performed on 59.10 m<sup>2</sup> of the survey unit with the 44-116 detector, which represents 100% of the total surface area. No activity above the investigation level was identified on the surface scans performed.

| an a |    |            | Survey | Unit Release R | ecord  |             |
|--|----|------------|--------|----------------|--------|-------------|
| Design #                                 | 19 | Revision # | 0      | Survey Unit #  | CV-3-1 | Page 4 of 4 |

The survey methods and instrumentation used to collect the data were appropriate for both the types of radiation involved and the media being surveyed. Additionally, MDC calculations for scan measurements were based on a movement rate 30 cm/sec. A more conservative rate of 15 cm/sec was employed through the Survey Request to facilitate the scanning technique and to maintain a consistent distance between the detector and the surface of interest.

Quality control measurements verified the original measurements as being accurate and Quality Inspections of the technicians performing the measurements indicate that the data was collected in accordance with the survey design. As a result, there is a high degree of confidence that the data collected accurately reflects the radiological status of this survey unit.

As identified above, all results were less than the  $\text{DCGL}_w$  and therefore the survey unit meets the release criteria.

# 6.0 Evaluations pertaining to compliance with the unrestricted use limit of 25 mr/yr and dose contributions from embedded pipe and radionuclides contributing 10% in aggregate of the total dose.

Compliance with the unrestricted use limit of 25 mr/yr is demonstrated in the above Data Assessment since there is no dose contribution from embedded pipe in this survey unit and the radionuclides contributing 10% in aggregate of the total dose were taken into consideration in the establishment of the DCGL's within the technical basis document PBRF TBD-07-001.

|    | Design #         19         Revision #         0         Page 1 of 1  |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    |                   |
|----|---|---|---|--|---|---|------|--------------------|-------------------|
| S  | urvey Unit #  |   |   |  | CV-3-1  |   |      |                    |                   |
|    |   |   | Pre   | eliminary D                                  | ata Review`   |   |      |                    |                   |
| A  | answers to the f  | ollowing questions s  | hould be full                                   | y documente                                  | ed in the Survey  | Unit Release Record                       | Yes  | No                 | N/A               |
| 1. | Have surveys I  | been performed in acc   | cordance with                                   | survey instru                                | uctions in the Su   | rvey Design?                              | x    |                    |                   |
| 2. | Is the instrume<br>survey units, o  | ntation MDC for struc<br>r below 0.5 DCGL <sub>w</sub> fo               | ture static me<br>or Class 3 sur                | easurements l                                | below the DCGL  | w for Class 1 and 2                       | x    |                    |                   |
| 3. | Is the instrume   | ntation MDC for embe  | edded/buried                                    | piping static i                              | measurements b  | elow the DCGL <sub>W</sub> ?              |      |                    | X                 |
| ł. | Was the instru<br>embedded/bur<br>static measure  | mentation MDC for sti<br>ied piping scan measu<br>ments or soil samples | ructure scan i<br>urements belo<br>addressed in | measurement<br>ow the DCGL<br>n the survey o | ts, soil scan mea<br><sub>w,</sub> or, if not, was<br>design? | surements, and<br>the need for additional | x    |                    |                   |
| 5. | Was the instru  | mentation MDC for vo  | lumetric mea                                    | surements ar                                 | nd smear analysi  | s < 10% DCGL <sub>W</sub> ?               | х    |                    |                   |
| 3. | Were the MDC used to perform  | s and assumptions us<br>n the survey?                                   | sed to develo                                   | p them appro                                 | priate for the ins  | truments and techniques                   | х    |                    |                   |
| 7. | Were the surve<br>media being su  | ey methods used to co<br>urveyed?                                       | ollect data pro                                 | oper for the ty                              | pes of radiation  | involved and for the                      | x    |                    |                   |
| 3. | Were "Special Methods" for data collection properly applied for the survey unit under review?   |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    | X                 |
| ). | Is the data set comprised of qualified measurement results collected in accordance with the survey design, which accurately reflects the radiological status of the facility? |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    |                   |
|    | Graphical Data Review   |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    |                   |
|    | Has a posting plot been created?  |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    | X                 |
| 2. | Has a histogram (or other frequency plot) been created?   |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    | X                 |
| 3. | Have other gra  | phical data tools beer  | n created to a                                  | issist in analy                              | zing the data?  |   |      |                    | X                 |
|    |   |   |   | Data An                                      | alysis  |   |      | •                  |                   |
|    | Are all sample measurements below the DCGL <sub>W</sub> (Class 1 & 2), or 0.5 DCGL <sub>W</sub> (Class 3)?  |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    |                   |
|    | Is the mean of the sample data < DCGL <sub>W</sub> ?  |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    |                   |
| 3. | If elevated area elevated area  | as have been identifie<br>< DCGL <sub>EMC</sub> (Class 1)               | d by scans a<br>,  < DCGL <sub>W</sub> (        | nd/or samplin<br>Class 2), or <              | g, is the average<br><0.5 DCGL <sub>W</sub> (Cla              | e activity in each<br>ass 3)?             |      |                    | x                 |
| ι. | Is the result of  | the Elevated Measure  | ements Test <                                   | < 1.0?                                       |   |   |      |                    | X                 |
| 5. | Is the result of  | the statistical test (S+  | for Sign Tes                                    | t or <b>W</b> r for W                        | RS Test) ≥ the c  | ritical value?                            |      |                    | х                 |
| or | mments:   |   |   |  |   |   |      |                    |                   |
| F  | SS/Characteriza   | ation Engineer (print/si  | ign) R. M                                       | arquette                                     | Better /  | 7   | Date | 3/10               | 8                 |
| F  | SS/ Characteriza  | ation Manager (print/s  | ign)  | R. Case                                      | 11/1/   | 102                                       | Date | 3/0                | de                |
|    |   |   |   |  |   |   |      | For<br>CS-0<br>Rev | rm<br>09/2<br>v 0 |

## Attachment 1 Survey Unit Release Record CV-3-1

The following chart identifies the X and Y coordinates for the measurement locations within the survey unit based on a computer generated random starting point. A CAD drawing of the different areas in the survey unit and a representation of the location of the measurements is provided on the following pages.

| AREA: CV-3-1 Containment Vessel Sub-Pile Room and Hallway<br>Measurement Locations and results |                 |                 |                |                  |        |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| X Co-ord (m)   | Y Co-ord (m)    | Locat<br>DPM/10 | ion /<br>)0cm2 | Туре             | Notes  |  |  |  |
| 0.1  | 0.3             | SM-1            | 790            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 2.5  | 0.3             | SM-2            | 645            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 4.8  | 0.3             | SM-3            | 734            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 7.1  | 0.3             | SM-4            | 1298           | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 9.5  | 0.3             | SM-5            | 532            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| -1.4   | 1.4             | SM-6            | 22             | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 0.9  | 1.4             | SM-7            | 215            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 0.1  | 0.5             | SM-8            | 887            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| -1.0   | 0.5             | SM-9            | 855            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| -0.3   | 3.4             | SM-10           | 733            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| 2.8  | 0.4             | SM-11           | 669            | Systematic       | N/A    |  |  |  |
| * Sample measu   | rements are tak | en from t       | he locat       | ions shown on th | e map. |  |  |  |

