RAS K-1

DOCKETED USNRC

March 19, 2008 (3:21pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of:

Shaw AREVA MOX Services, LLC

(Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility

Possession and Use License)

March 19, 2008

Docket No. 70-3098

ASLBP No. 07-856-02-MLA-BD01

SHAW AREVA MOX SERVICES, LLC'S ANSWER TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' <u>REQUEST REGARDING SUSPENSION OF CONSTRUCTION</u>

In a March 12, 2008 electronic mail message from the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board in the above-captioned proceeding,¹ Petitioners were authorized to file a reply to Shaw Areva MOX Services' (MOX Services) and the NRC Staff's Answers on Latefiled Contention 7, in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 2 (Contention 7 Reply).

On March 14, 2008, counsel for Petitioners submitted the referenced Contention 7 Reply,² and also submitted both a "Motion for Leave to Reply"³ to the previous pleadings

E-mail from M. Farrar (NRC) to various addressees, re. "Next MOX Filing" (Mar. 12, 2008).

1-WA/2943632

Jemplete Sery- 037

Secy-02

Intervenors' Response to Shaw AREVA MOX Services' and the NRC Staff's Oppositions to Admission of Contention 7 (Mar. 14, 2008).

Intervenors' Motion for Leave to Reply to Shaw AREVA MOX Services' and NRC Staff's Oppositions to Intervenors' Request to ASLB to Request NRC Commissioners to Suspend Construction of Proposed MOX Plutonium Processing Facility (Mar. 14, 2008) (Petitioners' Motion).

relating to their request that construction of the Mixed-Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility (MFFF) be suspended, and an accompanying substantive reply.⁴

MOX Services fundamentally disagrees with Petitioners' characterization that there are "compelling" circumstances warranting the submittal of Petitioners' Reply "because they could not have anticipated arguments by Shaw AREVA and the NRC Staff." Petitioners' Motion at 2. Petitioners seek to suspend the existing Construction Authorization that was approved by another Licensing Board in another, now terminated proceeding. Petitioners' experienced nuclear regulatory counsel should have recognized that Petitioners were effectively seeking a stay of that authorization and that MOX Services and the NRC Staff would argue that the Construction Authorization decision has been made and is not before this Board (which has been given the jurisdiction to rule on the application for a possession and use license, <u>not</u> whether construction should proceed).

Petitioners also should have realized that MOX Services and the NRC Staff would cite previous Commission decisions on the Construction Authorization Request that addressed very similar issues – issues that were raised at the time by the same organizations (GANE, the predecessor to NWS, and BREDL). The arguments referenced by Petitioners in their Motion clearly should have been anticipated by Petitioners in filing their original request.

Therefore, Shaw AREVA respectfully requests that Petitioners' Motion be denied.

2

Intervenors' Reply to Shaw AREVA MOX Services' and NRC Staff's Oppositions to Intervenors' Request to ASLB to Request NRC Commissioners to Suspend Construction of Proposed MOX Plutonium Processing Facility (Mar. 14, 2008) (Petitioners' Reply)

If Petitioners' Motion is granted, Shaw AREVA requests the right to respond to

3

Petitioners' Reply.

Respectfully_submitted,

Donald J. Silverman, Esq. Alvin H.Gutterman, Esq. Vincent C. Zabielski, Esq. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 Phone (202) 739-5502 E-mail: <u>dsilverman@morganlewis.com</u>

COUNSEL FOR SHAW AREVA MOX SERVICES, LLC

1-WA/2943632

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges: Michael C. Farrar, Chairman Lawrence G. McDade Dr. Nicholas G. Trikouros

In the Matter of

Shaw AREVA MOX Services, LLC (Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Possession and Use License) March 19, 2008

Docket No. 70-3098

ASLBP No. 07-856-02-MLA-BD01

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "Shaw AREVA MOX Services LLC's Answer To Motion For Leave To Reply To Opposition To Petitioners' Request Regarding Suspension of Construction" were served upon the persons listed below, by e-mail and first class mail, this 19th day of March, 2008.

Administrative Judge Michael C. Farrar, Chair Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-Mail: mcf@nrc.gov)

Andrea Z. Jones Marcia J. Simon Office of General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop: 0-15 D21 Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>axj4@nrc.gov</u>, <u>mjs5@nrc.gov</u>) Administrative Judge Dr. Nicholas G. Trikouros Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: ngt@nrc.gov)

Administrative Judge Lawrence G. McDade Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-Mail: <u>lgm1@nrc.gov</u>)

1-WA/2944918.1 3/19/08 Secretary of the Commission^{*} Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudication Staff U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop: 0-16C1 Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: hearingdocket@nrc.gov)

Patricia Harich ASLBP Program Analyst Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>pah@nrc.gov</u>) Marcia Carpentier Law Clerk Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 (E-mail: <u>mxc7@nrc.gov</u>)

Diane Curran Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, LLP 1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (E-mail: dcurran@harmoncurran.com)

Donald J. Silverman, Esq. Counsel for Shaw AREVA MOX Services, LLC