

January 15, 2008

From: Karen L. Beemer

West Park Hospital 707 Sheridan Ave. Cody, WY 82414

To: NRC

C/O Jacque Cook

RE: License #49-18230, Docket # 030-14695

License Amendments Requested.

We would like to request the following amendments to our license:

1. Please remove Dr. James Raymond from our license.

Thank you

Karen L. Beemer

ACCEPTANCE REVIEW MEMO (ARM) West Park Hospital Licensee: License No.: 49-18230-01 030-14695 Docket No.: Mail Control No.: 471625 Type of Action: Notify **Date of Requested Action: 01-15-08** Reviewer ARM reviewer(s): Torres Assigned: Response **Deficiencies Noted During Acceptance Review** [] Open ended possession limits. Submit inventory. Limit possession. [] Submit copies of latest leak test results. [] Add IC L.C., split cover letter from license, add SUNSI markings to license. [] Confirm with licensee if they have NARM material. Reviewer's Initials: Date: □Yes □No Request for unrestricted release Group 2 or >. Consult with Bravo Branch. □Yes □No Termination request < 90 days from date of expiration □Yes □No Expedite (medical emergency, no RSO, location of use/storage not on license, RAM in possession not on license, other) □Yes □No TAR needed to complete action. Branch Chief's and/or HP's Initials: Date: SUNSI Screening according to RIS 2005-31 □Yes (DNo Sensitive and Non-Publicly Available if any item below is checked General guidance: RAM = or > than Category 3 (Table 1, RIS 2005-31), use Unity Rule Exact location of RAM (whether = or > than Category 3 or not) Design of structure and/or equipment (site specific) Information on nearby facilities Detailed design drawings and/or performance information Emergency planning and/or fire protection systems Specific guidance for medical, industrial and academic (above Category 3): RAM quantities and inventory Manufacturer's name and model number of sealed sources & devices Site drawings with exact location of RAM, description of facility

RAM security program information (locks, alarms, etc.)

Mailing lists related to security response

Branch Chief's and/or HP's Initials:

Emergency Plan specifics (routes to/from RAM, response to security events)

Date:

JAN 23 2008

Vulnerability/security assessment/accident-safety analysis/risk assess

Checklist to Ensure That Radioactive Material Will Be Used as Intended

Applicant Information: Control No. 471625

Name: West Park Hospital	Type of Request: Notify Program Code(s): 02120	
Location: WY	License No.: 49-18230-01	Docket No.: 030-14695

STEP 1, ITEM A - INITIAL SCREENING

Instructions for Step 1: Complete Step 1 for all applications. If Step 1, Items A and B, are "YES" then do not complete Step 2. Sign and date the completed form and add it to ADAMS as Non-Sensitive and Non-Publicly Available. If a "NO" response is indicated for Item B. Add to the Complete Step 2. Sign and Add to the Step 1 for all applications. If Step 2 is a state of the step 2 is indicated for Item B. Add to the state of the step 2 is indicated for Item B. Add to the state of the step 2 is indicated for Item B. Add to the state of			
the typ	em B, add the completed form to ADAMS as Sensitive and Non-Publicly Available, and complete Step 2 (Additional Screening). If e of use is subject to a Security Order, complete Step 3, Item A, without delay. If the additional requirements for increased controls applied or voided, complete Step 3, Item B, without delay.		
Α.	The applicant is a known entity or a licensee transferring control to a known entity. This determination has been made using the screening criteria in Worksheet A below.	Yes	

Worksheet A

right. B "Yes" in reviewed if the a	stions for Worksheet A: Answer each of the 6 questions below by placing a "Yes", "No", or "NA" response in the column on the est practices for a reviewer are provided after each of the questions. If the answer to any of the 6 questions is "Yes" then indicate a Step 1, Item A, above. If the answers to all of the 6 questions is "No" then indicate "No" in Step 1, Item A, above. NOTE - If the er has personal knowledge of the applicant's veracity, this can be taken into account in responding to any questions. For example, oplicant's management and/or RSO have been associated with a current or previous NRC or Agreement State license, then the nt may be considered as a known entity.	YES, NO, or NA
1.	Does the applicant have a current Agreement State or NRC license? The reviewer should 1) confirm that a valid license/registration/authorization exists for the applicant; and 2) compare the current license to the application to verify that the application represents a reasonable expansion of the licensee's operation (i.e., medical facility adding a gamma knife or an Agreement State licensee obtaining an NRC license in order to work in NRC jurisdiction without filing reciprocity).	Yes
2.	Does the applicant have a current Agreement State or NRC license at another location and the new application represents the addition of a new facility within the scope of the licensee's core business? The reviewer should contact the appropriate licensing authority to confirm that a valid license/registration/authorization exists for the applicant and the corporate office of the licensee to verify that it has knowledge of and approves of the new application.	1
3.	Does the applicant have a current State or Federal government license, registration, authorization, etc., for other operations within the scope of its proposed license activities? (e.g., a company authorized by a State for mining that is now requesting authorization to use fixed gauges). The reviewer should contact the appropriate government office to confirm that the license, registration, authorization, etc., is valid; and the applicant's corporate office to confirm that it has knowledge of and approves of the new application to possess radioactive materials.	
4.	Is the applicant a local, State or Federal government agency? The reviewer should contact the local, State or Federal government office to confirm that the applicant is a government entity.	
5.	Does the application only involve the relocation of an existing licensee, or its mailing address, to another State? This includes new licenses created from existing licenses listing locations in multiple States, in preparation for transfer of licenses to States that will shortly sign an Agreement with the NRC.	
6.	Is the application only the result of a licensee failing to submit a renewal application in a timely manner?	

STEP 1, ITEM B - INITIAL SCREENING CONTINUED

The applicant is requesting certain radionuclides and quantities that are less than the Risk Significant Quantity (TBq) values in Worksheet B, below, as "highlighted" by the reviewer, or is currently subject to a security order or additional requirements for increased controls. If "Yes", there is no need to proceed further.



Worksheet B - Risk Significant Quantities

(Category 2 Quantities, IAEA Safety Guide No. RS-G-1.9, Categorization of Radioactive Sources, August 2005)

Radionuclide	Risk Significant Quantity (TBq¹)	Risk Significant Quantity (Ci ¹)	Radionuclide	Risk Significant Quantity (TBq¹)	Risk Significant Quantity (Ci ¹)
Am-241	0.6	16	Pm-147	400	11,000
Am-241/Be	0.6	16	Pu-238	0.6	16
Cf-252	0.2	5.4	Pu-239/Be	0.6	16
Cm-244	0.5	#	Ra-226 ²	0.4	11
Co-60	0.3	8.1	Se-75	2	54
Cs-137	1	27	Sr-90 (Y-90)	10	270
Gd-153	10	270	Tm-170	200	5,400
Ir-192	0.8	22	Yb-169	3	87

The primary values are TBq. The curie (Ci) values are for informational purposes only.

The Atomic Energy Act, as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, authorizes NRC to regulate Ra-226 and NRC is in the process of amending its regulations for discrete sources of Ra-226.

Calculations of the Total Activity or the Unity Rule were completed. NOTE—If an amendment of an existing license is being requested, the calculations will include the previously authorized quantities for the radionuclide(s).	Yes , No, or Not Applicable (NA)
Total Activity–multiple activities are requested for a single radionuclide and the sum of the activities is less than the Risk Significant Quantity (TBq) for the radionuclide.	
Unity Rule-multiple radionuclides are requested and the sum of the ratios is less than 1.0, e.g., [(total activity for radionuclide A) + (risk significant quantity for radionuclide A)] + [(total activity for radionuclide B) + (risk significant quantity for radionuclide B)] < 1.0.	

Signature and Date for Step 1:

License Reviewer and Date

JAN 22 2006

	s is to acknowledge the receipt of your letter/application dated	DATE			
文	There were no administrative omissions. Your application will be assigned to a technical reviewer. Please note that the technical review may identify additional omissions or require additional information.				
	Please provide to this office within 30 days of your receipt of this card	:			
The action you requested is normally processed within /80 days.					
	A copy of your action has been forwarded to our License Fee & Accounts Receivable Branch, who will contact you separately if there is a fee issue involved.				
Whe	r action has been assigned Mail Control Number 4716a en calling to inquire about this action, please refer to this mail control number may call me at 817-860-8103.				
	Sincerely,				
	Colleen Mura	ahan			
NRC (10-2	FORM 532 (RIV) Licensina Assistant				

		: (FOR LFMS USE) : INFORMATION FROM LTS			
BET	WEEN:				
License Fee Management Branch, ARM and Regional Licensing Sections		: Program Code: 02120			
		: Status Code: 0 : Fee Category: 7C : Exp. Date: 20110831 : Fee Comments: : Decom Fin Assur Reqd: N			
LICI	ENSE FEE TRANSMITTAL				
Α.	REGION				
1.	APPLICATION ATTACHED Applicant/Licensee: WEST PARK HOSPI Received Date: 20080115 Docket No: 3014695 Control No.: 471625 License No.: 49-18230-01 Action Type: Notifications	TAL			
2.	FEE ATTACHED Amount: Check No.:				
3.	COMMENTS Signed Code Date	allen Murnahan			
В.	LICENSE FEE MANAGEMENT BRANCH (Check	when milestone 03 is entered //)			
1.	Fee Category and Amount:	_			
2.	Correct Fee Paid. Application may be Amendment Renewal License	e processed for:			
3.	OTHER				
	Signed Date				