

Public Forum on the NRR Acceptance Review Process

January 31, 2008

Presenters


- Lisa Regner -Introduction
- John Lubinski -Discussion Facilitator
- Melanie Galloway -Background & Objectives
- -Discussion of Draft Procedure

Background & Objectives

- **Background**
 - Importance of RLA Quality
 - Impact of Poor Quality Submittals
 - Benefits of Acceptance Reviews
- **Objectives**
 - Consistent and High Quality RLAs
 - Effective Application of Resources
 - Consistent Application of Criteria
 - Reduction of Unnecessary Delays

Discussion of Draft Procedure

NRR Acceptance Review Flow Chart



Acceptance Criteria

- PM Criteria
 - Administrative Criteria
 - Use of Approved Guidance
 - Additional Criteria
 - Linked Requests for licensing Action (RLAs)
 - Promised Information
 - Need for an Exemption
 - Consolidated Line Item Improvement Process (CLIIPS)
- Technical Staff Criteria
 - Completeness of Scope
 - Sufficiency of Information
 - Regulatory Basis
 - Use of Approved Guidance
 - Use of Precedent
 - CLIIPS

5

After the Acceptance Review

- If RLA is Accepted
 - E-mail or letter will be sent to licensee
 - Detailed technical review will commence
- If RLA is Not Accepted
 - All NRC work on application will cease
 - Requests for discussion of RLA will only be entertained when there is no impact to ongoing NRC review activities
 - Licensee may address deficiencies and re-submit the RLA

6

Meeting Summary and Conclusion

Please remember that comments may be submitted to
E. Miller (gxm@nrc.gov)
until February 15, 2008

7
