

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 21, 2008

Richard Cushing Donovan, Clerk U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit United States Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2500 Boston, MA 02210

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

RE:

Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. United States Nuclear Regulatory

Commission and United States of America, Nos. 07-1482, 07-1483

(consolidated)

Dear Mr. Donovan:

Enclosed you will find for filing an original plus 3 copies of the Federal Respondents'

Motion for Leave to File a Reply to the Commonwealth's Supplemental Brief in the abovecaptioned matter. Also enclosed are nine copies of the Federal Respondents' Reply to the

Commonwealth's Supplemental Brief and a copy of the Brief on a compact disc in Word Perfect
format. Please date stamp the enclosed copy of this letter to indicate date of receipt, and return
the copy to me in the enclosed envelope, postage pre-paid, at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven C. Hamrick

Office of the General Counsel

(301) 415-4106

sch1@nrc.gov

cc: service list

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)
Petitioner,) .
v.	Nos. 07-1482 and
	07-1483
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	(consolidated)
and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Respondents, and)
))
ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.,)
ENTERGY NUCLEAR VERMONT YANKEE)
LLC, AND ENTERGY NUCLEAR	
GENERATION COMPANY)
Intervenors.)
)

FEDERAL RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY TO THE COMMONWEALTH'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States ("Federal Respondents") move for leave to file a short (6-page) reply to the Commonwealth's Supplemental Brief. Fed. R. App. P. 27. We have tendered with this motion copies of our reply. This Court should grant leave to file the reply for the following reasons:

1. The Commonwealth's supplemental brief suggests (incorrectly) that it was "new demands by the NRC for concessions by the Commonwealth on its substantive case" that derailed settlement negotiations that this Court suggested (at oral argument). *See* Pet. Sup. Br. at 12, n.19. A reply is appropriate to allow

federal respondents to point to First Circuit Rule 33(c). That rule "prohibit[s]" counsel "from disclosing any substantive information emanating from the [settlement] conference to anyone other than their clients or co-counsel."

- 2. The Commonwealth's supplemental brief argues that a remark in NRC's "Statement of Considerations" accompanying a 2004 NRC regulation a remark not cited in the Commonwealth's principal briefs contradicts NRC's legal position that the Commonwealth can invoke "interested state" status to participate in NRC proceedings and ultimately seek judicial review. *See* Pet. Sup. Br. at 6-7. A reply is appropriate to allow federal respondents to explain that, read in context, the 2004 statement shows no contradiction.
- 3. Federal respondents are hesitant to burden this Court with additional pleadings, but the two particular points raised above may cause confusion and thus warrant a reply. We have kept our reply short (6 pages).

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant federal respondents' motion to file a reply to the Commonwealth's supplemental brief and direct the Clerk's Office to file our tendered reply brief.

Respectfully submitted,

Lane M. McFadden

Attorney

Appellate Section

Environment and Natural

Resources Division

U.S. Department of Justice

202-353-9022

Steven C. Hamrick

Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

(301) 415-4106

February 21, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Steven Hamrick, hereby certify that on February 21, 2008, I served copies of the Federal Respondents Motion for Leave to File a Reply to the Commonwealth's Supplemental Brief on the following parties by way of first class mail:

Diane Curran Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, L.L.P. 1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Matthew Brock
Assistant Attorney General, Environmental Protection Division
Commonwealth of Massachusetts
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108

David Lewis Paul Gaukler Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

2/21/2008

Date

Steven C. Hamrick

Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission