L. M. Stinson (Mike) Vice President Fleet Operations Support Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. 40 Inverness Center Parkway Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Tel 205.992.5181 Fax 205.992.0341



February 19, 2008

Docket Nos.: 50-348

50-364

Energy to Serve Your World™ NL-08-0226

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk

Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant
Response for NRC Generic Letter 2006-03 Request for Additional Information Corrected Response

Ladies and Gentlemen:

By letter dated June 9, 2006, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) responded to Generic Letter 2006-03: "Potentially Nonconforming Hemyc and MT Fire Barrier Configurations." By letter dated October 13, 2006, SNC received a request for additional information. SNC responded to the request for information by letter dated November 28, 2006. Subsequently, a typographical error was discovered in the letter dated November 28, 2006 in that the response to "Request for Additional Information – Item 2" contained a reference to "Section 16" of the ASTM E119-88 Code, the reference should have been "Section 15." The enclosure to this letter contains a resubmittal of the Response for NRC Generic Letter 2006-03 Request for Additional Information, incorporating the corrected response to the request for "Additional Information – Item 2." This corrected resubmittal supersedes, in its entirety, the SNC submittal dated November 28, 2006.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(f), SNC hereby submits its response.

(Affirmation and signature are provided on the following page.)

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NL-08-0226 Page 2

Mr. L. M. Stinson states he is a Vice President of Southern Nuclear Operating Company, is authorized to execute this oath on behalf of Southern Nuclear Operating Company and to the best of his knowledge and belief, the facts set forth in this letter are true.

This letter contains no NRC commitments. If you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY

L. M. Stinson

Vice President Fleet Operations Support

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19th day of February , 2008.

Notary Public

My commission expires: July 5, 2010

LMS/JLS/daj

Enclosure: Response for NRC Generic Letter 2006-03 Request for Additional Information – Corrected Response

cc: Southern Nuclear Operating Company

Mr. J. T. Gasser, Executive Vice President

Mr. J. R. Johnson, Vice President - Farley

Mr. D. H. Jones, Vice President - Engineering

RTYPE: CFA04.054; LC# 14728

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. V. M. McCree, Acting Regional Administrator

Mr. K. R. Cotton, NRR Project Manager - Farley

Mr. E. L. Crowe, Senior Resident Inspector - Farley

Alabama Department of Public Health

Dr. D. E. Williamson, State Health Officer

# Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant

## **Enclosure**

Response for NRC Generic Letter 2006-03 Request for Additional Information - Corrected Response

# Enclosure NRC Generic Letter 2006-03 Request for Additional Information Corrected Response

Farley Nuclear Plant utilizes Promat-H board as described in Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) response dated June 9, 2006 to Generic Letter 2006-03. This letter stated that Promat-H is tested in accordance with Underwriter Laboratories UL Standard 263, which references the American Society for Testing and Materials document ASTM E119 and the National Fire Protection Association document NFPA 251. By NRC letter dated October 13, 2006, the NRC asked for additional information regarding the SNC response. The following items are the NRC requests and the SNC responses.

# Request for Additional Information – Item 1

How was the Promat tested? Confirm that, per the phone call, the ASTM E119 time-temperature, full scale fire testing was used.

### SNC Response:

The Promat was tested and qualified to ASTM E119-88 by Performance Contracting Inc. under Omega Point Project No. 8806-90254 (<u>Promat Report SR90-005</u>). This testing included ASTM E119 time-temperature, full scale fire testing for the wall assembly and a small scale fire testing of the ceiling assembly. The test details are documented in Promat Report SR90-005.

#### Reguest for Additional Information - Item 2

What acceptance criteria were used? Confirm that, per the phone call, the 325 degrees Fahrenheit temperature criterion was used.

#### SNC Response:

The test acceptance criteria were that of ASTM E119-88 Section 15 "Conditions of Acceptance," which meets the acceptance criteria of GL 86-10, Supplement 1. The 325 degrees Fahrenheit temperature criterion was used, which assumes a maximum temperature rise of 250 degrees Fahrenheit above an ambient temperature of 75 degrees Fahrenheit.

#### Request for Additional Information – Item 3

How were installed configurations that were different from tested configurations evaluated? Confirm that, per the phone call, the field installation deviations from the tested configurations were evaluated in accordance with the Generic Letter 86-10, Section 3.2.2 criteria.

#### **SNC Response:**

An analysis and acceptance for plant specific deviations from the tested configurations is included in Performance Contracting Inc. Fire Protection Technical Evaluation (FPTE) FPTE 2006-001 Revision 0. This evaluation received a documented review and approval by a qualified fire protection engineer within Southern Nuclear and found to be consistent with the GL 86-10, Section 3.2.2 criteria.