

BRUCE H HAMILTON

Vice President McGuire Nuclear Station

Duke Energy Corporation MG01VP / 12700 Hagers Ferry Road Huntersville, NC 28078

704-875-5333 704-875-4809 fax bhhamilton@duke-energy.com

March 4, 2008

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject:

Duke Power Company LLC d/b/a

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke)

McGuire Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-370

Unit 2, Cycle 19, Revision 0 Core Operating Limits Report

Pursuant to McGuire Technical Specification (TS) 5.6.5.d, please find enclosed Revision 0 of the McGuire Unit 2 Cycle 19 Core Operating Limits Report (COLR). This revision will become effective prior to entering Mode 6 which begins Cycle 19.

Questions regarding this submittal should be directed to Kay Crane, McGuire Regulatory Compliance at (704) 875-4306.

Bruce H. Hamilton

Attachment

A001

LIRR

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission March 4, 2008 Page 2

cc: Mr. John Stang, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Washington, D.C. 20555

> Mr. Victor McCree, Acting Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth St., SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, GA 30323

Mr. Joe Brady Senior Resident Inspector McGuire Nuclear Station

McGuire Unit 2 Cycle 19

Core Operating Limits Report

Revision 0 February 2008

Calculation Number: MCC-1553.05-00-0478 (Rev. 0)

Duke Energy

Date

Checked By:

Checked By:

Prepared By:

Approved By:

QA Condition 1

The information presented in this report has been prepared and issued in accordance with McGuire Technical Specification 5.6.5.

INSPECTION OF ENGINEERING INSTRUCTIONS

Inspection Waived By:	RCT	Hawey	Date: 2/21/08
(Sponsor)	U	/ / -
		<u>CATAWBA</u>	(
	Inspection Waived		\$.
MCE (Mechanical & Civil) RES (Electrical Only) RES (Reactor) MOD Other ()		Inspected By/Date: Inspected By/Date:	
		OCONEE	
	Inspection Waived		
MCE (Mechanical & Civil) RES (Electrical Only) RES (Reactor) MOD Other ()		Inspected By/Date:	
MCE (Mechanical & Civil)	Inspection Waived	MCGUIRE Inspected By/Date:	
RES (Electrical Only) RES (Reactor) MOD Other ()		Inspected By/Date: Inspected By/Date:	

Implementation Instructions For Revision 0

Revision Description and PIP Tracking

Revision 0 of the McGuire Unit 2 COLR contains limits specific to the McGuire 2 Cycle 19 reload core. There is no PIP associated with this revision.

Implementation Schedule

Revision 0 may become effective any time during No Mode between Cycles 18 and 19 but <u>must</u> become effective prior to entering Mode 6, which starts Cycle 19.

The McGuire Unit 2 Cycle 19 COLR will cease to be effective during No MODE between Cycle 19 and 20.

Data files to be Implemented

No data files are transmitted as part of this document.

REVISION LOG

Revision	Effective Date	Pages Affected	COLR
0	February 2008	1-32, Appendix A*	M2C19 COLR, Rev. 0

Appendix A contains power distribution monitoring factors used in Technical Specification Surveillance. Appendix A is included only in the electronic COLR copy sent to the NRC.

1.0 Core Operating Limits Report

This Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Technical Specification 5.6.5. The Technical Specifications that reference the COLR are summarized below.

<u>TS</u> <u>Number</u>	Technical Specifications	COLR Parameter	COLR Section	EI <u>Page</u>
1.1	Requirements for Operational Mode 6	Mode 6 Definition	2.1	9
2.1.1	Reactor Core Safety Limits	RCS Temperature and	2.2	9
		Pressure Safety Limits		
3.1.1	Shutdown Margin	Shutdown Margin	2.3	9
3.1.3	Moderator Temperature Coefficient	MTC	2.4	11
3.1.4	Rod Group Alignment Limits	Shutdown Margin	2.3	9
3.1.5	Shutdown Bank Insertion Limits	Shutdown Margin	2.3	9
3.1.5	Shutdown Bank Insertion Limits	Shutdown Bank Insertion	2.5	11
		Limit		
3.1.6	Control Bank Insertion Limits	Shutdown Margin	2.3	9
3.1.6	Control Bank Insertion Limits	Control Bank Insertion	2.6	11
		Limit		
3.1.8	Physics Tests Exceptions	Shutdown Margin	2.3	9
3.2.1	Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor	Fq, AFD, OTΔT and	2.7	15
		Penalty Factors		
3.2.2	Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel	FΔH, AFD and	2.8	20
	Factor	Penalty Factors		
3.2.3	Axial Flux Difference	AFD	2.9	21
3.3.1	Reactor Trip System Instrumentation	OTΔT and OPΔT	2.10	24
		Constants		
3.4.1	RCS Pressure, Temperature, and Flow	RCS Pressure,	2.11	26 .
	DNB limits	Temperature and Flow		•
3.5.1	Accumulators	Max and Min Boron Conc.	2.12	26
3.5.4	Refueling Water Storage Tank	Max and Min Boron Conc.	2.13	26
3.7.14	Spent Fuel Pool Boron Concentration	Min Boron Concentration	2.14	28
3.9.1	Refueling Operations – Boron	Min Boron Concentration	2.15	28
	Concentration		,.	
5.6.5	Core Operating Limits Report (COLR)	Analytical Methods	1.1	6
		•		

The Selected Licensee Commitments that reference this report are listed below:

SLC Number	Selected Licensing Commitment	COLR Parameter	COLR Section	EI <u>Page</u>
16.9.14	Borated Water Source - Shutdown	Borated Water Volume and Conc. for BAT/RWST	2.16	29,
16.9.11	Borated Water Source – Operating	Borated Water Volume and Conc. for BAT/RWST	2.17	30

1.1 Analytical Methods

The analytical methods used to determine core operating limits for parameters identified in Technical Specifications and previously reviewed and approved by the NRC, as specified in Technical Specification 5.6.5, are as follows.

1. WCAP-9272-P-A, "Westinghouse Reload Safety Evaluation Methodology," (W Proprietary).

Revision 0

Report Date: July 1985 Not Used for M2C19

2. WCAP-10054-P-A, "Westinghouse Small Break ECCS Evaluation Model using the NOTRUMP Code, " (W Proprietary).

Revision 0

Report Date: August 1985

3. WCAP-10266-P-A, "The 1981 Version Of Westinghouse Evaluation Model Using BASH Code", (W Proprietary).

Revision 2

Report Date: March 1987 Not Used for M2C19

4. WCAP-12945-P-A, Volume 1 and Volumes 2-5, "Code Qualification Document for Best-Estimate Loss of Coolant Analysis," (W Proprietary).

Revision: Volume 1 (Revision 2) and Volumes 2-5 (Revision 1)

Report Date: March 1998

5. BAW-10168P-A, "B&W Loss-of-Coolant Accident Evaluation Model for Recirculating Steam Generator Plants," (B&W Proprietary).

Revision 1

SER Date: January 22, 1991

Revision 2

SER Dates: August 22, 1996 and November 26, 1996.

Revision 3

SER Date: June 15, 1994. Not Used for M2C19

6. DPC-NE-3000PA, "Thermal-Hydraulic Transient Analysis Methodology," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 3

SER Date: September 24, 2003

1.1 Analytical Methods (continued)

7. DPC-NE-3001PA, "Multidimensional Reactor Transients and Safety Analysis Physics Parameter Methodology," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 0

Report Date: November 15, 1991 (Republished December 2000)

8. DPC-NE-3002A, "FSAR Chapter 15 System Transient Analysis Methodology".

Revision 4

SER Date: April 6, 2001

9. DPC-NE-2004P-A, "Duke Power Company McGuire and Catawba Nuclear Stations Core Thermal-Hydraulic Methodology using VIPRE-01," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 1

SER Date: February 20, 1997

10. DPC-NE-2005P-A, "Thermal Hydraulic Statistical Core Design Methodology," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 3

SER Date: September 16, 2002

11. DPC-NE-2008P-A, "Fuel Mechanical Reload Analysis Methodology Using TACO3," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 0

SER Date: April 3, 1995 Not Used for M2C19

12. DPC-NE-2009-P-A, "Westinghouse Fuel Transition Report," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 2

SER Date: December 18, 2002

13. DPC-NE-1004A, "Nuclear Design Methodology Using CASMO-3/SIMULATE-3P."

Revision 1

SER Date: April 26, 1996 Not Used for M2C19

1.1 Analytical Methods (continued)

14. DPC-NF-2010A, "Duke Power Company McGuire Nuclear Station Catawba Nuclear Station Nuclear Physics Methodology for Reload Design."

Revision 2

SER Date: June 24, 2003

15. DPC-NE-2011PA, "Duke Power Company Nuclear Design Methodology for Core Operating Limits of Westinghouse Reactors," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 1

SER Date: October 1, 2002

16. DPC-NE-1005-P-A, "Nuclear Design Methodology Using CASMO-4 / SIMULATE-3 MOX," (DPC Proprietary).

Revision 0

SER Date: August 20, 2004

2.0 Operating Limits

The cycle-specific parameter limits for the specifications listed in Section 1.0 are presented in the following subsections. These limits have been developed using the NRC approved methodologies specified in Section 1.1.

2.1 Requirements for Operational Mode 6

The following condition is required for operational mode 6.

2.1.1 The Reactivity Condition requirement for operational mode 6 is that k_{eff} must be less than, or equal to 0.95.


2.2 Reactor Core Safety Limits (TS 2.1.1)

2.2.1 The Reactor Core Safety Limits are shown in Figure 1.

2.3 Shutdown Margin - SDM (TS 3.1.1, TS 3.1.4, TS 3.1.5, TS 3.1.6 and TS 3.1.8)

- 2.3.1 For TS 3.1.1, SDM shall be \geq 1.3% Δ K/K in mode 2 with k-eff < 1.0 and in modes 3 and 4.
- 2.3.2 For TS 3.1.1, SDM shall be $\geq 1.0\% \Delta K/K$ in mode 5.
- 2.3.3 For TS 3.1.4, SDM shall be \geq 1.3% Δ K/K in modes 1 and 2.
- 2.3.4 For TS 3.1.5, SDM shall be \geq 1.3% Δ K/K in mode 1 and mode 2 with any control bank not fully inserted.
- 2.3.5 For TS 3.1.6, SDM shall be $\geq 1.3\% \Delta K/K$ in mode 1 and mode 2 with K-eff ≥ 1.0 .
- 2.3.6 For TS 3.1.8, SDM shall be $\geq 1.3\%$ Δ K/K in mode 2 during Physics Testing.

Figure 1
Reactor Core Safety Limits
Four Loops in Operation



2.4 Moderator Temperature Coefficient - MTC (TS 3.1.3)

2.4.1 The Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC) Limits are:

The MTC shall be less positive than the upper limits shown in Figure 2. The BOC, ARO, HZP MTC shall be less positive than $0.7E-04 \Delta K/K/^{\circ}F$.

The EOC, ARO, RTP MTC shall be less negative than the -4.3E-04 Δ K/K/°F lower MTC limit.

2.4.2 The 300 ppm MTC Surveillance Limit is:

The measured 300 PPM ARO, equilibrium RTP MTC shall be less negative than or equal to $-3.65E-04 \Delta K/K/^{\circ}F$.

2.4.3 The 60 PPM MTC Surveillance Limit is:

The 60 PPM ARO, equilibrium RTP MTC shall be less negative than or equal to -4.125E-04 ΔΚ/Κ/°F.

Where,

BOC = Beginning of Cycle (Burnup corresponding to the most positive MTC)

EOC = End of Cycle

ARO = All Rods Out

HZP = Hot Zero Power

RTP = Rated Thermal Power

PPM = Parts per million (Boron)

2.5 Shutdown Bank Insertion Limit (TS 3.1.5)


2.5.1 Each shutdown bank shall be withdrawn to at least 222 steps. Shutdown banks are withdrawn in sequence and with no overlap.

2.6 Control Bank Insertion Limits (TS 3.1.6)

2.6.1 Control banks shall be within the insertion, sequence, and overlap limits shown in Figure 3. Specific control bank withdrawal and overlap limits as a function of the fully withdrawn position are shown in Table 1.

Figure 2


Moderator Temperature Coefficient Upper Limit Versus Power Level



NOTE: Compliance with Technical Specification 3.1.3 may require rod withdrawal limits. Refer to OP/2/A/6100/22 Unit 2 Data Book for details.

Figure 3

Control Bank Insertion Limits Versus Percent Rated Thermal Power



The Rod Insertion Limits (RIL) for Control Bank D (CD), Control Bank C (CC), and Control Bank B (CB) can be calculated by:

Bank CD RIL =
$$2.3(P) - 69 \{30 \le P \le 100\}$$

Bank CC RIL = $2.3(P) + 47 \{0 \le P \le 80\}$
Bank CB RIL = $2.3(P) + 163 \{0 \le P \le 29.6\}$

where P = %Rated Thermal Power

NOTE: Compliance with Technical Specification 3.1.3 may require rod withdrawal limits. Refer to OP/2/A/6100/22 Unit 2 Data Book for details.

Table 1 RCCA Withdrawal Steps and Sequence

F.A	Iv Withdoo	at 222	Ctone			11 37743 3		C4
Control	ly Withdra Control			•	Control		Control	Control
Bank A		Bank C	Bank D		Bank A		Bank C	Bank D
				• .			- Duik C	Dunin D
0 Start	0	0	0		0 Start	0	0	0
116	0 Start	0	0		116	0 Start	0	0 -
222 Stop	106	0	0		223 Stop	107	0	0
222	116	0 Start	0		223	116	0 Start	0
222	222 Stop	106	0		223	223 Stop	107	0
222	222	116	0 Start		223	223	116	0 Start
222	222	222 Stop	106		223	223	223 Stop	107
Full	y Withdra	wn at 224 :	Stens		Fo	lly Withdra	wn at 225 S	Stens
	Control		Control	•	Control		Control	Control
Bank A	Bank B		Bank D		Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D
				•				
0 Start	0	0	0		0 Start	0	0	0.
116	0 Start	0	0		116	0 Start	0	0
224 Stop	108	0	0		225 Stop	109	0	0
224	116	0 Start	0		. 225	116	0 Start	0
224	224 Stop	108	0		225	225 Stop	109	0
224	224	116	0 Start		225	225	116	0 Start
224	224	224 Stop	108	_	225	225	225 Stop	109
Full	y Withdra	wn at 226 S	Stens		Feel	lly Withdra	wn at 227 S	tens
Control			Control	-	Control	Control	Control	Control
Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D	. /	Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D
Dank A	Dank	Dank	Dauk D	-	Dank A	Dauk D	Bank C	Dank D
0 Start	0	0 -	0		0 Start	. 0	0	0
116	0 Start	Ö	Ö	•	116	0 Start	0	0 .
226 Stop	110	0	0			-	0	0
220 Stop	116	0 Start	0		227 Stop	111		
226	226 Stop	110	0		227	116	0 Start	0
226	220 Stop	116	0 Start		227	227 Stop	111	-
226	226	226 Stop	110	,	227	227	116	0 Start
220	220	220 Stop	110	-	227	227	227 Stop	111
Fully	Withdray	vn at 228 S	tepş		Full	ly Withdra	wn at 229 S	teps .
Control	Control	Control	Control	_	Control	Control	Control	Control
Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D	_	Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D
0 Start	0	. 0	. 0		0 Start	0	0	0.
116	0 Start	Ö	Ō		116	0 Start	0	0
228 Stop	112	ō	Ö		229 Stop	113	0	Õ
228	116	0 Start	ŏ		229	116	0 Start	Ö
228	228 Stop	112	Ö		229	229 Stop	113	ő
228	228	116	0 Start		229	229 Stop 229	116	0 Start
228	228	228 Stop	112		229	229	229 Stop	113
		220 Otop		_	227		229 Stop	113
Fully	Withdraw	vn at 230 S	teps		Full	y Withdrav	vn at 231 St	eps
Control	Control	Control	Control	_	Control	Control	Control	Control
Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D		Bank A	Bank B	Bank C	Bank D
				_				
0 Start	0	0	0		0 Start	0	0	0
116	0 Start	. 0	0		116	0 Start	0	0
230 Stop	114	0	0		231 Stop	115	0	0
230	116	0 Start	0		231	116	0 Start	0
230	230 Stop	114	0		231	231 Stop	115	0
230	230	116 '	0 Start		231	231	116	0 Start
230	230	230 Stop	114		231	231	231 Stop'	115

- 2.7 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor $F_0(X,Y,Z)$ (TS 3.2.1)
 - **2.7.1** $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ steady-state limits are defined by the following relationships:

$$F_Q^{RTP} *K(Z)/P$$
 for $P > 0.5$
 $F_Q^{RTP} *K(Z)/0.5$ for $P \le 0.5$

where,

P = (Thermal Power)/(Rated Power)

Note: The measured $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ shall be increased by 3% to account for manufacturing tolerances and 5% to account for measurement uncertainty when comparing against the LCO limits. The manufacturing tolerance and measurement uncertainty are implicitly included in the F_Q surveillance limits as defined in COLR Sections 2.7.5 and 2.7.6.

- **2.7.2** $F_Q^{RTP} = 2.60 \text{ x K(BU)}$
- 2.7.3 K(Z) is the normalized $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ as a function of core height. The K(Z) function for Westinghouse RFA fuel is provided in Figure 4.
- 2.7.4 K(BU) is the normalized $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ as a function of burnup. K(BU) for Westinghouse RFA fuel is 1.0 for all burnups.

The following parameters are required for core monitoring per the Surveillance Requirements of Technical Specification 3.2.1:

2.7.5
$$F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{OP} = \frac{F_Q^D(X,Y,Z) * M_Q(X,Y,Z)}{UMT * MT * TILT}$$

where:

 $F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{OP}$ = Cycle dependent maximum allowable design peaking factor that ensures the $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ LOCA limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits. $F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{OP}$ includes allowances for calculation and measurement uncertainties.

 $F_Q^D(X,Y,Z)$ = Design power distribution for F_Q . $F_Q^D(X,Y,Z)$ is provided in Appendix Table A-1 for normal operating conditions, and in

Appendix Table A-4 for power escalation testing during initial startup operation.

 $M_Q(X,Y,Z)$ = Margin remaining in core location X,Y,Z to the LOCA limit in the transient power distribution. $M_Q(X,Y,Z)$ is provided in Appendix Table A-1 for normal operating conditions, and in Appendix Table A-4 for power escalation testing during initial startup operation.

UMT = Total Peak Measurement Uncertainty. (UMT = 1.05)

MT = Engineering Hot Channel Factor. (MT = 1.03)

TILT = Peaking penalty that accounts for the peaking increase from an allowable quadrant power tilt ratio of 1.02. (TILT = 1.035)

2.7.6
$$F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{RPS} = \frac{F_Q^D(X,Y,Z) * M_C(X,Y,Z)}{UMT * MT * TILT}$$

where:

 $F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{RPS} = \qquad \text{Cycle dependent maximum allowable design peaking factor} \\ \text{that ensures the } F_Q(X,Y,Z) \text{ Centerline Fuel Melt (CFM) limit} \\ \text{will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits.} \\ F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{RPS} \text{ includes allowances for calculation and} \\ \text{measurement uncertainties.}$

 $F_Q^D(X,Y,Z)$ = Design power distributions for F_Q . $F_Q^D(X,Y,Z)$ is provided in Appendix Table A-1 for normal operating conditions, and in Appendix Table A-4 for power escalation testing during initial startup operation.

 $M_C(X,Y,Z)$ = Margin remaining to the CFM limit in core location X,Y,Z in the transient power distribution. $M_C(X,Y,Z)$ is provided in Appendix Table A-2 for normal operating conditions, and in Appendix Table A-5 for power escalation testing during initial startup operation.

UMT = Total Peak Measurement Uncertainty (UMT = 1.05)

MT = Engineering Hot Channel Factor (MT = 1.03)

TILT = Peaking penalty that accounts for the peaking increase from an allowable quadrant power tilt ratio of 1.02. (TILT = 1.035)


2.7.7 KSLOPE = 0.0725

where:

KSLOPE is the adjustment to the K_1 value from the OT Δ T trip setpoint required to compensate for each 1% that $F_Q^M(X,Y,Z)$ exceeds $F_Q^L(X,Y,Z)^{RPS}$.

2.7.8 $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ penalty factors for Technical Specification Surveillance's 3.2.1.2 and 3.2.1.3 are provided in Table 2.

Figure 4 K(Z), Normalized $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ as a Function of Core Height for Westinghouse RFA Fuel



 $Table\ 2$ $F_Q(X,Y,Z)\ and\ F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)\ Penalty\ Factors$ For Technical Specification Surveillance's 3.2.1.2, 3.2.1.3 and 3.2.2.2

Burnup (EFPD)	F _Q (X,Y,Z) Penalty Factor (%)	F _{ΔH} (X,Y,Z) Penalty Factor (%)
0	2.00	2.00
4	2.00	2.00
12	2.00	2.00
25	2.00	2.00
50 .	2.00	2.00
75	2.00	2.00
100	2.00	2.00
125	2.00	2.00
150	2.00	2.00
175	2.00	2.00
200	2.00	2.00
225	2.00	2.00
250	2.00	2.00
275	2.00	2.00
300	2.00	2.00
325	2.00	2.00
350	2.00	2.00
375	2.00	2.00
400	2.00	2.00
425	2.00	2.00
445	2.00	2.00
470	2.00	2.00
488	2.00	2.00
498	2.00	2.00
513	2.00	2.00

Note: Linear interpolation is adequate for intermediate cycle burnups. All cycle burnups outside of the range of the table shall use a 2% penalty factor for both $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ and $F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ for compliance with the Technical Specification Surveillances 3.2.1.2, 3.2.1.3 and 3.2.2.2.

2.8 Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor - $F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ (TS 3.2.2)

The $F_{\Delta H}$ steady-state limits referred to in Technical Specification 3.2.2 is defined by the following relationship.

2.8.1
$$F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)^{LCO} = MARP(X,Y) * \left[1.0 + \frac{1}{RRH} * (1.0 - P) \right]$$

where:

 $F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)^{LCO}$ is defined as the steady-state, maximum allowed radial peak. $F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)^{LCO}$ includes allowances for calculation/measurement uncertainty.

MARP(X,Y) = Cycle-specific operating limit Maximum Allowable Radial Peaks. MARP(X,Y) radial peaking limits are provided in Table 3.

 $P = \frac{\text{Thermal Power}}{\text{Rated Thermal Power}}$

RRH = Thermal Power reduction required to compensate for each 1% that the measured radial peak, $F_{\Delta H}^{M}(X,Y)$, exceeds its limit. RRH also is used to scale the MARP limits as a function of power per the $[F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)]^{LCO}$ equation. (RRH = 3.34 (0.0 < P < 1.0))

The following parameters are required for core monitoring per the Surveillance requirements of Technical Specification 3.2.2.

2.8.2
$$F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)^{SURV} = \frac{F_{\Delta H}^{D}(X,Y) \times M_{\Delta H}(X,Y)}{UMR \times TILT}$$

where:

 $F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)^{SURV} = C$ ycle dependent maximum allowable design peaking factor that ensures the $F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits. $F_{\Delta H}^{L}(X,Y)^{SURV}$ includes allowances for calculation/measurement uncertainty.

- $F_{\Delta H}^{D}(X,Y)$ = Design radial power distribution for $F_{\Delta H}$. $F_{\Delta H}^{D}(X,Y)$ is provided in Appendix Table A-3 for normal operation, and in Appendix Table A-6 for power escalation testing during initial startup operation.
- $M_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ = The margin remaining in core location X,Y relative to the Operational DNB limits in the transient power distribution. $M_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ is provided in Appendix Table A-3 for normal operation, and in Appendix Table A-6 for power escalation testing during initial startup operation.
 - UMR = Uncertainty value for measured radial peaks. UMR is set to 1.0 since a factor of 1.04 is implicitly included in the variable $M_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$.
 - TILT = Peaking penalty that accounts for the peaking increase for an allowable quadrant power tilt ratio of 1.02 (TILT = 1.035).

2.8.3 RRH = 3.34

where:

RRH = Thermal power reduction required to compensate for each 1% that the measured radial peak, $F_{AH}^{M}(X,Y)$ exceeds its limit. $(0 < P \le 1.0)$

2.8.4 TRH = 0.04

where:

- TRH = Reduction in the OT Δ T K₁ setpoint required to compensate for each 1% that the measured radial peak, $F_{\Delta H}^{M}(X,Y)$ exceeds its limit.
- **2.8.5** $F_{\Delta H}$ (X,Y) penalty factors for Technical Specification Surveillance 3.2.2.2 are provided in Table 2.

2.9 Axial Flux Difference – AFD (TS 3.2.3)

2.9.1 The Axial Flux Difference (AFD) Limits are provided in Figure 5.


Table 3
Maximum Allowable Radial Peaks (MARPS)

RFA MARPS

Core					A	xial Pea	ık			٠.			
Ht (ft.)	<u>1.05</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>1.8</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>3.25</u>
0.12	1.809	1.855	1.949	1.995	1.974	2.107	2.050	2.009	1.933	1.863	1.778	1 2 1 5	1.246
0.12	1.609	1.055	1.242	1.775	1.774	2.107	2.030	2.009	1.755	1.003	1.770	1.515	1.240
1.2	1.810	1.854	1.940	1.995	1.974	2.107	2.019	1.978	1.901	1.831	1.785	1.301	1.224
2.4	1.809	1.853	1.931	1.978	1.974	2.074	1.995	1.952	1.876	1.805	1.732	1.463	1.462
3.6	1.810	1.851	1.920	1.964	1.974	2.050	1.966	1.926	1.852	1.786	1.700	1.468	1.387
4.8	1.810	1.851	1.906	1.945	1.974	2.006	1.944	1.923	1.854	1.784	1.671	1.299	1.258
6.0	1.810	1.851	1.892	1.921	1.946	1.934	1.880	1.863	1.802	1.747	1.671	1.329	1.260
7.2	1.807	1.844	1.872	1.893	1.887	1.872	1.809	1.787	1.733	1.681	1.598	1.287	1.220
8.4	1.807	1.832	1.845	1.857	1.816	1.795	1.736	1.709	1.654	1.601	1.513	1.218	1.158
9.6	1.807	1.810	1.809	1.791	1.738	1.718	1.657	1.635	1.581	1.530	1.444	1.143	1.091
10.8	1.798	1.787	1.761	1.716	1.654	1.632	1.574	1.557	1.509	1.462	1.383	1.101	1.047
11.4	1.789	1.765	1.725	1.665	1.606	1.583	1.529	1.510	1.464	1.422	1.346	1.067	1.014

Figure 5

Percent of Rated Thermal Power Versus Percent Axial Flux Difference Limits



NOTE: Compliance with Technical Specification 3.2.1 may require more restrictive AFD limits. Refer to OP/2/A/6100/22 Unit 2 Data Book for more details.

2.10 Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Setpoints (TS 3.3.1) Table 3.3.1-1

2.10.1 Overtemperature ΔT Setpoint Parameter Values

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Value</u>
Nominal Tavg at RTP	T' ≤ 585.1°F
Nominal RCS Operating Pressure	P' = 2235 psig
Overtemperature ΔT reactor trip setpoint	$K_1 \leq 1.1978$
Overtemperature ΔT reactor trip heatup setpoint penalty coefficient	$K_2 = 0.0334/^{\circ}F$
Overtemperature ΔT reactor trip depressurization setpoint penalty coefficient	K ₃ = 0.001601/psi
Time constants utilized in the lead-lag compensator	$\tau_1 \ge 8$ sec.
for ΔT	$\tau_2 \leq 3$ sec.
Time constant utilized in the lag compensator for ΔT	$\tau_3 \leq 2$ sec.
Time constants utilized in the lead-lag compensator	$\tau_4 \ge 28$ sec.
for T _{avg}	$\tau_5 \leq 4 \text{ sec.}$
Time constant utilized in the measured T_{avg} lag compensator	$\tau_6 \le 2$ sec.
$f_1(\Delta I)$ "positive" breakpoint	= 19.0 %ΔI
$f_1(\Delta I)$ "negative" breakpoint	= N/A*
$f_1(\Delta I)$ "positive" slope	$= 1.769 \% \Delta T_0 / \% \Delta I$
$f_1(\Delta I)$ "negative" slope	= N/A*

^{*} The f₁(ΔI) "negative" breakpoints and the f₁(ΔI) "negative" slope are less restrictive than the OPΔT f₂(ΔI) negative breakpoint and slope. Therefore, during a transient which challenges the negative imbalance limits, the OPΔT f₂(ΔI) limits will result in a reactor trip before the OTΔT f₁(ΔI) limits are reached. This makes implementation of the OTΔT f₁(ΔI) negative breakpoint and slope unnecessary.

2.10.2 Overpower ΔT Setpoint Parameter Values

<u>Parameter</u>	Value
Nominal Tavg at RTP	T'' ≤ 585.1°F
Overpower ΔT reactor trip setpoint	$K_4 \le 1.0864$
Overpower ΔT reactor trip Penalty	$K_5 = 0.02$ /°F for increasing Tavg $K_5 = 0.0$ for decreasing Tavg
Overpower ΔT reactor trip heatup setpoint penalty coefficient	$K_6 = 0.001179/^{\circ}F \text{ for } T > T''$ $K_6 = 0.0 \text{ for } T \le T''$
Time constants utilized in the lead-lag compensator for ΔT	$\tau_1 \ge 8 \text{ sec.}$ $\tau_2 \le 3 \text{ sec.}$
Time constant utilized in the lag compensator for ΔT	$\tau_3 \leq 2$ sec.
Time constant utilized in the measured T _{avg} lag compensator	$\tau_6 \le 2$ sec.
Time constant utilized in the rate-lag controller for T_{avg}	$\tau_7 \ge 5$ sec.
$f_2(\Delta I)$ "positive" breakpoint	$= 35.0 \% \Delta I$
$f_2(\Delta I)$ "negative" breakpoint	$= -35.0 \% \Delta I$
$f_2(\Delta I)$ "positive" slope	$=7.0~\%\Delta T_0/~\%\Delta I$
$f_2(\Delta I)$ "negative" slope	$=7.0 \%\Delta T_0 / \%\Delta I$

- 2.11 RCS Pressure, Temperature and Flow Limits for DNB (TS 3.4.1)
 - 2.11.1 The RCS pressure, temperature and flow limits for DNB are shown in Table 4.
- 2.12 Accumulators (TS 3.5.1)
 - 2.12.1 Boron concentration limits during modes 1 and 2, and mode 3 with RCS pressure >1000 psi:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Limit</u>
Cold Leg Accumulator minimum boron concentration.	2,475 ppm
Cold Leg Accumulator maximum boron concentration.	2,875 ppm

- 2.13 Refueling Water Storage Tank RWST (TS 3.5.4)
 - **2.13.1** Boron concentration limits during modes 1, 2, 3, and 4:

<u>Parameter</u>		<u>Limit</u>
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum boron concentration.		2,675 ppm
Refueling Water Storage Tank maximum boron concentration.	٠,	2,875 ppm

Table 4

Reactor Coolant System DNB Parameters

		No. Operable	<u> </u>
Parameter	Indication	Channels	Limits
1. Indicated RCS Average Temperature	meter	4	≤ 587.2 °F
And the second s	meter	3	≤ 586.9 °F
\mathcal{L}_{i}			,
	computer	4	≤587.7 °F
	computer	3	≤ 587.5 °F
	-		
2. Indicated Pressurizer Pressure	meter	4	\geq 2219.8 psig
	meter	3	> 2222.1 psig
	computer	4	\geq 2215.8 psig
	computer	3	\geq 2217.5 psig
•	*		
3. RCS Total Flow Rate			≥ 388,000 gpm
		•	

2.14 Spent Fuel Pool Boron Concentration (TS 3.7.14)

2.14.1 Minimum boron concentration limit for the spent fuel pool. Applicable when fuel assemblies are stored in the spent fuel pool.

Parameter

Limit

Spent fuel pool minimum boron concentration.

2,675 ppm

2.15 Refueling Operations - Boron Concentration (TS 3.9.1)

2.15.1 Minimum boron concentration limit for the filled portions of the Reactor Coolant System, refueling canal, and refueling cavity for mode 6 conditions. The minimum boron concentration limit and plant refueling procedures ensure that the Keff of the core will remain within the mode 6 reactivity requirement of Keff ≤ 0.95.

Parameter

Limit

Minimum Boron concentration of the Reactor Coolant System, the refueling canal, and the refueling cavity.

2,675 ppm

2.16 Borated Water Source – Shutdown (SLC 16.9.14)

2.16.1 Volume and boron concentrations for the Boric Acid Tank (BAT) and the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) during mode 4 with any RCS cold leg temperature ≤ 300 °F and modes 5 and 6.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Limit</u>
Boric Acid Tank minimum contained borated water volume	10,599 gallons 13.6% Level

Note: When cycle burnup is > 460 EFPD, Figure 6 may be used to determine the required BAT minimum level.

Boric Acid Tank minimum boron concentration	7,000 ppm	
Boric Acid Tank minimum water volume required to maintain SDM at 7,000 ppm	2,300 gallons	
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum contained borated water volume	47,700 gallons 41 inches	
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum boron concentration	2,675 ppm	
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum water volume required to maintain SDM at 2,675 ppm	8,200 gallons	

2.17 Borated Water Source - Operating (SLC 16.9.11)

2.17.1 Volume and boron concentrations for the Boric Acid Tank (BAT) and the Refueling Water Storage Tank (RWST) during modes 1, 2, 3, and mode 4 with all RCS cold leg temperature > 300 °F.

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Limit</u>
Boric Acid Tank minimum contained borated	22,049 gallons
water volume	38.0% Level


Note: When cycle burnup is > 460 EFPD, Figure 6 may be used to determine the required BAT minimum level.

Boric Acid Tank minimum boron concentration	7,000 ppm
Boric Acid Tank minimum water volume required to maintain SDM at 7,000 ppm	13,750 gallons
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum contained borated water volume	96,607 gallons 103.6 inches
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum boron concentration	2,675 ppm
Refueling Water Storage Tank maximum boron concentration (TS 3.5.4)	2,875 ppm
Refueling Water Storage Tank minimum water volume required to maintain SDM at 2.675 ppm	57,107 gallons

Figure 6
Boric Acid Storage Tank Indicated Level Versus
RCS Boron Concentration

(Valid When Cycle Burnup is > 460 EFPD)

This figure includes additional volumes listed in SLC 16.9.14 and 16.9.11



NOTE: Appendix A contains power distribution monitoring factors used in Technical Specification Surveillance. This data was generated in the McGuire 2 Cycle 19 Maneuvering Analysis calculation file, MCC-1553.05-00-0472. Due to the size of the monitoring factor data, Appendix A is controlled electronically within Duke and is not included in the Duke internal copies of the COLR. The Plant Nuclear Engineering Section will control this information via computer file(s) and should be contacted if there is a need to access this information.

Appendix A is included in the COLR copy transmitted to the NRC.