

From: Marie Miller *RI*
To: Marjorie McLaughlin
Date: Mon, Jun 12, 2006 1:57 PM
Subject: Re: whittaker licensing question

Thanks for following up on the review of this matter. I agree with your analysis. Further, the discharge to a body of water is probably covered by DEP, and regardless of our determination, Whittaker will need to meet the required State regulations for a discharge.

As to the inspection, I think this would be a good idea since the scope of the work activity is changing. We can charge the inspection time under reactive.

A1124

Mail Envelope Properties (448DAB05.F72 : 10 : 26185)

Subject: Re: whittaker licensing question
Creation Date Mon, Jun 12, 2006 1:57 PM
From: Marie Miller

Created By: MTM1@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov
kp1_po.KP_DO
MMM3 (Marjorie McLaughlin)

Post Office

kp1_po.KP_DO

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	893	Monday, June 12, 2006 1:57 PM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard