

DOE Primary Privilege Log

Date: 01/31/2008

Supplements, Withdrawals, Corrections to DPP Privilege Log

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040116.5018
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470585
Doc Date	20010403
Title	TECHNICAL TALKING POINTS REGARDING EPA STANDARD
Subject Matter Description	TECHNICAL TALKING POINTS REGARDING EPA STANDARD
Authors	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of External Relations & Policy/
Recipients	Abraham, Spencer / DOE Secretary of Energy /
Author Recipient Relationship	Acting Director of OCRWM is providing information for the DOE Secretary of Energy for his consideration.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document provides information to the DOE Secretary of Energy concerning an upcoming meeting with the EPA regarding certain EPA standards for Yucca Mountain.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains talking points that the OCRWM director has prepared for the Secretary's meeting with the EPA. These are briefing materials for the Secretary that he may rely on and they contain the suggested talking points that the Secretary may want to raise with the EPA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040206.4635
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469963
Doc Date	19971210
Title	EPA RADIATION PROTECTION STANDARD FOR A GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN
Subject Matter Description	EPA RADIATION PROTECTION STANDARD FOR A GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / Acting Director Milner, Ronald/OCRFWM Office of Repository Development/Chief Operating Officer
Recipients	Brush, Peter / DOE / Holstein, Elgie / OMB / Livingston, Ellen / / Sullivan, Mary Anne / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Deputy General Counsel, Environmental and Nuclear Programs Wagner, M.L. / / Weathers, Peg / DOE / Preservation Board official /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP project personnel, including an attorney in the DOE OGC, with responsibility for YMP issues including radiation protection standards.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns the positions and issues that should be raised by the DOE Secretary in a meeting with the NRC chairman.
Deliberation Explanation	This document recommends talking points and issues to be addressed during the DOE Secretary's meeting with the NRC chairman.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040206.4636
LSN Accession Number	DEN001564460
Doc Date	19971210
Title	ATTACHMENT A EPA RADIATION PROTECTION FOR A GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN
Subject Matter Description	EPA RADIATION PROTECTION STANDARD FOR A GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN
Authors	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director/ Milner, Ronald/OCRFWM Office of Repository Development/Chief Operating Officer
Recipients	Brush, Peter / DOE / Holstein, Elgie / OMB / Livingston, Ellen / /

Sullivan, Mary Anne / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Deputy General Counsel, Environmental and Nuclear Programs

Wagner, M.L. / /

Weathers, Peg / DOE / Preservation Board official /

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP project personnel, including an attorney in the DOE OGC, with responsibility for YMP issues including radiation protection standards.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns the potential agency positions and issues that should be raised by the DOE Secretary in a meeting with the NRC chairman.
Deliberation Explanation	This document recommends talking points and issues to be addressed during the DOE Secretary's meeting with the NRC chairman. It provides the authors' subjective recommendations of what the DOE Secretary should state in an interagency communication with EPA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040206.8407
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469415
Doc Date	20010403
Title	INFORMATION: MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY INFORMATION MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) ON GROUNDWATER PROTECTION AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN
Subject Matter Description	Information: Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Groundwater Protection at Yucca Mountain
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / Acting Director
Recipients	Abraham, Spencer - DOE Secretary
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is the Executive Director of YMP and the recipient is the Secretary of Energy.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Examination of current options available regarding a separate groundwater standard
Deliberation Explanation	Discusses and evaluates criteria for evaluation of groundwater impacts, process, and duration for use in making a decision
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040206.8408
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468981
Doc Date	20010403
Title	APPENDIX A [EPA'S] FRAMEWORK OF AN MOA GROUND WATER PROTECTION AT YUCCA
Subject Matter Description	[EPA's]Framework of an MOA Ground Water Protection at Yucca
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / Acting Director
Recipients	Abraham, Spencer - DOE Secretary
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is the Executive Director of YMP and the recipient is the Secretary of Energy.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Examination of current options available regarding a separate groundwater standard
Deliberation Explanation	Provides information supporting discussion and evaluation criteria for evaluation of groundwater impacts, process, and duration for use in making a decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040206.8409
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470623
Doc Date	20010403
Title	APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF SELECTED MOU'S
Subject Matter Description	SUMMARY OF SELECTED Memorandum of Understanding(MOU's)
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / Acting Director
Recipients	Abraham, Spencer - DOE Secretary
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is the Executive Director of YMP and the recipient is the Secretary of Energy.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer

DP Decision Information	Examination of current options available regarding a separate groundwater standard
Deliberation Explanation	Provides information supporting discussion and evaluation criteria for evaluation of groundwater impacts, process, and duration for use in making a decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040207.7309
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479070
Doc Date	19991102
Title	TAB A DOE COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO EPA QUESTIONS REGARDING PROPOSED INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION STANDARD ("IPS")
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Individual Protection Standard ("IPS")
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE employees with responsibility for the draft comments and responses.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends positions to be taken by DOE in response to EPA questions regarding proposed standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommended positions and comments respecting DOE's response to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Individual Protection Standard ("IPS") to to assist DOE in deciding what agency position to adopt on the regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040207.7310
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479258
Doc Date	19991102
Title	TAB B DOE COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO EPA QUESTIONS REGARDING PROPOSED GROUNDWATER RESOURCE PROTECTION STANDARD ("GPS")
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS")
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE employees with responsibility for the draft comments and responses.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends positions to be taken by DOE in response to EPA questions regarding proposed standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommended positions and comments respecting DOE's response to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS") to assist DOE in deciding what agency position to adopt on the regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040207.7311
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330054
Doc Date	19991102
Title	TAB C DOE COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO EPA QUESTIONS REGARDING PROPOSED HUMAN INTRUSION STANDARD ("HIS")
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Human Intrusion Standard ("HIS")
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE employees with responsibility for the draft comments and responses.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends positions to be taken by DOE in response to EPA questions regarding proposed standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommended positions and comments respecting DOE's response to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Human Intrusion Standard ("HIS") to assist DOE in deciding what agency position to adopt on the

	regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040207.7312
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479039
Doc Date	19991102
Title	TAB D DOE COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO EPA QUESTIONS REGARDING MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES CONCERNING THE PROPOSED YUCCA MOUNTAIN STANDARDS
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Miscellaneous Issues Concerning The Proposed Yucca Mountain Standards
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE employees with responsibility for the draft comments and responses.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends positions to be taken by DOE in response to EPA questions regarding proposed standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommended positions and comments respecting DOE's response to EPA Questions Regarding Miscellaneous Issues Concerning Proposed YM Standards to assist DOE in deciding what agency position to adopt on the regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040207.7313
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479154
Doc Date	19991102
Title	TAB E DOE COMMENTS ON THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENT FOR 40 CFR 197
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments on the Background Information Document for 40 CFR 197
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Barrett, Lake H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE employees with responsibility for the draft comments and responses.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends positions to be taken by DOE in response to EPA questions regarding proposed standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommended positions and comments respecting DOE's response to EPA Background Information Document for 40 CFR 197 to assist DOE in deciding what agency position to adopt on the regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	DATA REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040221.4170
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333653
Doc Date	20030411
Title	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE WASTE FORMS FOR POSSIBLE INCLUSION IN REPOSITORY
Subject Matter Description	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE WASTE FORMS FOR POSSIBLE INCLUSION IN REPOSITORY
Authors	Breault, Andrea / Booz Allen Hamilton/ Fomous, John/ Booz Allen Hamilton/ Hanson, Christopher / Booz Allen Hamilton/ Henkel, Charles / Shaw Group/Stone & Webster / Hennessy, William / Shaw Group/Stone & Webster / Miller, Michael A., P.E./ Booz Allen Hamilton Nutt, Mark/ Golder & Associates/ Rowe, Patrick/ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ Seitz, Martin/ Booz Allen Hamilton/

Shaffner, J. / Parallax Inc /
Voss, Charles/ Golder & Associates /
Zubajlo, Ruthie K. / Booz Allen Hamilton/

Recipients	OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis and Strategy Development
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are contractors of DOE who were tasked by OCRWM to prepare the analysis of the subject report. The recipient is DOE's OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis and Strategy Development that has responsibility for the subject matter of the report.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This documents identifies for DOE's decision regarding programmatic concerns and provides recommendations concerning the suitability of adding stabilized plutonium and untreated sodium-bonded spent nuclear fuel as waste forms for inclusion in the repository baseline.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides the authors' recommendations and opinions on the position DOE's OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis and Strategy Development should take on the addition of the waste forms to the YM repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document does not discuss facts as such. It makes various assumptions and provides analysis based on those assumptions.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING FINANCIAL STATEMENT MEETING AID REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040227.8764
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337076
Doc Date	19970117
Title	PREDECISIONAL DRAFT. COMMENTS ON DISPOSAL CRITICALITY ISSUES
Subject Matter Description	Paper addresses screening event sequences and eliminating highly unlikely ones from criticality evaluations, limiting the likelihood of criticality events, and using a conservative margin applied to results of criticality analyses.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H. / DOE - OCRWM /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE OCRWM official who has responsibility for developing licensing positions and strategies for DOE.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The paper contains information regarding screening event sequences and eliminating highly unlikely ones from criticality evaluations, limiting the likelihood of criticality events, and using a conservative margin applied to results of criticality analyses.
Deliberation Explanation	The paper provides opinions on draft positions on the following issues: screening event sequences and eliminating highly unlikely ones from criticality evaluations, limiting the likelihood of criticality events, and using a conservative margin applied to results of criticality analyses.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document consists of the author's subjective opinions and disclosure of its contents would reveal the author's opinions on the draft and the reasons for those opinions, and the positions under consideration by DOE.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040308.7968
LSN Accession Number	DN2002457999
Doc Date	20021030
Title	MODULAR CONSTRUCTION SYSTEM EVALUATION PRE- DECISIONAL STUDY
Subject Matter Description	Design study that recommends repository design options to support receipt and/or emplacement of CSNF, HLW, and DOE-managed SNF.
Authors	Benz, Edward F./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/ Gillespie, Scott G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development/
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cotton, Thomas/ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Dyer, Russel J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Kouts, Christopher A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Mellington, Suzanne P./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Business Support and Resource Management/ Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are personnel with the the DOE M&O contractor for the YMP. The recipients are DOE officials who are responsible for the subject matter of the document, and other M&O personnel assisting DOE on the matter.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This evaluation was presented to DOE for its review for DOE's use in selecting a repository design to support receipt and/or placement of CSNF, HLW, DOE-managed SNF. It precedes DOE's decision on which option to choose.
Deliberation Explanation	This document is deliberative because it presents several scenarios for DOE to consider. It was prepared by DOE's contractor for DOE, and presents the analysis, opinions and recommendations of the contractor. It is the type of

	document and information that DOE relies upon in its decisional process.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING LIST PHOTOGRAPH REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040324.6722
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334742
Doc Date	20001212
Title	PORB POSITION PAPER
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns selection of a temperature operating mode for the YM repository.
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Director Mellington, Suzanne P. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Execution / Lead
Recipients	PORB
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are YMP project personnel. The recipients are project personnel who serve on the PORB.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should select and analyze a representative lower temperature operating mode in the Site Recommendation Report as an alternative to the base case.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' recommendations on the topic, the authors' opinions on various potential scenarios that could be considered, the potential advantages of each, and assessments of the estimated cost of each.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual section or other factual information. It presents potential scenarios and subjective opinions about them.
Doc Type	DATA REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040326.0618
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332965
Doc Date	19930421
Title	COMMENTS ON STRAWMAN POSITIONS ON ISSUES RELATED TO THE NAS STUDY OF STANDARDS FOR YUCCA MOUNTAIN
Subject Matter Description	The subject is the NAS Study of Standards for the YMP.
Authors	Pflum, Chris G. / Science Applications International Corporation /
Recipients	Adams, Pamela / BSC Repository Development - Event Cat & Rel Analyses/ Boak, J. M. / YMP / Christ, Janet B./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cloke, P.L.-SAIC Gertz, Carl / DOE - Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project / Project Manager Gil, April V. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Jones, Susan / DOE - OCRWM / Scientific Programs Lead Lugo, Michael / BSC Repository Development - Site Recommendation / Senior Staff Member Nesbit, Steven / TRW / M&O Contractor Voegele, Michael D. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Program Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE employees and contractors with licensing-related responsibility for the subject of the document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Positions on issues that are potentially licensing-related.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is identifying issues that are potentially licensing-related and providing responses to or comments on those issues.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040518.2310
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336338
Doc Date	20030130
Title	OVERVIEW OF SCENARIOS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE REPOSITORY ALTERNATIVE OPERATING

SCENARIOS SYSTEM STUDY PRE-DECISIONAL STUDY

Subject Matter Description	OVERVIEW OF SCENARIOS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE REPOSITORY ALTERNATIVE OPERATING SCENARIOS SYSTEM STUDY PRE-DECISIONAL STUDY
Authors	Cotton, Thomas/ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Gillespie, Scott G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering/
Recipients	Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Acting Deputy Director Wells, Wiley / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are personnel working on the YMP involved in the decision-making process for selecting the appropriate operating scenario or scenarios.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP decision information is the recommended set of scenarios to be used in a study to identify and evaluate a range of possible repository operating scenarios.
Deliberation Explanation	The paper references a system study to identify and evaluate a range of possible repository operating scenarios. This substance of this paper is an overview of the recommended set of scenarios to be used in the study. This will lead to a consideration and decision of the appropriate operating scenario.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.0042
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332970
Doc Date	19960703
Title	Three Issues to Ruin an Otherwise Good Vacation
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft EPA rule on groundwater protection and an interagency agreement with the TRB.
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division and Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Senior Project Manager Barton, Robert / / DOE/YMSCO Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Suitability & Licensing Lead Bryan, Debra / / Gil, April V. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Lugo, Michael / BSC Repository Development - Site Recommendation / Senior Staff Member Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist Rickertsen, Larry D. / BSC Repository Development - Geologists / BSC Principal Scientist I Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Senior Principal Scientist II
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a senior YMP advisor providing advice to the head of the program. The other recipients were YMP personnel involved in the subject matter of the document and were assisting DOE on the developing agency positions regarding the draft regulations.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what agency positions DOE should take on the draft regulations.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendations and opinions regarding positions DOE should consider taking on the regulations and reasons for them.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.0192
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337422
Doc Date	20000421
Title	Re: RECOMMENDATIONS Based ON Additional Information on Previously Proposed PORB Position Papers on Calculation Time & Peak Dose
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a proposed position paper on the calculational timeframes for peak dose calculations to support the site recommendation.
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Adams, Jerri / DOE / Andrews, Robert W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Bauer, Linda/ DOE - OCRWM/

Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/
 Brodeur, Mary / BSC /
 Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / DOE Chief of Staff Supervisor
 Clark, Robert W./ DOE - OCRWM/
 Cline, Mike L./ BSC /
 Gamble, Mike / DOE /
 Hamilton-Ray, Birdie/DOE
 Horton, Donald G./ DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office/
 Morris, Sheryl A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
 Nutt, Mark/ Golder Associates/
 Raplogle, Jim
 Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West /
 Schrecongost, Jill / DOE/RW /
 Spence, Richard
 Trebules, Victor / DOE - OCRWM /
 VanDerPuy, Mark/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
 Wade, Scott A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health/
 Wells, Robert / DOE/RW/ OCIO /
 Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a OCRWM official. The recipients are, variously, DOE and DOE contractor personnel who work on the YMP with responsibility for the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether consideration of the draft white paper should be delayed and consideration given to modifications in the million year calculations to address volcanism.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendations on the referenced decision and his subjective views of regulatory requirements that he believes bear on the decision. The document also provides the author's recommendation on a process for making a decision on the topic.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides subjective views of regulatory requirements and potential alternative approaches posed by the requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.1334
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331685
Doc Date	20000410
Title	Calculational timeframes and peak dose policies
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns two position papers that Don Horton (an YMP personnel) submitted to the PORB on the timeframe for TSPA calculations for the Site Recommendation and proposed measures to reduce, if needed, mean peak dose.
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy /
Recipients	Adams, Jerri / DOE / Bauer, Linda / DOE - OCRWM / Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office, Deputy PM - Operations Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / Director Clark, Robert W. / DOE - OCRWM / Office of Quality Assurance, Director Hamilton-Ray, Birdie / / Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director Morris, Sheryl A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Business Support Lead Replogle, Jim / / Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West / Schrecongost, Jill / DOE/RW / Spence, Dick / DOE/RW / Trebules, Victor / DOE - OCRWM / VanDerPuy, Mark / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Safety Conscious Work Environment Manager Wade, Scott A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health / Office of Facility Operation, Environment Safety & Health Division Acting Director Wells, Bob / / Williams, Dennis / DOE - OCRWM / Senior Policy Advisor; Deputy Assistant Manager for Licensing.
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are members of the PORB, a decisionmaking body that DOE formed for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns two proposed policy positions that DOE is being asked to adopt, one concerning the appropriate timeframes for TSPA calculations to support the Site Recommendation and the other concerning approaches following Site Recommendation to the issue of mean peak dose.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides a statement of proposed policy positions on the two topics and a summary of the reasons for the recommended positions.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate discussion of factual information apart from the recommended policy statements. The reasons for the policy would necessarily disclose the author's reasons for the recommendations.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.4412
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469789
Doc Date	20000406
Title	PORB Position on Peak Dose_Rev01
Subject Matter Description	PORB Position Paper - Project Position on Peak Dose
Authors	Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director
Recipients	Adams, Jerri / DOE / Bauer, Linda / DOE - OCRWM / Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office, Deputy PM - Operations Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / Director Clark, Robert W. / DOE - OCRWM / Office of Quality Assurance, Director Hamilton-Ray, Birdie / / Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director Morris, Sheryl A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Business Support Lead Replogle, Jim / / Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West / Schrecongost, Jill / DOE/RW / Spence, Dick / DOE/RW / Trebules, Victor / / VanDerPuy, Mark / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Wade, Scott A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health / Office of Facility Operation, Environment Safety & Health Division Acting Director Wells, Bob / / Williams, Dennis / DOE - OCRWM / Senior Policy Advisor; Deputy Assistant Manager for Licensing.
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official responsible for this position paper. The recipients are either OCRWM officials or DOE M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for this subject matter.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This position paper makes a recommendation for DOE concerning what information should be analyzed and addressed in the site recommendation and LA regarding the compliance period and peak dose.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides a statement of proposed policy positions on the two topics and a summary of the reasons for the recommended positions.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements and what policy statements should be made by DOE. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency positions to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.4413
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470770
Doc Date	20000406
Title	PORB Position on Time Periods for Calculations_Rev01
Subject Matter Description	PORB Position Paper -Time frame for TSPA-SR (and LA) system performance calculations
Authors	Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director
Recipients	Adams, Jerri / DOE / Bauer, Linda / DOE - OCRWM / Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office, Deputy PM - Operations Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / Director Clark, Robert W. / DOE - OCRWM / Office of Quality Assurance, Director Hamilton-Ray, Birdie / / Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director Morris, Sheryl A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Business Support Lead Replogle, Jim / / Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West / Schrecongost, Jill / DOE/RW / Spence, Dick / DOE/RW / Trebules, Victor / / VanDerPuy, Mark / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Wade, Scott A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health / Office of Facility Operation, Environment Safety & Health Division Acting Director Wells, Bob / /

Williams, Dennis / DOE - OCRWM / Senior Policy Advisor; Deputy Assistant Manager for Licensing.

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official responsible for this position paper. The recipients are either OCRWM officials or DOE M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for this subject matter.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This position paper makes a recommendation for DOE concerning what information should be analyzed and addressed in the site recommendation and LA regarding the compliance period and peak dose.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides a statement of proposed policy positions on the two topics and a summary of the reasons for the recommended positions.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements and what policy statements should be made by DOE. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency positions to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.4626
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469730
Doc Date	20000406
Title	PORB Position on Peak Dose_Rev01
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns DOE's peak dose calculations provided with the Draft YM EIS and comments that the peak dose is too high to be acceptable.
Authors	Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director
Recipients	PORB team members
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a YMP personnel. The PORB was a decisionmaking body DOE formed for the YMP.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should adopt a policy statement on peak dose for an upcoming meeting with the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions regarding what points should be addressed in the policy statement.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any discussion of factual information. It provides points that are recommended to be addressed in a policy position.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040612.9507
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336319
Doc Date	20000912
Title	SNFissues09-12
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is proposed licensing strategy for DOE SNF and related issues: (1) basis of qualification (technical assessment v. physical characterization v. other options); (2) need for qualification beyond DBE; (3) likely success of robust canister concept; and (4) amount of information needed for acceptance at repository.
Authors	M&O Licensing
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Beckman, Donald/ BSC Repository Development / Benz, Edward F./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/ Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Bruno, Thomas/ / Burgoyne, Robert/ MTS - Transportation/ Carlson, James/ DOE - OCRWM/ Clark, Robert W./ DOE - OCRWM/ Clouet, John / TRW / M&O Contractor Draffin, Cyril / TRW / M&O Contractor Einberg, Christian E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Evans, Deborah Gomberg, Steve/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management/ Gunter, Timothy/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer/ Howard, Robert L./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Murthy, Beesabathuni S./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Quality Assurance/ Pointer, Kenneth/ BSC Repository Development - LSN/ Pollog, Thomas / RW/DOE / Papa, Markus A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Price, Joe C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Scott, Michael L./ BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations/

Senderling, Mark S./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Acquisition Strategy/
 Sequeira, Gary/ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
 Slater, Nancy / DOE/RW /
 Stambaugh, Roberta / SNL /
 Stockman, Christine / SNL /
 Sweeney, Timothy / BSC /
 Thornton, Thomas / BSC / OCRWM contractor /
 Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
 Vance, Scott / TRW/JAI / M&O Contractor
 Vlahakis, John/ DOE/
 Weber, Carl / DOE/OQA /
 Williams, Jeffrey R./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/
 Zabransky, Dave K./ DOE - OCRWM/

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel supporting the YMP with responsibility for developing licensing strategy on the issues addressed.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	DP information in this document includes analysis and information regarding proposed licensing strategy for DOE SNF and related issues: (1) basis of qualification (technical assessment v. physical characterization v. other options); (2) need for qualification beyond DBE; (3) likely success of robust canister concept; and (4) amount of information needed for acceptance at repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the basis for discussing and resolving licensing strategy for DOE SNF and related issues: (1) basis of qualification (technical assessment v. physical characterization v. other options); (2) need for qualification beyond DBE; (3) likely success of robust canister concept; and (4) amount of information needed for acceptance at repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040615.0702
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470370
Doc Date	20020410
Title	HISTORY AND UPDATE ON POSTCLOSURE THERMAL CONDITIONS
Subject Matter Description	This document discusses the then-current state of DOE's understanding of the thermal conditions, analyzes this issue, and provides DOE's recommended path-forward.
Authors	Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration/ Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Dyer, Russel J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Horton, Donald G./ DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office/ Iorii, Vance/ DOE/RW/ Kouts, Christopher A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Mellington [Riddle - maiden], Suzanne P./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Business Support and Resource Management/ Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Spence, Dick/ DOE/RW/ Ziegler, Joseph/ DOE-OCRWM/Licensing Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official. The recipients are OCRWM officials or DOE M&O contractor personnel with responsibility over this subject matter area.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The information contained in this presentation conveys DOE's then-current position on postclosure thermal conditions.
Deliberation Explanation	The information presented contains a discussion and analysis of this subject matter with DOE's proposed path forward on this licensing issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA MEETING AID

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040615.6383
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334388
Doc Date	20000415
Title	The parallel work effort related to quantifying uncertainties of hot vs cold
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft position paper on the appropriateness of undertaking an analysis to quantify uncertainties of hot vs cold repository designs.
Authors	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead
Recipients	Bodvarsson, Gudmundur S. (Bo) / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) LBNL Lab Lead Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Dyer, Russel J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Horton, Donald G./ DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office/ Nutt, Mark/ Golder Associates/ Sullivan, Timothy/ DOE/ Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE OCRWM official. The recipients are other DOE OCRWM and DOE contractor personnel who work on the YMP with responsibility for the subject matter of the draft position paper addressed in the document, and who assist the author in developing the recommended positions for DOE's management's consideration.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether a position paper should be prepared for DOE's decision on whether to perform a parallel analysis to examine quantitatively uncertainties of hot versus cold designs for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document proposes a draft policy statement for decision by senior OCRWM management and seeks comments from the recipients. This concerns strategies for selecting potential operating modes of the YM repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. The policy statement it contains is a draft, and any discussion in the draft discloses the author's ongoing deliberations regarding what position to take and what grounds support that proposed position. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040617.0068
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479081
Doc Date	20030602
Title	Comment on J King Position
Subject Matter Description	This is the attachment to the 6/4/03 email of Jerry King.
Authors	King, Jerry L. / BSC Repository Development - Site Recommendation / BSC Project Manager
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Project Manager Coleman, Drew H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Site Operations Team Physical Scientist Coppersmith, K. / / Probabilistic seismic hazard analysis Lindner, Ernest N. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Orvis, Douglas D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Geologists / BSC Principal Engineer I Pernisi, Richard / BSC / Quittmeyer, Richard C. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Richardson, Dennis C. / BSC Repository Development - Project Engineers / BSC Project Engineer Savino, John / MTS/BAH / Stepp, Carl / BSC / Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and the recipients are DOE MTO contractor personnel with responsibility for the subject matter of the draft position paper discussed in the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This documents concerns what position DOE should adopt for the licensing proceeding with respect to the regulatory intent of 10 CFR 63.102(f) regarding the limits for seismic initiating events.
Deliberation Explanation	This documents provides a draft licensing position on the referenced topic and provides the author's opinion and recommendations concerning edits. The document was prepared as part of DOE's request to the MTO contractor for recommendations on the referenced topic, to assist DOE decisionmaking on what position to adopt.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations.

	Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040617.4075
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329452
Doc Date	20000621
Title	UZ/SZ PORB Paper
Subject Matter Description	This document contains an email discussion about a position paper on the unsaturated and saturated zones.
Authors	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist
Recipients	Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Howard, Robert L./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering/ Linden, Ronald/ MTS - Performance Assessment/Science/ Mukhopadhyay, Bimal/ MTS - Performance Assessment/Science/ Murray, Robert/ MTS - Licensing/ Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Patterson, Russ/OCRWM Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Compliance/ Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/ Yunker, Jean L./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a senior DOE contractor personnel. The recipients are officials within OCRWM and DOE contractor personnel with responsibility for the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns decisions to be made by the PORB on the representativeness of information in the UZ and SZ PMRs and on funding for follow-up work related to the same issues.
Deliberation Explanation	This documents provides views and opinions on a draft paper recommending decision to be made by PORB. The author, Mike Cline, provides his recommendation on whether DOE should support and fund additional work being proposed by the M&O contractor.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040618.0096
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331434
Doc Date	20031212
Title	SZ recycling comments, Swift and Arnold
Subject Matter Description	Chain of emails discussion comments on a draft licensing position paper addressing the potential exclusion of irrigation recycling from the TSPA.
Authors	Arnold, Bill / Sandia / DOE contractor Swift, Peter N./ BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment/ DOE contractor Zhu, Ming / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist / DOE contractor
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W./ BSC Repository Development/ Arnold, Bill / SNL / Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development/ Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Dixon, Paul R. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Laboratory Lead Echols, Francis / BSC / DOE contractor Hardin, Ernest / Berldey & Sandia Laboratories / OCRWM Contractor Howard, Robert L./ BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment Strategy and Scope/ Kuzio, Stephanie / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Science Integration/ Skoblar, Leonard/ BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group/ Swift, Peter N./ BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment/ Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development/ Wisenburg, Mark R./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis/ Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contract personnel.

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is whether to include or exclude irrigation recycling in the TSPA.
Deliberation Explanation	The email change reflects the debate and deliberation of the Yucca Mountain Project personnel with responsibility for making a recommendation on this issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The email chain contains no separate factual background or discussion. The comments in the email are evaluative, set forth and debate assumptions, and pervasively reference the appropriate regulatory analysis.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4440
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329174
Doc Date	20031120
Title	1113039495_Enc
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the estimated impacts of a draft shipping schedule for wastes at the YM repository that would change the schedule for shipment of defense wastes and changes the form in which some of the wastes are delivered.
Authors	Garfield, William/BSC Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I Mitchell, John (Jr.) T./ BSC Repository Development - General Manager/ Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering/
Recipients	DOE OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are YMP M&O contractor personnel. The document was provided to DOE OCRWM management for consideration.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns a decision by DOE whether to adopt a proposed approach for the shipment of defense waste to the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' assessment of the proposed strategy and makes recommendations concerning its adoption, with regard to potential transportation impacts, potential repository impacts, and statutory, licensing and regulatory impacts. The information is provided, at DOE's request, to enable DOE to decide whether to adopt the draft approach.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any fact discussion. It makes assumptions about potential scenarios and then makes conclusions regarding them.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST PHOTOGRAPH REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4894
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334958
Doc Date	20040311
Title	lr_sar_1451jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.5 of the draft LA, which addresses Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4895

LSN Accession Number	DN2002330512
Doc Date	20040311
Title	lr_sar_1452jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.5.2 of the draft LA, which addresses Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4896
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337488
Doc Date	20040311
Title	lr_sar_1453jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.5.3 of the draft LA, which addresses Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4897
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332376
Doc Date	20040312
Title	lr_sar_1454jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.5.4 of the draft LA, which addresses Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

	reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4899
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332157
Doc Date	20040312
Title	lr_sar_1461jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.6 of the draft LA, which addresses Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.4900
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336210
Doc Date	20040312
Title	lr_sar_1462jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.6.2 of the draft LA, which addresses Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.7014
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329737
Doc Date	20040302
Title	LA section 1.4.4 Safeguards and Security-combined comments
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure Sec. 1.4.4 Comments
Authors	Spang, Robert / BSC Repository Development /
Recipients	Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040621.7276
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335064
Doc Date	20040325
Title	lr_sar_136_tables_MJL
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is certain tables draft Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure and Quality comments from the LAD Production Team.
Authors	Lad Production Team McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development /
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Carl Jr., William F. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Darling, David B. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Engineer Gonzales, Lou / BSC Repository Development - Facilities Design / Testing Lead Honaker, Raymond Jessen, James P. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineer Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Kutzer, John F./ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The LAD Production Team authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040817.0274
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332494
Doc Date	20000216
Title	PORB POSITION PAPER
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns six issues that affect the Site Recommendation Consideration Report: timeframes for the TSPA-SR performance calculations; use of conservative assumptions and bounding analyses; inadvertent human intrusion scenarios; groundwater protection requirement in 40 CFR 197; individual dose protection standard; groundwater travel time.
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Director
Recipients	PORB Members
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official. The recipients are a group of TMP personnel that DOE formed to assist is developing positions for the YMP, as part of DOE's decisionmaking process for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document provides the author's recommended positions on the six identified subject matters.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides, variously, for the six areas either a recommended policy position or regulatory analysis to support adoption of a position.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document does not contain any separate factual discussion for any of the six issues. For some, the memo proposes a draft position statement. For others, the memo provides a regulatory analysis of the issue. In both respects, the discussion in the memo is entirely deliberative. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	FORM

REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040817.1841
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334371
Doc Date	20040223
Title	SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN DISCUSSIONS WITH BOB BUDNITZ, BOB KENNEDY AND ALLIN CORNELL(J. KING, J. AKE(SUMMARY), M, GROSS, R, DYER, S HANAUER)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is the license application and associated licensing strategy.
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and others are identified were charged with identifying issues with respect to DOE's licensing positions and offering recommendations on how to address those issues.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP Decision information includes an identification of issues identified with DOE's licensing positions and suggestions, in some instances, for addressing those issues.
Deliberation Explanation	The deliberation is focused on issues that will need to be addressed in DOE's licensing position as reflected in the license application and how to address those issues.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040824.3706
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329978
Doc Date	20040504
Title	lr_sar_133
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the draft section 1.3.3 of the draft LA, which concerns the proposed subsurface facility operations to be at the YM repository, with the author's comments.
Authors	Suarez, Diego/ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Dearmin, Jennifer A. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Gonzales, Lou / BSC Repository Development - Facilities Design / Testing Lead Lloyd, Janette/BSC Obrad, Vicky /BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040824.3988
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336746
Doc Date	20040604
Title	Comments SAR Section 5
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is General Information, Section 5, and comments on same made by DOE OGC and outside counsel.
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Kordyak, Angela / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Oney, Thomas / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Perkins, Shelby / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors are DOE OGC attorneys and DOE outside counsel, and recipient is DOE employee, and both are responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, advice, and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LEGAL DOCUMENT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040824.3989
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330918
Doc Date	20040604
Title	Comments GI Section 5
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is draft license application, section 5, General Information.
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Kordyak, Angela / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Oney, Thomas / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Perkins, Shelby / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Recipients	Ang, Marybelle C./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Edwards, W J./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Fillmore, Robert M./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Irwin, Donald/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Rinaca, James/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are licensing counsel and a DOE employee responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LEGAL DOCUMENT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040824.6129
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335521
Doc Date	20040610
Title	Comments SAR Section 2.3.4doc
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with attorney comments on draft SAR Section 2.3.4
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Jo Ann Biggs / Hunton & Williams / Attorney
Recipients	Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and DOE outside licensing counsel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040831.2275
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333742
Doc Date	20040614
Title	YM-3777-v2-MEMO SAR Pefromance Confirmation Comments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft chapter 4 of the draft LA, which addresses a potential performance confirmation plan for the YM repository.
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney
Recipients	Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE Office of General Counsel attorney who works on Yucca Mountain Project matters. The recipients are two DOE OCRWM officials who are responsible for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on what form of performance confirmation program to adopt, and the form and content of the discussion on this topic in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	Counsel is providing advice and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions to adopt for a performance confirmation program, and the form and content of the discussion of this topic in the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document is not a discussion of facts. It concerns a draft discussion of a potential program and consists of regulatory analyses and other legal advice.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040831.2773
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481371
Doc Date	20040616
Title	Bradbury comments SAR Section 1.6
Subject Matter Description	Bradbury comments SAR Section 1.6 which concerns Identification of Hazards and Initiating Events
Authors	Bradbury, Robert / MTS - Regulatory / Shaw Group (Stone & Webster) Staff Scientist (Regulatory) / DOE contractor
Recipients	Morgan, Tish / MTS - Regulatory / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Staff Scientist (Regulatory) / DOE contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040831.2774
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481377
Doc Date	20040616
Title	J Johns Comments (Section 1.6)

Subject Matter Description	Jeff Johns Comments on LA Section 1.6 - Identification of Hazards and Initiating Events
Authors	Johns, Jeff / MTS / DOE contractor
Recipients	Morgan, Tish / MTS - Regulatory / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Staff Scientist (Regulatory) / DOE contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040831.2776
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481375
Doc Date	20040614
Title	Nutt Review Comments (Section 1.6)
Subject Matter Description	Review Comments Draft SAR Section 1.6 Identification of Hazards and Initiating Events, W. Mark Nutt
Authors	Nutt, William M. / MTS - Technical Expertise Teams Coordinator / Golder Associates Team Lead / DOE contractor
Recipients	Morgan, Tish / MTS - Regulatory / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Staff Scientist (Regulatory) / DOE contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040831.2777
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481370
Doc Date	20040615
Title	Osborne Chapter 1.6 Hazards Comments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 1.6 of the draft LA, which concerns identification of hazards and initiating events.
Authors	Osborne, James / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Site Operations Team member
Recipients	Morgan, Tish / MTS - Regulatory / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Staff Scientist (Regulatory) / DOE contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040831.2894
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329861
Doc Date	20040514
Title	Rev 3 Review - Attorney Client Privileged
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft performance confirmation plan for the YN repository.
Authors	Hunton & Williams LLP

Recipients	Barr, Deborah L./ DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition/ DOE Office of General Counsel Henning, Roger J. / BSC Repository Development - Natural Systems / BSC Principal Scientist II Weaver, Douglas / BSC / SNL subcontractor
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the YMP. The document was provided to the DOE Office of General Counsel and then by the Office of General to three YMP personnel responsible for the draft document that was the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what strategy DOE should adopt toward development of a performance confirmation plan in the LA, with respect to the state of its development and specify in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides DOE counsel's advice and recommendations on regulatory requirements and what licensing positions DOE should adopt on the topic.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT FORM LEGAL DOCUMENT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040902.0069
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337290
Doc Date	20040609
Title	LSN DOCUMENTS COVER SHEET POTENTIALLY RELEVANT DOCUMENTS
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns DOE's licensing position on the concentration of groundwater pollutants by irrigation.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Lead
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Gil, April V. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contract personnel. The recipients are DOE OCRWM officials with responsibility for development of the license application and the licensing position that is the subject of the communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what licensing position DOE should adopt, under the YM regulations, with respect to recycling of groundwater for purposes of establishing compliance with safety standards.
Deliberation Explanation	The document was prepared to advise senior DOE personnel of the author's recommendations on the referenced topic, to assist their decision on what position to adopt. The document provides the author's views of possible positions and recommendations of how to implement them.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document does not have a factual section. Any discussion of factual information is intertwined with the author's assessments and recommendations, and would disclose the author's opinions as to what information is material to consideration of the licensing position, and thus are part of the deliberative nature of the document.
Doc Type	MEETING AID REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040903.2222
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329266
Doc Date	20040602
Title	la_sar_13_redline
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report - Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	Lydic, Margaret J./ BSC Repository Development /
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Obrad, Vicky / BSC / Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

	2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20040929.2143
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332578
Doc Date	20040712
Title	Section 5.8
Subject Matter Description	Comments on SAR Section 5.8
Authors	Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Crawford, Marian J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health / Safety and Health Division Team Member Edwards, W J. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer McRae, James Ben / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Assistant General Counsel for Civilian Nuclear Programs
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE Office of General Counsel attorney who works on Yucca Mountain Project matters. The recipients are attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters, DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project, and DOE OCRWM personnel who have responsibility for preparation of the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20041227.1659
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333621
Doc Date	20040730
Title	Attorney Client Privileged - seismic scenario
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the potential treatment of seismic scenarios for the license application.
Authors	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead
Recipients	Ake, Jon / DOE / U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Geophysist: Supporting Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis Arthur, John, III / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Deputy Director Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Chu, Margaret / DOE - OCRWM / DOE Director DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Dyer, J. Russell / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / OCRWM official Peters, Mark / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Department Manager Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM / OCRWM official Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE OCRWM official. The recipients are DOE OGC counsel, the director and deputy director of OCRWM, and other YMP personnel who work with the author on the subject of the referenced communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what seismic scenario to adopt in connection with the license application.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's presentation of various options and his personal recommendation of which option DOE should select.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It presents options and subjective views about the options, all of which is part of the deliberative discussion. The document does have an attachment with factual information that has been made available.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20041227.7275
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333077
Doc Date	20040810
Title	SAR Section 1.4.2 Post Chapter Review Draft Tables
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposed discussion of the location of meteorological towers and associated instruments.
Authors	Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Holt Jr., Robert D. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The document proposes form and content for the referenced section of the draft LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20041227.7370
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331564
Doc Date	20040729
Title	LA SAR Comment Review and Consolidation Meeting of 7-29-04
Subject Matter Description	LA SAR Comment Review and Consolidation Meeting of 7-29-04
Authors	Gonzalez, Jaime/ BSC /
Recipients	Boutin, Robert J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Greenberg, Harris / MTS - Engineering & Preclosure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Nuclear Engineer Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Listed as Kirk D. Lachman Engineering Division Director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft SAR section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST MEETING AID
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20041227.7512
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333573
Doc Date	20040818
Title	cr_sar_123_tables_81704BS
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is tables in draft SAR section 1.2.3 and comments on those tables.
Authors	Slovic, Robert C./ BSC Repository Development - Dry Transfer Facility/
Recipients	Brock, Robert T. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager

Kutzer, John F./ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/

Theiren, Maria / BSC Repository Development/

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE MTO contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken in the tables in draft SAR section 1.2.3 and their form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed content and form of tables in draft SAR section 1.2.3.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050217.0008
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333900
Doc Date	20040603
Title	PRIVMemo040603.doc
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the treatment of failure modes in the "Analysis of Mechanisms for Early Waste Package/Drip Shield Failure."
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H. / Bechtel SAIC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an DOE M&O contractor personnel tasked by DOE to perform the requested analysis.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is how to proceed on the TSPA-LA in light of the author's assessment of the failure analysis in the referenced document.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides the author's recommendations for future work that might be performed in connection with the referenced analysis, along with the author's reasons for those recommendations and various options. The document was prepared to assist DOE in deciding how to proceed with respect to the referenced analysis, including whether to allocate resources for the recommended activities.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted. The document does not contain any separate discussion of facts. The document provides the author's opinions, several options for proposed paths forward, and recommendations on how to proceed. Disclosure of this discussion would reveal the author's opinions and deliberative opinions on those matters.
Doc Type	EFILE LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050222.1380
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330490
Doc Date	20020917
Title	Comments on Licensing Position on Waste Stream Parameters
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft Licensing Position on Waste Stream Parameters, such as rate and order of receipt of waste at the YM repository.
Authors	Haas, Martin / BSC / Su, Steven / BSC / OCRWM contractor /
Recipients	Martin, Guy / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel working on development of the referenced white paper for DOE's decision.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position DOE should adopt for the licensing proceeding regarding what wastes will arrive at the YM repository and when.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' subjective opinions on the draft position paper and makes recommendations regarding its content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion or other fact section that can be segregated from the author's subjective opinions. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050317.4712
---------------------------	--------------------------

LSN Accession Number	DN2002470511
Doc Date	19990203
Title	Re: YDAR No. 20372/White Papers Re: Defense in Depth
Subject Matter Description	The document sets forth the authors' discussion of whether to accept or reject two white papers as meeting the requirements of a deliverable from the M&O. The subject white papers relate to postclosure repository design bases and the viability assessment reference system.
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Acting Director Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Dockery, Holly / YMP Personnel Dove, Harvey/ BSC Repository Development - Science & Analysis/ Gamble, Robert / MTS / MTS/Booz-Allen Support Contract Gil, April V./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Richardson, Dennis C./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Rickertsen, Larry D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Weber, Ellyn / Innovations / OCRWM contractor Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/ Zwahlen, Eric/ BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment/ Zwahlen, Eric/ BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are officials within OCRWM, and the recipients are other officials within OCRWM as well as DOE's M&O contractor personnel.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Whether to accept or reject YDAR No. 20372 (2 white papers regarding (i) Postclosure Repository "Defense-in-Depth" Design Bases and (ii) Postclosure "Defense-in-Depth" Evaluation - The Viability Assessment Reference System).
Deliberation Explanation	The author sets forth an extensive analysis of the two subject white papers with a rationalize for accepting or rejecting the deliverable from the M&O contractor.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no separate "factual" discussion or background section. Rather, its content is purely analytical and evaluative, reflecting the author's assessment of the reviewed papers and whether they meet the requirements for the deliverable from the M&O Contractor.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050322.4810
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329536
Doc Date	20040924
Title	sar_239_092204_arnold
Subject Matter Description	The document is the draft section 2.3.9 of the draft LA, which concerns the saturated zone flow and transport model, with the author's interlinear comments.
Authors	Arnold, William/BSC/Sandia sub
Recipients	Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Manager of Technology I Zhu, Ming / BSC Repository Development - Natural Systems / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on how to model the saturated zone flow, and how to structure the discussion and what to say about it in the draft LA,
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, for consideration by DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft with the final version of the LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on the subject matter. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050323.2826
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332693

Doc Date	20040607
Title	Atty Comments SAR 5.9
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft section 5.9 of the draft LA, which addresses use of the GROA for purposes other than disposal of radioactive waste.
Authors	Edwards, W. Jeffrey / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Recipients	Capshaw, Roy / OCRWM - Office of Quality Assurance / Quality Assurance Engineer Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Ralphs, Philip / DOE - CRWMS / Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead / OCRWM contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors are attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE outside licensing counsel and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The relevant decision is what uses to identify for the GROA in the LA and the form and content of the discussion of that topic in the LA
Deliberation Explanation	The attorneys are providing advice and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It consists of advice on regulatory and other legal issues posed by a draft discussion of possible uses that could be identified for the GROA.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050323.4013
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337966
Doc Date	20040719
Title	YM-4071-v2-Comments on 2.3.5 and 2.3.6 7 6 04 Version
Subject Matter Description	DOE licensing counsel comments on Chapter's 2.3.5 and 2.3.6 of the draft LA
Authors	Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Ang, Marybelle C. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Kordyak, Angela / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel who have responsibility for preparation of the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice. The recipients also include DOE OGC attorneys for the Yucca Mountain Project.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050331.4697
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336557
Doc Date	20040804
Title	Comments on SAR Section 1.6 Part 1 of 2
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the SAR section that concerns identification of hazards and initiating events.
Authors	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipient is an attorney with the DOE OGC who works on YMP matters.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The attorneys are providing their advice and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions in, and the form and content of, this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050331.4699
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337583
Doc Date	20040804
Title	Comments on SAR Section 1.7
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the methodology for identifying, quantifying, and categorizing the internal and external hazards and initiating events.
Authors	Beasley, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipient is an attorney with the DOE OGC who works on YMP matters.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The attorneys are providing their advice and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions in, and the form and content, of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050331.4759
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333435
Doc Date	20040831
Title	DOC
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report (Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure)
Authors	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Oney, Thomas / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE attorneys and employees responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the

privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050331.6982
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334788
Doc Date	20041007
Title	Your e-mail re Aging System:
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email is comments and action items relative to draft LA section 1.2.6 (aging system).
Authors	Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Science and Technology and International / Acting Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE OGC's outside counsel and the recipients are an attorney with DOE OGC's outside counsel and a DOE employee responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The email has no fact section. The email reflects in its entirety the author's analysis and advice regarding the subject matter of the draft LA section.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050331.6983
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331653
Doc Date	20041006
Title	e-mail from Jeff Williams
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email is draft SAR Section 1.2.6 (aging system) and the comments of various email authors in the email chain.
Authors	Kane, Daniel W./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Siddoway, Dean / DOE Williams, Jeffrey R./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Acceptance Transportation and Integration/
Recipients	Bailey, Jack N./ BSC/ Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Brook, Robert / DOE Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Kane, Daniel W./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Morgan, Robert G./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/ Siddoway, Dean / DOE Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Science and Technology and International / Acting Director Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering/ Ziegler, Joseph/ DOE-OCRWM/Licensing Director/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors, including attorneys from the DOE OGC and OGC's outside counsel, responsible for the subject draft SAR sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this email chain with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Doc Type	E-MAIL W/ ATTACHMENT

Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION) LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION);
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050331.8973
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470702
Doc Date	20040907
Title	KEB
Subject Matter Description	This document is a draft of SAR section 1 that contains counsel's comments and recommended changes.
Authors	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Yucca Mountain Project Personnel with responsibility over the development of this SAR Section
Author Recipient Relationship	Outside licensing counsel is providing her comments and legal advice to YMP project personnel who have responsibility over this draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Counsel is providing and commenting on licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	Outside licensing counsel is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050418.6118
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331252
Doc Date	20041220
Title	Privileged thoughts 12 15 04rev5
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns proposed EPA regulations under Part 197 to address the post-10,000 year, peak dose period.
Authors	Peters, Mark/ BSC Repository Development - Engineered System/
Recipients	Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission Swift, Peter N. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) General Technical Management Voegele, Michael D. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BSC Program Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel who were tasked by DOE to assist in the analysis and formulation of responses to EPA proposed peak dose calculations.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what agency positions DOE should take with respect to the meaning and interpretation of potential regulations by EPA under Part 197.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides draft comments that the author is proposing at DOE's request, for submittal to DOE, to help DOE formulate agency positions on the referenced regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It is a draft of potential agency interpretation and positions of proposed regulations. Disclosure of the document would reveal agency deliberations on the topic prior to agency finalization of positions.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050421.2833
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335412
Doc Date	20050126
Title	GI-3 Draft B
Subject Matter Description	The draft LA section (General Information) proposes for DOE's consideration a potential physical protection plan that could be implemented in connection with the YM repository, to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 63.21(b)(3)
Authors	Hammermeister, Heather L./ BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Crosland, Martha / DOE OGC/ attorney Einberg, Christian E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / DOE Security Specialist

Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Garrett, D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Assistant to Attorney Robert Fillmore
Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer
Kelmenson, Ronald L. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead
Meacham, Paul / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Technical Specialist
Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Anaylst/Modeler
Oney, Thomas / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Powell, David/BSC
Ruffin, Steve R. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Feasibility and Support
Trubatch, Sheldon/BSC/Attorney

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors and attorneys from DOE's OGC and outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. In addition, comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050421.2834
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333153
Doc Date	20050126
Title	GI-4 Draft B
Subject Matter Description	The draft LA section (General Information) concerns a proposed material control and accounting program to be implemented in connection with the YM repository to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 63.21(b)(4).
Authors	Hammermeister, Heather L./ BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Crosland, Martha / DOE OGC/attorney Einberg, Christian E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / DOE Security Specialist Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Garrett, D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Assistant to Attorney Robert Fillmore Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Kelmenson, Ronald L. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Meacham, Paul / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Technical Specialist Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Anaylst/Modeler Oney, Thomas / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Powell, David / BSC Ruffin, Steve R. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Feasibility and Support Trubatch, Sheldon/BSC/Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM M&O contractor personnel responsible for the referenced draft LA section. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors and attorneys from DOE's OGC and outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.

Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. In addition, comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050513.0308
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336414
Doc Date	20041105
Title	Master Legal Comments 1.8
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of section 1.8 of the draft LA, which concerns consequence analysis, with attorney comments written on them.
Authors	Frasier, Amanda/Hunton & Williams LLP/attorney
Recipients	Biggs, Jo Ann/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney Bradbury, Robert/ MTS - Licensing/ Brook, Robert/BSC Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Price, Joe C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Richardson, Dennis C./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Wisenburg, Mark R./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The document was provided to a DOE OGC attorney and another attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel. The DOE OGC attorney provided the document to DOE and DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the referenced draft document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST MAP REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.0951
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335143
Doc Date	20041105
Title	barrierHQDraftSAR2[1][1].1consolidatedlegal
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 2.1 of the draft LA, which concerns the discussion of barriers.
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Fillmore, Robert M./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney Oney, Thomas/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipient is an attorney with the DOE OGC who works on YMP matters.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.

Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.1231
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333828
Doc Date	20041105
Title	HQ Draft SAR 2.3.4 Consolidated Legal - 1 of 3
Subject Matter Description	DOE OGC/Hunton & Williams - Global Issues re HQ Draft of SAR Section 2.3.4
Authors	DOE OGC attorneys and outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Fillmore, Robert M./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE OGC attorneys and outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.1259
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333129
Doc Date	20050401
Title	Env. Permitting Report
Subject Matter Description	Draft Preliminary Report / Assessment of Environmental Permitting Strategy for Yucca Mountain Project
Authors	Kratsas, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - Operations / Bechtel National Inc ES&H Services Manager
Recipients	Gonzalez, Carmella L. / BSC Repository Development - Management Administrative Support / BSC Administrative Assistant I Trubatch, Sheldon/BSC/Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are with DOE's M&O contractor for the Yucca Mountain Project. A recipient is also an attorney with DOE's M&O contractor for the Yucca Mountain Project.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	DOE positions to be taken related to its environmental permitting strategy.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions related to DOE's environmental permitting strategy.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.1826
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333915
Doc Date	20050311
Title	2.3.6 Comments from March 2005
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is section 2.3.6 of the draft license application.
Authors	Ang, Marybelle C. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Recipients	Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Project Manager Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are licensing counsel and DOE employees or contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.2282
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332989
Doc Date	20041105
Title	1.3.1 ConsolLegal
Subject Matter Description	Consolidated legal comments on draft SAR § 1.3.1
Authors	DOE OGC Attorneys and Hunton and Williams Attorneys
Recipients	DOE OGC Attorneys and Hunton and Williams Attorneys
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE outside counsel and DOE OGC attorneys responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST MAP REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.3430
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336403
Doc Date	20041105
Title	LA Section 1.5.1 (low resolution)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the draft section 1.5.1 of the draft LA, with the author's handwritten comments as marginalia. The draft section concerns the proposed range of parameters for wastes under consideration for possible receipt on the YM repository, the proposed designed of canisters for such waste forms, and the proposes development of source term data to be used in preclosure safety analyses.
Authors	Brock, Robert T./ BSC Repository Development/

Recipients	Clark, Steven / BSC Richmond, Nicole / BSC / M&O Contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the proposed licensing positions on the referenced subject matters of the draft LA section, as well as the form and content of the discussion on those topics to be in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST PHOTOGRAPH REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.4449
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333809
Doc Date	20041105
Title	HQ Draft SAR 2.1 consolidated legal
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft section 2.1 of the draft LA, which addresses barriers important to waste isolation.
Authors	Reynolds, Myles/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not include any factual discussion. It identifies issues regarding the referenced draft document and makes recommendations concerning those issues. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LEGAL DOCUMENT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050523.6829
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333591
Doc Date	20041105
Title	HQ Draft SAR 2.3.4 Consolidated Legal - 1 of 3
Subject Matter Description	DOE OGC/Hunton & Williams - Global Issues re Draft HQ Draft of SAR Section 2.3.4
Authors	DOE OGC attorneys and outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and other Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050527.2494
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331131
Doc Date	20041105
Title	HQ Draft SAR 2.3.4 Consolidated Legal - 1 of 3
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft section 2.3.4 of the draft LA.
Authors	Reynolds, Myles/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Ake, Jon / DOE / U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Geophysist: Supporting Probablistic Seismic Hazard Analysis Board, Mark P. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Systems & Disruptive Events Operations / ITASCA Consulting Group, Inc. Scientist Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Gross, Mike /BSC Hanlon, Carol / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Team Member Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel, and other DOE counsel, who are responsible for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050527.4361
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337012
Doc Date	20041105
Title	Master Legal Comments 1.2.5
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.2.5 of the draft LA, which concerns the proposed canister handling facility for the YM repository.
Authors	Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager Cochrane, Colin/BSC Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Gardiner, James T. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Clerk III Sequeira, Gary / MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Systems Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE Office of General Counsel attorney who works on Yucca Mountain Project matters. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractors, and DOE counsel, responsible for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer

DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050527.6004
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332710
Doc Date	20041105
Title	DOE
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is section 4 of the draft license application covering the performance confirmation plan.
Authors	Noonan, Edward P./Hunton & Williams/ Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Gamble, Robert / MTS / MTS/Booz-Allen Support Contract / OCRWM Contractor Weaver, Douglas / SNL / BSC Subcontractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees or contractors, including attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE outside licensing counsel, responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050527.6252
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335188
Doc Date	20050329
Title	YM-4993-v1-SAR Section 2.4 core team global comments
Subject Matter Description	DOE OGC/Hunton & Williams - Global Issues re HQ Draft of SAR Section 2.4 (Rev. A)
Authors	DOE OGC attorneys and DOE outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipient is a DOE Office of General Counsel attorney who works on Yucca Mountain Project matters.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LEGAL DOCUMENT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client;

LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT);
LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050527.7090
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330524
Doc Date	20050518
Title	YM-4989-v3-MEMO Rev. B Review Notes
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is draft license application section 4: Performance Confirmation.
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Noonan, Edward / Hunton & Williams / Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Gamble, Robert / MTS / MTS/Booz-Allen Support Contract / OCRWM Contractor Weaver, Douglas / SNL / BSC Subcontractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are licensing counsel and DOE employees or contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section (Performance Confirmation) and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050527.9670
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334533
Doc Date	20041105
Title	HW Cmts 2.3.1 Part 2 of 2
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is draft license application section 2.3.1.6: Climate Analysis, Infiltration Modeling, and Uncertainty.
Authors	Hunton & Williams licensing attorneys
Recipients	Kordyak, Angela / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are licensing attorneys and DOE attorneys responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft section of the license application.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST MAP REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No. ALA.20050608.0236

LSN Accession Number	DN2002332369
Doc Date	20041003
Title	LEGAL TEAM COMMENTS ON GENERAL INFORMATION, SECTION 1
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is the legal team's comments on the General Information, Section 1 of the draft LA. DOE OGC's outside attorneys with Hunton & Williams LLP
Authors	DOE OGC attorneys
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys from DOE's OGC and outside legal counsel, and the recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document contains no factual sections. Rather it reflects in its entirety the recommendations, opinions, and legal advice of the authors.
Doc Type	REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050708.0067
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329318
Doc Date	20050512
Title	FW: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED: SAR Section 1.14 Insert
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of this email chain draft license application section 1.14.
Authors	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Hunton & Williams licensing attorney or attorneys Thomas, Daniel A./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Brock, Robert T./ BSC Repository Development/ Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Franklin, David / Navy Reactors / Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Hutchins, William/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Irwin, Donald/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Richardson, Dennis C./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Rinaca, James/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Wisenburg, Mark R./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are licensing attorneys and DOE employees or contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors, including licensing counsel for a portion of the comments, are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION) LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION);

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050721.1665
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333194
Doc Date	20050524
Title	FW: Results update, Prep for meeting.
Subject Matter Description	The email discusses the attached report on the preliminary results of ongoing development of TAD study scenarios.

Authors	Kim, Dong / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / General Engineer
Recipients	Coryell, Eric / BSC de Castongrene, Russell, III / BSC Gillespie, Scott G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Kim, Dong / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / General Engineer Lopez, Patty / BSC Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP personnel working on analyzing and making recommendations to DOE management on the TAD concept.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what options DOE should select for the use of TADs in the YM repository design. The discussion concerns DOE's decision with respect to the TAD, and precedes DOE's ultimate decision to go with the TAD concept.
Deliberation Explanation	The email discussion summarizes the options considered and recommendations of S. Turner of Oak Ridge regarding the TAD concept. The analysis reflected in this email contains the give-and-take exchange within the Project that fed into DOE's ultimate decision on the TAD.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050721.1666
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470014
Doc Date	20050524
Title	TAD Study Update 5-24
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns preliminary results of ongoing development of TAD study scenarios.
Authors	Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I Turner, Steve / /
Recipients	Coryell, Eric de Castongrene, Russell Kim, Dong / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / General Engineer Lopez, Patty Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP personnel working on analyzing and making recommendations to DOE management on the TAD concept.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what options DOE should select for the use of TADs in the YM repository design. The document reflects work that was being performed that would provide the basis for a DOE decision on the use of TADs.
Deliberation Explanation	The document was a preliminary report of the work of the group analyzing potential TAD scenarios, to support a decision by DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not discuss existing facts. It presents various potential scenarios and evaluates issues posed b those hypothetical situations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050722.2462
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334905
Doc Date	20050615
Title	SAR 5.4 with new edits_accept
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of section 5.4 of the draft LA that concerns the proposed approach to expert elicitation.
Authors	Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hammermeister, Heather L./ BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production/ Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney McRae, James B. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Assistant General Counsel for Civilian Nuclear Programs Peltier, Benjamin/BSC Rasmussen, Kirk D./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Yunker, Jean L./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors , and DOE attorneys, responsible for the subject draft LA

	(or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050722.5041
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330499
Doc Date	20050707
Title	SAR 5.4 with new edits
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 5.4 of the draft La, which addresses proposed techniques to be used for expert elicitation.
Authors	Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Capoferri, Anita/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Fillmore, Robert M./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney Hammermeister, Heather L./ BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production/ Pelletier, John F./ BSC Repository Development - Engineered System/ Peltier, Benjamin /DOE/ Rasmussen, Kirk D./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney Reynolds, Myles/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney Yunker, Jean L./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors, and DOE counsel, responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what techniques for expert elicitation DOE should adopt for the YM proceeding and how to describe those techniques in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions on what techniques should be used and how they should be described in the LA, and comparison of the draft with the final LA could be used to re-construct DOE's internal deliberations on the topic.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050801.0514
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336662
Doc Date	20050616
Title	Legal Cmts 2.3.9 Working Draft B
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is draft section 2.3.9, Saturated Zone Flow and Transport, of the draft license application.
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Coleman, Drew H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are licensing counsel and the recipient is a DOE employee or contractor responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and

	content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050801.1323
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336661
Doc Date	20050615
Title	DOE Core Team Comments on Section 1.3.3 Draft B-3
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is draft SAR section 1.3.3 Nonemplacement Areas of the Subsurface Facility and comments on form and content of same
Authors	Greenberg, Harris/ BSC /
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Boutin, Robert J. / BSC Repository Development - Science & Engineering / BSC Project Manager Cornell, Veronica / MTS - Licensing / Parallax, Inc. Policy Analyst Gonzalez, Jaime / / Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Hanlon, Carol / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Team Member Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Listed as Kirk D. Lachman Engineering Division Director Mecham, Paul / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Technical Specialist Mills, Mark / BSC / Prytherch, Mark / SNL / Vultura, Nancy-Ann / DOE - OCRWM / Office of Quality Concerns Program White, Jon / DOE / Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Mechanical Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees, contractors, DOE outside counsel, and attorneys in DOE OGC responsible for the subject draft SAR sections
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20050801.5845
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479100
Doc Date	20050616
Title	Proposed addition to SAR 2.3.6
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns Section 2.3.6 of draft License Application regarding common-mode failures.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering /

Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering /
 Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
 Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division
 Team Member
 Stahl, David / DOE/ OCRWM
 Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an official within OCRWM. The recipients are OCRWM officials and an attorney with DOE's office of general counsel.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content. The author proposes that changes be made by DOE in SAR section 2.3.6 as well as recommending changes to the DOE's position on common mode failures.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions about SAR section 2.3.6 as well as his analysis and proposed recommendations for this draft SAR section and the then-current licensing position for this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.0010
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470735
Doc Date	20020412
Title	PRIV Burnup credit in waste package.doc
Subject Matter Description	Whether to use burnup credit in analyzing criticality in unsealed, loaded waste packages in the Surface Facility.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H.
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an official within OCRWM.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This memorandum relates to DOE's decision on whether to use burnup credit in analyzing criticality for waste packages. This document sets forth a series of talking points on this issue, and analyzes the issue. The information was prepared for S. Hanauer for his discussions with DOE decision-makers.
Deliberation Explanation	This document makes recommendations for the treatment of burn-up credit in criticality analyses. The document makes recommendations and provides the reasons for those recommendations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual section. Nor do it various sections contain any factual discussion that is segregable from the deliberative portions of the document. The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EFILE REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.0012
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468859
Doc Date	20050415
Title	PRIV HVAC single failures.doc
Subject Matter Description	Single failure points in HVAC system design P&ID
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE employee responsible for the subject document and licensing positions to be taken by DOE.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision involves the PCSA fuel-in-air analysis and the effect that such analysis will have on the HVAC system design and reliability specifications adopted by DOE and its contractors.
Deliberation Explanation	The purpose of this document is to provide the author's position regarding the potential vulnerabilities in the current HVAC system design and the effect of the position taken with regard to fuel-in-air analysis on same. This memo was prepared by Stephen Hanauer for his use in ongoing discussions within DOE related to this issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EFILE REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.0806
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335684
Doc Date	20050615
Title	Sub HEPA
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is draft license application section, 1.3.5.3.4: Consideration for Potential Radioactive Releases from the Subsurface Facility.
Authors	Yuan, YuChien / BSC
Recipients	Chen, Ming / BSC Platten, Fred Richmond, Nicole / BSC / M&O Contractor Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing responses to questions and comments raised regarding the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft license application section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.0896
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335100
Doc Date	20050613
Title	DOC279
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of a chapter 1 of the draft LA,.
Authors	Oney, Thomas/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	DOE Office of General Counsel
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.2571
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336452
Doc Date	20050414
Title	DOE Draft A Comments on Section 1.2.5 Part 1
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns Section 1.2.5 of the draft LA which concerns the proposed "Canister Handling Facility" for the YM repository
Authors	King, Ann/BSC Licensing attorneys who have responsibility for this draft SAR section Project personnel responsible for development of draft SAR section
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cochrane, Colin / BSC /

Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/
 Johnson, Tracy / BSC / M&O Contractor
 Kumar, Paul A./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
 Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager
 Anaylst/Modeler
 Slovic, Robert C./ BSC Repository Development - Dry Transfer Facility/
 Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ OCRWM contractor

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors, project personnel and licensing attorneys responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document contains comments on the draft SAR section. It is a part of DOE's ongoing deliberations on the proposed licensing positions and form and content of this draft section regarding what canister handling facilities DOE should adopt for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors, including licensing counsel, are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.2572
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330548
Doc Date	20050414
Title	DOE Draft A Comments on Section 1.2.5 Part 2
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns sections of the draft LA concerning the cask cavity gas sampling system and the cask and water package inerting system.
Authors	King, Ann/BSC Project personnel who have responsibility over development of this draft SAR section
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cochrane, Colin / BSC / Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/ Johnson, Tracy / BSC / M&O Contractor Kumar, Paul A./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Anaylst/Modeler Slovic, Robert C./ BSC Repository Development - Dry Transfer Facility/ Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ OCRWM Contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is a part of the ongoing deliberations at DOE about what licensing positions as well as what form and content this draft section of the SAR should take.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.2581
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331929
Doc Date	20041105
Title	DOE Draft A Comments on Section 1.2.3
Subject Matter Description	The document is draft section 1.2.3 of the draft LA, which concerns the proposed cask and waste receipt building proposed for the YM repository.
Authors	DOE Core Team
Recipients	Brooks, Joan/BSC Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cocchrane, Colin/BSC Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/ King, Ann/BSC Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Anaylst/Modeler Slovic, Robert C./ BSC Repository Development - Dry Transfer Facility/ Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of what type of cask and waste receipt building to be adopted for the Ym repository, and what to say about it in the LA and how to describe it.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft to the final LA would reveal DOE deliberations on the referenced subject matter of the LA section. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.3485
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334507
Doc Date	20050616
Title	1.3.4RevBComments
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository SAR, 1.2.3 Emplacement Areas of the Subsurface Facility - DOE/RW-0573, Draft B-4
Authors	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Gonzales, Jaime / DOE / OCRWM Official Gonzales, Lou / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / LA Completion Team Member Greenberg, Harris / MTS - Engineering & Preclosure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Nuclear Engineer Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Anaylst/Modeler Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051003.3808
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335971
Doc Date	20050421
Title	DOE Draft A Comments on Section 1.2.8
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 1.2.8 of the draft License Application.
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.1253
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335774
Doc Date	20050712
Title	FW: Privileged & Confidential - 1.3.5 Rev. B comments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.3.5 of the draft LA, which addresses subsurface facility ventilation design.
Authors	Shamblin, Penny / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Author is an attorney with DOE outside licensing counsel and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	Licensing counsel is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.1254
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331833
Doc Date	20050526

Title	1_3_5 Rev B HW Comments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.3.5 of the draft LA, which addresses subsurface facility ventilation design.
Authors	Shamblin, Penny / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors, including attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE outside licensing counsel, responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content. This draft section of the SAR and the attorney's comments are part of DOE's ongoing effort to develop the LA/SAR that will ultimately be filed with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	Licensing attorney is providing her recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.1323
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337388
Doc Date	20050719
Title	DOC002
Subject Matter Description	This is a copy of the referenced section of the draft SAR (i.e., Fire Protection) with handwritten comments by counsel.
Authors	Oney, Thomas/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager Gardiner, James T. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Clerk III Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holt Jr., Robert D. / BSC Repository Development - BOP PE / BSC Project Manager Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel and DOE counsel who are responsible for the referenced draft section of the LA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is a part of DOE's ongoing decision-making process on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft SAR section. DOE has not made a final decision on what information and positions will be included in this draft section, and will not, until it files its LA/SAR with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	Counsel is providing advice and recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in, and form and content of, this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.1324
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329417
Doc Date	20050719
Title	DOC005

Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the referenced draft section of the LA (i.e., Electric Power) with handwritten attorney comments.
Authors	Oney, Thomas/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager Gardiner, James T. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Clerk III Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holt Jr., Robert D. / BSC Repository Development - BOP PE / BSC Project Manager Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel, and other DOE counsel, who are responsible for the referenced draft section of the LA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document relates to DOE's ongoing process on what positions it will take and what information it will include in the SAR that will ultimately be filed with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	Counsel is providing advice and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.2051
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329462
Doc Date	20050728
Title	Codes and Standards
Subject Matter Description	The subject of the email is the author's efforts in the attached draft to incorporate legal comments on the draft section 1.7 of the SAR.
Authors	Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Analyst Modeler
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC/Beckman / Group Lead Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Bradbury, Robert / MTS - Licensing / Shaw Group (Stone & Webster) Staff Scientist (Regulatory) Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates Program Manager Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager Elder, Ken / DOE/RW OCE / Frazier, A. / Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Powell, David / BSC / Trubatch, Sheldon/BSC/Attorney Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Preclosure Safety Analyses / BSC Senior Principal Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The email author and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections. Recipients also include attorneys from DOE OGC and its outside legal contractor.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of draft section 1.7, including how best to respond to and incorporate legal advice on same.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The email contains no fact section. It reflects in its entirety the author's opinions and recommendations.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.2216

LSN Accession Number	DN2002332896
Doc Date	20050728
Title	RE: SAR 1.4.1, attorney-client privilege
Subject Matter Description	This email discussion from S. Cereghino provides responsive information to DOE OGC counsel on draft SAR section 1.4.1
Authors	Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Oney, Thomas / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are attorneys with DOE OGC, DOE's outside counsel, and DOE's M&O contractor responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice concerning proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051005.2880
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334697
Doc Date	20050709
Title	13358 Design Codes&Stand [LG-133-4]
Subject Matter Description	DOE draft comments on draft YMRP (NUREG-1804) as it relates to Design Codes and Standards.
Authors	Gonzales, Lou / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Licensing Application Completion Team Member
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE M&O contract personnel responsible for preparation of draft comments on the draft YMRP for DOE's consideration and adoption.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	DOE position to be taken on the YMRP.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing his recommendation and opinion on the comments DOE should file relative to the draft YMRP.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is no factual section to this draft document. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4751
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479221
Doc Date	20050716
Title	GAV-Sebastian-Ed Revised 2.3.11.5.4.3 Magma Flow in Drifts
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft License Application of Magma Flow in Drifts
Authors	Michael Wallace / /
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4752
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479080
Doc Date	20050630
Title	Jon-Chuck Data Uncertainty for Tephra Redistribution - 2.3.11.6.
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion of tephra redistribution in the draft License Application.
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4753
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479255
Doc Date	20050713
Title	Jon-Chuck Model Uncert and Alt Models for Tephra Redist 2.3.11.6
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion of tephra redistribution in the draft LA.
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4754
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330781
Doc Date	20050715
Title	Jon-Chuck Revised SAR 2.3.11.6.2.3 Tephra Redistribution
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion of Tephra Redistribution in the draft License Application.
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.

information.
Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4755
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479168
Doc Date	20050715
Title	Jon-Chuck Revised Section 2.3.11.6.1.4 Tephra Redistribution
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft License Application of Tephra Redistribution
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4758
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479146
Doc Date	20050701
Title	Mike New 2.3.11.6.4.1 Analysis Uncert Eruptive
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft LA of the Number of Waste Packages Intersected by Eruptive Conduits.
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4759
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479229
Doc Date	20050713
Title	Mike Revised 2.3.11.5.2.7 WPs Hit
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft LA of Waste Packages Compromised During Igneous Intrusion
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged

information.
Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4760
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479105
Doc Date	20050713
Title	Mike Revised 2.3.11.5.3.7 Data Uncertainty WPs Hit
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft LA of the Number of Waste Packages Compromised During an Igneous Intrusion.
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4761
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479225
Doc Date	20050718
Title	Mike Revised 2.3.11.5.4.5 Model Uncert WPs Hit
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft License Application of the Number of Waste Packages Compromised During an Igneous Intrusion
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051006.4762
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479139
Doc Date	20050701
Title	Mike Revised 2.3.11.6.2.1
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the LA of waste packages intersected by conduits during a volcanic eruption.
Recipients	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development - Igneous Activity / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged

information.
Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051007.0497
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335758
Doc Date	20050622
Title	DOC
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is legal comments on the draft SAR section 1.2.5 (Canister Handling Facility)
Authors	Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cochrane, Colin / / Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/Attorney Johnson, Tracy / BSC / M&O Contractor Sequeira, Gary/ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ OCRWM Contractor Slovic, Robert C./ BSC Repository Development - Dry Transfer Facility/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE OGC's outside legal counsel. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and other Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice as well as attorneys from both the DOE OGC and its outside legal counsel.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051007.0516
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337729
Doc Date	20050624
Title	1.2.2Rev.BComments
Subject Matter Description	This document concerns draft SAR section 1.2.2 and attorney comments on this draft.
Authors	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Biggs, Jo Ann/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/ Hammermeister, Heather L./ BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Johnson, Tracy / BSC / M&O Contractor Kutzer, John F./ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Morgan, Robert G./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/ Pernisi, Richard / BSC / Sequeira, Gary/ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ Slovic, Robert C./ BSC Repository Development - Dry Transfer Facility/ Tooker, D.W/ BSC Repository Development - Fuel Handling Facility/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors of the comments are licensing attorneys and the recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft LA section.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the IA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.0718
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336603
Doc Date	20050729
Title	Proposed Changes to Aircraf Freq. Analysis
Subject Matter Description	The correspondence addresses proposed changes to the frequency analysis of aircraft hazards.
Authors	Mitchell, John (Jr.) T. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BSC President & General Manager
Recipients	Arthur, John, III / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Deputy Director Ashley, Kathryn / BSC Repository Development - Preclosure Safety Analyses / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Nuclear Critical Engineer Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - KTI Completion Team / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Haught, David C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Engineer Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Engineering Division Acting Director McCullough, M. G. / BSC / Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist Powers, K. W. / DOE - OCRWM / Rusinko, B. E. / / Tosetti, R. J. / BSC / Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Preclosure Safety Analyses / Senior Principal Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The document was prepared by DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP and sent to responsible DOE officials.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision is whether adopt the recommended changes to the frequency analysis of the aircraft hazards.
Deliberation Explanation	The correspondence from the DOE M&O contractor to the DOE Deputy Director of the Office of Repository Development sets forth the proposed change and its implications. It was prepared at DOE's request to assist OCRWM decisionmaking on the referenced subject matter.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document contains no discrete factual section. Rather it reflects the authors' assessment of the implications or a proposed change in the frequency analysis of the aircraft hazards. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.1986
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330303
Doc Date	20050802
Title	FW: Response to EIA comments
Subject Matter Description	FW: Response to EIA comments
Authors	McRae, James B./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / DOE Chief of Staff Supervisor Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE employees, including attorneys with DOE OGC, with responsibility for formulating DOE's position respecting the proposed EPA regulation and the accompanying EIA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the position DOE should take respecting the proposed EPA regulation and the EIA that accompanies it.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations, opinions, and legal advice concerning EPA's response to DOE's comments on EIA related to proposed EPA regulation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the

	privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.2155
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329336
Doc Date	20050802
Title	FW: Response to EIA comments
Subject Matter Description	FW: Response to EIA comments
Authors	McRae, James Ben / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Assistant General Counsel for Civilian Nuclear Programs
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE employees, including attorneys with DOE OGC, with responsibility for formulating DOE's position respecting the proposed EPA regulation and the accompanying EIA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the position DOE should take respecting the proposed EPA regulation and the EIA that accompanies it.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations, opinions, and legal advice concerning EPA's response to DOE's comments on EIA related to proposed EPA regulation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.2314
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334657
Doc Date	20050803
Title	FW: Response to EIA comments
Subject Matter Description	FW: Response to EIA comments
Authors	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / DOE Chief of Staff Supervisor
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Deputy Director / DOE Chief of Staff Supervisor Peters, Mark / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Department Manager Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE employees, including attorneys with DOE OGC, with responsibility for formulating DOE's position respecting the proposed EPA regulation and the accompanying EIA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the position DOE should take respecting the proposed EPA regulation and the EIA that accompanies it.
Deliberation Explanation	The documents contains recommendations, opinions, and legal advice concerning EPA's response to DOE's comments on EIA related to proposed EPA regulation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.4383
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336955
Doc Date	20050715
Title	Pages from sar-1.02.03 working draft B-3 (jgs markup)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 1.2.3 of the draft LA, which addresses various surface facilities.
Authors	Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Meacham, Paul / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Technical Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.

Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.6678
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335934
Doc Date	20050830
Title	RE: Privileged & Confidential - YMRP criteria question
Subject Matter Description	YMRP criteria question, 2.2.1.3.2.3 AC 1(2), "the description of geological & engineering aspects of design features, physical phenomena, & couplings, that may mechanical disruption of engineered barriers.
Authors	Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Project Manager
Recipients	Cunnane, Jim /DOE-OCRWM / Shamblin, Penny / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Infrastructure Management Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE MTO contract personnel. The recipients are DOE counsel and two DOE officials with responsibility for the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document gives legal advice regarding the YMRP criteria in SAR section 2.3.7
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains counsel's legal analysis of the YMRP criteria for draft SAR section 2.3.7 and makes recommendations based on that analysis.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.7298
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470462
Doc Date	20050819
Title	20050819 FEP Control Parameter Names
Subject Matter Description	Table 1: Control Variable Used in Draft SAR Table 1.9-4 (FEP Control Parameter Names)
Authors	Kriner, Jeffrey / BSC
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Fuller, Ed / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and Recipients are DOE's M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for the development of this draft SAR section (1.9).
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.9046
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337307
Doc Date	20050818
Title	Updates to SAR Sections 1.14.2.1 - 1.14.2.2.1 - 1.14.2.2.4 08192005

Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is draft SAR Sections 1.14.2.1 Nuclear Criticality Safety Design Criteria and comments thereon.
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections Thomas, Daniel A. / BSC Repository Development - Criticality / BSC Engineering Supervisor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051018.9976
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332386
Doc Date	20050818
Title	RE: Action items for 2.3.8
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is action items for draft section 2.3.8 of the draft LA and comments regarding same
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / Houseworth, James E. / BSC Repository Development - Natural Systems / Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) UZ Deputy Department Manager Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Tarbox, Annie / BSC / Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project, and the recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051020.1137
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334065
Doc Date	20050915
Title	FW: 2.3.x Comments - Privileged and Confidential; Attorney Work Product; Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 2.3 of the draft LA.
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	Author is an attorney with DOE's outside counsel and recipients are DOE and BSC project personnel, including an attorney with DOE OGC, and an attorney with DOE's outside counsel with responsibility for the subject draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.1231
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331525
Doc Date	20050913
Title	Tables 17-18; 27-30 Draft C-6 - KDR Cmts
Subject Matter Description	Attorney comments on draft SAR, Tables 2.2.17-18; 2.2.27-30
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Whalen, Cheryl L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Scientist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with Hunton & Williams; the recipients are lawyers with DOE's outside counsel and OGC as well as DOE's M&O Contractor who is responsible for development of the FEP discussion in the draft SAR..
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.2177
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336840
Doc Date	20050914
Title	Section 1.3.1.2.4 - Revised 9-14-05 FINAL - hrg edit
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the section 1.3.1.2.4 of the draft LA which concerns the proposed thermal loading strategy for the YM repository.
Authors	Greenberg, Harris / MTS - Engineering & Preclosure Safety Analysis / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Nuclear Engineer
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney Cornell, Veronica/ MTS - Licensing/ Gonzales, Jamie/ BSC Repository Development/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Mills, Mark/BSC Reinecke, Royce /BSC Suarez, Diego/ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ White, Jon/ DOE OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections. Attorneys with DOE OGC and outside licensing counsel who too are responsible for the draft LA section were recipients.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what thermal loading strategy DOE should adopt for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed about a proposed thermal loading strategy that was under deliberation.
Doc Type	DATA

EMAIL-ATT
LIST
REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.4859
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479247
Doc Date	20050902
Title	Comments on Section 1.2.2 - Privileged
Subject Matter Description	This document contains an email discussion among OGC lawyers and outside counsel and DOE's M&O contractor regarding draft section 1.2.2.
Authors	Bead, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Bead, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Gardiner, James T. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Clerk III Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Linhart, James G. / DOE - OCRWM /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside counsel; the recipients are either lawyers with DOE's OGC, OCRWM officials, or M&O contractor personnel.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors, including DOE's outside counsel, are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.5320
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479122
Doc Date	20050824
Title	Table 21 - Draft C - KDR Cmts
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft discussion of the FEPs screening decision for erosion/denudation.
Authors	Reynolds, Myles/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Senior Project Manager Biggs, Jo Ann/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Whalen, Cheryl L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Scientist Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel responsible for the draft section of the draft LA that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions and legal advise on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.5321
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337910
Doc Date	20050824
Title	Table 22 - Draft C - KDR Cmts

Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft FEPs screening discussion in the draft LA for influc through holes drilled in drift wall or crown.
Authors	Reynolds, Myles/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Senior Project Manager Biggs, Jo Ann/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Whalen, Cheryl L. / BSC Repository Development - Facilities Design / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Scientist Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and other Yucca Mountain Project personnel, including attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE outside licensing counsel, who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.5323
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479254
Doc Date	20050824
Title	Table 33 - Draft C - KDR Cmts
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft License Application of the FEPs screening decision for human influence on climate
Authors	Reynolds, Myles/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorneys
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Senior Project Manager Biggs, Jo Ann/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Whalen, Cheryl L. / BSC Repository Development - Facilities Design / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Scientist Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel responsible for the draft section of the draft LA that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions and legal analysis on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.5487
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331119
Doc Date	20050826

Title	1.2.2
Subject Matter Description	Attorney comments on draft SAR Section 1.2.2
Authors	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/Attorney
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Gardiner, James T. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Clerk III Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Linhart, James G. / DOE - OCRWM /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney for DOE OGC's outside licensing counsel. The recipients include a DOE OGC attorney and other DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions and legal analysis on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.6215
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337018
Doc Date	20050825
Title	Re: CONFIDENTIAL/PRIVILEGED
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is NRC staff's position on proposed EPA standard on post-10,000 year repository performance assessment.
Authors	Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Senior Project Manager Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Regulatory Coordination Division Director Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Nutt, Mark / Golder Associates / Peters, Mark / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Department Manager Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Infrastructure Management Team Lead Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Voegele, Michael D. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BSC Program Manager Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM / Zeugin, Lee / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a contractor to DOE OCRWM. The recipients are various DOE OCRWM officials, counsel and contractor who were working on developing a recommendation to DOE for an aging position on EPA's proposed rulemaking for the peak dose period.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The information concerns DOE's proposed comments on the draft EPA Rule regarding projected repository performance after 10,000 years.
Deliberation Explanation	DOE's contractor is providing his analysis and opinions about the EPA's draft rule regarding repository performance after 10,000 years in order to assist DOE in submitting its comments on the draft rule to the EPA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.7417

LSN Accession Number	DN2002332375
Doc Date	20050915
Title	2.3.x Comments - Privileged and Confidential; Attorney Work Product; Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is draft SAR section 2.3
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project, and recipients are DOE OGC counsel and DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA or SAR sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.7420
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337306
Doc Date	20050915
Title	FW: 2.3.x Comments - Privileged and Confidential; Attorney Work Product; Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	Comments on Draft SAR sections 2.3.8 and 2.3.9
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside counsel and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions and legal analysis on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8145
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468840
Doc Date	20050902
Title	dcs comments on Insert L__prep for C-3
Subject Matter Description	The subject is the thermal-hydrologic-chemical seepage model.
Authors	Sassani, David C. / MTS - Post Closure Safety Analysis / Golder Associates Staff Scientist / OCRWM Contractor
Recipients	Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Beasley, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Prouty, Jerilyn L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / RCS Corporation Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are licensing counsel and DOE employees or contractors with licensing-related responsibility for the subject of the document.

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8297
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329615
Doc Date	20050804
Title	sar-2.03.03_Draft B_Fig 2.3.3-21 markup
Subject Matter Description	Draft Fig 2.3.3-21 of SAR section 2.3.3 illustrating spatial variability and cumulative frequency distribution of flow-focusing factors
Authors	Prouty, Jeralyn L./ BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department/
Recipients	Dobson, David/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors with responsibility for the subject draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8563
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333193
Doc Date	20050908
Title	RE: Language on Volcanic Eruptions: Privileged Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is the correct language in the draft license application regarding the eruption of newly formed volcanoes.
Authors	Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC/Beckman / Group Lead Bradbury, Robert / MTS - Licensing / Shaw Group (Stone & Webster) Staff Scientist (Regulatory)/ OCRWM Contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are licensing counsel and DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA--specifically the language regarding eruption of newly formed volcanoes.
Deliberation Explanation	The exchange of comments addresses recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing position in this draft license application section regarding eruption of newly formed volcanoes.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8564
LSN Accession Number	DN2002338225

Doc Date	20050908
Title	RE: Language on Volcanic Eruptions: Privileged Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the position in the draft LA regarding the screening out of newly-formed volcanos within 1 km of the repository.
Authors	Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC / Group Lead Bradbury, Robert / MTS - Licensing / Shaw Group (Stone & Webster) Staff Scientist (Regulatory)
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE contractor personnel responsible for the section of the draft LA that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The attorney is providing advice and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8565
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330815
Doc Date	20050908
Title	Re: Language on Volcanic Eruptions: Privileged Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the discussion in the draft LA of eruptions from newly-formed volcanoes.
Authors	Ashley, Glen / BSC/Beckman / Group Lead Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams LLP / attorney
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC/Beckman / Group Lead Bradbury, Robert/ MTS - Licensing/ Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams LLP / attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The document is an email exchange between an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project and a DOE employee/contractor responsible for the subject draft or SAR sections that were the subject of counsel's advice. A DOE contractor (Bradbury) also responsible for the draft LA section was copied.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matters of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposes Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matters of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8567
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336017
Doc Date	20050908
Title	Re: Language on Volcanic Eruptions: Privileged Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of these emails is volcanic eruptions and suggested language from a licensing attorney and a response to that suggested language.
Authors	Ashley, Glen / BSC / Group Lead Frazier, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Bradbury, Robert / MTS - Licensing / Shaw Group (Stone & Webster) Staff Scientist (Regulatory)
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients, including licensing counsel, are also DOE employees or contractors responsible for the subject addressed in the draft SAR.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the draft LA regarding volcanic eruptions.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney is providing recommendations and opinions on the language of the draft LA regarding volcanic eruptions and receiving a response on the suggestions from project personnel.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the

privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051102.8608
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334408
Doc Date	20050908
Title	RE: 5.4
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 5.4 of the draft License Application.
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D./ Hunton & Williams/ Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ DiNunzio, Nick P./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Fillmore, Robert M./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Compliance / BSC Principal Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The document was sent to the two other attorneys, one an DOE OGC attorney and the other an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel. DOE counsel then provided the document to two DOE contractors responsible for the draft LA section that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing advice and recommendations on the licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20051213.3286
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331548
Doc Date	20050829
Title	DOC028
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository SAR Draft C-4 section 1.4.1
Authors	Oney, Thomas/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holt Jr., Robert D. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Manager / BSC Project Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	Author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel and recipients are DOE employees and contractors, including an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel, responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing his recommendations, opinions, and legal advice concerning proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20060111.1756
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481332
Doc Date	20051104

Title	PRIVILEGED Comments on Draft White Paper
Subject Matter Description	This document contains the author's subjective recommendations and analysis on a draft position paper prepared by BSC regarding preclosure information available at LA submittal.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy
Recipients	Dunn, Thomas / BSC / Orvis, Douglas D. / BSC Repository Development - Event Cat & Rel Analyses / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an official with OCRWM, and he is providing his recommended changes and comments to OCRWM's M&O contractors on the subject of preclosure information available at LA submission.
Determining Official	Ronald Milner
DP Decision Information	The author is providing his analysis on a draft position paper that DOE has not adopted concerning the preclosure information to be made available when the LA is submitted to the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing his subjective analysis and opinions on a draft position paper regarding the preclosure information available at LA submittal.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20060111.2627
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470111
Doc Date	20051101
Title	100 SNF Aging Report 051027-biggs
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft SNF Aging Report.
Authors	Biggs, Jo Ann/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are an attorney with the DOE OGC and an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	Licensing counsel is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions for ITS SSCs, and the spent nuclear fuel aging report. She is providing her comments and legal analysis on a draft document.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20060126.0405
LSN Accession Number	DN2002500375
Doc Date	20051013
Title	SAR Section 1.2.7_Needed Corrections
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is draft license application section 1.2.7 and engineering comments on that draft section.
Authors	Ployhar, Steve / BSC / Swanson, Leonard / BSC /
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT FORM LIST
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT);

LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20060519.0402
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469858
Doc Date	20050706
Title	DOE RW 0573 Draft B3
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is the draft section of the LA covering structures, systems, and components important to safety; safety controls; and measures to ensure availability of the safety systems.
Authors	Authors of the comments are DOE licensing counsel with responsibility for the subject addressed in this draft LA section.
Recipients	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipient are licensing counsel with licensing-related responsibility for the subject of the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing counsel authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20060519.3835
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337146
Doc Date	20060125
Title	Re: PRIVILEGED Re: TAD White Paper
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is a white paper addressing the level of detail that must be included in the license application so that it will be docketed.
Authors	Powell, David / BSC /
Recipients	Saldarini, James / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for portions of the draft license application.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Analyses regarding the level of detail necessary in the license application to support docketing.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing a recommendation and opinion regarding the level of detail that must be included in the license application to support docketing.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20060519.5670
LSN Accession Number	DN2002457245
Doc Date	20060202
Title	Comments on BSC CD-1 2nd design alternative report
Subject Matter Description	Comments on BSC Internal Draft Conceptual Design Report for proposed operating modes of the YM repository
Authors	Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/
Recipients	Chagnon, Carl W. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Manager / BSC Project Manager Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Iorii, Vince // Kantola, Leon / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE OCRWM employee with responsibility for the subject matter of the comments. The recipients are YMP personnel with similar responsibilities.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Document concerns presentations of alternative surface designs for the Yucca Mountain Repository.
Deliberation Explanation	Document provides the author's evaluation and subjective opinions of the draft document and the alternatives presented in the draft.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not have any separate fact discussion. There are no factual assumptions. The document contains evaluations and opinions regarding design options. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20061030.2045
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468993
Doc Date	20041207
Title	PRIVILEGED-CONFIDENTIAL Attorney-Client Request - V-TEL Discussions on Scoping Calculations
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email is a preview of factors that should be considered when evaluating timing and magnitude of 1,000,000 year does estimates.
Authors	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Capoferri, Anita/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Hoshaw, Marylu / BSC / McNeish, Jerry A. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist II Nutt, Mark/ Golder Associates/ OCRWM Contractor Peters, Mark / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Department Manager Sevougian, David / BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations / Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Swift, Peter N. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) General Technical Management Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Voegele, Michael D. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BSC Program Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and DOE OGC attorneys and other Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for evaluating the proposed new peak dose and its impact on LA development and strategy.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The anticipated decisions relate to DOE positions on the proposed EPA peak dose standard as well as strategy for and development of the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The email discusses and begins to evaluate factors the author deems important in evaluating information regarding peak dose estimates over alternative time periods.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is no factual section to the email. Its content in its entirety reflects the author's evaluation and judgment regarding factors important to a peak dose analysis over alternative time periods.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20061128.5208
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470990
Doc Date	20060929
Title	Pahrump Action 24 - DECISION PAPER - Drip Shield design basis
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the treatment of drip shields in the post-closure performance analysis.
Authors	Dahl, Pamela / SNL / Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor
Recipients	Bonano, Evaristo J. / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / Brady, P.V. / Sandia National Laboratory / Dixon, Paul R. / BSC Repository Development - Postclosure Activities / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Laboratory Lead Dyer, Russel J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/chief scientist Freeze, Geoffrey A. / BSC Repository Development - FEPS / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Technical/Scientific Staff Hardin, Ernest / Berldey & Sandia Laboratories / OCRWM Contractor Howard, Cliff / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor MacKinnon, Bob / SNL / Marietta, Melvin G. / Sandia National Lab / Technical Management Specialist McNeish, Jerry A. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist II

Sproat, Ward/OCRWM/Director

Stahl, David / /

Author Recipient Relationship	The document was prepared for a decision by the OCRWM director. The authors and other recipients are OCRWM and OCRWM contractor personnel who work on the subject matter of the referenced document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what design basis should be assigned to the drip shields for purposes of the post-closure performance assessment, i.e., protection from rock fall or water, or both.
Deliberation Explanation	The document makes a recommendation on the referenced topic and provides information the authors consider pertinent to making the decision, and discusses policy impacts and sensitivities regarding the issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not have any separate factual discussion. The document provides a recommendation and discusses sensitivities, and any information contained in those discussions would reveal the author's opinions about what information they considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No. ALA.20061128.5214

LSN Accession Number DN2002469354

Doc Date 20060930

Title Pahrump Item 20 - Decision Paper - WP Life

Subject Matter Description The document concerns whether DOE should re-examine or change the waste package design.

Authors Dyer, Russel J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/chief scientist

**Recipients Bonano, Evaristo J. / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL)
Brady, P.V. / Sandia National Laboratory /
Dahl, Pamela / SNL /
Dixon, Paul R. / BSC Repository Development - Postclosure Activities / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Laboratory Lead
Freeze, Geoffrey A. / BSC Repository Development - FEPS / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Technical/Scientific Staff
Hardin, Ernest / Berldey & Sandia Laboratories / OCRWM Contractor
Howard, Cliff / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor
Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor
Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor
MacKinnon, Bob / SNL /
Marietta, Melvin G. / Sandia National Lab / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Technical Management Specialist
McNeish, Jerry A. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist II
Sproat, Ward/OCRWM/director
Stahl, David / /**

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official who prepared the document for a decision by the OCRWM director. The other recipients are OCRWM and OCRWM contractor personnel who have responsibility for the subject matter of the referenced document. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should re-examine or change the waste package design for the YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides options on the referenced issue, makes recommendations on it, and discusses policy impacts and sensitivities relevant to the decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate factual discussion. Any discussion of information in the options reveals the author's opinions on the options and the reasons for them.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No. ALA.20070215.0654

LSN Accession Number DN2002481325

Doc Date 20061011

Title Aircraft Hazards Decision Paper Draft 5

Subject Matter Description The documents concerns the FEPs screening analysis for aircraft hazards at the YM repository.

Authors Kacich, Richard/DOE /OCRWM official

**Recipients Beckman, Donald/ BSC Repository Development / OCRWM contractor
Benz, Edward F./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/ OCRWM contractor
Dunn, Thomas / BSC / OCRWM contractor
Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/
Kane, Daniel W./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/
Lanthrum, Gary/DOE / OCRWM official**

Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/
 Spezialetti, Bill / DOE/RW / OCRWM official
 Warther, Robert/DOE OCRWM/LA director
 Williams, Jeffrey R./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Acceptance Transportation and Integration/
 Williams, Mark/DOE OCRWM/licensing director
 Wisenberg, Mark / BSC / OCRWM contractor
 Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ OCRWM contractor

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the preparation of the referenced document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision was whether to base the LA on the existing FEPs screening analysis for aircraft hazards or to add further margin to the analysis.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' opinions on the regulatory sufficiency of the existing FEPs analysis and makes recommendations on what action DOE should take.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's subjective opinions and the responses for them. There is no separate factual discussions and disclosure of the document's contents would reveal the author's opinion and what he considers important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LEGAL DOCUMENT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070426.5207
LSN Accession Number	DN2002463803
Doc Date	20070117
Title	Fw: Pahrump Action Item Discussions
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of this email is the license application and defense of the application.
Authors	Freeman, Patricia / DOE / Sproat, Edward / DOE - OCRWM / Director Trillo, Sandra / BSC / M&O Contractor
Recipients	Benson, Allen B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Affairs / Director Borella, Edward / BSC / M&O Contractor Crawford, David / DOE / OCRWM official Davis, Shirley / DOE / OCRWM employee Dyer, J. Russell / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Feigenbaum, Ted / BSC / General Manager / OCRWM contractor Golan, Paul / DOE - OCRWM / Deputy Director Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kacich, Richard / DOE / OCRWM official Kouts, Christopher A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management / Director Lanthrum, Paul / DOE / OCRWM official McKinney, Thomas / BSC / M&O Contractor Neumayr, Mary / DOE / Attorney Orrell, Andrew / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Team Member / OCRWM contractor Powers, Ken / DOE / OCRWM official Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Acquisition Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Taft, Robert W. / DOE / OCRWM official Tosetti, Richard / DOE / OCRWM official Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Warther, Robert F. / DOE / LA Manager / OCRWM official Williams, Mark H. / DOE / Licensing Director / OCRWM official
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP personnel with responsibility for the license application and its support, including project personnel and attorneys.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document contains the author's assessment of the status of various DOE action items, as well as his recommendations and opinions as to the potential vulnerabilities posed by same. The document further contains the author's opinions as to the positions that should be taken by DOE in the licensing proceeding.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the status of outstanding potential vulnerabilities with same.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION); LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070426.5991
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479239
Doc Date	20070110
Title	Pahrump Action Item Discussions PRIVILEGED- Deliberative Process
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns various strategy and licensing position papers on: burnup credit; aircraft hazards; level of design for the LA; the use of topical reports; cas & transportation licensing; lead lab defensibility; PPP and EP in the LA; update to the safety case; TSPA modeling; risk communication; and seismic issues for licensing.
Authors	Sproat, Edward F. III / DOE - OCRWM / Director
Recipients	Benson, Allen B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Affairs / Director Crawford, David /DOE OCRWM Davis, Shirley /DOE OCRWM/professional assistant Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / chief scientist Feigenbaum, Ted /BSC/managing director Golan, Paul /DOE OCRWM/deputy director Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kouts, Christopher A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / Director Lanthrum, Gary /DOE OCRWM Neumayr, Mary / DOE/Office of General Counsel/attorney / Orrell, Andrew / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Powers, Ken /DOE OCRWM Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Warther, Robert / DOE OCRWM/LA director Williams, Mark H. / DOE OCRWM /director of licensing
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is the director of OCRWM. The document was sent to attorneys from the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on YMP matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel, and to OCRWM senior managers and senior representatives of the M&O contractor and the Lead Lab for the YMP.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decisions relate to each of the topics referenced in the subject matter field, and concern whether decision papers are needed on the topics.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective views on the topics on which he wants advice from counsel and senior YMP officials, and opinions on draft strategy and licensing position papers.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss any facts. It identifies issues for discussion and topics for advice, and opinions on draft documents. The document consists solely of the author's opinions.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070504.0893
LSN Accession Number	DN2002457502
Doc Date	20070216
Title	Review of DELIVERABLE TECHNICAL WORK PLAN FOR DRIFT DEGRADATION STUDIES, TWP-MGR-GS-000007 REV 00, WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE 1.5.03.08; WORK PACKAGE PAD1015 - CONTRACT NO. DE-AC04-94AL-85000
Subject Matter Description	This email concerns the technical work plan for draft degradation studies.
Authors	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Ake, Jon / DOE / U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Geophysist: Supporting Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Listed as Kirk D. Lachman Engineering Division Director Linhart, James G. / DOE - OCRWM / Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist Rogers, Ralph D./ BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering/ Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The personnel who drafted and received this email are project personnel and attorneys with responsibility for the license application and its support.

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION); LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070511.1330
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479051
Doc Date	20061205
Title	Clad credit DOE rev4
Subject Matter Description	The document whether DOE should take credit for cladding capability in the TSPA Compliance Model.
Authors	Howard, Cliff / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor
Recipients	Bonano, Evaristo J. / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Dyer, Russel J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gross, Michael/Sandia Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor MacKennon, Robert/Sandia Marietta, Melvin G. / Sandia National Lab / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Technical Management Specialist McNeish, Jerry/Sandia Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Rogers, Ralph D./ BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering/ Russell, Paige R. Z./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Sevougian, David/ BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations/ Stahl, David/Sandia National Lab Swift, Peter N./ Sandia National Lab/ Warther, Robert /DOE OCRWM/LA director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are Sandia personnel who work on the YMP and DOE OCRWM managers who have responsibility for the subject matter of the decision paper.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should adopt a regulatory interpretation for the licensing proceeding in whether to take credit for cladding capability in the TSPA Compliance Model.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the recommendation of Sandia National Lab to OCRWM on the referenced topic.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual section. Disclosure of the document's contents would reveal Sandia's positions and recommendations and the reasons for its positions.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070515.7809
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479112
Doc Date	20070323
Title	ATTCKT5
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns BSC's support of DOE in the YM licensing proceeding.
Authors	Trillo, Sandra / BSC / M&O Contractor
Recipients	Beckman, Donald/ BSC/Beckman & Associates Cohose, Robert/BSC Feigenbaum, Ted/BSC/general manager Freeman, Patricia/ BSC/ Kacich, Richard/DOE Sproat, Edward F. III / DOE - OCRWM / Director Thornton, Linda /BSC/Attorney Trubatch, Sheldon/BSC/Attorney

Author Recipient Relationship	The document was prepared by the DOE M&O contractor. The document was sent to the DOE OCRWM director, and copies to YMP personnel who assisted in its preparation.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision relates to strategies that DOE could adopt for structure of the YM project to defend the LA in the licensing proceeding.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides BSC's opinions and recommendations to DOE, at DOE's request, on the referenced strategies for defense of the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not concern existing facts. It proposes future actions to address issues that could arise in the licensing proceeding and strategies to structure the YM project to respond to them.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION); LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION) LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION);
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070517.0429
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470539
Doc Date	20070214
Title	PRIVILEGED CONFIDENTIAL - Evaluation of FEPs
Subject Matter Description	R. Andrews is providing his information and analysis of excluded FEPs for counsel (J. Sweeney)
Authors	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Williams, Mark / DOE - OCRWM / Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a senior DOE M&O contractor personnel. The direct recipients are DOE counsel with a DOE official responsible for the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	Comments to attorneys regarding the appropriate discussion of FEPs in the SAR. The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070517.0876
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469335
Doc Date	20070214
Title	Fw: PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email is a draft licensing strategy plan and matrices addressing docketing and licensing risks.
Authors	Kacich, Richard / DOE / OCRWM official Sproat, Edward / DOE - OCRWM / Director
Recipients	Bonano, Evaristo J./ Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM contractor Feigenbaum, Ted / BSC / Manager Irwin, Donald/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney McKinney, Thomas / BSC / M&O Contractor Neumayr, Mary / DOE / Attorney Tosetti, Richard / BSC / Urie, Matt/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Warther, Robert / DOE / LA Manager Williams, Mark / DOE / Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients, including attorneys from DOE's OGC and outside counsel, work on the license application and overall licensing strategy.

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Comments on draft licensing-related documents such as the Strategy Management Plan and the Licensing Strategy and Risk Registers.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors is providing recommendations and opinions on draft licensing-related documents such as the Strategy Management Plan and the Licensing Strategy and Risk Registers.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070520.0698
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468847
Doc Date	20070307
Title	YM-6558-v1-Irrigation Recycling Analysis Memorandum
Subject Matter Description	Legal analysis Recycling of Accumulated Radionuclides from Soils to Groundwater
Authors	Rassmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel. The recipients are other DOE counsel who work on the YMP.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Whether the saturated zone and biosphere modeling of the LA must include effects of recycling of accumulated radionuclides from soils to groundwater
Deliberation Explanation	This memorandum addresses the basis for exclusion of irrigation recycling as a considered process of the Yucca Mountain Repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070520.1802
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481361
Doc Date	20070301
Title	Fw: Privileged--Transportation Cask and Aircraft Hazards Decision Papers
Subject Matter Description	This email discusses the proposed methodology by which casks will be analyzed under the regulations for repository operations.
Authors	Freeman, Patricia / / Gunter, Timothy / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Team Member Kacich, Richard M. / DOE / OCRWM official Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Science and Technology and International / Acting Director
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Borella, Edward / BSC / M&O Contractor Frank, Michael / / Fuller, Ed / BSC / Gunter, Timothy / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Team Member Hanlon, Carol / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Team Member Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Howard, Danny / / Kouts, Christopher A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Acceptance, Storage & Transportation / Storage & Engineering Technology Division Group Member Lanthrum, Gary / DOE/OCRWM /

Saldarini, James C. / Bechtel - SAIC / LA Project Manager/ OCRWM contractor
 Spezialetti, Bill / DOE/RW / OCRWM official
 Warther, Robert F. / DOE OCRWM / Federal LA Project Director
 Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Science and Technology and International / Acting Director
 Williams, Mark H. / DOE OCRWM - Regulatory Authority Office / Director
 Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis / OCRWM contractor

Author Recipient Relationship **The authors, Jeff Williams and Richard Kacich, are members of the YMP project. Their analysis and views have been forwarded to other members, both OCRWM personnel and OCRWM's contractors, who have responsibility over this subject matter area.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **The information contained in this email contains a discussion and analysis of the Project's proposed methodology for analyzing casks under the regulations for repository operations. It does not reflect the Project's official position regarding this issue and is pre-decisional.**

Deliberation Explanation **The email discussion reflects the authors' analysis and recommendations regarding the proposed methodology.**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.**

Doc Type **EMAIL**

Participant Accession No. **ALA.20070604.2615**

LSN Accession Number **DN2002479165**

Doc Date **20070126**

Title **1.25.06 Thermal Management Decision Paper**

Subject Matter Description **The document addresses the thermal implications of waste package loading.**

Authors **Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/**

Recipients **Gonzales, Jaime / DOE / OCRWM Official**
Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Engineering Division Director

Author Recipient Relationship **The author and recipients are DOE employees responsible for development of the project's position relative to thermal management strategy**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **The contemplated decision relates to development of thermal management strategy to handle receipt of commercial spent nuclear fuel in TAD canisters**

Deliberation Explanation **The author is providing a description of the pertinent issues, a strategy for developing further information, as well as a preliminary recommendation on the subject matter.**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **This document contains no factual section. It provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.**

Doc Type **EMAIL-ATT**

Participant Accession No. **ALA.20070711.3003**

LSN Accession Number **DN2002479047**

Doc Date **20070506**

Title **Note to Mark and Chris + Update Waste Form Paper**

Subject Matter Description **The document concerns a draft position paper on the timing of waste form analyses.**

Authors **Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist**

Recipients **Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager**
Borella, Edward / BSC / M&O Contractor
Ferris, David / DOE-OCRWM /
Freeman, Patricia/BSC
Hanlon, Carol/ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Kacich, Richard/DOE
Kouts, Christopher A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/
Murphy, Edward/
Saldarini, James / BSC /
Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/
Thompson, Jeanne/BSC
Williams, Mark/DOE OCRWM/licensing director

Author Recipient Relationship **Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the draft document that is the subject of email.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **The document concerns the development of a position paper for DOE consideration on the regulatory requirements for waste form analyses to be included in the LA at filing.**

Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions on the draft paper and the draft analysis in it, and makes recommendations on how it should be edited.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual analysis. It consists of the author's views of regulatory requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070711.3098
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479241
Doc Date	20070507
Title	Fw: Priviledged and Confidential:Draft Decision Paper on Igneous Criticality - Additional Info for discussion
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns and draft decision paper on whether a regulatory basis exists to screen out in-package criticality resulting from igneous events as part of the igneous events.
Authors	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Borella, Edward / BSC / M&O Contractor Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Fish, Robert / MTS - Post Closure Safety Analsis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Mechanical Engineer Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Freeman, Patricia/BSC Howard, Robert L./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Project Manager Linhart, James G. / DOE - OCRWM / Nieder-Westermann, Gerald H. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Senior Science Specialist Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rogers, Ralph D. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist I Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Sevougian, David / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Senior Staff Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Trubatch, Sheldon/BSC/Attorney Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Waldman, Jane/BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors, and DOE counsel, responsible for preparation of the the draft position paper.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should adopt, as a licensing position, whether a regulatory basis exists to screen out in-package criticality resulting from igneous events as part of the igneous events.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing his recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in the draft decision paper, and makes recommendations for edits.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001.
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual section. It presents the author's opinions on regulatory analyses. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's opinions as to the proposed positions under consideration and his recommendations on the topic.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070711.4008
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479085
Doc Date	20070504
Title	Fw: Priviledged -- draft decision paper on Irrigation Recycling
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft decision paper under development on the treatment of Irrigation Recycling in the dose calculations.
Authors	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Borella, Edward / BSC / M&O Contractor Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Acting Deputy Director Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Freeman, Patricia/BSC

Goldstein, Barry / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL)
 Kacich, Richard M. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing and Nuclear Safety / Manager
 Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration / JK Research Associates (JKRA)
 Principal Regulatory Analyst
 Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist
 Prouty, Jeralyn L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / RCS Corporation Engineer
 Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney

Author Recipient Relationship **Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractor, including DOE counsel, responsible for the development of the referenced draft decision paper.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **The document concerns what licensing position DOE should adopt on how Irrigation Recycling should be factored in dose calculations for the YM repository.**

Deliberation Explanation **The document provides the author's subjective comments and recommendations on a draft licensing position that is being prepared for DOE's decision, and proposes edits to the document.**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It provides the author's subjective views of regulatory requirements and draft arguments contained in the draft position paper.**

Doc Type **EMAIL**

Participant Accession No. **ALA.20070711.6417**

LSN Accession Number **DN2002479037**

Doc Date **20070524**

Title **GONZALESL_Subsurface Design and Waste Package CDR_Rev 01_with_signatures**

Subject Matter Description **This document is a draft of the Conceptual Design Report for the Subsurface Design and Waste Package sections of the draft LA/SAR**

Authors **Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense/
 Gonzales, Lou/ BSC Repository Development - Design and Engineering/
 Saldarini, James/ BSC/LA Project Manager
 Warther, Robert/ DOE/RW/Federal LA Project Director**

Recipients **Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense/
 Suarez, Diego/ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/**

Author Recipient Relationship **The authors are DOE M&O contractor personnel and an OCRWM official. The recipients are also M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility over the development of this version of the CDR.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **This document outlines, discusses and analyzes DOE's draft LA/SAR. Thus, it is a part of DOE's ongoing process in the creation of the LA/SAR that will ultimately be filed with the NRC. It presents licensing positions that may or may not be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.**

Deliberation Explanation **The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of the draft sections of the LA/SAR delaing with Subsurface Design and Waste Packages. Disclosing this document would allow parties to reconstruct DOE's deliberations once the ultimate LA/SAR is filed with the NRC.**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
 The document is part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the LA/SAR. Disclosure of its contents would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.**

Doc Type **EMAIL-ATT**

Other Privilege Types **LWP-other (FACT);
 LWP-other (OPINION)**

Participant Accession No. **ALA.20070711.7273**

LSN Accession Number **DN2002479151**

Doc Date **20070530**

Title **Subsurface Design and Waste Package CDR_Rev 02a_LG**

Subject Matter Description **This is the Conceptual Design Report for the Subsurface Design and Wast Package sections of the LA/SAR.**

Authors **Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense/
 Gonzales, Lou/ BSC Repository Development - Design and Engineering/
 Saldarini, James/ BSC/LA Project Manager
 Warther, Robert/ DOE/RW/Federal LA Project Director**

Recipients **Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense/
 Suarez, Diego/ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/**

Author Recipient Relationship **The authors are DOE M&O contractor personnel and an OCRWM official. The recipients are also M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility over the development of this draft document.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **This document outlines, discusses and analyzes DOE's draft LA/SAR. Thus, it is a part of DOE's ongoing process in the creation of the LA/SAR that will ultimately be filed with the NRC. It presents licensing positions that may or may not**

be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.

Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft sections of the LA/SAR dealing with Subsurface Design and Waste Packages. Disclosing the contents of this document would permit parties to reconstruct DOE's deliberations once the LA/SAR is ultimately filed with the NRC.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the draft LA/SAR. Disclosure of its contents would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070711.7288
LSN Accession Number	DN2002457679
Doc Date	20070529
Title	LL Licensing Management Review of SAR Issues Identified in Minutes Provided on May 16, 2007
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the regulatory argument supporting the use of present day climate conditions in the calculation of constant BDCFs for the period of geologic stability.
Authors	Burford, Jerry / Sandia /
Recipients	Goldstein, Barry / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Lugo, Candace L./ Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Lum, Clinton C./ Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst Price, Laura/ Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Raleigh, James J. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst Rogers, Ralph D. / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Smith, Anthony / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Stanley, Andy / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Wasiolek, Maryla A. / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor Wilson, Charles / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / OCRWM contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of discussion.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what regulatory interpretation of the referenced regulation DOE should adopt.
Deliberation Explanation	The document and the attached draft position paper presents subjective interpretation of a regulatory and proffered grounds to support the position, for the purpose of formulating a licensing position for DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document and its attachment do not contain any factual section. The document provide the author's analysis of regulatory requirements and his opinions as to the grounds that would support his interpretation. Disclosure of those grounds would reveal the author's opinions.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070831.0972
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470329
Doc Date	20040623
Title	PATH FORWARD ON 10CFR21.DOC
Subject Matter Description	Application of 10 CFR 21 to NNPP contractors
Authors	Putzu, Frank A. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command / Attorney
Recipients	Beckett, Thomas H. / Naval Reactors / Deputy Director Curtis, David I. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Denko, Alan R.//NNPP Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Hardin, Michael G. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official Harman, Jason M. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official Kauffman, Storm R. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official Kuprenas, Michael A. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Miles, Barry K. / Naval Reactors - Transportation and Certification Branch / Head

Pratt, Roy G. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command /
 Snider, Eric S. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official
 Trautman, Stephen J. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official
 Woodberry, Robert A. / Naval Reactors / NNPP official

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with NNPP and the recipients are NNPP and NNPP contractor personnel with responsibility for implementing counsel's legal advice and recommendation.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends a course of action for addressing and demonstrating compliance with 10 CFR Part 21.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides legal advice and analysis concerning the meaning of 10 CFR Part 21 and NNPP compliance with that regulation and contains the author's recommendation and advice on addressing and demonstrating compliance with the regulation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL W/ ATTACHMENT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070905.3801
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469931
Doc Date	20060524
Title	PRIVILEGED: Re: NRC TPA 4.1j Seismic and Faulting Models
Subject Matter Description	Seismic and Faulting Models
Authors	Sevougian, David / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Senior Staff Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Zwahlen, Eric / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Science Specialist
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Fish, Robert / MTS - Post Closure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Mechanical Engineer Fish, Robert / MTS - Post Closure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Mechanical Engineer Gil, April V. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Gross, Mike / SNL / Hanlon, Carol / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Team Member Lee Kern / / Lugo, Candace L. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Compliance / BSC Principal Scientist II Matthusen, August C. / BSC Repository Development - Science Integration / BSC Science Specialist McNeish, Jerry A. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist II Nutt, William M. / MTS - Post Closure Safety Analysis / Golder Associates Team Lead Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Compliance / BSC Principal Engineer I Roselle, Gregory / SNL / Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Sevougian, David / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Senior Staff Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Zwahlen, Eric / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Science Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel, including attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE's outside counsel, who have responsibility for implementing and executing the TSPA..
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns the position DOE should take in order to address differences between the NRC TPA 4.1j seismic and faulting models and complementary TSPA sections.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains recommendations on addressing differences between NRC TPA 4.1j seismic and faulting models and complementary TSPA sections.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it has no separate fact section and because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070910.0317
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470358
Doc Date	20070322
Title	CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (CRWMS) MASTER RISK REGISTER
Subject Matter Description	Document identifies and analyzes risks in licensing proceedings and provides litigation strategy and recommended courses of action regarding same.
Authors	Harbert, Kevin Various YMP Personnel
Recipients	OCRWM Licensing Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP personnel tasked with making strategy recommendations regarding positions taken in the licensing proceedings.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document identifies potential vulnerabilities and risks in positions taken by DOE in the licensing proceeding. The document further contains the authors' recommendations as to decisions to be made by DOE with regard to mitigation strategy and possible contentions in licensing proceedings.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains deliberations among and recommendations made by various DOE personnel regarding positions to be taken by DOE in licensing proceedings as well strategy decisions regarding potential risks inherent in same.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	DATA PACKAGE
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070912.1084
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469334
Doc Date	20060908
Title	COMMENTS ON SPROAT KEY ISSUES
Subject Matter Description	Naval concerns regarding the Yucca Mountain Project's decisions regarding key issues such as evaluation of waste package thermal loads, alternative regulatory approaches, and design freeze dates.
Authors	Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager
Recipients	Hintz, Richard E. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Spent Fuel Analysis Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are naval personnel and naval contractors responsible for making recommendations regarding key issues in Yucca Mountain licensing position that is being prepared for DOE's decision.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Naval concerns regarding the Yucca Mountain Project's decisions as to key issues such as evaluation of waste package thermal loads, alternative regulatory approaches, and design freeze dates.
Deliberation Explanation	Document reflects concerns and recommendations of naval contractor as to key issues such as evaluation of waste package thermal loads, alternative regulatory approaches, and design freeze dates.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070912.1114
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469948
Doc Date	19010101
Title	WHITE PAPER PRECLOSURE CRITICALITY EVALUATIONS USE OF PROBABILISTIC TECHNIQUES
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the white paper is the use of probabilistic techniques for preclosure criticality evaluations
Authors	Bettis / NNPP contractor
Recipients	Andes, John A. / Bettis - Pittsburgh Naval Reactors / Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Benson, Carl J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Carey, Andy M. / Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory / Manager Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gideon, Willard / Knolls - Advanced Reactors Nuclear Design / Advisory Engineer Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Hintz, Richard E. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Spent Fuel Analysis Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors /

Hunter, Melissa A. / Bettis - Safety Assessments / Manager
 Lawson, Donald L. / Bettis - Mechanical, Electrical, and Reactor Activities /
 Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis
 Nanko, Laura / Bettis - Pittsburgh Naval Reactors /
 Rath, Dean J. / Bettis - MDP /
 Schramm, Bruce J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager
 Wade, David R. / Bettis - REO / Manager, Shipping Container Analysis
 Willis, Clarence R. / Bettis - Nuclear Engineering / Manager

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are NNPP personnel and NNPP contractor personnel who work on YMP matters.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The contemplated decision relates to use of probabilistic techniques for preclosure criticality evaluations as well as the resulting structure of SAR section 1.14.
Deliberation Explanation	The document describes and discusses the issue and makes a recommendation for decision based upon the evaluation set forth in the document.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. There is no factual section, although there is a general background section. That section, however, recounts past deliberations on the topic and the authors' assessment of those deliberations.
Doc Type	REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070912.1222
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470019
Doc Date	20070510
Title	HOW TO HANDLE LWBR IN THE POSTCLOSURE SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns options on HOW TO HANDLE LWBR IN THE POSTCLOSURE SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS for purposes of the license proceeding.
Authors	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors /
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Benson, Carl J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager Funk, Richard H. / / Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Rath, Dean J. / Bettis - MDP / Roberts, Thomas E. / / Sperduto, Laura A. / /

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an NNPP officials, and the recipients are NNPP personnel and NNPP contractors who have responsibility for the referenced subject matter.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what options DOE should adopt for the treatment of NNPP LWBR in the post-closure source term calculations.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions on the possible options and recommends the author's preferred option, and the advantages the author perceives to that option.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides the author's subjective views about two options and states subjective advantages of a recommended option, but there is no factual content that would not disclose the options and the author's thought processes about those options.
Doc Type	E-MAIL W/ ATTACHMENT

Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070912.1225
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469809
Doc Date	20070510
Title	HOW TO HANDLE LWBR IN THE POSTCLOSURE SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS
Subject Matter Description	HOW TO HANDLE LWBR IN THE POSTCLOSURE SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS
Authors	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Benson, Carl J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health /

Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors /
Funk, Richard H. / /
Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors /
Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis
McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs
Rath, Dean J. / Bettis - MDP /
Roberts, Thomas E. / /
Sperduto, Laura A. / /

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project, NNPP, and DOE contractor personnel who have responsibility for the licensing position as to which the authors have provided their recommendations and opinions..
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document addresses the decision to be made on the manner in which LWBR will be handled in postclosure source term calculations.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides the authors' recommendations and opinions concerning how to handle LWBR in the postclosure source term calculations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain a separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070912.1229
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470983
Doc Date	20070511
Title	HOW TO HANDLE LWBR IN THE POSTCLOSURE SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS
Subject Matter Description	Email correspondence among NNNP, YMP, and contractor personnel with responsibility for making decision regarding how to handle LWBR in the postclosure source term calculations.
Authors	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors /
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Benson, Carl J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager Funk, Richard H. / / Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Rath, Dean J. / Bettis - MDP / Roberts, Thomas E. / / Sperduto, Laura A. / /
Author Recipient Relationship	The recipients are Yucca Mountain Project and NNPP personnel as well as Yucca Mountain contractors who have responsibility for the subject matter of the referenced decision.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Involves the position that will be taken in the LA regarding how to handle LWBR in the postclosure source term calculations.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides comments and recommendations on the position that will be taken in the LA regarding how to handle LWBR in the postclosure source term calculations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070914.0105
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469494
Doc Date	20070215
Title	CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (CRWMS) MASTER RISK REGISTER
Subject Matter Description	This matrix identifies licensing risks.
Authors	Harbert, Kevin / / Various Project Personnel
Recipients	OCRWM Licensing Management
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are project personnel supporting the YMP with licensing responsibility.

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP decision information includes identification of licensing risks, analysis of those risks, and identifying possible responses to those risks.
Deliberation Explanation	The information provided in this document provides the context for evaluating licensing risks and evaluating responses to those risks.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA PACKAGE
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070918.0307
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470215
Doc Date	20060420
Title	URGENT ---HEADS UP---NEED REACTION TO SEE IF NEED FRIDAY MEETING
Subject Matter Description	Email exchange among Robert Gisch, Barry Miles, John McKenzie, and Dave Franklin among others, analyzing the effect of naval fuel on the proposed initial handling facility.
Authors	Franklin, David / Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program / Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Franklin, David / Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program / Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Miles, Barry K. / Naval Reactors - Transportation and Certification Branch / Head Woodberry, Robert A. / Naval Reactors /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Naval Reactors personnel with responsibility for the subject addressed: interface between NRR SNF and the initial handling facility at the Yucca repository.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This email exchange contains the personal opinions and analyses of David Franklin and Robert Gisch concerning DOE's initial handling facility and the effects of NR's waste on this proposed facility. The discussion indicates that Messrs. Franklin and Gisch are planning to provide their recommendations to DOE, and that DOE has not yet made a decision on the design of this facility.
Deliberation Explanation	Messrs. Gisch and Franklin express their views on DOE's preliminary design for the initial waste handling facility, and what recommendations and comments NR should provide to DOE on this facility.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070918.0423
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468909
Doc Date	20041018
Title	ITWI
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is the approach to Important to Waste Isolation classifications of waste package internals.
Authors	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Harman, Jason M. / Naval Reactors /
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Daniels, Robert / Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory / Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Harman, Jason M. / Naval Reactors /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients in the email chain are Naval Reactor personnel who have responsibility for assisting the Yuccan Mountain Project in identifying waste package internals that are important to waste isolation.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The anticipated decision is what to claim as important to waste isolation in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The email discusses the ramifications of the alternatives.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

	2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is no factual section of the email chain. It simply evaluates the ramifications of the identified approaches.
Doc Type	E-MAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070918.0481
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469018
Doc Date	20070423
Title	NNPP REVIEW OF DECISION PAPER FOR LA ISSUE --IN PACKAGE CRITICALITY ANALYSIS FOR AN IGNEOUS INTRUSION EVENT PREPARED BY ROB HOWARD (SNL)-4/23/2007
Subject Matter Description	NNPP REVIEW OF DECISION PAPER FOR LA ISSUE --IN PACKAGE CRITICALITY ANALYSIS FOR AN IGNEOUS INTRUSION EVENT PREPARED BY ROB HOWARD (SNL)-4/23/2007
Authors	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Benson, Carl J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Carey, Andy M. / Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory / Manager Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Hintz, Richard E. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Spent Fuel Analysis Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Project Manager Huyett, John D. / Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory / Lawson, Donald L. / Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory / Lehn, Alan C. / / McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Willis, Clarence R. / Bettis - Nuclear Engineering / Manager
Recipients	Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Ward, John S, III/NNPP
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project, NNPP, and NNPP contractor personnel who have responsibility for subject areas covered in the draft document that is the subject of their comments.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document on which this document contains comments sets forth recommended draft licensing positions for consideration and adoption by DOE.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides comments and recommendations on a draft licensing position that is being prepared for DOE's decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070918.0492
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470072
Doc Date	20070303
Title	FINALIZING CLAD-CREDIT POSITION
Subject Matter Description	Email communication among YMP personnel discussing need to finalize position taken in the LA regarding the clad-credit and the impact of transportation on fuel performance as well as ramifications thereof.
Authors	Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors / Manager, Yucca Mountain Resident Office
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command / Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Brown, Neil R. / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / BSC Project Engineer Clark, Steven / BSC/BSC / Dei, Donald E. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command / Follin, James N. / Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors, Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Howard, Cliff / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Engineering Division Director Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist Pratt, Roy G. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command /

	Salgado, Lorenzo / /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and other Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for making the decision contemplated in the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document states the need to finalize the position that will be taken in the LA regarding the clad-credit and transportation impact on fuel performance.
Deliberation Explanation	Deliberation of whether data and analyses show that there is no expected transportation-induced degradation and different implications of such data on position to be taken in LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070918.0513
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470217
Doc Date	20070601
Title	PRIVILEGED DECISION PAPER ON ALLY 22
Subject Matter Description	Email discussion among NR personnel Decision Paper on Alloy 22 and NR's views of DOE's current position in the license application with respect to Ally 22 composition ranges.
Authors	Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Acting Deputy Director Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Prouty, Jeralyn L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / RCS Corporation Engineer
Recipients	Andes, John A. / Bettis - Pittsburgh Naval Reactors / Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Brown, Neil R. / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / BSC Project Engineer Carey, Andy M. / Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory / Manager Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Acting Deputy Director Feigenbaum, Ted / BSC / Follin, James N. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Hanlon, Carol / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Team Member Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Nanko, Laura / Bettis - Pittsburgh Naval Reactors / Orrell, Andrew / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Team Member Pratt, Roy G. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command / Prouty, Jeralyn L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / RCS Corporation Engineer Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Wade, David R. / Bettis - REO / Manager, Shipping Container Analysis Ward, John / / Williams, Mark H. / DOE / Willis, Clarence R. / Bettis - Nuclear Engineering / Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	NR personnel R. Gisch is providing information to his supervisor about the NR's proposed path forward on this issue.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This email concerns the decision DOE will make regarding composition ranges for Alloy 22 that DOE will advocate in the license application and in the licensing proceeding.
Deliberation Explanation	This email proposes an alternative to the current DOE approach that NR would like to see implemented concerning Alloy 22 composition ranges, and it also discloses a draft position that DOE will take in its license application. The recommendation that NR will make to DOE does not reflect the DOE's decision pertaining to this issue. Moreover, the give and take reflected in this email concerns ongoing deliberations within NR on its position about this issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	E-MAIL

Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20070921.0192
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468968
Doc Date	20070423
Title	NNPP REVIEW OF DECISION PAPER FOR LA ISSUE--IN PACKAGE CRITICALITY ANALYSIS FOR AN IGNEOUS INTRUSION EVENT PREPARED BY ROB HOWARD(SNL) - 04/23/2007
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft licensing position paper that was being developed for DOE consideration on the treatment in the LA of potential criticality events following an igneous intrusion events.
Authors	Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Project Manager
Recipients	Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Benson, Carl J. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Carey, Andy M. / Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory / Manager Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager Hintz, Richard E. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Spent Fuel Analysis Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors / Huyett, John D.// Lawson, Donald L. / Bettis - Mechanical, Electrical, and Reactor Activities / Lehm, Alan C.// McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Ward, John S.// Willis, Clarence R. / Bettis - Nuclear Engineering / Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contractor personnel for the YMP. The recipients are NNPP and NNPP contractor personnel who work on YMP matters.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether the LA Strategy Team should adopt a decision-making process to analyze and potentially change the approach to the treatment of potential criticality events following an igneous intrusion event.
Deliberation Explanation	The document identifies four options on the topic and provides the author's subjective comments and recommendations regarding them.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. Any information in the document is embedded in the discussion of options and recommendations, and would reveal the content of those options and the author's views about them. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	DATA REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALA.20071203.0010
LSN Accession Number	
Doc Date	20070924
Title	RELIABILITY DECISION PAPER FOR 09/26/XXXX LICENSING STRATEGY TEAM MEETING
Subject Matter Description	Email correspondence among DOE officials and contractors, and DOE attorneys and outside counsel, regarding a draft Decision Paper on the Basis for Reliability Inputs to PCSA, TSPA, and Design.
Authors	Boyle, William J / DOE / OCRWM official Follin, James N. / NNPP subcontractor Franklin, David G. III / NNPP official Hanlon, Carol / DOE / OCRWM official McKenzie, John M. / NNPP official
Recipients	Andes, John A. / Bettis - Pittsburgh Naval Reactors / Angerhofer, Todd E. / Naval Reactors / Beckman, Donald / BSC / M&O subcontractor - Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Bonano, Evaristo J. / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) / Lead Lab personnel Borella, Edward / / BSC / M&O Contractor personnel Boyle, William J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Callan, L. Joseph / / Nuclear Safety Consultant Carey, Andy M. / Advanced Reactors - Nuclear Design / Manager Clause, Lorelee / DOE / OCRWM personnel Davis, Shirley / DOE / OCRWM personnel Dyer, Russel J. / DOE / OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Acting Deputy Director Eisenhut, Darrell / / Consultant

Franklin, David G. III / Naval Reactors - Yucca Mountain Resident Office / Manager
 Gil, April V. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Director
 Gisch, Robert G. / Naval Reactors - Regulatory Affairs / Naval Spent Fuel Program Manager
 Golay PhD, Michael W. / MIT-Dept of Nuclear Science & Engineering / Professor
 Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director
 Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
 Holden, Gregory F. / Naval Reactors /
 Howard, Danny / BSC / M&O subcontractor personnel
 Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
 Kacich, Richard M. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing and Nuclear Safety / Manager
 Knowles, Kathryn / BSC Repository Development / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Integration/Near Field Environmental Transport Team/Special Projects Lead
 Knox, Eric K. / DOE/OCRWM / Deputy Director
 Kouts, Christopher A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / Director
 Kuprenas, Michael A. / Naval Reactors /
 Lawson, Donald L. / Bettis - Mechanical, Electrical, and Reactor Activity /
 Matyko, Gary M. / Bettis - Environmental, Safety, and Health / Manager, Spent Fuel Analysis
 McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs
 Nanko, Laura J. / Bettis - Pittsburgh Naval Reactors /
 Neumayr, Mary / DOE / Office of General Counsel / Attorney
 Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist
 Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Compliance / BSC Principal Engineer I
 Pratt, Roy G. / Department of the Navy - Naval Sea Systems Command /
 Prouty, Jeralyn L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / RCS Corporation Engineer
 Roberts, Thomas / Naval Reactors /
 Rogers, Ralph D. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist I
 Sproat, Edward F. III / DOE - OCRWM / Director
 Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
 Wade, David R. / Bettis - REO / Manager, Shipping Container Analysis
 Ward, John / Naval Reactors /
 Warther, Robert F. / DOE OCRWM / Federal LA Project Director
 Weber, Ellyn/ DOE / OCRWM personnel
 Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Science and Technology and International / Acting Director
 Willis, Clarence R. / Bettis - Nuclear Engineering / Manager

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees, contractors, attorneys, and outside attorneys responsible for the subject draft Decision Paper and the positions taken therein.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Positions to be taken in the licensing proceedings, and decisions to be made regarding the Basis for Reliability Inputs to PCSA, TSPA, and Design.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and advice on the proposed licensing positions as described in the Decision Paper regarding the Basis for Reliability Inputs to PCSA, TSPA, and DESIGN.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	E-MAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040612.0558
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337420
Doc Date	20020516
Title	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION
Subject Matter Description	Identification of criteria to evaluate the impact of drip shield and waste package design on YMP performance and licenseability.
Authors	Linden, Christine L./ BSC Repository Development - Analysis and Component Design/
Recipients	Parik, Sharad (Steve) R. / Bechtel / OCRWM contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are two DOE MTO contractor personnel for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision relates to what criteria DOE should adopt to assess the ultimate impact of a potential design for the drip shield and waste package on the Yucca Mountain project, including the licensing of the project.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendations on the reference topic, to assist the M&O contractor in developing recommendation to DOE for DOE's request to assist DOE's decisionmaking on the topic

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040612.7419
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331934
Doc Date	20020730
Title	L. Trautner Briefing 07-30-02 Rev A
Subject Matter Description	The presentation addresses potential component design, waste package and drip shield reevaluations, and value engineering study update
Authors	Brown, Neil R. / BSC Repository Development - Metallurgical Engineers / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Linden, Christine L. / BSC Repository Development - Tunneling Engineers / BSC Senior Engineer
Recipients	Brown, Neil R. / BSC Repository Development - Metallurgical Engineers / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Trautner, Larry J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision relates what design of waste package and drip shield DOE should adopt for the YM repository and whether current designs should be reevaluated.
Deliberation Explanation	The documents identifies the methodology of the study, sets forth sensitivities relative to the LA, sets forth evaluation criteria, presented alternative drip shield and waste package concepts, and applied the criteria to those alternatives. The document provides the author's recommendation on the reference topic, to assist the M&O contractor in developing recommendations to DOE for DOE's request to assist DOE's decisionmaking on the topic
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is minimal factual information referenced in this presentation. To the extent it is included, it is by comparison to alternatives and intermixed into evaluation of those alternatives to the criteria set forth. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT MEETING AID
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040615.4907
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332151
Doc Date	20000125
Title	BB Draft PORB Position Paper 1_23_00
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns recommendations to fund alternative testing associated with the UZ Transport Test at Busted Butte.
Authors	Patterson, Russell / Office of Project Execution /
Recipients	Hastings, Cheryl / DOE - CRWMS / Lentz, Hugh / DOE - CRWMS / Peters, Mark / DOE - CRWMS /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP project personnel who worked on the referenced position paper.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should perform alternative testing in connection with the UZ Transport Test at Busted Butte and if so, what testing.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' opinions on potential alternatives, including their schedule and costs, and their recommendation to DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document sets forth the options available to DOE in the author's opinion and their recommendation. None of that is a discussion of factual information. There is a brief statement of considerations that identifies the tests performed to date with schedule information, but that discussion does not contain any description of results that qualifies as non-supporting information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT FORM LIST PROCUREMENT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040617.0727
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331344
Doc Date	19970130
Title	Re: PISA Chap 7 Outline Review

Subject Matter Description	This email discusses the subject of retrievability in Chapter 7 of the PISA.
Authors	Weaver, Jeff D. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Senior Engineer
Recipients	Ashe, Kenneth L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / Licensing Manager Duke, COGEMA, Stone & Webster (Charlotte NC) TRW Gehner, Phil / / Miller, Loren / / Smith, Anthony / SNL /
Author Recipient Relationship	The recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the author's recommendations and opinions.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This documents concern the position that should be taken in the then-current version of the PISA on certain aspects of retrievability.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides the authors's recommendations and opinions concerning the position that should be taken in the draft PISA on retrievability. Disclosing this document would reveal the author's subjective opinions on the positions that should be taken in this document, and reveal the give-and-take within the DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because there is no separate factual section and any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document posits various issues and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040618.6044
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334432
Doc Date	20040606
Title	S2-1-2-8text(A)
Subject Matter Description	The document is an early working draft of section 2.1.2 of the draft LA, which concerns the description of the capability of identified barriers.
Authors	Saulnier, George/BSC
Recipients	Blink, James / BSC Repository Development / Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development / Goldstein, Barry / BSC Repository Development / Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development / Meacham, Paul / BSC Repository Development / Monib, Ahmed M. / BSC Repository Development / Rickertsen, Larry D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Swift, Peter N. / BSC Repository Development /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor perosnnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040618.9576
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336642
Doc Date	20031224
Title	D&E_121_review
Subject Matter Description	Section 1.2.1 Surface Operations Overview (Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report; Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure)
Authors	Caulfield, Frank / BSC / Frankert, Thomas / BSC / Kahler, Norm / BSC /
Recipients	Schwartztrauber, Keith E. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.

Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.0695
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334839
Doc Date	20040402
Title	lr_sar_135 (reformatted)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of section 1.3.5 of the draft LA.
Authors	Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Dokuzoguz, Halit / BSC / Gordon, Francine / BSC / Graf, Tammy / BSC / McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - License Application / License Application Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.0697
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332199
Doc Date	20040402
Title	lr_sar_135_tables (reformatted)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of the tables that are part of section 1.3.5 of the draft LA.
Authors	Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Dokuzoguz, Halit / / Gordon, Francine / / Graf, Tammy / / McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - License Application / License Application Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.1783

LSN Accession Number	DN2002336893
Doc Date	20040227
Title	1.5.3.3 WPC review
Subject Matter Description	1.5.3.3 DHLW & DOE Spent Nuclear Fuel Waste Packages
Authors	Linden, Christine L./ BSC Repository Development - Analysis and Component Design/ McDonnell, Thomas/ DOE-OCRWM /
Recipients	Anderson, Michael J./ BSC Repository Development - Analysis and Component Design/ Chagnon, Carl W./ BSC Repository Development - Analysis and Component Design/ Cogar, Jerry/BSC Repository Development Meszaros, Christine / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are BSC personnel with YMP responsibility who have responsibility for the draft document as to which the authors have provided comments.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document addresses the positions that should be taken by DOE in the SAR section respecting waste packages.
Deliberation Explanation	The documents contains the authors' recommendation on the positions that should be taken in the draft SAR section and the form the section should take.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.4387
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333562
Doc Date	20040204
Title	D&E_1232_B_review
Subject Matter Description	D&E_1232_B_review, Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Preliminary Draft, Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas / /
Recipients	Dokuzoguz, Halit / BSC / Honaker, Raymond / BSC / Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Meszaros, Christine / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.4571
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330616
Doc Date	20040227
Title	1.5.3.3 WPC review
Subject Matter Description	1.5.3.3 DHLW & DOE Spent Nuclear Fuel Waste Packages
Authors	Linden, Christine L./ BSC Repository Development - Analysis and Component Design/
Recipients	McDonnell, Thomas / BSC / Meszaros, Christine / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer

DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.5497
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335693
Doc Date	20040123
Title	04_0115 D&E_1451_A011404 Air
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 1.4.5 of the draft LA, which concerns the proposed plant services to be at the YM repository.
Authors	Blois, Michael D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	McDonnell, Thomas /BSC Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the proposed plant services DOE should adopt to have at the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.6148
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337519
Doc Date	20040227
Title	sar_2.2 text DrftA_BHastings
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is draft SAR section 2.2 on Scenario Analysis and Event Probability.
Authors	Hastings, Bruce/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/
Recipients	Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Principal Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft SAR section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.6220

LSN Accession Number	DN2002335323
Doc Date	20040223
Title	1.2.3.2B-DE Consol
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 1.2.3.2 of the draft LA, which addresses the proposed cask and waste package receipt building for the YM repository.
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas / BSC
Recipients	Dokuzoguz, Halit / BSC Honaker, Raymond / BSC Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Meszaros, Christine / BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content, to be prepared for DOE's consideration.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, with regard to what type of cast and waste package receipt building should be at the YM repository and how it should be described in the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft to the final version of the LA would reveal DOE deliberations on the referenced subject matter. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.6930
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481348
Doc Date	20040303
Title	Re: Licensing comments on SAR Section 1.4.1.1 Draft B
Subject Matter Description	This email conveys Augusto Zoleta's comments and recommended changes to SAR section 1.4.1.1 (Electric Power).
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas / DOE-OCRWM / OCRWM official
Recipients	Dokuzoguz, Halit / DOE-CRWMS / OCRWM official Honaker, Raymond / DOE-CRWMS / OCRWM official Meszaros, Christine / DOE-CRWMS / OCRWM official Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist / OCRWM contractor
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are YMP who are responsible for the draft LA section.
Determining Official	Ronald Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document conveys the author's recommended changes to licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.7251
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332926
Doc Date	20040323
Title	Comments on LAIG Papers
Subject Matter Description	This email concerns the positions that DOE should take on radiological protection program to ensure compliance with NRC regulations
Authors	Knapp, Kathryn / MTS - Regulatory / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Senior Environmental Engineer/Scientist
Recipients	Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Principal Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	Author and recipient are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This documents offers analysis and opinions on the manner in which DOE should structure its case for the radiological protection program in order to best demonstrate compliance with NRC regulations

Deliberation Explanation	This document is deliberative because it provides the author's views and opinions on the positions DOE should take with respect to its radiological protection program. The document was prepared in conjunction with DOE's request to develop recommendations to help DOE decide what type of program to adopt.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9317
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333948
Doc Date	20040310
Title	lr_sar_1411jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposes electrical and solar power system for the YM repository.
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss facts, but a system that is only proposed. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9318
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331268
Doc Date	20040310
Title	lr_sar_1412jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposed electrical support system for the YM repository (draft SAR section 1.4.1.2).
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis / License Application/LA Configuration Control Team Member
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss facts, but a proposed electrical power system. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9319
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337375
Doc Date	20040310
Title	lr_sar_1421jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposed digital control and management information system for the YM repository (Section 1.4.2.1).

Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss facts, but a proposed plan. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9320
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332000
Doc Date	20040310
Title	lr_sar_1422jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposed radiation-radiological monitoring system for the YM repository.
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss existing facts but a proposed plan. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9321
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479181
Doc Date	20040310
Title	lr_sar_1423jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure (Section 1.4.2.3 Environmental-Meteorological Monitoring System)
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis / License Application/LA Configuration Control Team Member
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contractor personnel. The recipients are M&O contractor personnel with responsibility for developing this section of the draft SAR.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content. This document is a part of the ongoing decision-making process within DOE on what positions and information should be included in the SAR that DOE will eventually file with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in this draft as well as the form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST

REPORT

Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9322
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332721
Doc Date	20040310
Title	lr_sar_1424jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposed communication system for the YM repository (SAR section 1.4.2.4.1).
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft sections.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss facts, but a system that was only proposed. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9450
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334272
Doc Date	20040315
Title	lr_sar_144
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft section 1.4.4 of the draft LA, which addresses the proposed safeguards and security system proposed for the YM repository.
Authors	Therein, Maria/BSC
Recipients	Dearmin, Jennifer A. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Franks, Douglas / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Framatome ANP (FANP) Senior Tech Support Specialist Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development / Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Regulatory Programs / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, on what safeguards and security system to adopt for the YM repository and how to describe it in the LA, to be presented to DOE for consideration
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft with the final LA would reveal DOE deliberations on the topic, with respect to what safeguards and security system to adopt and how to describe it in the LA.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040621.9649
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334745
Doc Date	20040226
Title	D&E_141_B022304 (LAP comments)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is section 1.4.1 (Electric Power) of the draft SAR and consolidated Design Engineering comments on this draft section.
Authors	Kuo, Chang / BSC / McDonnell, Thomas Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Zoleta, Augusto

Recipients	Barangar, Nico Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Dokuzoguz, Halit / DOE-CRWMS / Honaker, Raymond / DOE-CRWMS / Meszaros, Christine / DOE-CRWMS / Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees or contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA sections, and its form and content. This draft SAR section (1.4.1) and the comments contained therein are a part of DOE's process in determining what licensing positions and what information should be included in the SAR/LA that is ultimately filed with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The Design Engineering authors are providing their recommendations and opinion on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of these draft SAR sections dealing with the electric power systems at the YM repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.2168
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331194
Doc Date	20040619
Title	Re: SAR COMMENT ON 1.45 Kw/M
Subject Matter Description	Comments on draft SAR section 1.45
Authors	Anderson, Michael J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Principal Engineer 1
Recipients	Boutin, Robert J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Chagnon, Carl W. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Greenberg, Harris / MTS - Engineering & Preclosure Safety Analysis / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Nuclear Engineer Hardin, Ernest / Berldey & Sandia Laboratories / OCRWM Contractor Keller, Roger L. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Engineering Division Acting Director Mecham, Delwin / DOE-CRWMS / Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees or contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.2734
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331851
Doc Date	20040612
Title	trcd_sar_55 rev1 6-12-04
Subject Matter Description	Redline comments and mark-ups of Preliminary Draft Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 5: Administrative and Programmatic Requirements, 5.5 Plans for Initial Startup Activities & Testing
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections / /
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager

Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director
 Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
 Hutchins, William / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Regulatory Integration Lead
 Iorii, Vince / /
 Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead
 Morgan, Robert G. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / COGEMA Resources Inc. Manager Analyst/Modeler
 Whetsel, Randy / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Regulatory Coordination/Program Regulatory Integration Team Member

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contract personnel. The recipients are DOE counsel, DOE officials, and DOE M&O contractor personnel with responsibility for the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.2764
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335344
Doc Date	20040615
Title	trd_sar_234 rcp
Subject Matter Description	Draft Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 2: Repository Safety after Permanent Closure
Authors	Perman, Roseanne / Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. / Senior Geologist
Recipients	Blair, Stephen C. / Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) / Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Physicist Board, Mark P. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Systems & Disruptive Events Operations / ITASCA Consulting Group, Inc. Scientist Quittmeyer, Richard C. / BSC Repository Development - Disruptive Events / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Winborn, Carlos / Geomatrix
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE outside contractors with responsibilities for the draft document that is the subject of comments.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST MANUAL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.3059
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329117
Doc Date	20040623
Title	SAR Section 1.4.5 Revised Draft 2
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of section 1.4.5 of the draft LA, which concerns a proposed low-level radioactive waste management system for the YM repository.
Authors	Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Blois, Michael D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/ Holt Jr., Robert D./ BSC Repository Development - BOP PE/

**Skorska, Maria B./ BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production/
Stock, Max/BSC
VanDillen, Pat / BSC**

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions on what low-level radioactive waste management system should be included in the LA, and how that system should be described in the LA, prepared for submittal to DOE for consideration.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft with the final version of the LA would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations on the subject matter of this document.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.5848
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335954
Doc Date	20040621
Title	Licensing Position for Dose Limits - modifications
Subject Matter Description	The email concerns a draft position paper that BSC was preparing to present to DOE, at DOE's request, on the topic of preclosure radiation dose limits.
Authors	Eadie, Gregory/ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Bastian, Thomas/ BSC Repository Development - Design and Engineering/ Dunn, Thomas / BSC Holder, Richard H./ BSC Repository Development - Environmental Scientist/Engineer/ Junio, Stacy S./ BSC Repository Development - Program Health Physicists/ Richardson, Dennis C. / BSC Repository Development - Pre-Closure Design Integration Team / BSC Project Engineer Wisenberg, Mark/BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a YMP M&O contractor personnel. The recipients are other YMP M&O contractor personnel with responsibility for the draft position paper on which the author is commenting.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns a draft licensing position that would specify annual radiation dose limits for persons on and off the YMP site during the preclosure period.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's assessment of whether the draft position comports with NRC regulations and provides the author's opinions and recommendations concerning the draft position.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The email does not contain any factual section. The document provides only the author's opinions and recommendations. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.6096
LSN Accession Number	DN2002338101
Doc Date	20040621
Title	Re: Draft Activity Write-Ups - Section 3
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the proposed performance confirmation plan for the YM repository.
Authors	Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Weaver, Douglas / SNL /
Recipients	Barr, Deborah L./ DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition/ Henning, Roger J./ BSC Repository Development - AMR Completion/ Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Weaver, Douglas / SNL /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what should be the attributes of the performance confirmation plan that DOE adopts for the YM repository, and how the discussion of that plan should be structured and written in the License Application.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the recommendations of counsel on the referenced topic, and then provides a proposed response for consideration by DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document is not appropriate for redaction because it is also protected by the attorney client and litigation work product privileges. The document also does not contain segregable facts. The document contains the advice and

recommendations of counsel on how to implement regulatory requirements, and additionally contains proposals about potential, future confirmation plans that have not been adopted.

Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.6365
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331696
Doc Date	20040620
Title	section_2.3.9.2.1.4_comments_tynan
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 2.3.9.2.1.4 of the draft LA.
Authors	Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist
Recipients	Arnold, Bill W. / Sandia National Laboratory / Edebbbarh, Al / SNL / Rehfeldt, Kenneth / SNL / Zhu, Ming / BSC Repository Development - Integration / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040824.8243
LSN Accession Number	DN2002338169
Doc Date	20040419
Title	Re: LA Technical Team Review Notification, LA SAR Section 2.2
Subject Matter Description	LA Technical Team Review Notification, LA SAR Section 2.2
Authors	Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Weaver, Marshall/ BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration/
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Franks, Douglas/ BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department/ Goldstein, Barry / BSC Repository Development - Integration / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Environmental Regulatory Compliance Kell, Patty/ SNL/ Kutzer, John F./ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contractor personnel. The recipients are other M&O contractor personnel with responsibility over the development of the draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040903.0750
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337034
Doc Date	20040728
Title	Re: A SAR matter related to the Preliminary Estimates/Environmental Report -- Privileged

Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the coordination between the draft SAR section 2.3.7 and the ER, with respect to conceptual models for neptunium solubility in SNF corrosion calculations.
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy /
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Russell, Paige R. Z./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Sassani, David C./ MTS - Performance Assessment/Science/ Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Acting Head Sweeney, Janine/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a senior OCRWM official. The email was directed to two DOE OGC attorneys who work on YMP matters, and was copied to DOE and DOE contractor personnel who are responsible for the referenced draft section of the draft LA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040903.2726
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334182
Doc Date	20040827
Title	NAS quotes
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns strategies DOE could pursue in response to the court of appeal decision invalidating EPA regulations.
Authors	Voegele, Michael D./ BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer/
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an DOE M&O contractor personnel. The author is an DOE official responsible for preparation of the LA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what response DOE could take in response to the court of appeal decision invalidating EPA regulations.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides, for DOE's consideration, recommendations of how statements in the National Academy Report: Technical Basis for Yucca Mountain Standards, could be addressed in proposed regulations for the post-10,000 year standard. The document was prepared at DOE's request to assist OCRWM management's deliberations about regulations to propose in response to the court of appeals' decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It contains opinions and recommendations on how statements in the NAs report could be addressed in regulations.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040903.5806
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330170
Doc Date	20040715
Title	SAR 2.2.1.4.2.3 R07152004 DAS
Subject Matter Description	Comments on draft SAR Section 2.2.1.4.2.3.
Authors	Salmon, David A. / BSC Repository Development - KTI Completion Team / BSC Senior Science Specialist
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development / Thomas, Daniel A. / BSC Repository Development - Criticality / BSC Engineering Supervisor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20040903.6309
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469289
Doc Date	20040720
Title	TSPA13.10resp_H&W_CC
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft response to a KTI concerning the TSPA, with respect to integrated uncertainty analyses for the EBS physical and chemical environment.
Authors	Hunton & Williams, LLP Kordyak, Angela/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager Beach, Charles /DOE/ Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Bodvarsson, Gudmundur S. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) LBNL Lab Lead Geller, Jill / BSC / Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Meacham, Paul / BSC Repository Development - LA Document Management / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Technical Specialist Nieder-Westermann, Gerald H. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Senior Science Specialist Salmon, David A. / BSC Repository Development - Preclosure Safety Analyses / BSC Senior Science Specialist Spycher, N/BSC Sweeney, Toney /DOE/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are an attorney with the DOE OGC who works on YMP matters as well as DOE's outside licensing counsel for the YMP. The recipients are YMP personnel with responsibility for the preparation of the draft document that was the subject of the legal advice and who had a need to know that advice to perform their work.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft licensing-related document and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	Licensing counsel provided recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft licensing-related document and DOE employees or contractors provided responses to those comments.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20041227.0113
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336653
Doc Date	20040818
Title	SAR Section 1.4.3 Post Chapter Review Draft Tables
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.4.3 of the SAR, which addresses the automatic fire suppression types proposed to be used at the YM repository.
Authors	Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - LA Configuration Control / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC / Group Lead Brock, Robert T. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager Holt Jr., Robert D. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Kubicek, John /BSC Lorenz, Jerry / BSC Repository Development - Design and Engineering / Red, Inc. Communications Technical Writer/Editor II
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content. This document is a part of DOE's on-going process to develop a LA/SAR that will ultimately be filed with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The author provides recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20041227.0114
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331729
Doc Date	20040818
Title	SAR Section 1.4.3 Post Chapter Review Draft
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of the proposed fire protection systems at the YM repository (SAR section 1.4.3), and contains the author's comments and recommended changes to this draft SAR section.
Authors	Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - LA Configuration Control / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC / Group Lead Brock, Robert T. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager Holt Jr., Robert D. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Kubicek, John /BSC Lorenz, Jerry / BSC Repository Development - Design and Engineering / Red, Inc. Communications Technical Writer/Editor II
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content. This document is a part of DOE's ongoing efforts to prepare a LA/SAR that will be filed with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The author provides recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20041227.4452
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332586
Doc Date	20040818
Title	cr_sar_152 DAT 08142004
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 1.5.2 of the draft LA, which concerns the principal characteristics of various waste package configurations proposed for the YM repository.
Authors	Acree, Patrick/DOE OCRWM
Recipients	Ferris, David / DOE-OCRWM / McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / LA Completion Team Member Thomas, Daniel A. / BSC Repository Development - Criticality / BSC Engineering Supervisor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20041227.6697
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336368
Doc Date	20040810
Title	SAR Section 1.4.2 Post Chapter Review Draft
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft section 1.4.2 of the draft LA, which addresses proposed controls and monitoring systems to serve the surface and subsurface facilities.
Authors	Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Gonzales, Jorge / BSC / M&O Contractor Holt Jr., Robert D./ BSC Repository Development - BOP PE/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft to the final version of the LA would reveal DOE deliberations on the subject matter of the draft section.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.1819
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331222
Doc Date	20041025
Title	Re: QA: NA
Subject Matter Description	Attorney comments and discussion concerning draft SAR section 2.2
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Recipients	Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE's outside licensing counsel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice concerning proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and the form and content thereof.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.1825
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334175
Doc Date	20041004
Title	CONFIDENTIAL/ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
Subject Matter Description	Attorney comments and opinions on referenced strawman for a decision of DOE's position on peak dose in the Environmental Report and the relevant portion of the LA.
Authors	Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Acting Head

Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney

Zeugin, Lee / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE Office of General Counsel attorney who works on Yucca Mountain Project matters. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel with responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice as well as DOE OGC who work on YMP matters and DOE outside counsel for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns the position DOE should take on peak dose in the Environmental Report and the relevant portion of the the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains attorney comments and opinions on the referenced strawman for a decision of DOE's position on peak dose in the Environmental Report and the relevant portion of the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.2372
LSN Accession Number	DN2002338091
Doc Date	20040608
Title	Comments SAR 1-5-1
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with attorney comments on draft SAR Section 1.5.1
Authors	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Brock, Robert T. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Ferris, David / DOE-OCRWM / Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.2374
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333040
Doc Date	20040608
Title	Comments SAR 1-4-2 comments
Subject Matter Description	SAR Section 1.4.2 comments
Authors	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are DOE OGC and outside licensing counsel and the recipients are DOE employees or contractors with licensing-related responsibility for the subject of the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.

Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.4501
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333567
Doc Date	20040609
Title	Comments SAR 1-3-3
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with attorney comments on draft SAR Section 1.3.3
Authors	Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Holloway, John/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Rinaca, James/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.5818
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335760
Doc Date	20040609
Title	Comments SAR 1-3-3 - G. Hellstrom and H&W
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the compliance of draft section 1.3.3 of the draft LA with the YMRP.
Authors	Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Holloway, John/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Rinaca, James/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Boutin, Robert J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Brock, Robert T. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE outside licensing counsel and the recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel with responsibility for the subject draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is the the form and content of section 1.3.3 of the draft LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not concern any discussion of facts. It addresses legal analyses of the YMRP.

Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.5989
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337210
Doc Date	20040607
Title	Comments-SAR 1-12
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum re attorney comments on SAR 1.12
Authors	Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns the position DOE should take in the LA/SAR Section 1.12.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides counsel's recommendation and legal analysis in draft SAR Section 1.12.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.5991
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331231
Doc Date	20040607
Title	Comments SAR 5.9
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with Comments on draft SAR Section 5.9
Authors	Edwards, W J./ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Hutchins, William / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Criticality Lead Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and employees with DOE's M&O contractor who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.6223
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330419
Doc Date	20040609
Title	Comments SAR 1-3-3
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns compliance of the draft section 1.3.3 of the draft LA with the YMRP.
Authors	Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Holloway, John/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Rinaca, James/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney
Recipients	Boutin, Robert J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Manager Brock, Robert T. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Senior Project Manager Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Gonzales, Lou / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Licensing Support Manager Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Suarez, Diego / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE OGC and DOE outside licensing counsel and the recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel with responsibility for the subject draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The attorneys are providing advice and recommendations on the form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any discussion of facts. It discusses the form and content of the draft LA and provides legal analysis based on that.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.6354
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334658
Doc Date	20040615
Title	Comments SAR 1-2-3
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with attorney comments on draft SAR Section 1.2.3
Authors	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are DOE outside and OGC licensing counsel and the recipients are DOE employees or contractors with licensing-related responsibility for the subject of the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.6356
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329550
Doc Date	20040613

Title	Comments SAR 1-2-4
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with attorney comments on draft SAR Section 1.2.4
Authors	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Planning & Scheduling / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Mechanical Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are, respectively, attorneys with the DOE Office of General Counsel who work on Yucca Mountain Project matters and DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050323.6358
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335883
Doc Date	20040615
Title	Comments SAR 1-2-5
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum with attorney comments on draft SAR Section 1.2.5
Authors	Hellstrom, George / DOE General Counsel / Attorney Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are lawyers with DOE's OGC and DOE's outside counsel. The recipients are OCRWM personnel and DOE's contractors responsible for development of SAR section 1.2.5.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050330.4186
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337840
Doc Date	20040806
Title	YM-4213-v4-MEMO Comments to section 4 of SAR PC
Subject Matter Description	Comments on SAR Section 4(Performance Confirmation Program)
Authors	Edwards, W Jeffrey / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Noonan, Edward P. / Hunton & Williams / Attorney

Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The Authors are DOE's outside counsel, and the recipient is with DOE's OGC.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and legal analysis on the proposed licensing positions in, and form and content of, this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050331.0369
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335191
Doc Date	20040609
Title	Comments on RIT Section 1.2.3(v1)
Subject Matter Description	Comments from licensing counsel on the draft LA section addressing Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure.
Authors	Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Beasley, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Jenkins, Angela / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are all licensing counsel with licensing-related responsibility for the subject addressed by the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing counsel authors are providing recommendations and legal analysis on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050331.0375
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336785
Doc Date	20040610
Title	Comments on Ch. 1; 1.2.2(v1)
Subject Matter Description	The subject is draft LA section 1.1.2 and licensing counsel comments on that section.
Authors	Holloway, John / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Beasley, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Jenkins, Angela / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are attorneys, respectively, with DOE OGC and DOE's outside licensing counsel.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing counsel authors are providing recommendations and legal analysis on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050331.0553
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337147
Doc Date	20040623
Title	Status Report on 6/21-6/23 Chapter 1 Comment Resolution Meetings
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is the continuing development of LA Chapter 1
Authors	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Holloway, John (Jay) / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and two recipients are attorneys with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The other recipient is an attorney from DOE's OGC.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This email has no fact section. Rather it reflects in its entirety the author's evaluation and recommendations regarding the development of the subject LA section.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050523.3097
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333867
Doc Date	20050318
Title	Comments on "Action Item" list - 1.5.1 and 1.5.2 - Privileged
Subject Matter Description	This document conveys outside counsel's legal advice regarding draft SAR section 1.5.1.
Authors	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Rinaca, James / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a lawyer with HUNton & Williams, and the recipients are a lawyer with DOE's OGC and a lawyer with Hunton & Williams.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20050527.2899
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332845
Doc Date	20050324
Title	1.3.2 ConsolLegal
Subject Matter Description	1.3.2 ConsolLegal
Authors	Beard, Kristina/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Suarez, Diego/ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or

(BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist

Boutin, Robert J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/

Rinaca, James/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/ Attorney

White, Jon/ DOE/

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors, and DOE OGC attorneys and outside counsel, responsible for the subject draft SAR sections.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT FORM LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051018.0053
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335967
Doc Date	20050819
Title	YM-5546-v1-Thoughts on possible DOE comments on EPA's peak dose rule
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the EPA proposed peak dose regulations promulgated following the 2004 decision of the U.S. court of appeals, and DOE's possible positions on those draft regulations.
Authors	Zeugin, Lee/ Hunton & Williams, LLP/attorney
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / In 2001, Brownstein reported to Office of Program Management and Administration of which Richard W. Minning was Acting Director. In 2000, Brownstein reported to Ivan Itkin (Director of OCRWM). In 1999, Brownstein reported to Office of Program Management and Administration (Ronald Milner or Dwight Shelor, Directors). In 1998, Brownstein reported to Office of Program Management and Administration (Samual Rousso, Director). In 1997, he reported to OPMA (Rousso and James Bresee, Directors). In 1996, he reported to OPMA (Rousso, Bresee, and Jerome Saltzman, Directors). DOE Regulatory Coordination Division Director Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Knox, Eric/DOE/deputy OCRWM director McRae, James B. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Assistant General Counsel for Civilian Nuclear Programs Nutt, Mark / Golder Associates /consultant to DOE Peters, Mark / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Department Manager Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Infrastructure Management Team Lead Sweeney, Janine / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) /DOE Attorney Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Mark H. Williams is Director of OLAS as of 12/2/05. Stephen Hanauer and Claudia Newberry are colleagues of Van Luik. Williams, Hanauer, Newberry and Van Luik oversee Postclosure and License Acquisition Division (of which William J. Boyle is the Director) and Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division (of which April Gil is the Director). OLAS is under the Office of Repository Development. Joseph D. Ziegler stepped down as Director of OLAS in 11/2005. Ziegler was Director of OLAS since 10/28/02. Williams, Jeffrey R./ DOE - OCRWM /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM officials and attorneys, and DOE contractors, who were involved in advising DOE in connection with proposed EPA rulemaking on the peak dose period.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what agency positions DOE should adopt with respect to EPA's proposed regulations on the peak dose period.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the attorney's subjective advice and recommendations on what positions DOE should consider adopting and what comments it should consider making, to assist the DOE personnel in formulating agency policy on the issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual section. It consists entirely of the

attorney's subjective opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the attorney's recommendations and the information the attorney considered pertinent. Comparison of the document to DOE's final comments would reveal DOE's deliberations about what potential positions were considered as part of its deliberations.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051018.1957
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329325
Doc Date	20050826
Title	Changes to 1.5.1.4, Privileged and Confidential
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is draft section 1.5.1.4 of the SAR.
Authors	Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / Harrington, Charles D./ BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis / Peltier, Benjamin / DOE-CRWMS /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections, including an attorney from DOE OGC.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051018.3373
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331484
Doc Date	20050818
Title	Updates to SAR Sections 1.14.2.1 - 1.14.2.2.1 - 1.14.2.2.4 08192005
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a proposed nuclear criticality methodology to be used to evaluate configurations of waste forms as discussed in the referenced draft sections of the draft LA.
Authors	Odd, Jared/BSC
Recipients	Thomas, Daniel A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / BSC Engineering Supervisor
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051018.4443
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331214
Doc Date	20050811
Title	Pages from sar-1.03.04 working draft C-2
Subject Matter Description	The document is a page from the draft LA that addresses the proposed invert structure under consideration for use at the YM repository.
Authors	Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Project Operations / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II

Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead
Meacham, Paul / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Technical Specialist

Author Recipient Relationship **Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.**

Deliberation Explanation **The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, to be presented to DOE for consideration.**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft to the final version of the LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on what to include in the LA and how to describe that information.**

Doc Type **EMAIL-ATT
LIST
MANUAL**

Participant Accession No. **ALB.20051018.5024**

LSN Accession Number **DN2002330924**

Doc Date **20050830**

Title **RE: Privileged & Confidential - YMRP criteria question**

Subject Matter Description **The subject matter of the document is attorney comments on draft SAR Section 2.3.7 regarding YMRP criteria.**

Authors **Shamblin, Penny / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Hunton & Williams, LLP Attorney**

Recipients **Cunnane, Jim / DOE-OCRWM /
Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Project Manager
Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Infrastructure Management Team Lead**

Author Recipient Relationship **The author is DOE outside counsel providing advice to DOE employees and personnel responsible for the subject draft SAR sections.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.**

Deliberation Explanation **The author is providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.**

Doc Type **EMAIL**

Other Privilege Types **ACP-attorney to client;
ACP-discusses attorneys advice**

Participant Accession No. **ALB.20051102.0097**

LSN Accession Number **DN2002335405**

Doc Date **20050915**

Title **FW: 2.3.x Comments - Privileged and Confidential; Attorney Work Product; Attorney-Client Communication**

Subject Matter Description **2.3.x Comments - Privileged and Confidential; Attorney Work Product; Attorney-Client Communication**

Authors **Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney**

Recipients **DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates Project Manager/Laboratory Lead
Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official
Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / Manager of Technology I**

Author Recipient Relationship **The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and DOE's M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of counsel's advice. The recipients also include other DOE counsel, including a DOE Office of General Counsel attorney, for the Yucca Mountain Project.**

Determining Official **Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer**

DP Decision Information **Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.**

Deliberation Explanation **The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section**

Circulated Draft Affirmation **This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001**

Non Redactable Statement **This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.**

Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051102.0482
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331114
Doc Date	20050907
Title	2.3.5 AC changes_9-8-05
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the treatment of YMRP acceptance criteria in draft section 2.3.5 of the draft LA.
Authors	Prouty, Jeralyn L./ BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department/
Recipients	Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Postclosure Activities / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Project Manager Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, including how to address YMRP acceptance criteria in the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051102.0655
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334936
Doc Date	20050908
Title	Language on Volcanic Eruptions: Privileged Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the treatment of newly formed volcanoes in the draft License Application.
Authors	Frazier, Amanda J. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Ashley, Glen / BSC/Beckman / Group Lead Bradbury, Robert / MTS - Licensing / Shaw Group (Stone & Webster) Staff Scientist (Regulatory)
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the YM repository. The recipients are YMP personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any segregable factual information. It provides the attorney's analysis and recommendation, and disclosure of its content would reveal that analysis and recommendation. Also, comparison of this document to the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALB.20051102.0942
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335795
Doc Date	20050915
Title	2.3.x Comments - Privileged and Confidential; Attorney Work Product; Attorney-Client Communication
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is section 2.3.1.1 of the draft license application.
Authors	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney

Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineer
Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official
Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Manager of Technology I

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are licensing counsel and DOE contractors or employees responsible for the subject draft license application section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft license application section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALB.20070426.3270
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479209
Doc Date	20070111
Title	Re: Pahrump Action Item DiscussionsPRIVILEGED- Deliberative Process
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is an identification of action items relating to licensing the Yucca facility.
Authors	Powers, Ken / / Sproat, Edward F. III / DOE - OCRWM / Director
Recipients	Benson, Allen B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Affairs / Director Crawford, David / / Davis, Shirley / / Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Scientist / Lead Feigenbaum, Ted / BSC / Golan, Paul / DOE- OCRWM / Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Irwin, Donald / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Kouts, Christopher A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / Director Lanthrum, Gary / / Neumayr, Mary / DOE/Office of General Counsel/attorney / Orrell, Andrew / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Team Member Powers, Ken / / Sproat, Edward F. III / DOE - OCRWM / Director Summerson, Jane R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Acquisition Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Warther, Robert / DOE/RW / Williams, Mark H. / DOE /

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are licensing counsel and DOE employees and contractors with licensing-related responsibility for the subjects addressed in the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Identification of open issues regarding the licensing of the Yucca facility.
Deliberation Explanation	The deliberation relates to a determination of items required for resolution for the license application and how to reach resolution on the items.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040612.2370
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331178
Doc Date	20020906
Title	policy comment on TH_PULSE document
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the use of direct observations of non-seepage in the DST.
Authors	Sassani, David C. / MTS - Performance Assessment/Science / Golder Associates Staff Scientist
Recipients	Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division

Team Member**Levich, Robert A. / DOE / International Programs Manager****Marshall, Ann / MTS /****Rogers, Ralph D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist I**

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are YMP personnel who work on KTI responses for DOE.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should adopt the licensing position that non-observation of seepage in the DST is supportive data of the post-closure period.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's views on the referenced topic and makes a recommendation of what position DOE should adopt. The author provides his proposed position to his colleagues for their views on whether the policy issue should be presented to DOE superiors.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document discusses what position the author believes DOE should adopt and does not provide any separate factual discussion. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040612.6509
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337566
Doc Date	20020906
Title	Policy Comment on TH_PULSE
Subject Matter Description	This document contains D. Sassani's draft policy statement concerning the program for calculating infiltration.
Authors	Sassani, David C. / MTS - Performance Assessment/Science / Golder Associates Staff Scientist
Recipients	Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Levich, Robert A. / DOE / International Programs Manager Marshall, Ann / MTS / Rogers, Ralph D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contractor. The recipients are either OCRWM officials or DOE M&O contractors with responsibility over the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	D. Sassani has provided his proposed recommendation on a policy for DOE to publicly adopt on the program for calculating infiltration. As it is his recommendation and he is providing it to an OCRWM official for her consideration, it is predecisional.
Deliberation Explanation	This is the attachment to the September 2, 2002 email by David Sassani. It provides his statement of the position he recommends for DOE to take.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no factual discussion. The entire document is a proposed policy statement.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040612.9415
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333754
Doc Date	20031120
Title	1113039495_Enc
Subject Matter Description	Study regarding the System Impacts of the Draft High-Level Radioactive Waste/Spent Nuclear Fuel Disposition Strategy
Authors	Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Benz, Edward F. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / JAI Corporation Project Manager Garfield, William/BSC Repository Development Gomberg, Steve / DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management / DOE General Engineer Kouts, Christopher A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / Acting Director Lucas, Lawrence / DOE-CRWMS / Martin, Guy / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I Mitchell, John (Jr.) T. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BSC President & General Manager Orrell, Andrew / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Team Member Ross, Steven L. / DOE-CRWMS / Siddoway, Dean / DOE-CRWMS / Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Weaver, Marshall / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Systems Engineering & Integration Team Member

Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Manager of Projects

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an DOE M&O contractor personnel. The recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel who were funded by DOE to consider deposition strategies for work at the YM repository.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The ultimate decision is whether to adopt the IAS Case 5 as the reference defense waste receipt schedule for the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document examines the potential impacts of a draft revised EM IAS Case 5 on the CRWMS, including transportation impacts, repository impacts, and statutory, licensing and regulatory impacts.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document contains no factual or background section. It contains assumptions, which are not independently tested or verified. Otherwise, it presents results and conclusions, reflecting the author's evaluation and judgment.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST PHOTOGRAPH REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040618.2469
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469830
Doc Date	19990205
Title	YDAR No. 20372/White Papers Re: Defense in Depth
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a proposed postclosure repository defense in depth design basis for the YM repository.
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Dockery, Holly Dove, Harvey/ BSC Repository Development - Science & Analysis/ Gil, April V./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Richardson, Dennis C. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Project Engineer Rickertsen, Larry D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Weber, Ellyn / Innovations / Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/ Zwahlen, Eric/ BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment/

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM advisor. The recipient (Brocoum) is a senior official with decisionmaking authority on the issue of the document. The other recipients are YMP personnel with responsibility for that work product as well.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should accept the referenced deliverables, and thereby adopt the proposed design basis and strategy set forth in them.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendation to the decisionmaker on the issue and provides the author's opinions that support his recommendation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides subjective opinions about regulatory requirements and NRC expectations.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.0725
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334063
Doc Date	20040330
Title	0330040960 MW-rav Attachment to IOM Ident of Barriers ITS 0330
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns BSC recommendation on what position DOE should adopt for regarding the barriers important to waste isolation for the License Application.
Authors	BSC Repository Development Management
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Senior Project Manager Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Lucas, L. L. / BSC / Mason, M. J. // Whitcraft, J. S. / BSC / Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / BSC Manager of Technology I/ Licensing Application Integration Group Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director

Author Recipient Relationship	This document was authored by DOE's M&O contractor and the recipients are either OCRWM officials or DOE M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for the subject matters related to barriers that are important to waste isolation.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision is what DOE should claim in the licensing proceeding as barriers important to waste isolation in the Licensing Application. The authors are DOE M&O personnel tasked by DOE to make recommendations on the referenced topic. The paper was presented to the group DOE funded to make decisions on licensing position, and to DOE and M&O personnel with responsibility for the subject matter of the paper.
Deliberation Explanation	The issue paper sets forth and discusses applicable regulations and then nominates barriers that the authors recommend as important to waste isolation with a discussion of that recommendation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.0965
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335715
Doc Date	19340904
Title	ORD Organizational Model
Subject Matter Description	ORD Organizational Model
Authors	Cornell, Veronica/ MTS - Licensing/
Recipients	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - KTI Completion Team / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Murray, Robert / MTS - Licensing Application and Strategy / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Manager Toft, Richard L. / MTS / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) General Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft organizational structure as it is described and advanced in the LA.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The organizational structure that will be advanced and relied upon in connection with the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed organization structure for licensing and the form and content of the draft LA section addressing same.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LEGAL DOCUMENT LIST
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.3689
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479061
Doc Date	20040301
Title	Re: Late comments on SAR Section 1.4.5.4, Water
Subject Matter Description	Late comments on SAR Section 1.4.5.4, Water
Authors	Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Blois, Michael D. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Engineering Supervisor Encarnacion, Narci / BSC / Mendiola, Perfecto/ BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT);

LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.5522
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335265
Doc Date	20040223
Title	D&E_1454_B022004 GLP
Subject Matter Description	This document is a draft of SAR section 1.4.5.4 (Water), which relates to the function of the water system that provides water from existing wells to the North Portal, North Construction Portal and South Portal.
Authors	Project personnel responsible for developing SAR section 1.4.5.4
Recipients	Blois, Michael D. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Engineering Supervisor Encarnacion, Narci / BSC / Mendiola, Perfecto / /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for developing SAR section 1.4.5.4.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is a preliminary draft of SAR section 1.4.5.4. It is a part of DOE's ongoing process to develop a SAR that will ultimately be filed with the NRC. The positions taken in this draft will not be finalized until DOE files it with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	This is a draft document that does not reflect what positions DOE may ultimately take in the final version of SAR section 1.4.5.4. Releasing this document could reveal the deliberations made by DOE if one compared this draft version with the final version of SAR section 1.4.5.4.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.5680
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335458
Doc Date	20040219
Title	1.2.7.2B-DE
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the draft section 1.2.7.2 of the draft LA, which concerns various plant facilities proposed for the YM repository, with comments from the BSC engineering department.
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas/BSC
Recipients	Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - License Application / License Application Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M& contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what facilities and related infrastructure design DOE should adopt for the YM repository, as well as the form and content of the discussion in the LA of that topic.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the opinions and recommendations of the BSC engineering group on the proposed facilities design as well as the form and content of the associated discussion in the draft LA, for ultimate decision by DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not discuss existing facts., but concerns a proposed facility design that was under consideration by DOE. Comparison of the draft section to the final LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on what facility design to adopt and the form and content of the associated discussion in the LA.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.5692
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333089
Doc Date	20040217
Title	D&E_126_B021004 (LAP comments)
Subject Matter Description	Document provides YMP personnel comments on form and content on draft SAR Section 1.2.6
Authors	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Project Manager

Honaker, Raymond / DOE-CRWMS /

Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist

Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Regulatory Programs / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist

Author Recipient Relationship	Author and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR Section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.5693
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333767
Doc Date	20040301
Title	D&E_126_B021004 (LAP comments)mcb
Subject Matter Description	Reviewer comments on preliminary draft of SAR Section 1.2.6
Authors	Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Project Manager Honaker, Raymond / DOE-CRWMS / Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Regulatory Programs / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Author and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.9499
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336116
Doc Date	20040219
Title	D&E_1271-DE
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft section 1.2.7 of the draft LA.
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas / BSC /
Recipients	Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE MTO and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040621.9759
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331177
Doc Date	20040219
Title	D&E_154_B021304jbmcomments
Subject Matter Description	The document is the draft section 1.5.4 of the draft LA with the authors' comments.
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis / License Application/LA Configuration Control Team Member Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Dokuzoguz, Halit /BSC Honaker, Raymond /BSC Jessen, James P. / BSC Repository Development - LA Configuration Control / BSC Senior Engineer McDonnell, Thomas /BSC Su, Steve / BSC / OCRWM contractor /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what waste package fabrication design to use for the YM repository, and how to describe it in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' recommendations and opinions, proposed to be made to DOE, on the selection of as waste package fabrication and what to say about it in the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft with the final LA would reveal DOE deliberations on this topic.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040824.5227
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333910
Doc Date	20040416
Title	1.4.4_review
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report; Chapter 1: draft section 1.4.4 Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure
Authors	Thomas Frankert / /
Recipients	Bigbee, John / TRW / M&O Contractor Kahler, Norman / DOE-OCRWM /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040824.8786
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334190
Doc Date	20040510
Title	lr_sar_1243 (LAP comments) 21 Apr Cask Prep
Subject Matter Description	The document is the draft section 1.2.4.3 of the draft LA, which concerns a proposed waste package preparation system for the YM repository.
Authors	Beck, Mike / COGEMA /
Recipients	Lydic, Margaret J. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	What waste package preparation system to be adopted for the YM repository and what to say and how to describe it in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, to be presented to DOE for its consideration.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft with the final LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on the referenced subject matter.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALC.20040824.8832
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336401
Doc Date	20040512
Title	sar_2.3.11 text Revised1
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns section 2.3.11 of the draft LA which concerns the proposed TSPA-LA model abstraction to be used to predict consequences from volcanic disruption of the YM repository.
Authors	Sanchez, Paul E./ BSC Repository Development - Integration/
Recipients	Cline, Mike L./ BSC Repository Development - Disruptive Events/ Dobson, David/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Swift, Peter N./ BSC Repository Development - Mission Applications/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns the proposed licensing positions in, and form and content of, this draft SAR section.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALC.20050208.7503
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329133
Doc Date	19990716
Title	SFIT Report 7-16-99final
Subject Matter Description	The document addresses the analysis of the SFIT of the impact on surface facility design of ongoing and past studies.
Authors	Mattson, Gus / TRW / M&O Contractor Meyer, Les / TRW / M&O Contractor Rowe, Gene W./ BSC Repository Development - CM-Construction Management/
Recipients	Griffith, Gary / TRW / M&O Contractor Meyer, Les / TRW / M&O Contractor Rowe, Gene W. / BSC Repository Development - CM-Construction Management / Stroupe, Woody / BSC/TRW / M&O Contractor Wagner, Richard / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the surface facility design. The authors were, at the time, specifically part of a Surface Facility Integration Team whose purpose was to analyze conceptual design issues for the repository.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The potential decision and policymaking relates to surface design for site recommendation and the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The white paper provides a briefing on each of the seven reviewed studies as well as some site tours. It then sets forth recommendations of the SFIT on action items related to design decisions.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it contains no discrete factual background section. To the extent that it briefs the reviewed studies, it reflects the authors' evaluation and judgment regarding the significance and impact of such studies on ongoing site selection and LA preparation work. It is pervasively evaluative.

Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040611.3950
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330409
Doc Date	19970627
Title	Navycans
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the size of disposal canisters for Naval SNF to be disposed of in the YM repository.
Authors	Benton, Hugh/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management/ Segrest, Aiden / TRW / M&O Contractor Snell, Richard / TRW / M&O Contractor
Recipients	Barton, Robert/ / Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Daniel, Paul / / Fish, Robert/ MTS - Engineering/ Gottlieb, Peter / / CRWMS, M&O, TRW, Las Vegas - Disposal of Surplus Weapons Plutonium. Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Haught, David C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Engineer Lowther, Gayle/TRW / M&O Contractor Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member Thomas, Daniel A. / TRW / M&O Contractor Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP personnel with DOE and DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should restrict the size of Navy canisters to the size and weight of those for CSNF and defense high level waste.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendations on the referenced topic and a summary of the grounds for those recommendations, for DOE's consideration and decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate factual discussion. Disclosure of the grounds for the author's recommendations would disclose their subjective opinions as to what factors are material to the decision and why. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040611.4934
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334921
Doc Date	20040129
Title	Comments on Draft D_BHastings
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the regulatory treatment of water recycling for purposes of compliance with exposure standards.
Authors	Hastings, Bruce / BSC Repository Development - Science Integration / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Scientist II
Recipients	Wisenburg, Mark R./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are YMP project personnel who were working on a licensing position paper to present to DOE for decision on the reference topic.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position DOE should adopt for the licensing proceeding with respect to the inclusion of water recycling in performance analyses for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the opinions and recommendations of the author on the draft position statement.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any discussion of factual information. It provides the author's views of regulations and standards. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040612.4178
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333304

Doc Date	20030110
Title	Re: Lic Position on PC Reporting
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft licensing position on performance confirmation reporting and principles.
Authors	Barr, Deborah L. / DOE - OCRWM Postclosure and License Acquisition
Recipients	Beckman, Donald/ BSC Repository Development - KTI Completion Team/ Blink, James/ BSC Repository Development - Data & TSPA Parameter Management/ Howard, Robert L./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ McDonnell, Mickey / BSC / Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Pannell, George/ BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration/ Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Rogers, Ralph D. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / BSC Principal Scientist I Shapiro, Steve / BSC / Wisenberg, Mark / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are YMP personnel with responsibility for the subject matter addressed in the document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what overall approach DOE should adopt for performance confirmation monitoring and reporting for the construction and operation of the YM repository, for the licensing proceeding.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinion of the proposed licensing position and recommendation on its adoption.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040617.4861
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335980
Doc Date	20030401
Title	Alternative Operating Scenarios 4-15-03
Subject Matter Description	Repository Alternative Operating Scenarios System Study 4-15-03, Pre-Decisional Study
Authors	Bailey, Jack / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Engineering Specialist Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I Siefken, David L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / PMC Technologies, Inc. VP, Program Director
Recipients	Schwartztrauber, Keith E. / BSC Repository Development - Environmental Scientist/Engineer / BSC Project Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for the referenced document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The anticipated decision relates to surface and subsurface design DOE should select to accommodate the DOE's fuel aging strategy.
Deliberation Explanation	The study sets forth, evaluates, and recommends various aging strategies, that is to be submitted to DOE for its decisionmaking.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040617.6495
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335874
Doc Date	20030821
Title	barriers-Aug03-Rev0
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the regulatory treatment of barriers important to waste isolation.
Authors	Blink, James / BSC Repository Development - Data & TSPA Parameter Management / Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Engineer Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Project Manager
Recipients	Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Goldstein, Barry / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL)

Environmental Regulatory Compliance**Rickertsen, Larry D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist I****Rogers, Ralph D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist I****Swift, Peter N. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) General Technical Management**

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are YMP personnel who were involved in the development of options and recommendations to DOE on the referenced topic.
-------------------------------	--

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
----------------------	--

DP Decision Information	This document concerns the adoption of a position by DOE for the licensing proceeding with respect to the meaning of barriers important to waste isolation.
-------------------------	---

Deliberation Explanation	The document was prepared by YMP working on the issue, to help formulate options and a recommendation to be made to DOE for decision. This document presents a regulatory analysis and provides potential options with associated advantages and disadvantages.
--------------------------	---

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
------------------------------	---

Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual information. It provides a regulatory analysis and potential options permitted by that analysis.
--------------------------	---

Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT MEETING AID
----------	----------------------------------

Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
-----------------------	--

Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040618.6457
---------------------------	-------------------

LSN Accession Number	DN2002329860
----------------------	--------------

Doc Date	20030821
----------	----------

Title	LADRFT18_15
-------	-------------

Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 1: Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure (Section 1.8 Consequence Analyses)
----------------------------	--

Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
---------	---

Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
------------	---

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
-------------------------------	---

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
----------------------	--

DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
-------------------------	---

Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
--------------------------	--

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
------------------------------	---

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
--------------------------	--

Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
----------	-------------------------------------

Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
-----------------------	--

Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040621.0308
---------------------------	-------------------

LSN Accession Number	DN2002330814
----------------------	--------------

Doc Date	20040223
----------	----------

Title	tri_sar_59-rlk022304
-------	----------------------

Subject Matter Description	The document concerns proposed plans to use the GROA for Purposes other than Disposal of Radioactive Wastes
----------------------------	---

Authors	Kelmenson, Ronald L. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
---------	---

Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager
------------	---

	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager
--	---

	Franks, Douglas / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Framatome ANP (FANP) Senior Tech Support Specialist
--	---

	Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer
--	--

	Kell, Patty / BSC /
--	---------------------

	Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead
--	--

	Lydic, Margaret J. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II
--	--

	Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Principal Engineer I
--	--

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
-------------------------------	---

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing opinions and recommendations on the proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040621.0309
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337621
Doc Date	20030415
Title	tri_sar_59
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns potential plans for using the GROA for purposes other than the disposal of radioactive wastes.
Authors	Kelmenson, Ronald L./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager Franks, Douglas / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Framatome ANP (FANP) Senior Tech Support Specialist Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Kell, Patty / BSC Kutzer, John F. / BSC Repository Development - LA Completion / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Project Manager/Laboratory Lead Lydic, Margaret J. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author provides recommendations and advice on the proposed licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA, and its form and content.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040621.5617
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332664
Doc Date	20040223
Title	D&E_141_B022304 GLP
Subject Matter Description	SAR Chapter 1 - Repository Safety Before Permanent Closure - Electric Power
Authors	Pannell, George/ BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration/
Recipients	Acree, Patrick / / Ferris, David / DOE-OCRWM / Keller, Roger L. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Process / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Martin, Guy / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst Rasmussen, David / / Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - LA Regulatory Programs / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Shapiro, Steve / BSC / Williams, Yvonne / BSC / Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Regulatory Coordination Group / BSC Principal Engineer I

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040621.5618
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334966
Doc Date	20040209
Title	D&E_1422_B020204 (LAP Comments)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of section 1.4.2.2 of the draft LA, which concerns proposed radiological monitoring, with the author's comments on the draft.
Authors	Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Allicock, Milton /BSC Bryan, Martin C/ BSC Repository Development - LA Completion/ Green, Doug / BSC Honaker, Raymond/BSC Minwalla, Homi J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040621.6680
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329445
Doc Date	20040301
Title	0301040624 SW rav IOM Licensing Position Data Qualification R1
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the interpretation of NUREG-1298, which concerns the qualification of existing data used in engineering and scientific studies for the YMP.
Authors	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Senior Project Manager Gilman, Lynne / TRW/BSC / M&O Contractor Higgins, Tom / BSC / License Application Integration Group Lucas, L. L. / BSC / Mason, M. J. / / Whitcraft, J.S. / / Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Manager of Projects Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are M&O contractor personnel who work on the YMP and who are involved in the development of the proposed licensing position. The document was presented to the License Application Integration Group for decision.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document recommends to DOE, through the License Application Integration Group, a licensing position to adopt with respect to the need to qualify data used in scientific and technical work for the YMP.

Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors subjective opinions about the meaning of NUREG-1298 and makes a recommended interpretation for DOE's consideration.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides opinions about the meaning of NUREG-1298 and provides subjective opinions only. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040824.1847
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331323
Doc Date	20040524
Title	sar_2.3.4 text 5-23-04_mb
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 2: draft section 2.3.4 Repository Safety after Permanent Closure, Table of Contents and Text
Authors	Board, Mark P./ BSC Repository Development - Engineering Systems & Disruptive Events Operations/
Recipients	Powell, David/ DOE/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft SAR section that is the subject of the author's recommendations and opinions.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and form and content thereof.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of the draft SAR section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040824.2097
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330143
Doc Date	20040526
Title	lr_sar_124_combined
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report Chapter 1: draft section 1.2.4 Regulatory Safety Before Permanent Closure, Rev 00 Licensing Review
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	Cole, Norman / DOE - CRWMS /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040824.2215
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332337
Doc Date	20040520
Title	SAR 2.3.5 condensation (ELH 20May04)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the draft section 2.3.5.4.2 of the draft LA, which addresses the representation of convection and condensation processes in the repository emplacement drifts.

Authors	Hardin, Ernest / Berldey & Sandia Laboratories / OCRWM Contractor
Recipients	Kirstein, Bruce E. / BSC Repository Development - Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I Knowles, Kathryn / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor Prouty, Jeralyn L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / RCS Corporation Engineer Reed, A. W. / Sandia National Laboratory / Webb, Stephen W. / Sandia National Laboratory /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the manner in which DOE will describe in the LA a conceptual representation of the convection and condensation processes in the emplacement drifts.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing his recommendations and opinions on how to structure this portion of the LA and how to describe the referenced conceptual model.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft to the final version of the LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on this topic, by disclosing what information was previously considered and how the information was previously presented.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040824.2336
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336506
Doc Date	20040518
Title	sar_2.3.11 text_ESG-TRC comments
Subject Matter Description	The document's subject is the author's comments on draft SAR Chapter 2.3.11 addressing Igneous and Volcanic Events.
Authors	Crump, Terry / BSC Repository Development / Science Regulatory Integration Group Member
Recipients	Gaffney, Edward / / DOE
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE employees and contractors with responsibility for the subject SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040824.7500
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336134
Doc Date	20040513
Title	conceptual model of volcanism
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the draft section 2.3.11.1 of the draft LA, which concerns the conceptual model of volcanism, and includes the author's comments on that draft.
Authors	Perry, Frank V./ BSC Repository Development - Engineering Systems & Disruptive Events Operations/
Recipients	Cline, Mike L./BSC Crump, Terry/ BSC Repository Development/ Gaffney, Edward /BSC Keating, Gordon/BSC Whalen, Cheryl L./ BSC Repository Development - Facilities Design/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what conceptual model of volcanism DOE should adopt for the LA, and the form and content of the discussion of that model in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions about the form and content of the discussion of the volcanism

model in the draft LA, for ultimate consideration and decision by DOE.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft section with the final version of the LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on the form and content of this section of the LA.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALD.20040824.7906
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334850
Doc Date	20040703
Title	6-28-04 Tech Review Comments-DOE Legal
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft section of the draft LA that addresses the identification of variables, conditions or other items that are probable subjects of license specifications.
Authors	Webster, Stu/BSC/Senior Licensing Engineer
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Bradbury, Robert/ MTS - Licensing/ Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Crawford, Raymond/BSC Edwards, W. Jeff / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Foster, Bruce/BSC Franklin, David/DOE NNPP Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/attorney Hutchins, William/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Junio, Stacy S./ BSC Repository Development - Program Health Physicists/ Linhart, James G./ DOE - OCRWM/ Richardson, Dennis C./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Weaver, Marshall/ BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration/ Zaccone, Charlotte A./ MTS - Engineering & Design & Preclosure Safety Analysis/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipient are DOE employees and contractors, and DOE counsel, responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	ALE.20040610.0905
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334910
Doc Date	19991119
Title	clineissues.doc
Subject Matter Description	Prioritized Issues Potentially Significant to Site Recommendation
Authors	Cline, Mike L. / BSC Repository Development / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Scientist
Recipients	DOE and BSC personnel working on the site recommendation
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a YMP contractor personnel with responsibility for assisting in the preparation and finalization of the site recommendation.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document raises issues and concerns affecting management decisions on establishing priorities for finalization of the site recommendation.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains the author's opinions and recommendations identifying significant issues and concerning actions required to be taken for preparation and finalization of the site recommendation.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has not separate factual section. It provides the author's opinions on decisionmaking processes and is a subjective opinion only.
Doc Type	EFILE REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALE.20040615.7039
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470106
Doc Date	20020124
Title	Re: Treatment of Multiple Barriers and Defense in Depth
Subject Matter Description	The email reflects the author's views on the requirements of applicable regulations as they relate to barriers on which DOE will rely in assessing compliance with EPA standard.
Authors	Rickertsen, Larry D. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Principal Scientist I
Recipients	Houseworth, James E. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) UZ Deputy Department Manager McNeish, Jerry A. / BSC Repository Development - Hydrologists / BSC Principal Scientist II Nutt, Mark / Golder Associates / Sevougian, David / BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations / He may have been employed by Duke Engineering and Services and Framatome ANP DE&S; email: David-Sevougian@Notes .ymp.gov; telephone: 702-295-6273 Performance Assessment Operations Senior Analyst and Technical Expert Swift, Peter N. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) General Technical Management Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division and Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors with licensing-related responsibility for the subject of the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The anticipated decision is the barriers on which DOE will rely in assessing whether it meets the EPA's individual protection standard.
Deliberation Explanation	The email discusses the requirements of the pertinent regulation as well as the various views on how it should be interpreted in assessing DOE's defense-in-depth.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document contains no factual section or discussion. The email reflects assessment of and debate about the requirements of the NRC regulation and how that will affect compliance with EPA's standard.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALE.20040617.1679
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337312
Doc Date	20031222
Title	Re: D&E LA SAR Section 1.3.1 DRAFT (Rev. A)
Subject Matter Description	This email contains comments on Draft SAR Section 1.3.1.
Authors	Gue, Laura / DOE-OCRWM /
Recipients	Nakagawa, Ken / /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contract personnel who have responsibility for developing this draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions as well as the form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALE.20040621.0428
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330424
Doc Date	20040401
Title	lr_sar_154_consolidated comments-plinski

Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft section 1.5.4 of the draft LA, which addresses the proposed waste package fabrication for the YM repository.
Authors	Acree, Patrick/BSC
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Jessen, James P. / BSC Repository Development - LA Configuration Control / BSC Senior Engineer McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst Plinski, Michael/BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section, on what waste package configuration to adopt for the YM repository and how to describe it in the LA, to be submitted to DOE for consideration.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft with the final version of the LA would reveal DOE deliberations on the topic.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALE.20040621.0568
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334963
Doc Date	20040325
Title	tri_sar_58_chris
Subject Matter Description	Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 5: Administrative and Programmatic Requirements (Section 5.8 Controls to Restrict Access and Regulate Land Uses)
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	Webster, Stuart / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALE.20040621.6414
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334451
Doc Date	20040311
Title	MW Revised Version of Issues
Subject Matter Description	The memorandum concerns the following subject matters: LA level of design detail; KTI and AIN schedule; Post closure criticality screening; igneous event probability and consequences; spent fuel burn-up credit and measurement; segmentation of aging capacity; low probability of DOE standardized canister or multi-canister overpack breach; waste package localized corrosion; postclosure seismic criteria; and structure, system, and component classification schedule.
Authors	Whetsel, Randy/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Skoblar, Leonard / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel who work on YMP matters.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision anticipated is how to address the identified issues associated with preparation of the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document reflects the author's judgment regarding and description of anticipated issues associated with licensing, for purposes of framing issues for DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no factual or background section. It is entirely the author's subjective and evaluation judgment regarding the nature and scope of the identified issues.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALF.20040615.0790
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334915
Doc Date	20020711
Title	Re: Proposed Licensing Position on Sensitivity Analyses
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns post-processing sensitivity analyses on the TSPA-LA results.
Authors	Rickertsen, Larry D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist I
Recipients	Blink, James / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / LLNL Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Project Manager Swift, Peter N. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel who worked on the referenced draft licensing position.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should adopt as a licensing position an intent to perform sensitivity analyses on the TSPA-LA not required by regulations.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinion on the sufficiency of the reasoning provided in the draft position paper and recommended areas for improvement.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALF.20040615.6311
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329685
Doc Date	20000508
Title	Re: PORB
Subject Matter Description	The subject of the email is the authors' comments, opinions, and recommendation on a draft position paper addressing time frame and peak dose
Authors	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Cline, Mike L. / TRW/BSC / M&O Contractor Fomous, John/ DOE/ Gamble, Robert / MTS / Goffi, Richard / / Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Horton, Donald G./ DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office/ Kane, Daniel W./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Sullivan, Timothy/ DOE/ Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for making a recommendation to the PORB regarding peak dose and time frame.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The anticipated decision relates to inputs to be used in various peak dose calculations
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions regarding various proposed conservatisms to be used and other inputs for peak dose calculations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This email contains no fact section. It discusses alternatives, assumptions, and the authors' recommendations and analyses of the draft position paper.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT);

LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALF.20040615.6420
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333945
Doc Date	19980210
Title	No Action Alternative
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the methodology for assessing certain effects associated with the no-action alternative under the EIS.
Authors	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development /
Recipients	Brocum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Acting Director Dixon, Wendy R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health / Assistant Manager Nancy Slater / CRWMS / Richard Goffi / CRWMS /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are senior OCRWM officials with responsibility for the policy decision discussed in the document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should change the methodology to be used to assess the effects of abandoned spent nuclear fuel facilities, for purposes of the YM EIS.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's assessment of DOE's proposed methodology and provides the author's recommendation whether it should be changed.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual information. It contains subjective assessments and recommendations. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALF.20040617.3022
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335450
Doc Date	20030401
Title	Alternative Operating Scenarios 4-15-03
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns alternative operating scenario for the YM repository
Authors	Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Waste Management Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense/ Siefken, David L. / BSC Repository Development - Waste Management Integration / PMC Technologies, Inc. Engineering Supervisor Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the authors' recommendations and opinions.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document was prepared to facilitate DOE's assessment of the implications of hypothetical repository operating scenarios and selection of design features that provide design and operational flexibility needed to accommodate alternative operating scenarios for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides a preliminary assessment of the implications of hypothetical repository operating scenarios and offers recommendations and opinions concerning the design and operational flexibility needed to accommodate the scenarios.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.0319
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336025
Doc Date	19990726
Title	proposed OMB change to EPA draft
Subject Matter Description	The author's proposed edits to proposed draft EPA standard.
Authors	Fomous, John / DOE /
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas/ / Fehrenback, John / / Hanson, Glen T. / TRW / M&O Contractor

Maheras, Steven / TRW / M&O Contractor

York, Jim / TRW / M&O Contractor

Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for formulating a position on the EPA draft standard to be taken by DOE.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Position to be taken on the proposed draft EPA standard.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed draft EPA standard.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no fact sections and reflects entirely the author's recommendation on proposed draft language.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.3010
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331187
Doc Date	19980311
Title	Elgie2-8
Subject Matter Description	Draft EPA Radiation Protection Standard for Yucca Mountain
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Relations & Policy / Acting Deputy Holstein, Elgie/DOE/
Recipients	Brocum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Siting & Facilities Technology Division Acting Director Dixon, Wendy R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health / Assistant Manager Williams, Dennis / DOE - OCRWM / Senior Policy Advisor; Deputy Assistant Manager for Licensing.
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel who have responsibility for preparation of the draft document that is the subject of comments.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	DOE positions to be taken on the proposed EPA radiation protection standard for Yucca Mountain that is the subject of this draft document.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed EPA radiation protection standard for Yucca Mountain that is the subject of this draft document.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT MEETING AID REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.3144
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333348
Doc Date	19981116
Title	newomb5
Subject Matter Description	DOE Summary: EPA Draft Standard
Authors	Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
Recipients	Brocum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Siting & Facilities Technology Division Acting Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE OCRWM officials who have responsibility for developing the DOE position on the draft EPA regulation.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the agencies position that DOE should take with respect to a proposed EPA regulation.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains a discussion of a proposed EPA regulation as it relates to Yucca Mountain and offers recommendations and opinions on the agency position DOE should take with respect to the proposed recommendation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.3189
---------------------------	--------------------------

LSN Accession Number	DN2002335810
Doc Date	20000524
Title	Moniz briefing paper May 2000 rv1
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns EPA's proposed groundwater protection rules.
Authors	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Regulatory Coordination Division Director
Recipients	Evans, Deborah /DOE
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE OCRWM officials who have responsibility for developing the DOE position on the draft EPA regulation.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what agency positions DOE should take on the proposed EPA regulation and the what reasons DOE should provide to support is positions before EPA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides subjective opinions and recommendations about positions DOE should take with the EPA on the proposed rulemaking. The document relates to confidential inter-agency communications on the development of draft regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides an analysis of draft regulations and provides commentary on them regarding DOE's potential positions. Any content would disclose the author's assessment of the regulations and the bases for his opinions. The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT MEETING AID

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.5205
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469629
Doc Date	20030227
Title	Waste Stream Parameters draft licensing position(REVA5)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the rate of receipt and arrival sequence of spent nuclear fuel and other waste forms at the YM repository.
Authors	Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	License Application Integration Group
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an YMP personnel. The recipients are members of a group formed by DOE to review and adopt licensing positions that impact the license application.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what definition of waste stream parameters DOE should adopt for purposes of repository design and performance assessment.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendation on the referenced topic and the author's opinions about the advantages and impacts of the recommended position.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	A comparison of the document with its final version would reveal DOE's internal deliberations on what positions to take with respect to the receipt of waste at YM and what to state in support of those positions.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.5925
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337211
Doc Date	20040610
Title	analysis of 4-18-01 version Part 197
Subject Matter Description	Preliminary analysis of 4/18/01 EPA version of Part 197, which reflect EPA's reaction to NRC's markup dated 4/6/01 of the 1/17/01 EPA version, as well as to earlier DOE and OMB comments
Authors	DOE contractors
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are with DOE contractors and the recipients are DOE employees and contractors.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Positions to be taken on the subject matter of EPA's proposed Part 197
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the EPA's proposed Part 197
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.5975
---------------------------	--------------------------

LSN Accession Number	DN2002330577
Doc Date	19991110
Title	TJ comment brief rev2
Subject Matter Description	EPA's Proposed Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain
Authors	Goffi, Richard / / York, Jim / Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration /
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Bruno, Thomas / / Fomous, John / DOE /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are DOE M&O contractor personnel assisting DOE in it's deliberations on the subject regulations. The recipients are DOE personnel working on the topic.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The contemplated decision is what agency position DOE will take on the EPA proposed radiation protection standards.
Deliberation Explanation	The document concerns what agency position DOE will take on whether the proposed regulations should be adopted. The document contains the authors' subjective opinions.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Although there are brief statements summarizing the EPA proposed standard, the summary necessarily reflects the author's assessment of and judgment about the importance of the standard. The presentation sets forth the authors' subjective evaluation of the impact of that proposal and proposed actions associated with that proposal. The document was part of DOE's decision making with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6054
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479121
Doc Date	19991126
Title	Epa197rv
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft safety standard regulations that EPA had proposed for the YM repository.
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Relations & Policy /
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / / Fehrenbach, Jon // Goffi, Richard / / Hanson, Glen T. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist II York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE employees or contractors preparing proposed comments on the EPA's proposed radiation protections standards for the repository.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken regarding the EPA's proposed radiation protection standards.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions relating to the EPA's proposed radiation protection standards.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6055
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479224
Doc Date	19990827
Title	NPR - Comment Cover Letter 10 29 99
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft regulations proposed by EPA for individual and groundwater protection standards applicable to geologic repositories.
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Relations & Policy / Fomous, John / DOE / OCRWM
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / / Clark, Robert W. / DOE - OCRWM / Office of Quality Assurance, Director Fehrenbach, John // Goffi, Richard / Booz-Allen Hamilton Hanson, Glen T. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist II York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are DOE OCRWM officials. The recipients are DOE OCRWM officials and DOE OCRWM contractors who assisted the author in preparation of the draft document.

Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns that agency positions DOE should adopt with respect to the draft regulations proposed by EPA.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendations as to what agency positions DOE should adopt with regard to the proposed regulations, including any amendments DOE should make to EPA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual section. It consists solely of recommended agency positions. Also, comparison of the draft document with DOE's final comments would disclose what potential positions DOE had under consideration and what positions it may have considered but rejected.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6060
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479125
Doc Date	19991029
Title	Tab E - BID - 10 29 99
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns draft safety standard regulations that EPA had proposed for the YM repository.
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / DOE Clark, Robert W./ DOE - OCRWM/ Fehrenbach, John /DOE Goffi, Richard / Booz-Allen Hamilton Hanson, Glen T. / BSC York, Jim /BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE officials and DOE contractor personnel who were responsible for preparation of draft comments from DOE to EPA on proposed regulations under 40 CFR 197.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is what positions DOE should adopt with respect to the proposed regulations and what grounds DOE should offer in support of those positions.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides recommended positions and supporting rationales, for ultimate consideration for the DOE secretary.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual section or discussion as such. It consists of recommended agency positions. A comparison of the document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal DOE's internal deliberations on what positions to take with respect to the draft regulations and what to state in support of those positions. Disclosure also would reveal what positions DOE had under deliberation but rejected.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6120
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336082
Doc Date	20000330
Title	Moniz 197 issues Apr2000
Subject Matter Description	Evaluation of Draft Final EPA Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Regulatory Coordination Division Director Bruno, Thomas / / Goffi, Richard / / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE official responsible for developing DOE's agency positions on the draft regulations. The recipients are other DOE and DOE M&O contractor personnel assisting on that effort.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision and policymaking at issues relates to the proposed proposed EPA groundwater rules and what positions DOE will take on the regulations.
Deliberation Explanation	The memorandum evaluates proposed EPA groundwater rules and discusses impacts of EPA's proposed rules on DOE's ability to meet those standards and discusses DOE's position on EPA's proposed rules and contains reasoning behind DOE's position on EPA's rules. The document aids the agency deliberations on proposed EPA rules and what comments DOE will make on them.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document reflects the authors' assessment of the background information set forth. The balance of the document contains proposed DOE positions on aspects of the EPA draft standard. The document was part of DOE's decision making with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

**LIST
REPORT**

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6121
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479219
Doc Date	20000330
Title	Moniz talking points Apr2000
Subject Matter Description	DOE's position on the Draft EPA Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Regulatory Coordination Division Director Bruno, Thomas / / Goffi, Richard / / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE official responsible for development of DOE agency positions on the regulations. The recipients are other DOE and DOE M&O contractor personnel assisting in that effort.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision and policy making at issues relates to the proposed proposed EPA groundwater rules.
Deliberation Explanation	The memorandum sets out talking points on the proposed EPA groundwater rules and discusses impacts of EPA's proposed rules on DOE's ability to meet those standards. The document is part of DOE's deliberations on what positions DOE will take with EPA regarding the referenced draft regulations.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is no factual section of this short, half-page document. It simply reflects the author's recommended talking points. The document was part of DOE's decision making with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its content would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6156
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337591
Doc Date	19991029
Title	Tab C - HIS - 10 29 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Human Intrusion Standard
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / / Fehrenbach, John / / Goffi, Richard / / Hanson, Glen T. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist II York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document addresses the positions DOE should take regarding proposed EPA regulations affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains recommended comments and positions respecting EPA Questions Proposed Yucca Mountain Human Intrusion Standards.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6157
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336235
Doc Date	19991029
Title	Tab D - Misc - 10 29 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Miscellaneous Issues Concerning The Proposed Yucca Mountain Standards
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / / Fehrenbach, John / / Goffi, Richard / / Hanson, Glen T. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist II

York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document addresses the positions DOE should take regarding proposed EPA regulations affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains recommended comments and positions respecting EPA Questions Regarding Miscellaneous Issues Concerning The Proposed Yucca Mountain Standards
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.6158
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332221
Doc Date	19991029
Title	Tab E - BID - 10 29 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments on the Background Information Document for 40 CFR 197
Authors	Brocum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / / Fehrenbach, John / / Goffi, Richard / / Hanson, Glen T. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist II York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document addresses the positions DOE should take regarding proposed EPA regulations affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains recommended comments and positions respecting EPA background information document for 40 CFR 197.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.7417
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333965
Doc Date	20020429
Title	Land withdrawal briefing
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the withdrawal of land for the GROA.
Authors	Crawford, Marian J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health/
Recipients	Bowlby, Brenda R. / MTS - ES&H/Site Operations / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Associate Wade, Scott A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE official responsible for the subject matter of the document. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel and other Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the recommendations and analysis on the issues discussed therein.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document contains analysis on DOE's land withdrawal strategy and precedes DOE's actions with respect to land withdrawal.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains the author's analysis of certain sensitivities, including analysis of patented mining claims, regarding the land withdrawal area that is required for the LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT

**LIST
REPORT**

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.7463
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330722
Doc Date	20021119
Title	Land withdrawal paper.11-14-02
Subject Matter Description	This memorandum discusses the issues and sensitivities concerning the YMP proposed land withdrawal.
Authors	Crawford, Marian J./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations, Environment, Safety and Health/
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Jacobs, William / DOE-CRWMS / McCann, Edward W. / BSC Repository Development - Environmental Compliance / BSC Regional Environmental Compliance Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE official responsible for development of DOE's approach to the subject matter of the document. The recipients are DOE OCRWM personnel who have responsibility for preparation of the document that is the subject of DOE review.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Memorandum that evaluates DOE's available land withdrawal options that DOE may pursue. Memorandum assesses requirements that DOE must meet and potential issues that DOE would confront in exercising land withdrawal. This is the type of information that DOE relies upon in making a decision on land withdrawal.
Deliberation Explanation	This document reflects the author's opinions on the issues confronted by DOE regarding the proposed land withdrawal. It contains recommendations of positions that DOE should take before the NRC.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040615.8094
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470678
Doc Date	20040610
Title	WP Surf Environ Strategy 1c
Subject Matter Description	Proposed Waste Package Surface Environment Strategy
Authors	Farmer, Joseph C. / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Engineer
Recipients	Clower, Jr., Curtis A. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Operations & Start-Up Engineering Associate Project Engineer Doering, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Manager Helton, Jon / Sandia National Laboratory / Kohler, Martha / Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Peters, Mark / BSC Repository Development - Engineered System / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Department Manager Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Manager of Projects
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the subjects that are addressed by the proposals in the document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes and recommends a strategy for demonstrating that the waste package environment will not undergo unacceptable levels of corrosion.
Deliberation Explanation	The documents contains the author's recommendations and opinions on the strategy that ought to be adopted.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE DATA EMAIL-ATT PHOTOGRAPH REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040617.0964
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332131
Doc Date	20021016

Title	trcd_sar_533(jgs)CLL-LTSa
Subject Matter Description	trcd_sar_533(jgs)CLL-LTSa, Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 5: Administrative and Programmatic Requirements - Section 5.3.3, Rev. 00, Draft B Team Review Concurrence Draft
Authors	Skoblar, Leonard / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I
Recipients	Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Franks, Douglas / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Framatome ANP (FANP) Senior Tech Support Specialist Kell, Patty / BSC / Lugo, Candace L. / BSC Repository Development - Geologists / BSC Principal Scientist II Pannell, George / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040617.1203
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329289
Doc Date	20030227
Title	Waste Stream Parameters draft licensing position(REVA5)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the selection of waste stream parameters for CSNF for the YM repository.
Authors	Skoblar, Leonard/ BSC Repository Development - Design Interface & Integration/
Recipients	Hutchins, William/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Martin, Guy / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I Wisenburg, Mark R./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is what bases to use for selecting the characteristics of CSNF from which information can be derived in support of repository design and license application.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides the author's subjective and evaluative opinions of the draft document. It provides the reasons for adopting a position on the issue and recommended bases for making a decision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein cannot be separated from the comments and opinions of the author. The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040617.5262
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479027
Doc Date	19961024
Title	Is a High Thermal Load Licensable?
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email and attached document is the author's position paper addressing whether a high thermal load is licensable.
Authors	Saterlie, Steven / BSC /
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Balady, Mark / BSC / Blink, James/ BSC Repository Development - Data & TSPA Parameter Management/

Bodnar, Steve / BSC /
Datta, Robin / BSC /
Dulock, Victor/ BSC /
Memory, Richard / BSC /
Nelson, Stephen / BSC /
Peters, Mark/ BSC Repository Development - Engineered System/
Scott, Michael L./ BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations/
Sellers, Mark D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Sevougian, David/ BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations/
Thomson, Brent / BSC /
Wagner, Richard / BSC /

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject matter of the documents.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision is whether to proceed with plans for and work on a "hot" repository design for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The email and attached draft memorandum position paper considers and advocates regarding the licensability of a high thermal load. The document is part of DOE's decision making as to what design to select for the YM repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The email contains no factual background section and reflects the author's evaluation and opinion. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040618.1742
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336953
Doc Date	20040115
Title	D&E 1422_review
Subject Matter Description	Draft SAR section 1.4.2.2_review, Chapter 1
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas / BSC /
Recipients	Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040618.1763
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332087
Doc Date	20040126
Title	D&E_1462_review
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft section 1.4.6.2 of the draft LA, which addresses proposed strategy for management of non-radiological waste at the YM repository.
Authors	McDonnell, Thomas/BSC
Recipients	Minwalla, Homi J. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is what strategy to adopt for the management of non-radiological waste at the YM repository, and how to structure the discussion of that topic in the draft LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any discussion of facts as such. It concerns a potential waste management strategy that was under consideration. Comparison of the draft to the final LA would reveal DOE's deliberations on the topic, with respect to what information to include and what changes to make in the proposed

	strategy.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20040618.6263
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334358
Doc Date	20000728
Title	DOE SNF Issues
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the development of a strategy regarding the storage of SNF at the YM repository.
Authors	Slater, Nancy/ DOE /
Recipients	Gomberg, Steve/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Murray, Robert / MTS - Licensing / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Manager
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE official responsible for the subject matter of the document. The recipients are OCRWM officials and DOE's contractors with responsibility over the subject matter.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This memorandum discusses and identifies four issues for DOE to decide in order to develop a licensing strategy for storing spent nuclear fuel at the repository. The issues include the qualification of data, approaches for canisters, and the type of information needed prior to storage at the repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The author identifies and discusses issues related to the project's licensing strategy for storing spent nuclear fuel at the repository, including the qualification of data, approaches for canisters, and the type of information needed prior to storage at the repository. The discussion of these issues presents the author's opinion on matters of licensing strategy and reflect the give-and-take that occurs within the project on issues related to the storage of SNF at the repository.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALG.20050208.1355
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469980
Doc Date	19990208
Title	YDAR No. 20372/White Papers Re: Defense in Depth
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns two draft papers that discusses whether DOE should undertake a multi-barrier evaluation to establish the YM repository's compliance with performance standards.
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Senior Project Manager Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Dockery, Holly Dove, Harvey/ BSC Repository Development - Science & Analysis/ Gil, April V./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Richardson, Dennis C./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Rickertsen, Larry D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Weber, Ellyn / Innovations / Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/ Zwahlen, Eric/ BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a YMP project member. The recipients are YMP managers with responsibility for development of the draft white papers discussed in the email.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns a potential recommendation for DOE to adopt a multi-barrier approach for demonstrating compliance with YM performance standards.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's assessment of what NRC regulations require and his subjective opinions on the desirability of the potential recommended approach set forth in the draft white papers. The document was provided to enable responsible managers whether to proceed with the approach described in the draft white papers.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any segregable factual information. It provides the author's assessment of regulations and subjective opinions on the referenced subject matter.

Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040612.3054
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331877
Doc Date	20020719
Title	Review of LP on Testing
Subject Matter Description	Comments on Draft Licensing Position on the YM Project's Testing Programs.
Authors	Lindner, Ernest N. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Duguid, James O. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst Goldstein, Barry / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Environmental Regulatory Compliance Howard, Robert L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Project Manager Monib, Ahmed M. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are YMP contractor personnel with responsibility for the draft licensing position as to which the author has provided his opinions and recommendations.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The draft licensing position is intended to update the performance confirmation plan to ensure that it complies with 10 CFR Part 63 and the YMRP and to that end the author has provided his opinions and recommendations concerning the draft document.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions and recommendations concerning what positions DOE should adopt regarding performance certification plans to satisfy 10 CFR pt 63.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040615.2878
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334547
Doc Date	20010702
Title	TIDs on HLW canisters
Subject Matter Description	RW's proposed requirement for application of Tamper Indicating Devices (TID) on HLW Canisters
Authors	Daugherty, Maurice / DOE/EM /
Recipients	Chacey, Ken/ DOE - Office of Environmental Management/ Einberg, Christian E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / DOE Security Specialist Ferris, Yvonne / DOE / EM official / Grisham, Kriss / DOE / Gunn, Lee / DOE / Koutsandreas, Denis / DOE/EM / Slater, Nancy / DOE /
Author Recipient Relationship	The document author and recipient are YMP personnel responsible for the subject document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The potential decision relates to requirements in the Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document.
Deliberation Explanation	The documents evaluates applicable regulations and provides RW recommendation on requirements based upon its analysis.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Although there are references in this short one-page document to NRC regulations, such references are coupled with the author's evaluation of that regulation as it relates to a proposed requirement for application of TIDs on non-welded HLW canisters. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040615.2960
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332628

Doc Date	20011201
Title	Immediate EPA
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is the finalization of EPA's draft of its radiation protection rule.
Authors	Barrett, Lake H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of External Relations & Policy /
Recipients	Garrish, Theodore J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Strategy and Program Development /
Author Recipient Relationship	Author and recipient are DOE employees attempting to ensure DOE's compliance with regulatory framework in time for scheduled site recommendation.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	DOE's position to be taken on separate groundwater standard in proposed EPA rule.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is analyzing possible options regarding DOE's position on the separate groundwater standard in the proposed EPA rule.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT

Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040615.3136
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334920
Doc Date	20040606
Title	analysis of 4-18-01 version Part 197 rev4
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns potential DOE agency positions on proposed rulemaking by EPA under the Part 197 regulations.
Authors	York, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration/
Recipients	Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Fomous, John/ DOE/ Lee, Maria/BSC Martin, Kathleen O./ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Slater, Nancy/BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an DOE M&O contractor personnel. The document was sent to an DOE OCRWM official (Brownstein) who was responsible for developing DOE's agency position with regard to the referenced rulemaking. The document was copied to DOE officials and counsel, and other M&O personnel, who were assisting in that effort as well.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position should DOE as official agency position in response to regulations that EPA proposed under Part 197.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions on possible agency positions on rulemaking, that were submitted to DOE for consideration.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual section. The document provides proposed agency positions, and disclosure of the document's contents would reveal potential agency positions on rulemaking that were under consideration. Comparison of the document with final DOE positions would reveal potential positions that were under consideration in the formulation of the ultimate agency decision.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040615.3642
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331512
Doc Date	20030827
Title	KTIReveiwInDriftChemicalEnvironment[3]
Subject Matter Description	OGC Comments on draft In Drift Chemical Environment Technical Bases Document, Appendices A,C, & D
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney
Recipients	Capoferri, Anita / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Hastings, Bruce / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Senior Scientist II Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst Salmon, David A. / BSC Repository Development - Geologists / BSC Senior Science Specialist Urie, Matt / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and three of the recipients are DOE OGC attorneys. The other recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft and a response to the KTI.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer

DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft TBD and in response to the NRC KTI.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice regarding the draft TBD and its sufficiency to respond to the NRC KTI.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no factual section. To the extent that there is factual information referenced, it cannot be redacted because it is interwoven with the recommendations, opinions, and legal analysis of the reviewing attorney.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040615.9447
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331492
Doc Date	19991117
Title	Tab B - GPS - 11 16 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments & Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS")
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
Recipients	Bruno, Thomas / DOE-CRWMS / Goffi, Richard / Booz Allen Hamilton / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft comments and responses.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes positions DOE should take in response to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS")
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommended positions and comment respecting EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS")
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040617.2083
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331743
Doc Date	19961016
Title	HIGHTL
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email and attached document is the author's position paper addressing whether a high thermal load is licensable.
Authors	Saterlie, Steven
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Balady, Mark / TRW/BSC / M&O Contractor Blink, James/ BSC Repository Development - Data & TSPA Parameter Management/ Bodnar, Steve Datta, Robin// Dulock, Victor / TRW / M&O Contractor Memory, Richard / TRW / M&O Contractor Nelson, Stephen / TRW / M&O Contractor Peters, Mark/ BSC Repository Development - Engineered System/ Scott, Michael L./ BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations/ Sellers, Mark D./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Sevougian, David/ BSC Repository Development - Management & Operations/ Thomson, Brent Wagner, Richard / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE YMP personnel responsible for assisting DOE in selection of a repository design.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision is whether DOE should select a "hot" repository design for operation of the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The email and attached draft memorandum position paper considers and advocates regarding the licensability of a high

	thermal load.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The memorandum contains no factual background section and reflects the author's evaluation and opinion. The author's selection of or reference to factual information necessarily reflects his judgment and evaluation of the factual information and its importance to his advanced argument and therefore cannot be revealed without revealing privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040617.2429
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331750
Doc Date	20031022
Title	SS_FP_Draft_SAR1.4.3
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft section 1.4.3 of the draft LA, which addresses a proposed subsurface fire protection system for the YM repository.
Authors	Logan, Richard/BSC
Recipients	Kubicek, John / DOE-OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST MAP REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040618.6604
LSN Accession Number	DEN001564432
Doc Date	20020821
Title	Thermal
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a strategy for selecting between an hot and cold repository operating design.
Authors	Williams, Dennis / DOE - OCRWM /
Recipients	Williams, Jeffrey R./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Acceptance Transportation and Integration/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are DOE OCRWM officials.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns DOE's process for choosing between a hot or cold operating design for the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The email and its attachment provide the author's subjective opinions about a proposed strategy contained in a white paper, as well as recommendations about alterative strategies DOE could consider.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no factual discussion. It contains only the author's opinion on the referenced topic. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALH.20040618.9332
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332478
Doc Date	20020816
Title	Thermal Operating Mode Issues Aug 16 4 pm
Subject Matter Description	This is the attachment to the 8/21/2002 email of Dennis Williams to Jeff Williams.
Authors	Williams, Dennis/ DOE - OCRWM/
Recipients	Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of National Transportation / Acting Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author recipient are both DOE OCRWM officials.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer

DP Decision Information	The document concerns what strategy DOE should adopt with respect to a hot or cold repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions about a white paper on the referenced topic and makes recommendations about options for processes to help DOE make a decision about which approach to adopt.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate factual discussion. It provides the author's subjective opinions and any statements made in conjunction with that discussion would reveal the author's opinions. The memo also discusses options for future activities, which is not a discussion of facts.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040612.4807
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333347
Doc Date	20020319
Title	Evaluation Final
Subject Matter Description	Design Evaluation Study Recommendations
Authors	Rowe, Gene W. / BSC Repository Development - Feasibility & Support / BSC Principal Engineer I
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Mcann, Pamela / BSC / OCRWM officials
Author Recipient Relationship	The author works for Steve Cereghino at BSC, DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP. He prepared a presentation for Cereghino to give recommendations to DOE. The author provided a copy of the presentation to Pamela Mcann to give to Cereghino.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns decisions for repository design implementations, to provide DOE policy makers with maximum flexibility to make future decisions on the schedule for emplacement of waste at the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides various recommendations on the layout of the repository and transportation options, to provide flexibility to respond to resource constrains in the future.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT FINANCIAL STATEMENT LIST MAP MEETING AID
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040612.6583
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330919
Doc Date	20020506
Title	Design Eval Recommendations EIR 5-6-02
Subject Matter Description	Design evolution and implementation study results
Authors	Rowe, Gene W. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Principal Engineer I
Recipients	Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Pederson, Gordon / BSC Repository Development - Repository Design / Repository Design Project Deputy
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are YMP personnel responsible for advising DOE on the subject strategy.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns decisions for repository design implementations, to provide DOE policy makers with maximum flexibility to make future decisions on the schedule for emplacement of waste at the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document sets forth assumed scenarios and presents options for proceeding with LA design in the assumed scenarios.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains assumptions, options, and discussion of those options and potential contingencies. It represents contingency planning and contains no factual section. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT MAP MEETING AID
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040612.6724

LSN Accession Number	DN2002330981
Doc Date	20040606
Title	(text)Draft SAR Section 2 Surface Soils changes 9-25-03gjs
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of section 2.1.1.2 and 2.1.1.3 of the draft LA, which is a proposed discussion of the barriers at YM, including their features and functions.
Authors	Saulnier, George / BSC
Recipients	Dobson, David/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Rickertsen, Larry D. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment Strategy and Scope / BSC Principal Scientist I
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040612.6725
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333255
Doc Date	20030905
Title	2-1-2-4 Waste Package-gjs--ldr changesREV9-2
Subject Matter Description	The document is a copy of the draft section 2.1.2.4 of the draft LA, which concerns a proposed waste package for use at the YM repository, with comments from David Dobson and Larry Rickertson.
Authors	Saulnier, George / BSC
Recipients	Dobson, David/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/ Rickertsen, Larry D. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment Strategy and Scope / BSC Principal Scientist I
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040612.6995
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335462
Doc Date	20040606
Title	Sect-2-1-2-8 UZ below the WP-gjs
Subject Matter Description	Comments on draft Multiple Barrier Sections of the Safety Analysis Report
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on draft Multiple Barrier Sections of the SAR and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of these draft sections.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040615.1005
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334158
Doc Date	20000106
Title	Groundwater Travel Time Strategy
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns possible strategies for the development of a groundwater travel time position in light of proposed amendments to 10 CFR 960.
Authors	Cline, Mike L./ BSC /
Recipients	Doe, Tom /DOE/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE M&O contractor personnel for the YMP. The recipient is a DOE OCRWM personnel.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document concerns what strategy DOE should adopt for developing a licensing position on groundwater travel times under proposed amendments.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions on regulatory requirements and recommends potential steps DOE could follow to develop a licensing position.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate factual or background section. Any discussion of facts is interspersed as part of the deliberative and subjective analysis. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040617.3328
LSN Accession Number	DN2002338009
Doc Date	19980717
Title	Valcom
Subject Matter Description	This document conveys counsel's comments on the draft of Volume 1 of the Viability Assessment
Authors	Rives, Susan / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney
Recipients	Brodeur, Mary/ DOE-OCRWM King, Jerry L./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Sullivan, Timothy / DOE / Brookhaven National Laboratories Summerson, Jane R./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Acquisition Strategy/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an attorney with the DOE OGC. The recipients are DOE officials and DOE contractors responsible for the document that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document was a part of DOE's process in developing the viability assessment. It contains recommended changes and comments on the draft document that DOE eventually finalized.
Deliberation Explanation	This document contains legal counsel's analysis and recommendations concerning Volume 1 of the Viability Assessment. It contains counsel's opinions and the bases for why counsel is recommending that the positions in this draft, as well as the form and content of it, be modified or changed.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-at attorneys direction (FACT); LWP-at attorneys direction (OPINION) LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION);
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040617.6184
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329745
Doc Date	20011015
Title	Comments on PORB Position Paper

Subject Matter Description	Qualification of data used on the YMP.
Authors	Eshleman, Michael / DOE-OCRWM /
Recipients	Boone, Alesia /DOE/ Schrecongost, Jill /DOE/ Wooley, Jake /DOE/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE officials who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the author's recommendations and opinions.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The draft document as to which the author has provided his recommendations and opinions proposes for DOE approval a revised prioritization of verification/qualification/validation of data, software, and models.
Deliberation Explanation	This documents sets forth the author's recommendations and opinions concerning the position proposed in the subject draft document.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE EMAIL-ATT LIST
Participant Accession No.	ALI.20040617.6338
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332887
Doc Date	20000714
Title	DOESNF3
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a strategy for inclusion of DOE SNF in the YM repository.
Authors	Draffin, Cyril / TRW / M&O Contractor
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ TRW - Integration/ M&O Contractor Broccoum, Steve / OCRWM / Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Bruno, Thomas/ BAH/MTS/ Burgoyne, Robert/ MTS - Transportation/ Carlson, James/ DOE - OCRWM/ Clark, Robert W./ DOE - OCRWM/ Clouet, John / TRW / M&O Contractor Draffin, Cyril / TRW / M&O Contractor Einberg, Christian E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Gunter, Timothy/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Morissette, Richard /DOE/ Pollog, Thomas / RW/DOE / Price, Joe C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Scott, Michael L./ TRW / M&O Contractor Slater, Nancy / DOE/RW / Sweeney, Timothy / TRW / M&O Contractor Vlahakis, John/ DOE/ Zabransky, Dave K./ DOE - OCRWM/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel responsible for preparation of the referenced strategy white paper.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The immediate decision is what comments to incorporate into the draft white paper, and the ultimate decision and subject of the white paper relates to the strategy for utilizing DOE SNF in the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The comments reflect the assessment of OCRWM officials on the draft white paper and their recommendations for its revision.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is not factual section. The document contains cross-references to the draft with the authors' comments and questions thereon. In addition, comparison of this draft with the final version of the subject document would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT);

LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALJ.20040612.2136
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337867
Doc Date	20040113
Title	D&E_1235_A122403
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of section 1.2.3.5 of the draft LA, which addresses the design of the HVAC system to serve the Cask and Waste Package Receipt Building.
Authors	Gould, Gregory/BSC
Recipients	Banea, Francis /BSC Surjit, Singh /BSC
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of the draft and the final LA would disclose DOE deliberations on the referenced subject matter. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	DATA DRAWING EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALJ.20040612.2492
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469504
Doc Date	20030505
Title	Waste Stream Parameters licensing position--Final
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns selection of assumed rates of receipt and sequence of arrival of CSNF and other waste forms at the YM repository.
Authors	Martin, Guy / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Engineer I
Recipients	Orrell, Andrew / BSC Repository Development - Management Systems / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Lab Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are two YMP personnel involved in development of the proposed licensing position paper for DOE.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position DOE should adopt for licensing proceeding regarding the assumed rate of receipt and arrival sequence for CSNF and waste at the YM repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document was prepared to present the issue to DOE for decision. The document identifies the need for a licensing position, analyses potentially impacted by the decision, and recommendations and opinions on parameters that could be selected.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT
Participant Accession No.	ALK.20040612.7366
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335851
Doc Date	20030710
Title	Backup of SAR_SEC_5-3-1 (draft g)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of section 5.3.1 that reflects a proposed organizational structure for DOE with respect to the YMP.
Authors	Kane, Daniel W./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Self, Jerry G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what type of organization structure DOE should adopt for the YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It addresses a proposed organizational structure.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST MANUAL
Participant Accession No.	ALK.20040612.8412
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337349
Doc Date	20040115
Title	5.5 Draft B (comments)
Subject Matter Description	This document is a draft of SAR section 5.5 (Plans for Startup Activities and Testing).
Authors	Self, Jerry G. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion/
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates Program Manager Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist McIlvaine, Jim / BSC Repository Development - License Application / License Application Team Member Nair, Prasad K. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / JK Research Associates (JKRA) Principal Regulatory Analyst
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors of the comments are members of the BSC Licensing organization and the recipients are BSC employees with responsibility for the draft documents as to which the authors have commented.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document addresses the positions that should be taken by DOE in the SAR respecting startup of the repository (SAR section 5.5).
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the authors' recommendations and opinions concerning the positions that should be taken in the draft SAR section and the form that the draft section should take.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALL.20040612.8848
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335196
Doc Date	20030626
Title	trd_sar_511_wMCB&DKcomments
Subject Matter Description	SAR 5.11 Operational Radiation Protection Program
Authors	McIlvaine, Jim/ BSC Repository Development - License Application/
Recipients	Carl Jr., William F. / BSC Repository Development - Program Health Physicists / BSC Program Health Physicist Franks, Douglas / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Framatome ANP (FANP) Senior Tech Support Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE employees and contractors with responsibility for the subject draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALL.20040612.9972
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333258
Doc Date	20040606
Title	EISCH3 Affected Environment
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft Chapter 3 of the SEIS- Affected Environment, Rev OE.
Authors	Kimmel, Richard/DOE Idaho

Skipper, Kenneth/ DOE Idaho

Recipients	Fogdall, Bonnie C. / BSC Repository Development - Conversion / BSC Senior Environmental Specialist Price, Joe C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are officials with the DOE Idaho field office. The recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the authors' recommendations.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what approach DOE should adopt in the draft SEIS chapter under NEPA requirements.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective views of NEPA requirements and provides recommendations of how DOE should structure the referenced draft chapter of the SEIS.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides subjective analyses of regulatory requirements and provides the author's subjective views about those requirements. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT FORM LIST REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALL.20040617.3216
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333483
Doc Date	20030110
Title	trd_gi_4_clean_RLK2
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the document is YMP LA GI Section 4: Material Control and Accounting, and comments on same.
Authors	Kelmenson, Ronald L. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Ruffin, Steve R. / BSC Repository Development / Program Integration Safeguards and Security Lead Saraka, Larry / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / PMC Technologies, Inc. Senior Program Director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA sections.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT

Participant Accession No.	ALL.20040617.3252
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334693
Doc Date	20030306
Title	trd_gi_4b
Subject Matter Description	The document is a draft of the LA section 4 that concerns a material control and accounting program to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 63.21(b)(4) and 10 CFR 63.78.
Authors	Kemelson, Ronald/BSC
Recipients	Bryan, Martin C / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Ruffin, Steve R. / BSC Repository Development / Program Integration Safeguards and Security Lead Saraka, Larry / BSC Repository Development / PMC Technologies, Inc. Senior Program Director
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE M&O contractor personnel responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what should be the features of a material control and accounting program for the YMP sufficient to meet regulatory requirements, as well as the form and content of the manner it should be described in the LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001

Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALL.20040617.4965
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470032
Doc Date	19990203
Title	YDAR No. 20372/White Papers Re: Defense in Depth
Subject Matter Description	YDAR No. 20372/White Papers Re: Defense in Depth
Authors	Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer /
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Engineering & Field Operations, Lead Haught, David C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Engineer Spence, Dick / DOE/RW /
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for positions taken by DOE in the licensing proceedings.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision involves the position taken by the DOE regarding Postclosure Repository Defense in Depth Design Bases and the Viability Assessment Reference System and the Postclosure Defense in Depth Evaluation.
Deliberation Explanation	The author is providing his recommendation and opinion as to YDAR No. 20372 for Deliverable Acceptance Review.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document was part of DOE's decisionmaking with respect to what agency positions to take regarding the proposed regulations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal potential positions that DOE had under consideration, and comparison of the document with DOE's final positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040612.1361
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334526
Doc Date	19991117
Title	Tab A - IPS - 11 16 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Individual Protection Protection Standard ("IPS")
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Goffi, Richard / Booz Allen Hamilton / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft comment and responses.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes comments and positions to be adopted by DOE respecting proposed EPA standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations and opinions on comments and positions respecting EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Individual Protection Standard ("IPS")
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040612.1362
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331165
Doc Date	19991117
Title	Tab B - GPS - 11 16 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS")
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Goffi, Richard / Booz Allen Hamilton / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft comment and responses.

Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes comments and positions to be adopted by DOE respecting proposed EPA standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations and opinions on comments and positions respecting EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Groundwater Resource Protection Standard ("GPS")
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040612.1363
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332569
Doc Date	19991117
Title	Tab C - HIS - 11 16 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Human Intrusion Standard ("HIS")
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Goffi, Richard / bah.com York, Jim / OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft comment and responses.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes comments and positions to be adopted by DOE respecting proposed EPA standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations and opinions on comments and positions respecting EPA Questions Regarding Proposed Human Intrusion Standard ("HIS")
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040612.1364
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479026
Doc Date	19991117
Title	Tab D - Misc - 11 16 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments and Responses to EPA Questions Regarding Miscellaneous Issues Concerning The Proposed Yucca Mountain Standards
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Goffi, Richard / Booz Allen Hamilton / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft comment and responses.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes comments and positions to be adopted by DOE respecting proposed EPA standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations and opinions on comments and positions respecting EPA Questions Regarding Miscellaneous Issues Concerning the Proposed YM Standards
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040612.1365
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337182
Doc Date	19991117

Title	Tab E - BID - 11 16 99
Subject Matter Description	DOE Draft Comments on the Background Information Document for 40 CFR 197
Authors	Fomous, John/ DOE/
Recipients	Goffi, Richard / Booz Allen Hamilton / York, Jim / OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft comment and responses.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document proposes comments and positions to be adopted by DOE respecting proposed EPA standards affecting YMP.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains recommendations and opinions on comments and positions respecting EPA Background Information Document for 40 CFR 197
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document has no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's comments would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040612.8185
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330045
Doc Date	20030117
Title	trd_sar_57 MTS cmts
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is Yucca Mountain Repository Safety Analysis Report, Chapter 5: Administrative Requirements (Section 5.7 Emergency Planning) and MTS comments on the draft.
Authors	MTS
Recipients	Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Other DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The MTS authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALM.20040617.1014
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331150
Doc Date	20040607
Title	S2-1-2-2text(a)-gjs
Subject Matter Description	SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT - MULTIPLE BARRIER SECTIONS, 2.1.2 Description of the Capability of Identified Barriers
Authors	Saulnier, George / BSC /
Recipients	Dobson, David / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Goldstein, Barry / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Environmental Regulatory Compliance Monib, Ahmed M. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Senior Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE MTO contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft section
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALN.20040612.1188
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336079
Doc Date	20030624
Title	Re: Draft Licensing Positions
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns two draft licensing positions on the preclosure period duration and objectives of the performance confirmation.
Authors	Lachman, Kirk / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering /
Recipients	Gardiner, James T. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Clerk III Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Iorii, Vince / / Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Murray, Robert / MTS - Licensing Application and Strategy / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Manager Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE OCRWM official. The recipients are other YMP personnel who work on the subject matter addressed in the draft licensing positions.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what positions DOE should adopt for purposes of the licensing proceeding on the length of the preclosure period and objectives for the performance confirmation plan.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions on the recommended length of the pre-closure period.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides an opinion based on regulatory interpretation. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALN.20040612.1514
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329889
Doc Date	20031209
Title	Re: 300 years closure
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns what position DOE should adopt for the preclosure period for modeling and analysis purposes, for purposes of a Science & Technology RFP.
Authors	Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Budnitz, Robert J. / / Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Gomberg, Steve/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management/ Iorii, Vince Kiess, Thomas Kouts, Christopher A./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Peters, Mark/ BSC Repository Development - Engineered System/ Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an DOE OCRWM official. The recipients are DOE and other YMP personnel.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position DOE should adopt regarding the YM repository's preclosure period, for use in an RFP that DOE planned to issue.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions and recommendations on what design duration should be included in the RFP.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document does not contain any factual discussion. It contains the author's opinions only.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALN.20040617.0348
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337587
Doc Date	20030401

Title	Alternative Operating Scenarios 4-15-03
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the results of a system analysis of a set of hypothetical repository operating scenarios.
Authors	Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I
Recipients	Adams, Pamela/ BSC Repository Development - Event Cat & Rel Analyses/ Andrews, Robert W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Basamoniwicz, Frank/BSC Brown, Neil R. / BSC Repository Development - Metallurgical Engineers / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Cereghino, Stephen J./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Cloud, Jack/BSC DOE OCRWM Management Gardiner, James T./ BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers/ Gillespie, Scott G./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Iorii, Vince/BSC Lachman, Kirk/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Linden, Christine L. / BSC Repository Development - Tunneling Engineers / BSC Senior Engineer Obie, Valerie/BSC Pernisi, Denise A./ BSC Repository Development - Management Administrative Support/ Richardson, Dennis C./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Rowe, Gene W./ BSC Repository Development - CM-Construction Management/ Sethi, Surendra/ BSC Repository Development - Engineering Process/ Siefkin, David/BSC Skidoway, Dean/BSC Spence, Robert/BSC Traubman, Larry/BSC Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are personnel with DOE's M&O contractor who were tasked by DOE to prepare the subject document. The document was provided to DOE OCRWM management for consideration. The other named recipients are other M&O personnel who worked on the document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the selection by DOE of a repository operating scenario.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides preliminary assessments of the implications of various hypothetical repository operating scenarios, to give insight to DOE regarding the design and operating flexibility that would be needed to accommodate the scenarios. The document provides the views of DOE's M&O contractor on the hypothetical alternatives.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual section. It provides assessments of hypothetical scenarios based on sets of assumptions. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALN.20040617.2942
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331350
Doc Date	20010306
Title	Re: Uninvited comments from MCT: RE RSS document summary review
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft revised Repository Safety Strategy document.
Authors	Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Hanson, Glen T. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Principal Scientist II Murray, Robert/ MTS - Licensing Application and Strategy/ Murray, Robert / MTS - Licensing Application and Strategy / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Manager Richardson, Dennis C. / BSC Repository Development - Preclosure Safety Analyses / BSC Project Engineer Schreiner, Russell / / Sweeney, Timothy / BSC / Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Analysis / BSC Senior Principal Engineer

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official. The recipients are, variously, DOE and DOE M&O contractor personnel with responsibility for development of the referenced draft document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether and how DOE should revise the Repository Safety Strategy, in order to define objectives for the license application and strategies to achieve those objectives.
Deliberation Explanation	The document contains the text of a draft potential revision of the Repository Safety Strategy document and provides the author's subjective opinions about the form and content of the document, and his recommendations for the uses of the document.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual information. It contains a draft of a revision to the RSS and the author's subjective opinions about the form and function of the RSS. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALN.20040617.8069
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329268
Doc Date	20010109
Title	Respcmn2
Subject Matter Description	S. Hanauer has provided his comments and suggested changes for DOE's response to a Technical Review Board letter dated 9/20/00.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Lead
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance/ Cline, Mike L./ BSC Repository Development - Disruptive Events/ Craun, Richard L./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering/ Goffi, Richard/ / Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Pendleton, Martha W./ BSC Repository Development - Conversion/ Slater, Nancy / DOE/RW / Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and the recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the author's recommendations and opinions..
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document relates to the decisions on what positions ought to be taken in response to the TRB letter. Because it relates to a draft response to the TRB letter, the document is pre-decisional.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides the author's recommendations and opinions on the positions that should be taken in response to the TRB letter.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document provides the author's opinions and bases for those opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its contents would reveal the authors thought processes as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALO.20040612.5206
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337925
Doc Date	20000410
Title	Re: What to say in response to peak dose comments
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of the email is two proposed approaches in decision papers regarding the time period to be addressed in the SAR, the LA, and the EIS in terms of the compliance dose calculation.
Authors	Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / / Dixon, Paul R. / / Eslinger, Paul Horton, Donald G./ DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office/ Lester, David / SNL/Jason / Mellington, Suzanne P./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Business Support and Resource Management/ Newbury, Claudia M./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West / Skipper, Kenneth/ USGS / Smistad, Eric / DOE / OCRWM Official Spence, Dick / DOE/RW / Tynan, Mark C./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/

Wikjord, Alf/ DOE/

Williams, Dennis / DOE - OCRWM / Senior Policy Advisor; Deputy Assistant Manager for Licensing.

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are two DOE OCRWM officials. The recipients are other DOE OCRWM officials and DOE M&O contractor personnel with responsibility for assisting DOE prepared responses on the proposed peak dose regulations.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision relates to the time period to be used in the SAR, the LA, and EIS for calculating the compliance dose.
Deliberation Explanation	The email focuses on and advocates the merits of one of two particular proposed alternatives and the rationale supporting it.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The email discussion contains no segregable factual discussion or background. Its contents reflect advocacy and judgment regarding the proposed approaches and handling of work while awaiting a decision.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)

Participant Accession No.	ALO.20040617.1468
LSN Accession Number	DN2002469271
Doc Date	20001213
Title	Thoughts and documents on Op. Mode decision process
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft position paper on the selection of a process for DOE to decide between a "hot" or "cold" repository design.
Authors	Jenni, Karen
Recipients	Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Coppersmith, Kevin/ Cotton, Thomas/ TRW / M&O Contractor Falls, Kevin Greenberg, Harris/ MTS - Engineering & Preclosure Safety Analysis/

Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a YMP contractor personnel. The recipients are DOE and YMP contractor personnel who have responsibility for assisting DOE develop a position on the referenced subject matter.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what process DOE should adopt to make a decision between a "hot" or "cold" repository design.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective views and opinions on the referenced draft position paper, and makes recommendations for changes to the draft.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate fact or background section. The document relates the author's opinions, and any facts interwoven in the discussion of those opinions would reveal the author's opinions.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALQ.20040612.1780
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330379
Doc Date	20031001
Title	Re: SAR development: technical basis, NRC acceptance criteria
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the use of Technical Basis Documents as citations in the SAR.
Authors	Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Van Luik, Abraham E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy /

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are senior OCRWM officials with responsibility for development of the license application.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should cite Technical Basis Documents as support in the Safety Analysis Report.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's subjective opinions and recommendations on the topic, as well as the opinions and views of Claudia Newberry in response.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides the author's subjective views on the use of Technical Basis Documents as citations. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL

Participant Accession No.	ALQ.20040617.3669
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337364
Doc Date	20030401

Title	Alternative Operating Scenarios 4-15-03
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the result of a system study that analyzed a set of hypothetical repository operating scenarios.
Authors	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Repository Development - Integration/ Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I Siefken, David L./ BSC Repository Development - Program Integration/ Williams, Nancy H./ BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering/
Recipients	OCRWM senior officials
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are employees with the DOE M&O contractor for YMP. The document was prepared for senior DOE officials with OCRWM.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns DOE's evaluation and consideration of alternative operating scenarios for the YM repository to provide insight into the design and operational flexibility that would be needed to accommodate the scenarios.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides a set of proposed alternatives, and evaluations and recommendations concerning them.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not discuss existing facts. It describes hypothetical operating conditions and identifies issues that each poses. The document posits various assumptions and hypotheticals, and from that basis provides evaluations and recommendations. The entire document is deliberative.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALR.20040612.2089
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331865
Doc Date	20001004
Title	issue paper with line numbers
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft position paper on the extent of DOE SNF information that should be designated as data and treated under the QARD requirements.
Authors	DOE NNPP
Recipients	Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Burgoyne, Robert / MTS - Transportation / Booze Allen Hamilton (BAH) Transportation Engineer (Regulatory) Clark, Robert W. / DOE - OCRWM / Office of Quality Assurance, Director Einberg, Christian E. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development / Gunter, Timothy / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director Price, Joe C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Regulatory Interactions and Strategy Division Team Member Senderling, Mark S. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Acquisition Strategy / Acquisition Strategy Specialist Slater, Nancy / DOE / Sweeney, Timothy / BSC / Weber, Carl / DOE/OQA / York, Jim / BSC Repository Development - Planning & Program Integration / Regulatory Coordination Team Member Zabransky, Dave K. / DOE - OCRWM / Waste Acceptance & Transportation Division, Acting Director; Nuclear Utility Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is the DOE Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program. The recipients are DOE and YMP contractor personnel working on development of the draft position paper that is the subject of NNPP's comments.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what licensing position DOE should adopt regarding the extent of SNF information that should be subject to the QARD.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the NNPP's opinions and recommendations on the draft position paper, with recommendation actions that DOE could take to develop a strategy or process for resolution of the issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual section or similar fact discussion. It provides the organizational opinions of the NNPP to help inform development of the draft white paper and ultimately DOE's decision making. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALR.20040612.2223
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479131

Doc Date	20001004
Title	issue paper comments 10-4
Subject Matter Description	NSNFP COMMENTS on draft DOE SNF Licensing and Certification White Paper
Authors	Slater, Nancy / DOE /
Recipients	Gomberg, Steve / DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and the recipient are DOE OCRWM personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the reviewer's recommendations and opinions.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The draft white paper as to which the reviewers provided their opinions and recommendations addressed the position that should be taken by DOE with respect to qualification of SNF data.
Deliberation Explanation	This document sets forth the reviewers' opinions and recommendations on the positions taken in the draft white paper.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no separate fact section and cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALR.20040612.2305
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330549
Doc Date	20000714
Title	DOESNF3
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft strategy paper to address the inclusion of U.S. Department of Energy Spent Nuclear Fuel in the YM repository in light of lack of qualified characteristics data.
Authors	Slater, Nancy/DOE OCRWM
Recipients	Bailey, Jack/ TRW / M&O Contractor Broccoum, Steve Brownstein, Alan B./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Bruno, Thomas/ / Burgoyne, Robert/ MTS - Transportation/ Carlson, James/ DOE - OCRWM/ Clark, Robert W./ DOE - OCRWM/ Clouet, John / TRW / M&O Contractor Einberg, Christian E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/ Gomberg, Steve / DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management / DOE General Engineer Gunter, Timothy/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Pollog, Thomas / RW/DOE / Sweeney, Tim Zabransky, Dave K./ DOE - OCRWM/
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft strategy paper.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The immediate decision is to provide views on the draft strategy paper to effectuate changes to the proposed strategy, which ultimately concerns a proposed decision by DOE as to what strategy to adopt relative to licensing the repository to accept DOE spent nuclear fuel.
Deliberation Explanation	The draft document presents the issues, describes how the proposed strategy will solve the problem, and identifies the decisionmaking process relative to implementing that strategy.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it contains no factual section. Rather, it contains the authors' evaluative comments of a draft document prepared to advance the decisionmaking process.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALR.20040617.9870
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334957
Doc Date	20001107
Title	Re: PORB Paper for 30 years of aging
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a proposed position paper on the length of staging for fuel assemblies at the YM repository.

Authors	Cotton, Thomas / TRW / M&O Contractor
Recipients	Dulin, Robert / TRW / M&O Contractor Morton, Lee / BSC Repository Development - Environmental, Safety & Health / NEPA Strategy and Support Team Lead Skorska, Maria B. / BSC Repository Development - Project Engineers / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are various managers for the DOE M&O contractor for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns a decision on how a proposed 30 year aging period for fuel assemblies should be interpreted.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendation on the proposed interpretation and his subjective opinions for that recommendation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It provides the author's recommendation and opinions with no separate discussion of facts. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALS.20040617.9713
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333474
Doc Date	19961209
Title	SHHCOM
Subject Matter Description	(1) criticality evaluations; (2) probability of criticality events; (3) conservatism; and (4) contingency planning.
Authors	Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are two DOE OCRWM officials.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This memorandum provides comments and recommendations on four issue papers that the M&O contractor has not yet presented to DOE for its consideration.
Deliberation Explanation	S. Hanauer provides his comments and recommendations on four issues to be provided to DOE for its consideration: (1) criticality evaluations; (2) probability of criticality events; (3) conservatism; and (4) contingency planning. This document presents the personal opinions and views of the author and does not reflect the DOE's position on these issues.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Participant Accession No.	ALU.20040617.8433
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479243
Doc Date	19990512
Title	PRIORI61
Subject Matter Description	Proposed model for and initial start on anticipated reprioritization of work from that in Vol. 4 of the Viability Assessment
Authors	Van Luik, Abraham E./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / / Benton, Hugh/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Waste Management/ Bovardsson, Bo / LLNL / Boyle, William J/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Cline, Mike L./ TRW / M&O Contractor Craw, Richard Cushey, Mark / DOE - CRWMS / Dobson, David/ / Eddebarh, Al / SNL / Fish, Robert/ MTS - Engineering/ George, Stephen / TRW / M&O Contractor Gil, April V./ DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/ Greenberg, Harris/ MTS - Engineering & Preclosure Safety Analysis/ Hanauer, Stephen H./ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Hanlon, Carol/ DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/ Harrington, Paul G./ DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer/

Hayes, Larry / USGS & TRW / M&O Contractor
 Hayes, Larry / USGS & TRW / M&O Contractor
 Henning, Roger J. / /
 Hoxie, Dwight / /
 King, Jerry L. / /
 Lum, Clinton C. / /
 Mukhopadhyay, Bimal / SNL /
 Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development/
 Nutt, Mark/ Golder Associates/
 Oberlander, Phil
 Patterson, Russ / DOE/RW /
 Peters, Mark / /
 Richardson, Dennis C. / /
 Rickertsen, Larry D. / /
 Rogers, Ralph D. / TRW / M&O Contractor
 Scott, Michael L. / TRW / M&O Contractor
 Simmons, Ardyth / Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Analyst/Modeler, Scientific
 Sullivan, Mary Anne/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney
 Tsang, Yvonne / DOE - CRWMS /
 Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy/
 Voegele, Michael D. / /
 Wang, Joe / Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) Preclosure Design Integration Team Member
 Weber, Ellyn / Innovations /
 Wemheuer, Robert / TRW / M&O Contractor
 Wilder, L
 Wilkjord, Alf / TRW/AECL / M&O Contractor
 Zwahlen, Eric / TRW / M&O Contractor

Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are all Yucca Mountain Project personnel with responsibility for work product that must be prioritized for purposes of preparation of the site recommendation and the License Application.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The anticipated decision relates to the prioritization of work for the Site Recommendation and the License Application.
Deliberation Explanation	The document discusses and evaluates factors affecting the prioritization of work for the SR and LA.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document contains no factual information section, such that any factual information is interwoven with the discussion and evaluation of the proposed work reprioritization.
Doc Type	DATA EMAIL-ATT LIST REPORT
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	ALZ.20040617.1851
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331798
Doc Date	20030604
Title	Re: J. King Memo of 6/02 "First Cut - Licensing Position"
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft licensing position on the limiting event for seismic analysis.
Authors	King, Jerry L. / BSC Repository Development - Site Recommendation / BSC Project Manager
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / BSC Senior Project Manager Coleman, Drew H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Coppersmith, K. / / Coppersmith Consulting (2000-present) Lindner, Ernest N. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Orvis, Douglas D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Geologists / BSC Principal Engineer I Pernisi, Richard /DOE- OCRWM/ Quittmeyer, Richard C. / BSC Repository Development / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Richardson, Dennis C. / BSC Repository Development - Project Engineers / BSC Project Engineer Savino, John / DOE- OCRWM / Stepp, Carl / BSC / Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are YMP personnel with responsibility for the subject matter of the draft position paper discussed in the document.

Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position DOE should adopt for the licensing proceeding with respect to the regulatory intent of 10 CFR 63.102(f) regarding the limits for seismic initiating events.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides a draft licensing position on the referenced topic and provides the author's opinions and recommendations concerning edits.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate factual discussion. It discusses a draft discussion of regulatory requirements. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important. The document also provides subjective interpretations of regulatory requirements. Disclosure of its contents would reveal internal deliberations about those requirements, and by comparison with DOE's final licensing positions would allow reconstruction of DOE's internal deliberations about what agency position to adopt on those requirements.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Participant Accession No.	ALZ.20040617.2818
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330666
Doc Date	20030602
Title	Re: First Cut - Licensing Position
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is comments on a draft licensing position paper addressing the parameters for considering seismic initiating events under applicable regulations.
Authors	King, Jerry L. / BSC Repository Development - Site Recommendation / BSC Project Manager
Recipients	Coleman, Drew H. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Facility Operations / Site Operations Team Physical Scientist Coppersmith, K. / / Coppersmith Consulting, probabilistic seismic hazard analysis Lindner, Ernest N. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Orvis, Douglas D. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Pendleton, Martha W. / BSC Repository Development - Geologists / BSC Principal Engineer I Pernisi, Richard / BSC / Quittmeyer, Richard C. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / Integrated Science Solutions, Inc. (ISSI) Principal Scientist Richardson, Dennis C. / BSC Repository Development - Project Engineers / BSC Project Engineer Savino, John / MTS/BAH / Wisenburg, Mark R. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE and DOE M&O contractor personnel who work on the YMP and have responsibility for preparation of the subject document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The expected decision relates to selection of initiating events for the preclosure safety analysis.
Deliberation Explanation	The document evaluates the regulatory language governing consideration of initiating events for the preclosure safety analysis as well as the probability of seismic initiating events and the impact of both on repository design.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is no factual section to this email. Rather, the author's analysis and evaluation are interwoven through the email discussion.
Doc Type	EMAIL
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	DOC.20031006.0002
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468864
Doc Date	20030829
Title	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE WASTE FORMS: PHASE 2 REPORT, PRE-DECISIONAL STUDY, TDR-CRW-MD-000004, REVISION 00 (C)
Subject Matter Description	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVE WASTE FORMS: PHASE 2 REPORT, PRE-DECISIONAL STUDY, TDR-CRW-MD-000004, REVISION 00 (C). This is a systems study of waste forms for possible disposal at YM.
Authors	Duguid, James O. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / (JKRA) Principal LOLCAMA, J / N/A / Loo, Henry H. / BSC Repository Development - Total System Performance Assessment / Idaho National Engineering MORISSETTE, R / N/A / Ruffin, Steve R. / BSC Repository Development / Program Integration Safeguards Schmid, Stephen / BSC Repository Development - Transportation / SENGER, R / N/A / Siefken, David L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / PMC Technologies, Inc. VP, Program Director STARMER, J / N/A / TAYLOR, L / N/A / Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / Manager of Projects
Recipients	DOE ORD

Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are personnel working at the YMP with responsibility for evaluating waste forms for potential disposal at YM.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP decision information includes an analysis of different waste forms for possible disposal at YM.
Deliberation Explanation	The deliberation focuses on the different forms of waste that may be included for disposal at YM and the impact that has regarding the final design of the project.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	HQO.19900321.0070
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470435
Doc Date	19890802
Title	APPROVAL OF RESPONSE TO SENATOR BRYAN'S LETTER OF JULY 18, 1989
Subject Matter Description	The memorandum concerns a proposed response to Senator Bryan regarding concerns over the potential vulcanism at the Yucca Mountain site and a recommendation for interim dry storage at reactor sites.
Authors	Rouso, Samuel / DOE OCRWM / Acting Director
Recipients	Secretary of Energy
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipient are officials in the management of DOE with responsibility for the subject matter addressed in the document.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what position DOE should take in response to an U.S. Senator's queries regarding vulcanism and interim on-site storage.
Deliberation Explanation	The document is deliberative because it proposes a position with respect to the subjects of the Senator's query and provides the author's opinions why the Secretary should approve that position.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It contains a statement of a proposed position and the author's opinion of why the position should be approved.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.19970917.0205
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333697
Doc Date	19900807
Title	WHITE PAPER ON WATER WAIVERS
Subject Matter Description	Memorandum considering request related to exclusion of interstitial water from crane hook weight limits for rail/barge shipping casks
Authors	Henry, Ken / INEEL / DOE
Recipients	Fisher, Margaret / DOE / Sandwina, Ron / DOE /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are DOE officials writing on the referenced position paper.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision is whether to grant request of cask design contractors to meet the utility crane hook weight limit of 100 tons with an assumption that interstitial water with the cask is removed prior to lifting the cask from the spent fuel loading pool.
Deliberation Explanation	The memorandum discusses the advantages and disadvantages of granting the requested water waiver and other factors impacting the decision and makes recommendations on what position DOE should adopt.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The memorandum does not contain a statement of facts. Rather, the memorandum is almost entirely the analysis of the author of the advantages, disadvantages, and other impacts on granting the requested water waiver. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.19991117.0121
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334374
Doc Date	19990602
Title	PROJECT OPERATIONS REVIEW BOARD (PORB) MINUTES, ACTIONS AND ATTACHMENTS ON JUNE 2, 1999 (C)
Subject Matter Description	This packet contains records regarding issues for PORB consideration, including the criteria for determining the level of design needed to support construction authorization and related supporting documentation and necessary work force levels.
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Acting Director Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Richardson, Dennis C. / TRW / M&O Contractor

Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West /

Spence, Dick / DOE/RW /

Recipients	PORB members
Author Recipient Relationship	These are personnel on the YMP with knowledge or responsibility for assessing the level of design necessary to support construction authorization and related documentation and necessary field staffing levels.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This package or records provides data to assess the evaluative criteria for level of design needed for construction authorization and related documentation and necessary field staffing.
Deliberation Explanation	This package is part of the decision-making process for determining the level of design needed for construction authorization and related documentation and necessary field staffing.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. The document provides the author's opinions and the bases for the opinions and recommendations. Disclosure of its content would reveal the author's thought process, as to the author's opinions and what information the author considered important.
Doc Type	ADMINISTRATION
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20000830.0204
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335875
Doc Date	20000801
Title	TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR PROJECT OPERATIONS REVIEW BOARD (PORB) MEETING MINUTES AND ACTIONS, MEETING AGENDA, AND ATTACHMENTS DATED JULY 12, 2000 (C)
Subject Matter Description	The records package contains position papers on various topics on which decisions must ultimately be made regarding the project, including the use of conservative assumptions and bounding analysis in the TSPA, timeframes for use in the TSPA, and groundwater requirements.
Authors	Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / Mellington, Suzanne P. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Execution / Lead Nesbitt, C. Jack III / M&O Contractor Program Planning and Control / Manager Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West /
Recipients	Kozai, Wayne / DOE - Office of Project Control - Site Characterization Office/
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipient are YMP personnel involved in the decision-making for topics covered in this records package or for the PORB scope related to these topics.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP decision information includes the analysis of data supporting the stated recommendations for conservative assumptions and bounding analysis in the TSPA, timeframes in the TSPA, groundwater requirements.
Deliberation Explanation	The deliberations relates to a consideration of the recommended positions on conservative assumptions and bounding analysis used in the TSPA, timeframes used in the TSPA, and groundwater requirements.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	ADMINISTRATION PACKAGE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20001228.0046
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333773
Doc Date	20001204
Title	E-MAIL FOR DRAFT PROB POSITION PAPER WITH ATTACHMENTS (C)
Subject Matter Description	The email concerns a draft position paper on the selection of the representative low temperature operating mode.
Authors	Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development /
Recipients	Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM / Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Director Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Dulin, Robert / DOE - OCRWM / Falls, Andrew / DOE - OCRWM / Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM / Horton, Donald G. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Quality Assurance Director Mellington, Suzanne P. / DOE - OCRWM Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office / Project Execution Lead Stroupe, Woody / DOE - OCRWM / Tynan, Mark C. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Physical Scientist
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is an OCRWM official. The recipients are other YMP personnel who were working on the referenced draft position paper.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The white paper that is the subject of the email was being prepared to enable DOE to decide which representative low temperature operating mode to adopt for the YM repository.

Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's assessment of the existing draft position paper and issues that the author recommends should be addressed in the paper.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any segregable factual content. It has no separate factual section, and the discussion of the author's opinions does not contain any factual content that can be meaningfully separated.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20010723.0049
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330810
Doc Date	20010601
Title	RECORDS PACKAGE TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR PROJECT OPERATIONS REVIEW BOARD (PORB) MEETING MINUTES AND ACTIONS, MEETING AGENDA, AND ATTACHMENTS DATED JANUARY 24, 2001 (C)
Subject Matter Description	These PORB records related to a TDL addressing SR strategy in general and a related corrected EIS work scope table. They also address YM Climate Technical Support and Water Level Measurements in Boreholes.
Authors	Adams, J. Adams, Pamela / BSC Repository Development - Event Cat & Rel Analyses / Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West /
Recipients	PORB
Author Recipient Relationship	These are YM personnel involved in the decision-making or the process leading to the decision-making for SR strategy and tasks related to YM Climate Technical Support and Water Level Measurements in Boreholes.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	These records provide information to the PORB regarding tasks to complete and also relate to the formulation and timing of a TDL regarding SR strategy.
Deliberation Explanation	The PORB had to address what tasks to implement and decided to go forward with YM Climate Technical Support and Water Level Measurements in Boreholes. The PORB also had to address the timing and content of a TDL regarding SR strategy.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	ADMINISTRATION PACKAGE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20010723.0050
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337343
Doc Date	20010601
Title	RECORDS PACKAGE TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR PROJECT OPERATIONS REVIEW BOARD (PORB) MEETING MINUTES AND ACTIONS, MEETING AGENDA, AND ATTACHMENTS DATED FEBRUARY 7, 2001 (C)
Subject Matter Description	This records package addressed matter considered by the PORB including SR strategy and integrated management system improvements.
Authors	Adams, Jerri / DOE / Brocoum, Stephan J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Licensing & Regulatory Compliance / DOE Director Hamilton-Ray, Birdie / Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM / Lugo, Michael / BSC Repository Development / Mellington, Suzanne P. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Execution / Lead Newbury, Claudia M. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Physical Scientist Royer, Dennis / DOE/RW West / Schrecongost, Jill / DOE/RW / Trebules, Victor / Wells, Bob /
Recipients	PORB
Author Recipient Relationship	There are YMP personnel responsible for the decision-making or supporting the decision-making regarding SR strategy and integrated management system improvements.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP decision information is data and analysis regarding decisions to be made regarding SR strategy and integrated management system improvements.
Deliberation Explanation	The PORB was involved in the decision-making process regarding YMP issues including the issues addressed by the records in this package: SR strategy and integrated management system improvements.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	ADMINISTRATION PACKAGE

Participant Accession No.	MOL.20020131.0298
LSN Accession Number	DN2002479231
Doc Date	20011003
Title	FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR A GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY FOR THE DISPOSAL OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL AND HIGH LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN, NYE COUNTY, NEVADA, CORE TEAM COMMENTS, OCTOBER 3, 2001 THROUGH OCTOBER 17, 2001 (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document provides the departmental views of the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program on the preliminary draft FEIS.
Authors	The document is the consolidated comments of the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program
Recipients	The document was provided to personnel on the DOE core team who had responsibility for responding to the NNPP comments.
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors of this document are NNPP personnel, and was provided to DOE personnel who had responsibility for the FEIS.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns the form and content of the draft FEIS.
Deliberation Explanation	The document is deliberative because it provides the departmental opinions and recommendations of the NNPP to DOE, via an inter-agency communication, on what to state in the FEIS and how to state it.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document is a series of comments on specific lines in the draft FEIS. There is no separate factual discussion, and the disclosure of any sentence would identify an opinion of the NNPP on the draft. Also attached is a copy of the preliminary draft FEIS with marginalia. That portion of the document is excludable from the LSN per the Subpart J regulations.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	Privacy
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20020429.0312
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332115
Doc Date	20020408
Title	DESIGN EVOLUTION STUDY: THERMAL OPERATING METHODOLOGY, TDR-MGR-TH-000001, REVISION 00, APPROVED (C)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is a study of the ability of the repository to operate over a varying range of thermal modes and temperatures.
Authors	ANDERSON MJ / N/A / ANDREWS RW / N/A / MARR H / N/A / MITCHELL TL / N/A / TRAUTNER LJ / N/A /
Recipients	YMP Project Personnel with responsibility over the development of this subject matter area
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are OCRWM's M&O contractors and the recipients are YMP project personnel who have responsibility over this subject matter.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The proposed decision is the selection of a thermal operating methodology to allow management of repository temperature.
Deliberation Explanation	The study sets forth its assumptions and the potential parameters of various operating methodologies. It discussing the alternatives and makes a recommendation.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Although there are assumptions set forth in the study, these are not set forth as factual information and the study did not undertake a factual verification or recitation. The document's focus is on the parameters of various alternatives.
Doc Type	DESIGN DOCUMENT
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20020506.0770
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329945
Doc Date	20011107
Title	EMAIL FROM JERRY BURFORD FOR 7.5-3 REVISION OOBMART--REVISION 00C WITH ANALYSIS FEATURES OF THE BIOSPHERE MODEL WHITE PAPER, 07.05-03 FOR POSTING TO THE STORYBOARD (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document is a white paper that concerns the validity of DOE's then biosphere model.
Authors	Burford, Jerry / LLNL / Schmitt, John F.
Recipients	Lechel, Leigh
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are employees of DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document was prepared at DOE's request to inform DOE's decision whether to make changes to its biosphere model for the repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's opinions on whether the biosphere model correctly reflects potential radiation exposure in the future and provides the author's opinions on what positions DOE should take in response to various concerns that have or may be raised in the future. The document conveys the subjective views of the author for the purpose informing DOE's decisionmaking.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R.

	2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	The document does not have a separate factual discussion. The document identifies a series of potential concerns that might be raised in the licensing proceeding and provides the author's opinions on those topics and suggested response to them. Disclosure of the contents would reveal the author's subjective assessments about future concerns and his opinions regarding them.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE REPORT REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20020925.0343
LSN Accession Number	DN2002338155
Doc Date	20020809
Title	CONTRACT NUMBER DE-AC28-01RW12101 - TECHNICAL DIRECTION LETTER - TDL NUMBER 02-003 (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a proposed design study to recommend repository design options to support receipt and/or placement of various materials at Yucca Mountain.
Authors	Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering /
Recipients	Adams, JJ / DOE Anderson, Haywood S./ BSC Repository Development - Engineering Systems & Disruptive Events Operations/ Bailey, JN / BSC Benz, Edward F. / BSC Repository Development Bokhari, SA / DOE Brocoum, Stephan J/ DOE Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - License Application / Cotton, Thomas / BSC Hajner, RS / BSC Hamilton-Ray, Birdie / DOE Harbert, KR / BSC Harrington, Paul G. / DOE Iorii, VF / DOE Mellington [Riddle - maiden], Suzanne P. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Execution / Miller, WB / DOE Moller, Collin / BSC Ridolfi, DM / DOE Riling, George / DOE Robinson, Ricky / BSC Rowe, Gene W. / BSC Repository Development - Nuclear Facilities Engineering / Senderling, Mark S. / DOE Siefken, David L./ BSC Spence, RE / DOE Stroupe, EP / BSC Trautner, Larry J. / BSC Von Der Linden, DD / DOE Waisley, Sandra/DOE-Office of Program Management and Administration, OCRWM WAISLEY SL / DOE / Weaver, EM / BSC Wells, WH / BSC Williams, Jeffrey R. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Acceptance Transportation and Integration / Zabransky, Dave K. / DOE Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	This is letter transmitting an evaluation report from DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP to DOE for review and consideration.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The documents relates to DOE's decision on which emplacement procedures to adopt for the Yucca Mountain repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document is deliberative because it sets forth several potential emplacement scenarios and provides BSC's assessment and recommendations regarding them. The evaluations additionally provide schedule projections and associated budgets for each scenario.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not discuss any existing facts. It poses assumptions and scenarios and then evaluates the schedules and costs that might be associated with each. There is no factual content as such to redact.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE REPORT
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20040401.0215

LSN Accession Number	DN2002333231
Doc Date	20040301
Title	BSC LICENSING POSITION, DATA QUALIFICATION
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a draft licensing position that DOE had under consideration regarding the application and interpretation of NUREG-1298, "Qualification of Existing Data for High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository," for data used in engineering and scientific work products for the YMP.
Authors	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment / BSC Senior Project Manager LAIG Lucas, L.L. / BSC / Mason, M.J. / BSC / Whitcraft J.S. / BSC / Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development / BSC Manager of Projects Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / BSC Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The author and recipients are management within DOE's M&O contractor for the Yucca Mountain Project. These personnel had responsibility for development of the license application and needed to be informed of potential positions that DOE might adopt for the licensing proceeding. A copy was provided to the DOE License Application Integration Group for decision.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns what interpretation DOE should adopt for the licensing proceeding with respect to the requirements of NUREG-1298 for data qualification.
Deliberation Explanation	The attachment to the transmittal memo provides a statement of a proposed licensing position and a justification for its potential adoption.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It provides proposed regulatory interpretations.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20040510.0172
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335740
Doc Date	20040324
Title	BSC LICENSING POSITION, RECYCLING OF RADIONUCLIDES AT THE LOCATION OF THE REASONABLY MAXIMALLY EXPOSED INDIVIDUAL
Subject Matter Description	Recommendation for position that DOE should take in the licensing proceeding regarding recycling of radionuclides at the location of the REMI.
Authors	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing /Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Performance Assessment /Senior Project Manager LUCAS L.L. / BSC MASON M.J. / BSC WHITCRAFT J.S. / BSC Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development /Manager of Projects Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer /Manager of Technology I
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are all personnel with DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns DOE's decision whether to consider the effect of recycling of water at the location of the REMI for purposes of determining compliance with the individual protection standard at 10 C.F.R. 63.311.
Deliberation Explanation	The document was prepared at DOE's request to make a recommendation on what DOE's position in the licensing proceeding should be on the subject topic. The document provides BSC's recommendation and the reasons for BSC's opinion.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It is an analysis of regulatory requirements and opinions about the meanings of those requirements. The document is deliberative in its entirety.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0008
LSN Accession Number	DN2002334684
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.02, SURFACE STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, AND COMPONENTS AND OPERATIONAL PROCESS ACTIVITIES (C)
Subject Matter Description	Document provides YMP Personnel comments on Draft LA Section SAR 1.02.
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for subject SAR section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for subject SAR section
Author Recipient Relationship	Author and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for subject SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	DOE employees and contractors providing comments and recommendations on form and comment of draft LA-SAR

	prior to submittal to NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the author's recommendations and analysis concerning the then current position taken by the DOE in this draft LA-SAR.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0009
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330620
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.02.01, SURFACE OPERATIONS OVERVIEW (C)
Subject Matter Description	This document is a draft section of the SAR: SAR-01.02.01, SURFACE OPERATIONS OVERVIEW, and contains reviewer comments on this draft section.
Authors	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager Hammermeister, Heather L. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II Junio, Stacy S. / BSC Repository Development - Radiation Programs / BSC Program Health Physicist Kumar, Paul A. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Senior Engineering Specialist Slovic, Robert C. / BSC Repository Development - Engineering Production / BSC Project Engineer Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees, contractors, and outside counsel responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and contents.
Deliberation Explanation	The author's are providing recommendations, opinions, and legal advice on the proposed licensing positions in and the form and content of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0019
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331755
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.02.07, MISCELLANEOUS PLANT FACILITIES (C)
Subject Matter Description	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.02.07, MISCELLANEOUS PLANT FACILITIES (C) (Preliminary Draft, Yucca Mountain Safety Analysis Report)
Authors	Lydic, Margaret J. / BSC Repository Development - Document Development & Production / BSC Technical Writer/Editor II
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is with DOE's M&O contractor for the Yucca Mountain Project. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors who have responsibility for the draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document provides comments and recommendations on draft LA chapter 1.2.7 proposing changes to that section to assist DOE in preparation of filing its license application.
Deliberation Explanation	The document reflects information that DOE relies upon in deciding the final contents of the license application.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0024
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336221
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.02, GENERAL SUBSURFACE DESIGN CONSIDERATION (C)

Subject Matter Description	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.02, GENERAL SUBSURFACE DESIGN CONSIDERATION (C)
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and positions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of the draft SAR section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0031
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331453
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.04, SUBSURFACE FACILITY-EMPLACEMENT AREAS (C)
Subject Matter Description	Draft LA Section: SAR 01.03.04 Subsurface Facility-Emplacement Areas
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR Section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR Section
Author Recipient Relationship	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR Section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA Section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft LA Section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0034
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335884
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.05, SUBSURFACE VENTILATION (C)
Subject Matter Description	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.05, SUBSURFACE VENTILATION (C)
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft SAR section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of the draft SAR subject.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-client to attorney; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0036
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335729
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.08, SUBSURFACE VENTILATION (C)
Subject Matter Description	This document concerns the subsurface ventilation system that would support the operation of the subsurface repository.

Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in, and the form and content of, this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0038
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335015
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.03.10, PERFORMANCE CONFIRMATION FACILITIES (C)
Subject Matter Description	PROPOSED PERFORMANCE CONFIRMATION FACILITIES
Authors	Appel, Gordon / BSC / SNL sub
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA (or SAR) sections/ /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0049
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332035
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.05.02, GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF WASTE PACKAGES AND THEIR COMPONENTS (C)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is a draft SAR section: SAR-01.05.02 (General Description of Waste Package and Its Components), and contains comments provided by project personnel responsible for developing this portion of the license application.
Authors	Project personnel who have responsibility over the subject matter of this draft SAR
Recipients	Project personnel who have responsibility over the subject matter of this draft SAR
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are project personnel knowledgeable in the subject matter of this draft SAR section and are providing comments for consideration to other project personnel responsible for developing this portion of the license application.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is a part of DOE's decision-making process in determining the positions it will take on SAR section 1.5.2 as well as the information that should be included in this section. The DOE has yet to decide the ultimate positions it will take in this SAR section and what information should be included in this section.
Deliberation Explanation	The document reflects the analysis and recommendations, provided by project personnel responsible for developing the draft SAR section 1.5.2, regarding the licensing positions that DOE should take in this section of the SAR as well as the content and form of this draft section of the license application.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0080
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330833
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-02.03.07, WASTE FORM DEGRADATION AND IN-DRIFT RADIONUCLIDE TRANSPORT (C)

Subject Matter Description	Draft SAR section 2.03.07 with comments from B. Andrews.
Authors	Andrews, Robert W. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Senior Project Manager
Recipients	Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / BSC Senior Project Manager Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing Defense / BSC Manager of Technology I Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Author Recipient Relationship	The recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the development of the draft LA/SAR that is the subject of BSC Senior Project member's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The comments provided in this document relate the information and licensing strategy that will be contained in the final Safety Analysis Report. DOE has yet to finalize the draft SAR/LA, and has yet to decide on the ultimate positions it will take in the final SAR/LA.
Deliberation Explanation	The comments provided by R. Andrews contain his recommendations and analysis on the licensing strategy and assertions contained in the draft SAR/LA. Releasing this type of information would reveal the ongoing deliberations within OCRWM.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0085
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330329
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-02.03.10, BIOSPHERE TRANSPORT AND EXPOSURE (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document is the draft SAR section 2.3.10 (Biosphere Transport and Exposure), and also contains comments on this draft SAR section.
Authors	Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Rautenstrauch, Kurt R. / BSC Repository Development - Environmental Scientist/Engineer / BSC Senior Environmental Scientist/Engineer Yunker, Jean L. / BSC Repository Development - Chief Science Officer / BSC Manager of Technology I Ziegler, Joseph/DOE OCRWM/Licensing Director
Recipients	YMP Personnel responsible for development of SAR
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors are YMP personnel responsible for development of the subject LA/SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document precedes the final version of the LA/SAR that has yet to be filed with the NRC. The document contains comments on form and content of the draft LA/SAR.
Deliberation Explanation	The information contained in this document reveals the draft content of the SAR, and contains the authors' recommendations and analysis concerning the then-current positions DOE has taken in this draft.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0092
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330436
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-05.00, ADMINISTRATIVE AND PROGRAMMATIC REQUIREMENTS (C)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is section 5 of the draft SAR (Administrative and Programmatic Requirements), and the document conveys comments on that draft section.
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the draft SAR section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the draft SAR section
Author Recipient Relationship	The comments are prepared by project personnel knowledgeable regarding this section of the draft LA to project personnel responsible for preparing the LA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	These comments relate to the DOE's decision-making process concerning the information and positions that DOE will take in the SAR about the Administrative and Programmatic Requirements. YMP personnel responsible for preparing SAR section 5 will use the analysis reflected in these comments to develop SAR section 5.
Deliberation Explanation	The comments provided relate to the content and form of this draft SAR section for consideration and response by project personnel responsible for developing the LA. The comments contained herein reflect the give and take within

	the YMP project on the final positions that DOE will take in the SAR that is ultimately filed with the NRC.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this document with the final version of DOE's SAR would reveal positions, information and other matters DOE considered and rejected as part of its deliberations.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0093
LSN Accession Number	DN2002335865
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-05.00, MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the draft LA section addressing management systems to be utilized to control activities to ensure that facility is designed, constructed, operated and closed in accordance with the LA.
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in, and the form and content of, this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0103
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331458
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-05.06, PLANS AND PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCT OF NORMAL ACTIVITIES INCLUDING OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, SURVEILLANCE, AND PERIODIC TESTING (C)
Subject Matter Description	This document concerns the proposed plans and procedures for conducting normal activities at the YM repository,
Authors	YMP Personnel responsible for development of section 5.6 of the draft LA
Recipients	YMP Personnel responsible for development of section 5.6 of the draft LA
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE and DOE contractor personnel responsible for development of section 5.6 of the draft LA.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is the draft SAR/LA, and contains comments on this draft SAR section related to form and content. It is not the final version of the draft SAR/LA and, thus, DOE has not determined what final positions it will take in the draft LA/SAR.
Deliberation Explanation	This version of the draft LA/SAR contains recommendations and analysis on the licensing application and changes that should be made to the draft document. It reveals the give and take occurring within OCRWM concerning the development of the LA/SAR.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050524.0114
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337502
Doc Date	20050523
Title	REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.05, WASTE FORM AND WASTE PACKAGE (C)
Subject Matter Description	This document is the draft SAR section 1.05, and contains comments on the draft section.
Authors	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors and recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is the draft SAR/LA, and contains comments on this draft SAR section related to form and content. It is not the final version of the draft SAR/LA and, thus, DOE has not determined what final positions it will take in the draft LA/SAR.
Deliberation Explanation	Provides the authors' recommendations and opinions on the proposed positions, and draft form and content on this

	draft SAR section. Revealing this information would disclose the positions that DOE has not yet decided it will take in this section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0019
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329903
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.04.01, ELECTRIC POWER (C)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter of this document is the electric power section (01.04.01) of the SAR, and it contains attorney comments on this section.
Authors	Licensing attorneys knowledgeable about draft SAR section 1.4.1 N/A / N/A /
Recipients	Project personnel responsible for developing SAR section 1.4.1
Author Recipient Relationship	Licensing attorneys provided these comments on the draft SAR section to project personnel responsible for developing licensing strategy and the license application.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This document is a part of DOE's decision-making process in determining what positions and information will be included in SAR section 1.4.1.
Deliberation Explanation	This document provides licensing attorneys' opinions, advice, and recommendations on what positions should be taken in this draft section of the SAR as well as what form and content should be included in this section. The draft document and the comments contain herein do not reflect DOE's official licensing positions, which will not be decided until the DOE files its LA/SAR with the NRC.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0022
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337225
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.04.04, PLANT SERVICES (C)
Subject Matter Description	Document provides Attorney Review Comments on Draft SAR Section 01.04.04 (Plant Services).
Authors	N/A / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Project personnel responsible for developing SAR section 1.4.4
Author Recipient Relationship	Comments by Hunton & Williams licensing attorneys to project personnel responsible for preparing the licensing application.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Attorney providing recommendation on how to modify the draft LA-SAR prior to the submittal to the NRC. The information contained in this document relates to DOE's ongoing decision-making process about what licensing positions and information should be included in the LA/SAR that will eventually be filed with the NRC.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the outside counsel's legal analysis and advice concerning the draft SAR.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; LWP-prepared by attorney (FACT); LWP-prepared by attorney (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0025
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332969
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.05.02, GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF WASTE PACKAGES AND THEIR COMPONENTS (C)
Subject Matter Description	Counsel's comments on draft SAR section 1.5.2
Authors	DOE Office of General Counsel Hunton & Williams LLP

Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section
Author Recipient Relationship	Licensing attorneys are conveying their comments to DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject draft SAR section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorneys are providing their legal opinions, recommendations on the proposed licensing positions, and form and content of this draft SAR section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-client to attorney
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0026
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336584
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.06, IDENTIFICATION OF HAZARDS ANALYSIS AND INITIATING EVENTS (C)
Subject Matter Description	IDENTIFICATION OF HAZARDS ANALYSIS AND INITIATING EVENTS
Authors	Beard, Kristina / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are DOE's OGC and outside counsel attorneys and the recipients are DOE employees and contractors with responsibility for the subject draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing recommendations and opinion on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0027
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331524
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.07, EVENT SEQUENCES (C)
Subject Matter Description	This section concerns the methodology for identifying, quantify, and categorizing the internal and external hazards and initiating events.
Authors	Beasley, Amanda / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Biggs, Jo Ann / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Hellstrom, George / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / Attorney Reynolds, Myles / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Craun, Richard L. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Project Management and Engineering / Acting Director Zaccone, Charlotte A. / MTS - Engineering / Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Team Lead
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are attorneys with the DOE OGC who work on YMP matters and with DOE's outside licensing counsel for the YMP. The recipients are a DOE employee and contractor responsible for preparation of the draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The attorneys are providing recommendations and opinions on the proposed licensing positions in, and the form and content of, the draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what

to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0031
LSN Accession Number	DN2002336568
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-01.11, PLANS FOR RETRIEVAL AND ALTERNATE STORAGE OF RADIOACTIVE WASTES (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns proposed approaches for retrieval and alternate storage of radioactive wastes.
Authors	Attorneys in the DOE Office of General Counsel and H&W
Recipients	DOE employees and contractors responsible for the subject LA section
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are DOE's in-house counsel who work in YMP matters and outside counsel for the YMP licensing proceeding. The recipients are DOE employees and contractors responsible for the preparation of the draft LA section.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The attorneys are providing their recommendations and advice on the proposed licensing positions in, and the form and content of, the draft LA section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0034
LSN Accession Number	DN2002330489
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-02.01, REPOSITORY SAFETY AFTER PERMANENT CLOSURE (C)
Subject Matter Description	Attorney Comments and correspondence regarding draft SAR Chapter 2 Section 2.1: Rev. 00, Draft A Chapter Review
Authors	DiNunzio, Nick P. / DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC) / DOE Attorney Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP /
Recipients	Brooks, Joan / Cereghino, Stephen J. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing / BSC Senior Project Manager Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Nuclear Engineer
Author Recipient Relationship	Authors are DOE OGC and outside attorneys responsible for the subject draft LA Sections. Recipients are YMP personnel responsible for the draft LA section that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	Licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA Section, and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	The authors are providing legal advice and analysis on the proposed licensing positions in and form and content of this draft LA Section.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.

Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client; ACP-discusses attorneys advice
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050526.0044
LSN Accession Number	DN2002333290
Doc Date	20050523
Title	ATTORNEY REVIEW COMMENTS FOR LA SECTION: SAR-02.03.08, RADIONUCLIDE TRANSPORT IN THE UNSATURATED ZONE (C)
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is attorney comments on the draft SAR section: SAR-02.03.08, RADIONUCLIDE TRANSPORT IN THE UNSATURATED ZONE (C)
Authors	Fillmore, Robert M. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney Perkins, Shelby/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/

	Rasmussen, Kirk D. / Hunton & Williams, LLP / Attorney
Recipients	Kane, Daniel W. / DOE - OCRWM Office of License Application & Strategy /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are licensing counsel providing comments to project personnel responsible for developing the license application. The recipient is a DOE official responsible for preparation of the draft LA section that is the subject of counsel's advice.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The DP decision information is licensing attorney comments on the draft SAR for consideration. They relate to content and form.
Deliberation Explanation	The licensing attorney comments are provided to project personnel responsible for developing the license application to consider and respond to. The comments relate to the content and form of the draft document.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because any factual information contained therein is so interwoven with the privileged information that disclosure of the factual information necessarily would result in disclosure of the privileged information. Comparison of this draft with the final version of the LA would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050810.0068
LSN Accession Number	DN2002329354
Doc Date	20050803
Title	CONTRACT NUMBER DE-AC28-01RW12101 - RESPONSE TO TDL NUMBER 05-022, DRAFT ASSESSMENT OF VIABILITY OF UTILIZING OPERATIONAL LIMITATIONS (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns the viability of utilizing operational limitations in conjunction with the then current, non-inerted facility baseline configurations to preclude the occurrence of fuel oxidation in fuel assemblies to be handled at the Yucca Mountain repository.
Authors	Mitchell, John (Jr.) T. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BCS President and General Manager
Recipients	Arthur, John, III / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Deputy Director Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / (BAA) Program Manager Bunch, D. F. / BSC / Golan, P.M. / DOE / Hamilton-Ray, B.V. / DOE / McCullough, M.G. / BSC / Powers, K.W. / DOE / Schilismann, D.J. / BSC / Tosetti, R.J. / BSC /
Author Recipient Relationship	The author of the letter was the head of DOE's M&O contractor for Yucca Mountain. The letter was addressed to the then deputy director of OCRWM.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document relates to a contemplated decision by DOE whether to place operational limits on the thermal power of the materials to be handled at the repository.
Deliberation Explanation	BSC prepared the document at DOE's request, to inform DOE's decision on the referenced topic. The letter provides BSC's recommendations on the topic and suggests a patch forward for DOE's consideration and ultimate decision on the matter.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any separate factual section. Any mention of facts is part of BSC's discussion of its recommendations, and any redaction would leave non-sensical, random snippets. Any coherent redactions would disclose BSC's recommendations and the bases for those recommendations.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20050928.0126
LSN Accession Number	DN2002337830
Doc Date	20050601
Title	CONFIDENTIAL LITIGATION WORK PRODUCT SAR 2.3.6 COMMENTS
Subject Matter Description	The subject matter is section 2.3.6 of the draft SAR (Waste Package and Drip Shield Corrosion), and contains comments on that draft section.
Authors	Payer, Joseph H. / Case Western Reserve University / Professor
Recipients	Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division
Author Recipient Relationship	The author is a DOE contractor. The recipient is a DOE official in the Office of Repository Development with responsibility for the subject matter of the communication.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	This draft and the comments on this draft SAR section (2.3.6) are a part of DOE's decision-making process on what will eventually be included in its SAR that is ultimately filed with the NRC. The comments reflect licensing positions to be taken on the subject matter of the draft LA section and its form and content.
Deliberation Explanation	These are comments provided by knowledgeable personnel on Waste Package and Drip Shield Corrosion to project personnel responsible for developing this draft section of the SAR. The document contains the author's analysis, opinions, and recommendations on the positions that should be taken in this SAR section as well as on form and content.

Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comparison of this draft with the final version of the SAR would reveal internal deliberations about what to say on the referenced topic and how to structure that presentation, and allow DOE's deliberations to be reconstructed.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE REVIEW
Other Privilege Types	LWP-other (FACT); LWP-other (OPINION)
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20060718.0056
LSN Accession Number	DN2002466991
Doc Date	20060307
Title	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) REVIEW COMMENTS OF THE CONCEPTUAL DESIGN-1 (CD-1) PRODUCTS TO BECHTEL SAIC COMPANY, LLC (BSC) CONTRACT NUMBER DE-AC28-01RW12101 (C)
Subject Matter Description	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE) REVIEW COMMENTS OF THE CONCEPTUAL DESIGN-1 (CD-1) PRODUCTS TO BECHTEL SAIC COMPANY, LLC (BSC) CONTRACT NUMBER DE-AC28-01RW12101 (C)
Authors	Arthur, John, III / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Deputy Director Hellstrom, George/ DOE - Office of General Counsel (OGC)/ Attorney Kim, Dong/ DOE - OCRWM Office of Systems Analysis & Strategy/Development/
Recipients	ARTHUR WJ / DOE/ORD RW-60 LAS VEGAS NV / COOPER, E.R. / / FEIGENBAUM, T.C. / Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC / President & General Manager Golan, P. M./ DOE/OCRM/ Hamilton-Ray, B.V. / DOE/OCRWM / Harrington, Paul G. / DOE - OCRWM Office of the Chief Engineer / Director KING, A.E. / / Powers, K.W. (Ken)/ DOE/OCRWM/ Van Der Puy, M.E. / DOE/OCRWM /
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors and recipients are Yucca Mountain Project personnel who have responsibility for the draft document that is the subject of the reviewers' recommendations and advice. Some comments are authored by attorneys with DOE OGC with responsibility for YMP.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The draft documents as to which these comments are made provide recommendations on the design that DOE should use for the repository and the priority and approach that should be taken as to identified hazards and risks.
Deliberation Explanation	These documents provides the reviewers' recommendations and opinions on the positions that should be taken in the draft documents.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	Comments by attorneys are separate from other comments and comments on predecisional drafts are separate from comments on non-privileged documents and can be redacted.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Other Privilege Types	ACP-attorney to client
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20070627.0054
LSN Accession Number	DN2002468872
Doc Date	20061208
Title	DECISION ON APPROACH TO BURNUP CREDIT
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns a strategy to address issues concerning burn-up credit, including transportation and NNPP related issues.
Authors	Williams, Mark H./Director, Regulatory Authority Office/OCRWM
Recipients	Alsaed, A.A. / BSC /M&O Contractor Beckman, Donald / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Nuclear Safety / Beckman & Associates (B&A) or (BAA) Program Manager Boyle, William J / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / DOE Postclosure & License Acquisition Division Lead Dei, Donald E. / Naval Reactors / Dyer, Russel J. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Acting Deputy Director FEIGENBAUM TC / BSC LAS VEGAS NV / Golan, P.M./principal deputy director/OCRWM Howard, C.L. / Sandia National Laboratory / OCRWM Contractor HOWARD CL / SNL LAS VEGAS NV / Kacich, R.M./BSC Lanthrum, G.J./DOE OCRWM Low, J.L./DOE OCRWM McKenzie, John M. / Naval Reactors / Director, Regulatory Affairs Orrell, Andrew / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) Team Member

Parks, C.V./Oak Ridge National Lab
Rapp, Brady M.C./Pacific Northwest National Lab
Russell, Paige R. Z. / DOE - OCRWM Office of Repository Development / Postclosure and License Acquisition Division Team Member
Sproat, E.F/Director/OCRWM
Thompson, J.M./DOE OCRWM
Wagoner, John/Oak Ridge National Lab
Williams, J.R./DOE OCRWM

Author Recipient Relationship	The document was written by a senior OCRWM official for a decision by the OCRWM director, Ward Sproat. The other recipients are various DOE and DOE contractor personnel who assisted in preparation of the document and who have responsibilities related to the subject matter of the document.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document concerns whether DOE should purchase foreign data regarding the criticality of transported CSNF and use such data for purposes of computing burn-up credit.
Deliberation Explanation	The document identifies various options and provides the author's assessment of risks and sensitivities, and makes recommendations on the topic.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document contains no segregable factual information. Its contents reflect proposed DOE strategy regarding burn-up credit.
Doc Type	CORRESPONDENCE
Participant Accession No.	MOL.20070802.0222
LSN Accession Number	DN2002481312
Doc Date	20060329
Title	CONCEPTUAL DESIGN ALTERNATIVES STUDY YUCCA MOUNTAIN REPOSITORY SURFACE FACILITIES - VOLUME 1 THRU 3 FINAL (C)
Subject Matter Description	The document concerns potential alternative designs for surface facilities at the YM repository.
Authors	Donnell, John L. / Management and Technical Support Services / Assistant Manager / OCRWM contractor Mills, M. B. / Management and Technical Support Services / Project Management and Engineering / OCRWM contractor Toft, Richard L. / Management and Technical Support Services / General Manager / OCRWM contractor
Recipients	DOE Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are personnel with a contractor to OCRWM who were tasked to prepare the referenced document. The document was distributed to senior management within OCRWM to decide on potential changes to surface facilities for the YM repository.
Determining Official	Alan B. Brownstein / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The decision is whether DOE should change the design of the surface facilities to accommodate a mostly canister-based approach, and if so what the design should be.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides the contractor's identification of options for alternative surface designs and recommendations to DOE regarding advantages and disadvantages of the alternatives, to assist DOE's decisionmaking on the issue.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document cannot be redacted because it does not contain any factual discussion. It presents alternatives and options for potential future designs and provides recommendations about them. Disclosure of the document's contents would reveal alternatives under discussion as part of the deliberations and the authors' opinions about them.
Doc Type	REPORT
Participant Accession No.	MOV.20010803.0005
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470718
Doc Date	20010209
Title	CRWMS M&O CRWMS MODULAR DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OPTIONS REPORT TDR-CRW-MD-000002 REV 03 DOE ACCEPTANCE REVIEW COPY
Subject Matter Description	The document provides technical bases for decisions on modular design, construction and implementation for the Yucca Mountain repository.
Authors	BECKWITH S / TRW / M&O Contractor MEYER L / TRW / M&O Contractor THOMSON BH / TRW / M&O Contractor
Recipients	DOE OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors were employees of TRW, which was DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP at the time.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document was authored to help inform DOE's decisionmaking on how to construct and operate the repository in a manner that would allow changes to have the flexibility to respond to project uncertainties.
Deliberation Explanation	The document provides BSC's subjective assessments of uncertainties to consider and BSC's recommendations on how the project could be operated to maintain flexibility to address those uncertainties.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any discussion of facts. It contains opinions and recommendations about alternatives to address future, potential scenarios.
Doc Type	REPORT

Participant Accession No.	MOV.20030415.0002
LSN Accession Number	DN2002470309
Doc Date	20030213
Title	PRE-DECISIONAL STUDY SCENARIOS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE REPOSITORY ALTERNATIVE OPERATING SCENARIOS SYSTEM STUDY, REVISION 0, TDR-CRW-SE-000026 REV 00, JANUARY 2003
Subject Matter Description	This report describes a recommended set of repository operating scenarios for evaluation in a system study of potential operating scenarios of the Yucca Mountain repository.
Authors	Cotton, Thomas / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / Consultant to DOE. BSC Program mission Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Mechanical Engineers / BSC Principal Engineer I Siefken, David L. / BSC Repository Development / Program Integration Supervisor Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering / BSC Manager of Projects
Recipients	Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Author Recipient Relationship	The authors are personnel employed with DOE's M&O contractor for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document was created to help DOE decide what various operating scenarios for initial surface facilities should be considered as part of DOE's assessment of alternative concepts for the initial phase of repository operations.
Deliberation Explanation	The document does not provide any analysis of various scenarios. It provides in general terms recommended scenarios that DOE should consider analyzing.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any factual discussion. It contains various assumptions by the authors, an overview of several potential scenarios, and the authors' suggested objective for consideration of the scenarios.
Doc Type	REPORT

Participant Accession No.	MOV.20030715.0002
LSN Accession Number	DN2002332480
Doc Date	20030701
Title	REPOSITORY ALTERNATIVE OPERATING SCENARIOS SYSTEM STUDY PHASE II
Subject Matter Description	The document addresses hypothetical operating scenarios for the Yucca Mountain repository.
Authors	Bailey, Jack/ BSC Cotton, Thomas/ BSC Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Systems Engineering & Integration / Siefken, David / BSC Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering /
Recipients	DOE OCRWM
Author Recipient Relationship	The document was prepared at DOE's request by the M&O contractor for the YMP.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer
DP Decision Information	The document was prepared at DOE's request to help inform it what type of waste acceptance, transportation, and repository receipt scenarios it should assess for purposes of deciding on the ultimate methods of operating the repository.
Deliberation Explanation	The document presents a range of potential future scenarios that are evaluated by BSC for their potential impacts on facility design and implications for the Functional and Operating Requirements for the repository. The document makes various recommendations for further work and consideration by DOE.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	This document does not contain any discussion of existing facts or analysis of existing matters. It proposes hypothetical situations and provides opinions with respect to them.
Doc Type	REPORT

Participant Accession No.	MOV.20031211.0002
LSN Accession Number	DN2002331992
Doc Date	20031120
Title	SYSTEM IMPACTS OF THE DRAFT HIGH-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE/SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSITION STRATEGY, CASE 5, TDR-CRW-SE-000031 REV 00, PRE-DECISIONAL STUDY
Subject Matter Description	The document is an analysis that was performed to estimate the impacts of a draft high-level waste/spent nuclear fuel disposition case strategy. The document examines the potential impacts of a draft revised EM integrated acceptance schedule that DOE had under consideration at the time.
Authors	Garfield, Willaim / BSC Repository Development / Transportation Manager Gillespie, Scott G. / BSC Repository Development - Program Integration / BSC Principal Engineer I Mitchell, John (Jr.) T. / BSC Repository Development - General Manager / BSC President & General Manager Siefken, David L. / BSC Repository Development - Subcontractor Department / PMS Technologies, Inc. Supervisor Program Integration Williams, Nancy H. / BSC Repository Development - Licensing & Engineering /Manager
Recipients	DOE Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management DOE Office of Environmental Management
Author Recipient Relationship	This document was prepared at DOE's request by DOE's M&O contractor for the Yucca Mountain Project.
Determining Official	Ronald A. Milner / OCRWM / Chief Operating Officer

DP Decision Information	The proposed decision for which this document was prepared was whether DOE should adopt a proposed disposition strategy for HLW and SNF.
Deliberation Explanation	This document is deliberative because it discusses the potential implications or impacts of the proposed strategy. It sets out assumptions of the draft strategy that was under consideration, opinions of the authors regarding the consequences of the strategy, and makes conclusions to inform DOE's decision whether to proceed with the strategy.
Circulated Draft Affirmation	This document has been reviewed and determined not to be a "circulated draft" as that term is defined in 10 C.F.R. 2.1001
Non Redactable Statement	There is no true factual content per se to this document. It contains assumptions and projections. Disclosure of its contents would necessarily disclose the content of the proposed strategy that was under consideration as well as the author's views and opinions about that proposed strategy.
Doc Type	REPORT