

## Telephone Conversation Record

Date: 01/09/2008  
Time: 3:15 PM CT

Person Contacted: Mr. Clair McBride  
Organization: Department of the Army  
Dugway Proving Ground  
Telephone No.: 435-831-5317

Docket Nos.: 030-12715 and 030-36202  
License Nos.: 43-01316-09 (terminated) and 43-01316-10

### Summary of call:

I called Mr. McBride to inquire about the status of a former burial site at Dugway Proving Ground. During the conversation, I reminded Mr. McBride of the previous correspondence between NRC and his organization. Specifically, I discussed:

- their June 5, 2000, letter informing NRC of the former radioactive materials burial site at Dugway Proving Ground.
- NRC's letter dated March 13, 2001, in which NRC requested that they evaluate the burial site. The letter also described the steps necessary to perform the evaluation and requested a response within 60 calendar days of the date of the letter. However, NRC did not receive any response regarding this matter.
- NRC's March 3, 2006, letter that again requested that they perform an assessment of the former burial site. The letter described NRC's License Termination Rule and referenced the applicable NRC guidance. This letter also provided information to assist the licensee in the technical review and evaluation the former burial site. It also requested that the licensee take certain actions within 30 days after the completion of the assessment.

I inquired as to what actions the licensee has taken in response to NRC's letters. Mr. McBride noted that this burial site was not associated with the terminated license 43-01316-09 but, according to their historical research, was instead a relic of other terminated licenses, perhaps issued by AEC. I explained that regardless of the licensing issues, NRC still needs an answer from them as to the status of the burial site. Mr. McBride stated that a contractor had performed an assessment of the burial site. He did not have details as to what types of analyses were performed by the contractor or what the findings were since he was still waiting for the report from the contractor.

### Actions:

I told him that since the assessment was complete, our next action would be to send him another letter and remind him of the information that NRC is requesting. I also noted that NRC inspectors might visit the site to follow-up in person on these items.

Name of Person Documenting Conversation:

Janine F. Katanic, Ph.D.



Signature

Date: 01/09/2008