

RAS 15064

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: 202.739.3000
Fax: 202.739.3001
www.morganlewis.com

Morgan Lewis
C O U N S E L O R S A T L A W

DOCKETED
USNRC

February 12, 2008 (8:47am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Kathryn M. Sutton
Partner
202.739.5738
ksutton@morganlewis.com

Paul M. Bessette
Partner
202.739.5796
pbessette@morganlewis.com

February 4, 2008

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dr. Kaye D. Lathrop
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
190 Cedar Lane E.
Ridgway, CO 81432

Dr. Richard E. Wardwell
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

In the Matter of
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)
Docket Nos. 50-247-LR/50-286-LR

Dear Administrative Judges:

In response to the Licensing Board's Order dated January 24, 2008 concerning Preliminary Notification Regarding the Scheduling of Oral Arguments, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. wishes to confirm in writing that it is available for oral argument, without restriction, during the week of March 10-14, 2008.

Sincerely,



Kathryn M. Sutton
Paul M. Bessette
Martin J. O'Neill
Counsel for Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

cc: Service List
William Dennis, Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: 202.739.3000
Fax: 202.739.3001
www.morganlewis.com

Morgan Lewis
C O U N S E L O R S A T L A W

Kathryn M. Sutton
Partner
202.739.5738
ksutton@morganlewis.com

Paul M. Bessette
Partner
202.739.5796
pbessette@morganlewis.com

February 4, 2008

Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Dr. Kaye D. Lathrop
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
190 Cedar Lane E.
Ridgway, CO 81432

Dr. Richard E. Wardwell
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

In the Matter of
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3)
Docket Nos. 50-247-LR/50-286-LR

Dear Administrative Judges:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of a January 22, 2008, letter from Fred R. Dacimo, Vice President, License Renewal, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Entergy Letter NL-08-021, "Subject: License Application Amendment 2"). Entergy referenced the letter in its January 22, 2008, answers to the Riverkeeper and New York State petitions to intervene. See "Answer of Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Opposing Riverkeeper, Inc.'s Request for Hearing and Petition to Intervene" (Jan. 22, 2008), at 35-36; "Answer of Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Opposing New York State Notice of Intention to Participate and Petition to Intervene" (Jan. 22, 2008), at 147-48. The letter pertains to Riverkeeper Proposed Contention TC-1 and New York State Proposed Contention 26.

As Entergy explained in its January 22, 2008, answers, the referenced letter clarifies the relationship between Entergy License Renewal Commitment 33 regarding environmentally

assisted fatigue ("EAF") and the Fatigue Monitoring Program described in Section B.1.12 of Appendix B to the Indian Point license renewal application ("LRA"). The attachments to the letter contain certain revisions to portions of the LRA germane to EAF and the Fatigue Monitoring Program, including Commitment 33 of the List of Regulatory Commitments. In its January 22, 2008, answers, Entergy included, verbatim, the text of revised Commitment 33. Because it appears that the letter has yet to be made publicly available through ADAMS (at least as of this morning), Entergy is providing a courtesy copy of the letter to the Licensing Board, NRC Staff, Riverkeeper, New York State, as well as the other petitioners in this proceeding.

Sincerely,



Kathryn M. Sutton
Paul M. Bessette
Martin J. O'Neill

Counsel for Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

Enclosure: As stated
cc w/ encl.: Service List
William Dennis, Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.



Entergy Nuclear Northeast
Indian Point Energy Center
450 Broadway, GSB
P.O. Box 249
Buchanan, NY 10511-0249
Tel (914) 788-2055

Fred Dacimo
Vice President
License Renewal

January 22, 2008

Re: Indian Point Units 2 & 3
Docket Nos. 50-247 & 50-286
NL-08-021

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555-0001

**SUBJECT: Entergy Nuclear Operations Inc.
Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 & 3
Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286
License Renewal Application Amendment 2**

- REFERENCES:**
1. Entergy Letter dated April 23, 2007, F. R. Dacimo to Document Control Desk, "License Renewal Application" (NL-07-039)
 2. Entergy Letter dated April 23, 2007, F. R. Dacimo to Document Control Desk, "License Renewal Application Boundary Drawings" (NL-07-040)
 3. Entergy Letter dated April 23, 2007, F. R. Dacimo to Document Control Desk, "License Renewal Application Environmental Report References" (NL-07-041)

Dear Sir or Madam:

In the referenced letters, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) applied for renewal of the Indian Point Energy Center operating licenses for Unit 2 and 3.

Based on discussions during license renewal audits, clarification to the LRA is provided in Attachment 1. This information clarifies the relationship between Commitment 33 regarding environmentally assisted fatigue and the Fatigue Monitoring Program described in LRA Section B.1.12. The Fatigue Monitoring Program includes the actions identified in Commitment 33 to address the evaluation of the effects of environmentally assisted fatigue in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). The revised Regulatory Commitment List is provided in Attachment 2.

If you have any questions, or require additional information, please contact Mr. Robert Walpole at 914-734-6710.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on
1-22-08

Sincerely,

Patricia W. Conway for
Fred R. Dacimo *per telecon*
Vice President
License Renewal

Attachments:

- 1. Fatigue Monitoring Program Clarification**
- 2. Regulatory Commitment List, Revision 3**

cc: Mr. Samuel J. Collins, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I
Mr. Kenneth Chang, NRC Branch Chief, Engineering Review Branch I
Mr. Bo M. Pham, NRC Environmental Project Manager
Mr. John Boska, NRR Senior Project Manager
Mr. Paul Eddy, New York State Department of Public Service
NRC Resident Inspector's Office
Mr. Paul D. Tonko, President, New York State Energy, Research, & Development Authority

ATTACHMENT 1 TO NL-08-021

Fatigue Monitoring Program Clarification

ENERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 & 3
DOCKET NOS. 50-247 AND 50-286

**License Renewal Application
 Amendment 2**

Fatigue Monitoring Program Clarification

LRA and commitment list revisions are provided below. (underline - added, strikethrough - deleted)

LRA Table 4.1-1, List of IP2 TLAA and Resolution, line item titled "Effects of reactor water environment on fatigue life", is revised as follows.

Effects of reactor water environment on fatigue life	Analyses remain valid 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)(i) OR Aging effect managed. 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	4.3.3
--	---	-------

LRA Table 4.1-2, List of IP3 TLAA and Resolution, line item titled "Effects of reactor water environment on fatigue life", is revised as follows.

Effects of reactor water environment on fatigue life	Analyses remain valid 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)(i) OR Aging effect managed 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	4.3.3
--	--	-------

LRA Section 4.3.3, paragraph 10 is revised as follows.

At least 2 years prior to entering the period of extended operation, for the locations identified in LRA Table 4.3-13 (IP2) and LRA Table 4.3-14 (IP3) NUREG/CR-6260 for Westinghouse PWRs of the IPEC vintage, under the Fatigue Monitoring Program IPEC will implement one or more of the following.

- (1) Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Detection of Aging Effects, update the fatigue usage calculations using Rrefined the fatigue analyses to determine valid CUFs less than 1.0 when accounting for the effects of reactor water environment. This includes applying the appropriate F_{en} factors to valid CUFs determined in accordance with one of the following.

For locations in LRA Table 4.3-13 (IP2) and LRA Table 4.3-14 (IP3) including NUREG/CR-6260 locations, with existing fatigue analysis valid for the period of

extended operation, use the existing CUF to determine the environmentally adjusted CUF.

More limiting IPEC-Additional plant-specific locations with a valid CUF may be added in addition to the NUREG/CR-6260 locations evaluated. In particular, the pressurizer lower shell will be reviewed to ensure the surge nozzle remains the limiting component.

Representative CUF values from other plants, adjusted to or enveloping the IPEC plant-specific external loads may be used if demonstrated applicable to IPEC.

An analysis using an NRC-approved version of the ASME code or NRC-approved alternative (e.g., NRC-approved code case) may be performed to determine a valid CUF.

~~(2) Manage the effects of aging due to fatigue at the affected locations by an inspection program that has been reviewed and approved by the NRC (e.g., periodic non-destructive examination of the affected locations at inspection intervals to be determined by a method acceptable to the NRC).~~

~~(32) Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Corrective Actions, Repair or replace the affected locations before exceeding a CUF of 1.0.~~

~~Should IPEC select the option to manage the aging effects due to environmental assisted fatigue during the period of extended operation, details of the aging management program such as scope, qualification, method, and frequency will be submitted to the NRC at least 2 years prior to the period of extended operation.~~

~~Depending on the option chosen, which may vary by component, this TLAA will be projected through the period of extended operation per 10CFR54.21(e)(1)(ii) or (i) The effects of environmentally assisted fatigue will be managed per 10CFR54.21(c)(1)(iii).~~

LRA Section A.2.1.11, Fatigue Monitoring Program, is revised as follows.

The Fatigue Monitoring Program is an existing program that tracks the number of critical thermal and pressure transients for selected reactor coolant system components. The program ensures the validity of analyses that explicitly analyzed a specified number of fatigue transients by assuring that the actual effective number of transients does not exceed the analyzed number of transients. The program provides for update of the fatigue usage calculations to maintain a CUF of < 1.0 for the period of extended operation. For the locations identified in Section A.2.2.2.3, updated calculations will account for the effects of the reactor water environment. These calculation updates are governed by Entergy's 10 CFR 50 Appendix B Quality Assurance (QA) program and include design input verification and independent reviews ensuring that valid assumptions, transients, cycles, external loadings, analysis methods, and environmental fatigue life correction factors will be used in

the fatigue analyses. The program requires corrective actions including repair or replacement of affected components before fatigue usage calculations determine the CUF exceeds 1.0. Specific corrective actions are implemented in accordance with the IPEC corrective action program. Repair or replacement of the affected component(s), if necessary, will be in accordance with established plant procedures governing repair and replacement activities. These established procedures are governed by Entergy's 10 CFR 50 Appendix B QA program and meet the applicable repair or replacement requirements of the ASME Code Section XI.

LRA Section A.2.2.2.3; Environmental Effects on Fatigue, is revised as follows.

The effects of reactor water environment on fatigue were evaluated for license renewal. Projected cumulative usage factors (CUFs) were calculated for the limiting locations identified in based on NUREG/CR-6260. The identified IP2 locations are those listed in the license renewal application, Table 4.3-13. For the locations with CUFs less than 1.0, the TLAAs have been projected through the period of extended operation per 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii). Several locations may exceed a CUF of 1.0 with consideration of environmental effects during the period of extended operation. The Fatigue Monitoring Program requires that at least two years prior to entering the period of extended operation, the site will implement one or more of the following:

(1) Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Detection of Aging Effects, update the fatigue usage calculations using Rrefined the fatigue analyses to determine valid CUFs less than 1.0 when accounting for the effects of reactor water environment. This includes applying the appropriate F_{en} factors to valid CUFs determined in accordance with one of the following.

For locations, including NUREG/CR-6260 locations, with existing fatigue analysis valid for the period of extended operation, use the existing CUF to determine the environmentally adjusted CUF.

In addition to the NUREG/CR-6260 locations, more limiting Additional plant-specific locations with a valid CUF may be evaluated. In particular, the pressurizer lower shell will be reviewed to ensure the surge nozzle remains the limiting component.

Representative CUF values from other plants, adjusted to or enveloping the plant-specific external loads may be used if demonstrated applicable.

An analysis using an NRC-approved version of the ASME code or NRC-approved alternative (e.g., NRC-approved code case) may be performed to determine a valid CUF.

(2) Manage the effects of aging due to fatigue at the affected locations by an inspection program that has been reviewed and approved by the NRC (e.g., periodic non-

~~destructive examination of the affected locations at inspection intervals to be determined by a method acceptable to the NRC).~~

- ~~(32) Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Corrective Actions, Repair or replace the affected locations before exceeding a CUF of 1.0.~~

~~Should IPEC select the option to manage the aging effects due to environmental-assisted fatigue during the period of extended operation, details of the aging management program such as scope, qualification, method, and frequency will be submitted to the NRC at least 2 years prior to the period of extended operation.~~

LRA Section A.3.1.11, Fatigue Monitoring Program, is revised as follows.

The Fatigue Monitoring Program is an existing program that tracks the number of critical thermal and pressure transients for selected reactor coolant system components. The program ensures the validity of analyses that explicitly analyzed a specified number of fatigue transients by assuring that the actual effective number of transients does not exceed the analyzed number of transients. The program provides for update of the fatigue usage calculations to maintain a CUF of < 1.0 for the period of extended operation. For the locations identified in Section A.3.2.2.3, updated calculations will account for the effects of the reactor water environment. These calculation updates are governed by Entergy's 10 CFR 50 Appendix B Quality Assurance (QA) program and include design input verification and independent reviews ensuring that valid assumptions, transients, cycles, external loadings, analysis methods, and environmental fatigue life correction factors will be used in the fatigue analyses. The program requires corrective actions including repair or replacement of affected components before fatigue usage calculations determine the CUF exceeds 1.0. Specific corrective actions are implemented in accordance with the IPEC corrective action program. Repair or replacement of the affected component(s), if necessary, will be in accordance with established plant procedures governing repair and replacement activities. These established procedures are governed by Entergy's 10 CFR 50 Appendix B QA program and meet the applicable repair or replacement requirements of the ASME Code Section XI.

LRA Section A.3.2.2.3, Environmental Effects on Fatigue, is revised as follows.

The effects of reactor water environment on fatigue were evaluated for license renewal. Projected cumulative usage factors (CUFs) were calculated for the limiting locations identified in based on NUREG/CR-6260. The identified IP3 locations are those listed in the license renewal application, Table 4.3-14. For the locations with CUFs less than 1.0, the TLAAs has been projected through the period of extended operation per 10 CFR 54.21(e)(1)(ii). Several locations may exceed a CUF of 1.0 with consideration of environmental effects during the period of extended operation. The Fatigue Monitoring Program requires that At least two years prior to entering the period of extended operation, for the locations identified in NUREG/CR-6260 for Westinghouse PWRs of this vintage, the site will implement one or more of the following:

(1) Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Detection of Aging Effects, update the fatigue usage calculation using Rrefined the fatigue analyses to determine valid CUFs less than 1.0 when accounting for the effects of reactor water environment. This includes applying the appropriate F_{en} factors to valid CUFs determined in accordance with one of the following.

~~For locations, including NUREG/CR 6260 locations, with existing fatigue analysis valid for the period of extended operation, use the existing CUF to determine the environmentally adjusted CUF.~~

~~In addition to the NUREG/CR 6260 locations, more limiting~~ Additional plant-specific locations with a valid CUF may be evaluated. In particular, the pressurizer lower shell will be reviewed to ensure the surge nozzle remains the limiting component.

Representative CUF values from other plants, adjusted to or enveloping the plant-specific external loads may be used if demonstrated applicable.

~~An analysis using an NRC-approved version of the ASME code or NRC-approved alternative (e.g., NRC-approved code case) may be performed to determine a valid CUF.~~

~~(2) Manage the effects of aging due to fatigue at the affected locations by an inspection program that has been reviewed and approved by the NRC (e.g., periodic non-destructive examination of the affected locations at inspection intervals to be determined by a method acceptable to the NRC).~~

~~(3) Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Corrective Actions, Rrepair or replace the affected locations before exceeding a CUF of 1.0.~~

~~Should IPEC select the option to manage the aging effects due to environmental-assisted fatigue during the period of extended operation, details of the aging management program such as scope, qualification, method, and frequency will be submitted to the NRC at least 2 years prior to the period of extended operation.~~

LRA Section B.1.12, Fatigue Monitoring, Program Description, is revised as follows.

The Fatigue Monitoring Program is an existing program that tracks the number of critical thermal and pressure transients for selected reactor coolant system components. The program ensures the validity of analyses that explicitly analyzed a specified number of fatigue transients by assuring that the actual effective number of transients does not exceed the analyzed number of transients.

The program provides for update of the fatigue usage calculations to maintain a CUF of < 1.0 for the period of extended operation. For the locations identified in LRA Table 4.3-13 (IP2) and LRA Table 4.3-14 (IP3), updated calculations will account for the effects of the reactor water environment. These calculation updates are governed by Entergy's 10 CFR 50 Appendix B Quality Assurance (QA) program and include design input verification and

independent reviews ensuring that valid assumptions, transients, cycles, external loadings, analysis methods, and environmental fatigue life correction factors will be used in the fatigue analyses.

The analysis methods for determination of stresses and fatigue usage will be in accordance with an NRC endorsed Edition of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components Division 1 Subsection NB, Class 1 Components, Sub articles NB-3200 or NB-3600 as applicable to the specific component. IPEC will utilize design transients from IPEC Design Specifications to bound all operational transients. The numbers of cycles used for evaluation will be based on the design number of cycles and actual IPEC cycle counts projected out to the end of the license renewal period (60 years).

Environmental effects on fatigue usage will be assessed using methodology consistent with the Generic Aging Lessons Learned Report, NUREG-1801, Rev. 1, (GALL) that states: "The sample of critical components can be evaluated by applying environmental life correction factors to the existing ASME Code fatigue analyses. Formulae for calculating the environmental life correction factors are contained in NUREG/CR-6583 for carbon and low-alloy steels and in NUREG/CR-5704 for austenitic stainless steels."

The Fatigue Monitoring Program tracks actual plant transients and evaluates these against the design transients. Cycle counts show no limits are expected to be approached for the current license term. The Fatigue Monitoring Program will ensure that the numbers of transient cycles experienced by the plant remain within the analyzed numbers of cycles and hence, the component CUFs remain below the values calculated in the design basis fatigue evaluations. If ongoing monitoring indicates the potential for a condition outside that analyzed above, IPEC may perform further reanalysis of the identified configuration using established configuration management processes as described above.

The program requires corrective actions including repair or replacement of affected components before fatigue usage calculations determine the CUF exceeds 1.0. Specific corrective actions are implemented in accordance with the IPEC corrective action program. Repair or replacement of the affected component(s), if necessary, will be in accordance with established plant procedures governing repair and replacement activities. These established procedures are governed by Entergy's 10 CFR 50 Appendix B QA program and meet the applicable repair or replacement requirements

ATTACHMENT 2 TO NL-08-021

Regulatory Commitment List, Revision 3

**ENERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 & 3
DOCKET NOS. 50-247 AND 50-286**

List of Regulatory Commitments

Rev. 3

The following table identifies those actions committed to by Entergy in this document.

Any other statements in this submittal are provided for information purposes and are not considered to be regulatory commitments.

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
1	<p>Enhance the Aboveground Steel Tanks Program for IP2 and IP3 to perform thickness measurements of the bottom surfaces of the condensate storage tanks, city water tank, and fire water tanks once during the first ten years of the period of extended operation.</p> <p>Enhance the Aboveground Steel Tanks Program for IP2 and IP3 to require trending of thickness measurements when material loss is detected.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	NL-07-039	<p>A.2.1.1 A.3.1.1 B.1.1</p>
2	<p>Enhance the Bolting Integrity Program for IP2 and IP3 to clarify that actual yield strength is used in selecting materials for low susceptibility to SCC and clarify the prohibition on use of lubricants containing MoS₂ for bolting.</p> <p>The Bolting Integrity Program manages loss of preload and loss of material for all external bolting.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.2 A.3.1.2 B.1.2</p> <p>Audit Items 201, 241, 270</p>
3	<p>Implement the Buried Piping and Tanks Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.6.</p> <p>This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801 Section XI.M34, Buried Piping and Tanks Inspection.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.5 A.3.1.5 B.1.6</p> <p>Audit Item 173</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
4	<p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to include cleaning and inspection of the IP2 GT-1 gas turbine fuel oil storage tanks, IP2 and IP3 EDG fuel oil day tanks, IP2 SBO/Appendix R diesel generator fuel oil day tank, and IP3 Appendix R fuel oil storage tank and day tank once every ten years.</p> <p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to include quarterly sampling and analysis of the IP2 SBO/Appendix R diesel generator fuel oil day tank, IP2 security diesel fuel oil day tank, and IP3 Appendix R fuel oil storage tank. Particulates, water and sediment checks will be performed on the samples. Filterable solids acceptance criterion will be less than or equal to 10mg/l. Water and sediment acceptance criterion will be less than or equal to 0.05%.</p> <p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to include thickness measurement of the bottom surface of the following tanks once every ten years. IP2: EDG fuel oil storage tanks, EDG fuel oil day tanks, SBO/Appendix R diesel generator fuel oil day tank, GT-1 gas turbine fuel oil storage tanks, and diesel fire pump fuel oil storage tank; IP3: EDG fuel oil day tanks, Appendix R fuel oil storage tank, and diesel fire pump fuel oil storage tank.</p> <p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to change the analysis for water and particulates to a quarterly frequency for the following tanks. IP2: GT-1 gas turbine fuel oil storage tanks and diesel fire pump fuel oil storage tank; IP3: Appendix R fuel oil day tank and diesel fire pump fuel oil storage tank.</p> <p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to specify acceptance criteria for thickness measurements of the fuel oil storage tanks within the scope of the program.</p> <p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to direct samples be taken near the tank bottom and include direction to remove water when detected.</p> <p>Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to direct the addition of chemicals including biocide when the presence of biological activity is confirmed.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.8 A.3.1.8 B.1.9 Audit items 128, 129, 132</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
5	<p>Enhance the External Surfaces Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to include periodic inspections of systems in scope and subject to aging management review for license renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (a)(3). Inspections shall include areas surrounding the subject systems to identify hazards to those systems. Inspections of nearby systems that could impact the subject systems will include SSCs that are in scope and subject to aging management review for license renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2).</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p>	<p>A.2.1.10 A.3.1.10 B.1.11</p>
6	<p>Enhance the Fatigue Monitoring Program for IP2 to monitor steady state cycles and feedwater cycles or perform an evaluation to determine monitoring is not required. Review the number of allowed events and resolve discrepancies between reference documents and monitoring procedures.</p> <p>Enhance the Fatigue Monitoring Program for IP3 to include all the transients identified. Assure all fatigue analysis transients are included with the lowest limiting numbers. Update the number of design transients accumulated to date.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.11 A.3.1.11 B.1.12, Audit Item 164</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
7	<p>Enhance the Fire Protection Program to inspect external surfaces of the IP3 RCP oil collection systems for loss of material each refueling cycle.</p> <p>Enhance the Fire Protection Program to explicitly state that the IP2 and IP3 diesel fire pump engine sub-systems (including the fuel supply line) shall be observed while the pump is running. Acceptance criteria will be revised to verify that the diesel engine does not exhibit signs of degradation while running; such as fuel oil, lube oil, coolant, or exhaust gas leakage.</p> <p>Enhance the Fire Protection Program to specify that the IP2 and IP3 diesel fire pump engine carbon steel exhaust components are inspected for evidence of corrosion and cracking at least once each operating cycle.</p> <p>Enhance the Fire Protection Program for IP3 to visually inspect the cable spreading room, 480V switchgear room, and EDG room CO₂ fire suppression system for signs of degradation, such as corrosion and mechanical damage at least once every six months.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	NL-07-039	<p>A.2.1.12 A.3.1.12 B.1.13</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
8	<p>Enhance the Fire Water Program to include inspection of IP2 and IP3 hose reels for evidence of corrosion. Acceptance criteria will be revised to verify no unacceptable signs of degradation.</p> <p>Enhance the Fire Water Program to replace all or test a sample of IP2 and IP3 sprinkler heads required for 10 CFR 50.48 using guidance of NFPA 25 (2002 edition), Section 5.3.1.1.1 before the end of the 50-year sprinkler head service life and at 10-year intervals thereafter during the extended period of operation to ensure that signs of degradation, such as corrosion, are detected in a timely manner.</p> <p>Enhance the Fire Water Program to perform wall thickness evaluations of IP2 and IP3 fire protection piping on system components using non-intrusive techniques (e.g., volumetric testing) to identify evidence of loss of material due to corrosion. These inspections will be performed before the end of the current operating term and at intervals thereafter during the period of extended operation. Results of the initial evaluations will be used to determine the appropriate inspection interval to ensure aging effects are identified prior to loss of intended function.</p> <p>Enhance the Fire Water Program to inspect the internal surface of foam based fire suppression tanks. Acceptance criteria will be enhanced to verify no significant corrosion.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p> <p>NL-08-014</p>	<p>A.2.1.13 A.3.1.13 B.1.14 Audit Items 105, 106</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
9	<p>Enhance the Flux Thimble Tube Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 to implement comparisons to wear rates identified in WCAP-12866. Include provisions to compare data to the previous performances and perform evaluations regarding change to test frequency and scope.</p> <p>Enhance the Flux Thimble Tube Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 to specify the acceptance criteria as outlined in WCAP-12866 or other plant-specific values based on evaluation of previous test results.</p> <p>Enhance the Flux Thimble Tube Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 to direct evaluation and performance of corrective actions based on tubes that exceed or are projected to exceed the acceptance criteria. Also stipulate that flux thimble tubes that cannot be inspected over the tube length and cannot be shown by analysis to be satisfactory for continued service, must be removed from service to ensure the integrity of the reactor coolant system pressure boundary.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	NL-07-039	<p>A.2.1.15 A.3.1.15 B.1.16</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
10	<p>Enhance the Heat Exchanger Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to include the following heat exchangers in the scope of the program.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety injection pump lube oil heat exchangers • RHR heat exchangers • RHR pump seal coolers • Non-regenerative heat exchangers • Charging pump seal water heat exchangers • Charging pump fluid drive coolers • Charging pump crankcase oil coolers • Spent fuel pit heat exchangers • Secondary system steam generator sample coolers • Waste gas compressor heat exchangers • SBO/Appendix R diesel jacket water heat exchanger (IP2 only) <p>Enhance the Heat Exchanger Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to perform visual inspection on heat exchangers where non-destructive examination, such as eddy current inspection, is not possible due to heat exchanger design limitations.</p> <p>Enhance the Heat Exchanger Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to include consideration of material-environment combinations when determining sample population of heat exchangers.</p> <p>Enhance the Heat Exchanger Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to establish minimum tube wall thickness for the new heat exchangers identified in the scope of the program. Establish acceptance criteria for heat exchangers visually inspected to include no unacceptable signs of degradation.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.16 A.3.1.16 B.1.17, Audit Item 52</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
11	Enhance the ISI Program for IP2 and IP3 to provide periodic visual inspections to confirm the absence of aging effects for lubrite sliding supports used in the steam generator and reactor coolant pump support systems.	IP2: September 28, 2013 IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-039 NL-07-153	A.2.1.17 A.3.1.17 B.1.18 Audit item 59
12	Enhance the Masonry Wall Program for IP2 and IP3 to specify that the IP1 intake structure is included in the program.	IP2: September 28, 2013 IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-039	A.2.1.18 A.3.1.18 B.1.19
13	<p>Enhance the Metal-Enclosed Bus Inspection Program to add IP2 480V bus associated with substation A to the scope of bus inspected.</p> <p>Enhance the Metal-Enclosed Bus Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 to visually inspect the external surface of MEB enclosure assemblies for loss of material at least once every 10 years. The first inspection will occur prior to the period of extended operation and the acceptance criterion will be no significant loss of material.</p> <p>Enhance the Metal-Enclosed Bus Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 to inspect bolted connections at least once every five years if performed visually or at least once every ten years using quantitative measurements such as thermography or contact resistance measurements. The first inspection will occur prior to the period of extended operation.</p> <p>The plant will process a change to applicable site procedure to remove the reference to "re-torquing" connections for phase bus maintenance and bolted connection maintenance.</p>	IP2: September 28, 2013 IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-039 NL-07-153	A.2.1.19 A.3.1.19 B.1.20 Audit Item 124 Audit Item 133
14	Implement the Non-EQ Bolted Cable Connections Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.22.	IP2: September 28, 2013 IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-039	A.2.1.21 A.3.1.21 B.1.22

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
15	Implement the Non-EQ Inaccessible Medium-Voltage Cable Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.23.	IP2: September 28, 2013	NL-07-039	A.2.1.22 A.3.1.22 B.1.23 Audit item 173
	This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801 Section XI.E3, Inaccessible Medium-Voltage Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements.	IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-153	
16	Implement the Non-EQ Instrumentation Circuits Test Review Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.24.	IP2: September 28, 2013	NL-07-039	A.2.1.23 A.3.1.23 B.1.24 Audit item 173
	This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801 Section XI.E2, Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements Used in Instrumentation Circuits.	IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-153	
17	Implement the Non-EQ Insulated Cables and Connections Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.25.	IP2: September 28, 2013	NL-07-039	A.2.1.24 A.3.1.24 B.1.25 Audit item 173
	This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801 Section XI.E1, Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements.	IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-153	

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
18	<p>Enhance the Oil Analysis Program for IP2 to sample and analyze lubricating oil used in the SBO/Appendix R diesel generator consistent with oil analysis for other site diesel generators.</p> <p>Enhance the Oil Analysis Program for IP2 and IP3 to sample and analyze generator seal oil and turbine hydraulic control oil.</p> <p>Enhance the Oil Analysis Program for IP2 and IP3 to formalize preliminary oil screening for water and particulates and laboratory analyses including defined acceptance criteria for all components included in the scope of this program. The program will specify corrective actions in the event acceptance criteria are not met.</p> <p>Enhance the Oil Analysis Program for IP2 and IP3 to formalize trending of preliminary oil screening results as well as data provided from independent laboratories.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p>	<p>A.2.1.25 A.3.1.25 B.1.26</p>
19	<p>Implement the One-Time Inspection Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.27.</p> <p>This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801, Section XI.M32, One-Time Inspection.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.26 A.3.1.26 B.1.27 Audit item 173</p>
20	<p>Implement the One-Time Inspection – Small Bore Piping Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.28.</p> <p>This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801, Section XI.M35, One-Time Inspection of ASME Code Class I Small-Bore Piping.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.27 A.3.1.27 B.1.28 Audit item 173</p>
21	<p>Enhance the Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance Program for IP2 and IP3 as necessary to assure that the effects of aging will be managed such that applicable components will continue to perform their intended functions consistent with the current licensing basis through the period of extended operation.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p>	<p>A.2.1.28 A.3.1.28 B.1.29</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
22	<p>Enhance the Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program for IP2 and IP3 revising the specimen capsule withdrawal schedules to draw and test a standby capsule to cover the peak reactor vessel fluence expected through the end of the period of extended operation.</p> <p>Enhance the Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program for IP2 and IP3 to require that tested and untested specimens from all capsules pulled from the reactor vessel are maintained in storage.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	NL-07-039	<p>A.2.1.31 A.3.1.31 B.1.32</p>
23	<p>Implement the Selective Leaching Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.33.</p> <p>This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801, Section XI.M33 Selective Leaching of Materials.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.32 A.3.1.32 B.1.33 Audit item 173</p>
24	<p>Enhance the Steam Generator Integrity Program for IP2 and IP3 to require that the results of the condition monitoring assessment are compared to the operational assessment performed for the prior operating cycle with differences evaluated.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	NL-07-039	<p>A.2.1.34 A.3.1.34 B.1.35</p>
25	<p>Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program to explicitly specify that the following structures are included in the program.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appendix R diesel generator foundation (IP3) • Appendix R diesel generator fuel oil tank vault (IP3) • Appendix R diesel generator switchgear and enclosure (IP3) • city water storage tank foundation • condensate storage tanks foundation (IP3) • containment access facility and annex (IP3) • discharge canal (IP2/3) • emergency lighting poles and foundations (IP2/3) • fire pumphouse (IP2) • fire protection pumphouse (IP3) • fire water storage tank foundations (IP2/3) • gas turbine 1 fuel storage tank foundation • maintenance and outage building-elevated passageway (IP2) • new station security building (IP2) 	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.35 A.3.1.35 B.1.36</p> <p>Audit item 86</p> <p>Audit item 88</p> <p>Audit Item 87</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nuclear service building (IP1) • primary water storage tank foundation (IP3) • refueling water storage tank foundation (IP3) • security access and office building (IP3) • service water pipe chase (IP2/3) • service water valve pit (IP3) • superheater stack • transformer/switchyard support structures (IP2) • waste holdup tank pits (IP2/3) <p>Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to clarify that in addition to structural steel and concrete, the following commodities (including their anchorages) are inspected for each structure as applicable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • cable trays and supports • concrete portion of reactor vessel supports • conduits and supports • cranes, rails and girders • equipment pads and foundations • fire proofing (pyrocrete) • HVAC duct supports • jib cranes • manholes and duct banks • manways, hatches and hatch covers • monorails • new fuel storage racks • sumps, sump screens, strainers and flow barriers <p>Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to inspect inaccessible concrete areas that are exposed by excavation for any reason. IP2 and IP3 will also inspect inaccessible concrete areas in environments where observed conditions in accessible areas exposed to the same environment indicate that significant concrete degradation is occurring.</p> <p>Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to perform inspections of elastomers (seals, gaskets, seismic joint filler, and roof elastomers) to identify cracking and change in material properties and for inspection of aluminum vents and louvers to identify loss of material.</p>			

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
	<p>Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to perform an engineering evaluation of groundwater samples to assess aggressiveness of groundwater to concrete on a periodic basis (at least once every five years). IPEC will obtain samples from at least 5 wells that are representative of the ground water surrounding below-grade site structures. Samples will be monitored for sulfates, pH and chlorides.</p> <p>Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program for IP2 and IP3 to perform inspection of normally submerged concrete portions of the intake structures at least once every 5 years.</p>			
26	<p>Implement the Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS) Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.37.</p> <p>This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801, Section XI.M12, Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS) Program.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.36 A.3.1.36 B.1.37 Audit item 173</p>
27	<p>Implement the Thermal Aging and Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS) Program for IP2 and IP3 as described in LRA Section B.1.38.</p> <p>This new program will be implemented consistent with the corresponding program described in NUREG-1801 Section XI.M13, Thermal Aging and Neutron Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS) Program.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p>	<p>A.2.1.37 A.3.1.37 B.1.38 Audit item 173</p>
28	<p>Enhance the Water Chemistry Control – Closed Cooling Water Program to maintain water chemistry of the IP2 SBO/Appendix R diesel generator cooling system per EPRI guidelines.</p> <p>Enhance the Water Chemistry Control – Closed Cooling Water Program to maintain the IP2 and IP3 security generator cooling water system pH within limits specified by EPRI guidelines.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2013</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2015</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p>	<p>A.2.1.39 A.3.1.39 B.1.40</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
29	Enhance the Water Chemistry Control – Primary and Secondary Program for IP2 to test sulfates monthly in the RWST with a limit of <150 ppb.	IP2: September 28, 2013	NL-07-039	A.2.1.40 B.1.41
30	For aging management of the reactor vessel internals, IPEC will (1) participate in the industry programs for investigating and managing aging effects on reactor internals; (2) evaluate and implement the results of the industry programs as applicable to the reactor internals; and (3) upon completion of these programs, but not less than 24 months before entering the period of extended operation, submit an inspection plan for reactor internals to the NRC for review and approval.	IP2: September 28, 2011 IP3: December 12, 2013	NL-07-039	A.2.1.41 A.3.1.41
31	Additional P-T curves will be submitted as required per 10 CFR 50, Appendix G prior to the period of extended operation as part of the Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program.	IP2: September 28, 2013 IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-039	A.2.2.1.2 A.3.2.1.2 4.2.3
32	As required by 10 CFR 50.61(b)(4), IP3 will submit a plant-specific safety analysis for plate B2803-3 to the NRC three years prior to reaching the RT _{PTS} screening criterion. Alternatively, the site may choose to implement the revised PTS (10 CFR 50.61) rule when approved, which would permit use of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 3.	IP3: December 12, 2015	NL-07-039	A.3.2.1.4 4.2.5

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
33	<p>At least 2 years prior to entering the period of extended operation, for the locations identified in LRA Table 4.3-13 (IP2) and LRA Table 4.3-14 (IP3), <u>under the Fatigue Monitoring Program</u>, IP2 and IP3 will implement one or more of the following:</p> <p>(1) <u>Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Detection of Aging Effects</u>, update the fatigue usage calculations using <u>Rrefined</u> the fatigue analyses to determine valid CUFs less than 1.0 when accounting for the effects of reactor water environment. This includes applying the appropriate Fen factors to valid CUFs determined in accordance with one of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For locations in <u>LRA Table 4.3-13 (IP2) and LRA Table 4.3-14 (IP3) including NUREG/CR-6260</u> locations, with existing fatigue analysis valid for the period of extended operation, use the existing CUF to determine the environmentally adjusted CUF. 2. In addition to the <u>NUREG/CR-6260</u> locations, more limiting <u>Additional</u> plant-specific locations with a valid CUF may be evaluated. In particular, the pressurizer lower shell will be reviewed to ensure the surge nozzle remains the limiting component. 3. Representative CUF values from other plants, adjusted to or enveloping the IPEC plant specific external loads may be used if demonstrated applicable to IPEC. 4. An analysis using an NRC-approved version of the ASME code or NRC-approved alternative (e.g., NRC-approved code case) may be performed to determine a valid CUF. <p>(2) <u>Manage the effects of aging due to fatigue at the affected locations by an inspection program that has been reviewed and approved by the NRC (e.g., periodic non-destructive examination of the affected locations at inspection intervals to be determined by a method acceptable to the NRC).</u></p> <p>(3) (2) <u>Consistent with the Fatigue Monitoring Program, Corrective Actions</u>, Repair or replace the affected locations before exceeding a CUF of 1.0.</p> <p>Should IPEC select the option to manage the aging effects due to environmental assisted fatigue during the period of extended operation, details of the aging management program such as scope, qualification, method, and frequency will be submitted to the NRC at least 2 years prior to the period of extended operation.</p>	<p>IP2: September 28, 2011</p> <p>IP3: December 12, 2013</p>	<p>NL-07-039</p> <p>NL-07-153</p> <p>NL-08-021</p>	<p>A.2.2.2.3 A.3.2.2.3 4.3.3 Audit item 146</p>

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	RELATED LRA SECTION / AUDIT ITEM
34	IP2 SBO / Appendix R diesel generator will be installed and operational by April 30, 2008. This committed change to the facility meets the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59(c)(1) and, therefore, a license amendment pursuant to 10 CFR 50.90 is not required.	April 30, 2008	NL-07-078	2.1.1.3.5

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD**

Before Administrative Judges:
Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Dr. Richard E. Wardwell
Dr. Kaye D. Lathrop

In the Matter of)	Docket Nos. 50-247-LR and 50-286-LR
ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.)	ASLBP No. 07-858-03-LR-BD01
(Indian Point Nuclear Generating Units 2 and 3))	February 4, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of two letters from counsel for Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, both dated February 4, 2008, were served this 4th day of February 2008 upon the persons listed below, by first class mail and e-mail as shown below. The first letter concerns the availability of counsel for Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. for oral argument during the week of March 10-14, 2008. The second letter transmits a courtesy copy of a letter from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. to the NRC (Entergy Letter NL-08-021) dated January 22, 2008.

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: O-16G4
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: ocaamail@nrc.gov)

Administrative Judge
Lawrence G. McDade, Chair
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop: T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: lgm1@nrc.gov)

Administrative Judge
Richard E. Wardwell
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop: T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: rew@nrc.gov)

Administrative Judge
Kaye D. Lathrop
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
190 Cedar Lane E.
Ridgway, CO 81432
(E-mail: kdl2@nrc.gov)

Office of the Secretary *
Attn: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
(E-mail: hearingdocket@nrc.gov)

Zachary S. Kahn
Law Clerk
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop: T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: zsk1@nrc.gov)

Manna Jo Greene
Environmental Director
Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
112 Little Market Street
Poughkeepsie, NY 12601
(E-mail: mannajo@clearwater.org)

Stephen C. Filler, Board Member
Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc.
303 South Broadway, Suite 222
Tarrytown, NY 10591
(E-mail: sfiller@nylawline.com)

Phillip Musegaas, Esq.
Victor M. Tafur, Esq.
Riverkeeper, Inc.
828 South Broadway
Tarrytown, NY 10591
(E-mail: phillip@riverkeeper.org)
(E-mail: vtafur@riverkeeper.org)

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq.
Lloyd B. Subin, Esq.
Beth N. Mizuno, Esq.
Kimberly A. Sexton, Esq.
Christopher C. Chandler, Esq.
David E. Roth
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop: O-15 D21
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(E-mail: set@nrc.gov)
(E-mail: lbs3@nrc.gov)
(E-mail: bnm1@nrc.gov)
(E-mail: kimberly.sexton@nrc.gov)
(E-mail: christopher.chandler@nrc.gov)
(E-mail: David.Roth@nrc.gov)

Nancy Burton
147 Cross Highway
Redding Ridge, CT 06876
(E-mail: NancyBurtonCT@aol.com)

Justin D. Pruyne, Esq.
Assistant County Attorney, Litigation Bureau
of Counsel to Charlene M. Indelicato, Esq.
Westchester County Attorney
148 Martine Avenue, 6th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601
(E-mail: jdp3@westchestergov.com)

Diane Curran, Esq.
Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg,
L.L.P.
1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
(E-mail: dcurran@harmoncurran.com)

Thomas F. Wood, Esq.
Daniel Riesel, Esq.
Ms. Jessica Steinberg, J.D.
Sive, Paget & Riesel, P.C.
460 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(E-mail: driesel@sprlaw.com)
(E-mail: jsteinberg@sprlaw.com)

Robert D. Snook, Esq.
Office of the Attorney General
State of Connecticut
Assistant Attorney General
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120
(E-mail: Robert.Snook@po.state.ct.us)

Susan H. Shapiro, Esq.
21 Perlman Drive
Spring Valley, NY 10977
(E-mail: Palisadesart@aol.com
mbs@ourrocklandoffice.com)


Andrew M. Cuomo, Esq.
Attorney General of the State of New York
John J. Sipos, Esq.
Charlie Donanldson Esq.
Assistants Attorney General
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224-0341
(E-mail: john.sipos@oag.state.ny.us)

Richard L. Brodsky
5 West Main St.
Elmsford, NY 10523
(E-mail: brodskr@assembly.state.ny.us
richardbrodsky@msn.com)

Joan Leary Matthews, Esq.
Senior Attorney for Special Projects
Office of the General Counsel
New York State Department of
Environmental Conservation
625 Broadway, 14th Floor
Albany, NY 12207
(E-mail: jlmatthe@gw.dec.state.ny.us)

Janice A. Dean
Office of the Attorney General
of the State of New York
Assistant Attorney General
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
(E-mail: Janice.Dean@oag.state.ny.us)

* Original and 2 copies


Martin J. O'Neill