

January 24, 2008

MEMORANDUM TO: Russell Gibbs, Chief
Plant Licensing Branch III-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Cameron S. Goodwin, Project Manager/**RA**/
Plant Licensing Branch III-2
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM ELECTRONIC DISTRIBUTION
INITIATIVE LETTER DATED OCTOBER 11, 2007, AND PILOT
PROGRAM FEEDBACK EMAIL DATED OCTOBER 24, 2007

On October 11, 2007 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML072820307), the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) issued a letter requesting licensee's and those identified on the plant mailing lists, voluntarily submit e-mail addresses so that paper distribution can be replaced with electronic distribution.

The letter included a format to follow when sending the information back to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). Included in the format was a place to provide any feedback on the program. The following is a summary of the feedback received on the electronic distribution initiative (EDI).

An e-mail was also sent out on October 24, 2007 (ADAMS Accession No. ML080160089), to those who participated in the EDI pilot program. The purpose of this e-mail was to solicit feedback regarding the pilot program. These comments are captured in the Plant Licensing Branch III-2 groupwise mailbox.

Licensing Plant Branch I-1 received three comments. One comment stated that e-mail rather than regular mail was beneficial. The other two suggested that we notify them of the e-mail address we will be using, so that their incoming mail can be sent directly to an assigned folder.

Licensing Plant Branch I-2 received one comment. This comment requested we send links to the documents or small pdf files vice attaching the documents. In response to this, they were informed that the electronic distribution will contain a link to the ADAMS document instead of a pdf file for those on the plant mailing list, excluding the licensee.

Licensing Plant Branch II-1 received two comments. Both comments were positive and indicated that this was a good idea and they would like to receive more electronic correspondence from the NRC.

Licensing Plant Branch II-2 received four comments. All comments indicated that they thought this initiative was a great idea. Two were confused as to who would still be receiving paper copies. They were informed that paper copies were only continuing to be sent to the licensees.

Licensing Plant Branch III-1 received no comments.

Licensing Plant Branch III-2 conducted the pilot program for this process from July 1, 2007, through October 31, 2007. They received three sets of comments. Two addressed the success of the pilot program. They were very supportive of the electronic distribution as it afforded them a timely and efficient way to receive their documents and also provided a great deal of ease with receiving the documents. Two addressed the confusion at sending inactive links for ADAMS. This has been addressed by using an e-mail delay feature. This allows for the e-mail to be sent when the document is activated in ADAMS.

Licensing Plant Branch IV received seven comments. Four comments indicated that the switch to electronic distribution vice paper distribution was very favorable. Two comments were concerned about the size of the correspondence. They were reassured that they will only be receiving links to the ADAMS file so the distribution will not unnecessarily fill up their limited mailbox size. One comment stated that sending only the pdf version would eliminate the need for the ADAMS link. In response to this, we will continue to send the licensee both the pdf and ADAMS link, while sending all others on the plant mailing list an ADAMS link to reduce the size of the electronic distribution.

The comments received were generally positive and all comments were addressed individually.

Licensing Plant Branch III-2 conducted the pilot program for this process from July 1, 2007, through October 31, 2007. They received three sets of comments. Two addressed the success of the pilot program. They were very supportive of the electronic distribution as it afforded them a timely and efficient way to receive their documents and also provided a great deal of ease with receiving the documents. Two addressed the confusion at sending inactive links for ADAMS. This has been addressed by using an e-mail delay feature. This allows for the e-mail to be sent when the document is activated in ADAMS.

Licensing Plant Branch IV received seven comments. Four comments indicated that the switch to electronic distribution vice paper distribution was very favorable. Two comments were concerned about the size of the correspondence. They were reassured that they will only be receiving links to the ADAMS file so the distribution will not unnecessarily fill up their limited mailbox size. One comment stated that sending only the pdf version would eliminate the need for the ADAMS link. In response to this, we will continue to send the licensee both the pdf and ADAMS link, while sending all others on the plant mailing list an ADAMS link to reduce the size of the electronic distribution.

The comments received were generally positive and all comments were addressed individually.

DISTRIBUTION:

Public RIdsNrrLAEWhitt
RidsNrrDorlLpl3-2 LPL3-2 R/F
CGoodwin, NRR

Package Accession No. ML080170250

Memo Accession No. ML080170254

E-mail Accession No. ML080160089

OFFICE	LPL3-2/PM	LPL3-2/LA	LPL3-2/BC
NAME	CGoodwin	EWhitt	RGibbs
DATE	1/23/08	1/23/08	1/24/08

