

CHIEF:	Brian Larson	FACILITY:	South Texas Project	DATE of OPERATING EXAM:	11/5/07
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
5/9/07	Exam Administration Date Confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	3.8.07	BR		
7/8/07	NRC Staff & Facility Contact Assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	6.8.07	BR		
7/8/07	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	3.8.07	BR		
7/8/07	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	5.13.07	BR	produced by Chief Examiner	
8/7/07	Reference Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.e; C.3.c)	5.4.07	BR	rec'd of DRAFT outlines	
8/22/07	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	5.4.07	BR		
8/27/07	Outlines Review & NRC Feedback Provided (c.2.h; C.3.e)	6.29.07	BR		
9/21/07	Draft Exams w/References Due (C.1.e,f,g,h; C.3.d)	9.17.07	BR		
10/6/07	*Peer Reviewer Completes Review of Exam on ES-401-9	9.20.07	BR		
10/6/07	*NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	9.28.07	BR		
10/6/07	*Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	10.4.07	BR		
10/6/07	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.g; ES-202)	10.3.07	BR		
10/11/07	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.i;C.2.g)	10.5.07	BR		
10/22/07	Final Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.i;ES-202)	10.19.07	BR		
10/22/07	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Exam	10.19.07	BR		
10/22/07	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	10.19.07	BR		
10/29/07	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waiver Letters Sent (ES-204; C.2.e)	10.29.07 10.30.07	BR	1 waiver	
10/29/07	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)	10.30.07	BR		
10/29/07	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	10.30.07	BR	produced by OLA	
10/29/07	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	10.30.07	BR		
10/29/07	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	11.2.07	BR		
11/5/07	Administer Operating Exam On-Site	11.2.07	BR		
11/17/07	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Received	11.7.07	BR		
11/20/07	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	11.27.07	BR		
11/20/07	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	11.29.07	BR		
11/30/07	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	11.30.07	BR		
12/1/07	NRC BC Review Completed	11.27.07	BR		
12/5/07	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	11.30.07	BR		
12/5/07	RPS/IP Number of Examinees Updated	11.30.07	BR	print Report-21	
12/20/07	Examination Report Issued	1.11.08	BR	produced by Chief Examiner	
<del>12/27/07</del>	<del>Package Closed Out</del>				
12/27/07	Chief QA of ADAMS and <sup>SUNSI</sup> <del>SISP</del> review complete	1.11.08	BR		

\* Indicates the due dates are more conservative than the ES-201-1 requires.

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Examination: 11/5/2007		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
W R I T T E N	1. a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	msd	je	BRL koe
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	msd	je	BRL koe
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics	msd	je	AR koe
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	msd	je	BRL koe
S I M	2. a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	msd	je	BRL koe
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	msd	je	BRL koe
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	msd	je	BRL koe
W / T	3. a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form. (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form. (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form. (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	msd	je	BRL koe
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form. (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified. (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing exams.	msd	je	BRL koe
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	msd	je	BRL koe
G E N E R A L	4. a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	msd	je	BRL koe
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	msd	je	BRL koe
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	msd	je	BRL koe
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	msd	je	BRL koe
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	msd	je	BRL koe
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	msd	je	BRL koe
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature		Date
b. Facility Reviewer(*)				
c. Chief Examiner(#)				
d. NRC Supervisor				
Mike DeFrees				4/30/2007
Jim Calvert				5/2/2007
BRIAN LARSON				6-29-2007
A.T. GORDY				10/29/07

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; \* chief examiner concurrence required.

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Exam: 11/02/2007		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial			a	b*	c#
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.				KS	gc	Smb
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.				KS	gc	Smb
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401				KS	gc	Smb
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).				KS	gc	Smb
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently, or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)				KS	gc	Smb
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	KS	gc	Smb
	34 / 6	1	4 / 19			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	KS	gc	Smb
	34 / 5		4 / 20			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				KS	gc	Smb
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.				KS	gc	Smb
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				KS	gc	Smb
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet. *				KS	gc	Smb
Printed Name / Signature				Date		
a. Author	Ken Struble <u>Ken Struble</u>			9/13/07		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	James H. Calvert <u>James H. Calvert</u>			9/13/07		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON <u>Brian T. Larson</u>			11/24/07		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	A.T. Goad <u>AT Goad</u>			10/29/07		
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

\* Cover sheet not provided, submitted is in "worksheet" format

Facility: South Texas Project		Date of Examination: 11/05/2007		Operating Test Number: 1		
<b>1. GENERAL CRITERIA</b>				Initials		
				a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
<b>2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA</b>				--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· initial conditions</li> <li>· initiating cues</li> <li>· references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>· reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>· operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g. item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
<b>3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA</b>				--	--	--
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	[initials]	[initials]	[initials]	AR	
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author	<u>Mike DeFrederick</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>		<u>9/13/07</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>James H. Calvert/Jamall Calvert</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>		<u>9/13/07</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>Bruce A. Hain</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>		<u>10-26-07</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>A.T. Gody / Ot Gody</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>		<u>11/21/07</u>		
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: South Texas Project				Date of Exam: 11/05/2007				Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / BU				Operating Test No.: 1			
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials									
						a	b*	c#							
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.					msd	gf	AR							
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.					msd	gf	AR							
3.	Each event description consists of ~ the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated ~ the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event ~ the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew ~ the expected operator actions (by shift position) ~ the event termination point (if applicable)					msd	gf	AR							
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.					msd	gf	AR							
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.					msd	gf	AR							
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.					msd	gf	AR							
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.					NA	NA	NA							
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.					msd	gf	AR							
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.					msd	gf	AR							
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.					msd	gf	AR							
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					msd	gf	AR							
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).					msd	gf	AR							
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.					msd	gf	AR							
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d)						Actual Attributes									
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)					6 / 5 / 6	msd	gf	AR						
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)					3 / 1 / 2	msd	gf	AR						
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)					3 / 3 / 4	msd	gf	AR						
	Event No.					(2,3,4/2,3,5/2,3,4,5)	msd	gf	AR						
4.	Major transients (1-2)					1 / 1 / 1	msd	gf	AR						
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)					2 / 2 / 2	msd	gf	AR						
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)					1 / 1 / 0	msd	gf	AR						
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)					2 / 2 / 2	msd	gf	AR						

FACILITY: SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT

DATE OF EXAM: 11/05/2007

OPERATING TEST NO.: 1

A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M(*)		
		1			2			3			4				R	I	U
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P				
RO SRO-I <b>SRO-U</b>	RX	None			4									1	1	1	0
	NOR	1			1									2	1	1	1
	I/C	2,3, 5,6, 7			2,3, 5,7									9	4	4	2
	MAJ	4			6									2	2	2	1
	TS	2,3			2,5									4	0	2	2
RO <b>SRO-I</b> SRO-U	RX		None		4									1	1	1	0
	NOR		None		1									1	1	1	1
	I/C		2,5,7		2,3, 5,7									7	4	4	2
	MAJ		4		6									2	2	2	1
	TS		N/A		2,5									2	0	2	2
<b>RO</b> SRO-I SRO-U	RX			None		4								1	1	1	0
	NOR			1		None								1	1	1	1
	I/C			3,5,6		2,5								5	4	4	2
	MAJ			4		6								2	2	2	1
	TS			N/A		N/A								0	0	2	2
<b>RO</b> SRO-I SRO-U	RX		None			4								1	1	1	0
	NOR		None			1								1	1	1	1
	I/C		2,5,7			2,3, 7								6	4	4	2
	MAJ		4			6								2	2	2	1
	TS		N/A			N/A								0	0	2	2

Instructions:

- (1) Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls" (ATC) and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. \* Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right hand columns.




Note: during the second scenario, the BOP position will be occupied by an SROU on some crews, but the US position will be taken by an SROU on another crew. This form does not provide for documenting competencies for a particular type of applicant in multiple positions during the same scenario. Thus, only the required exam position (US) for the license type is documented above.

Facility: South Texas Project    Date of Examination: 11/05/2007    Operating Test No.: 1																
Competencies	APPLICANTS															
	RO / SRO-I / <span style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px;">SRO-U</span>				RO <span style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px;">SRO-I</span> / SRO-U				<span style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px;">RO / SRO-I /</span> SRO-U				<span style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; padding: 2px;">RO / SRO-I /</span> SRO-U			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1 (US)	2 (US)	3	4	1 (ATC)	2 (US)	3	4	1 (BOP)	2 (ATC)	3	4	1 (ATC)	2 (BOP)	3	4
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3 4,5	2,3 5,6			2,5,7	2,3 5,6			3,4,6	2,5,6			2,5,7	2,3 6,7		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL	ALL			2,4,5	ALL			1,3,4 5,6	2,4 5,6			2,4,5	1,3 4,7		
Operate Control Boards (2)	N/A	N/A			2,4 5,7	N/A			1,3,4 5,6	2,4 5,6			2,4 5,7	1,3 4,7		
Communicate and Interact	ALL	ALL			ALL	ALL			ALL	ALL			ALL	ALL		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	2,3,4 5,6	2,3,4 5,6			N/A	2,3,4 5,6			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A		
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2,3	2,5			N/A	2,5			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A		
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																

**Instructions:**

*Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.*

**Note:** during the second scenario, the BOP position will be occupied by an SROU on some crews, but the US position will be taken by an SROU on another crew. This form does not provide for documenting competencies for a particular type of applicant in multiple positions during the same scenario. Thus, only the required exam position (US) for the license type is documented above.

Facility: <u>South Texas Project</u> Date of Exam: <u>11/2/07</u> Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	KS	J	AR
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	KS	J	AR
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	KS	J	AR
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	KS	J	AR
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	KS	J	AR
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	KS	J	AR
Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	<u>Ken Struble</u> 	<u>11/6/07</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>James H. Cabert</u> 	<u>11/08/07</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>BRIAN T. ARSON</u> 	<u>11/27/07</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>BRIAN LANTZ</u> 	<u>11/27/07</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 11/01/2007 To 01/01/2008

11:14:03

Region: 4      Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
09/10/2007	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2007005 Procedure #: 7111111B				CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T.
09/10/2007	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2007005 Procedure #: 7111111B				CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T.
11/05/2007	South Texas Project / 05000498 / 2007301 TAC #: X02357	RO - 5 SROU - 3	Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
11/05/2007	South Texas Project / 05000499 / 2007301 TAC #: X02358		Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T.

Summary By Date

09/2007 STP - South Texas Project

09/2007

11/2007 STP - South Texas Project

RO - 5

SROI - 2

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for South Texas Project: 10

Summary By Site

STP - South Texas Project

RO - 5

SROI - 2

SROU - 3

LSRO - 0

Total for South Texas Project: 10

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 11/01/2007 To 01/01/2008

Page 4 of 4  
11/30/2007 11:14:03  
Report 21  
Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4	RO - 5	SROI - 2	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 10
----------	--------	----------	----------	----------	------------------------

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/05/2007 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/05/2007. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Mike Detres	Ops Trng Supv.	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/7/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/9/07
2. ADRIAN CULVER	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/7/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/9/07
3. KEN STROBLE	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/7/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/9/07
4. DAVID GOODMAN	SIMULATOR SOFTWARE SUPV.	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/19/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-7-07
5. ROBERT RAB	SIMULATOR NAME AGR	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/19/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/5/07
6. Michael Scott	Simulator Spec	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/19/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/19/07
7. JOSEY BEASKEY	Simulator Supervisor	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/20/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/15/07
8. James H. Canhart	Ops Trng Mgr	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/5/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/17/07
9. Donald Rohan	Procedure Writer	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/10/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/14/07
10. LANCE STERLING	ECO PREPARER	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/29/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/3/07
11. Warren Mute	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/2/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/8/07
12. Vance Verbeck	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-5-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-9-07
13. Stan Mason	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-5-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-9-07
14. Mark Haysell	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-5-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-9-07
15. Terrence Batts	SRO INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/5/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/9/07

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/05/07 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/05/07. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. ROBERT LANE	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Robert Lane</i>	8-27-07	<i>Robert Lane</i>	11/2/07
2. DWAIN MCGONAMA	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Dwain McGonama</i>	8-27-07	<i>Dwain McGonama</i>	11-12-07
3. Melissa Webb	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Melissa Webb</i>	8/27/07	<i>Melissa Webb</i>	11/29/07
4. Michael Gadsell	Reactor Operator	<i>Michael Gadsell</i>	8/27/07	<i>Michael Gadsell</i>	11/12/07
5. Kenneth Spindler	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Kenneth Spindler</i>	9/14/07	<i>Kenneth Spindler</i>	11/12/07
6. Ron Koltan	Reactor operator	<i>Ron Koltan</i>	9/14/07	<i>Ron Koltan</i>	11.12.07
7. Luis De La Garza	UNIT SUPV	<i>Luis De La Garza</i>	9/14/07	<i>Luis De La Garza</i>	11.12.07
8. RICHARD SCHWALZ	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Richard Schwalz</i>	9/15/07	<i>Richard Schwalz</i>	11-26-07
9. Roy Carage	unit Supervisor	<i>Roy Carage</i>	10-15-07	<i>Roy Carage</i>	11/12/07
10. Jeremy Webb	Reactor operator	<i>Jeremy Webb</i>	10/15/07	<i>Jeremy Webb</i>	11/12/07
11. JON McLENDRE	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Jon McLendre</i>	10/16/07	<i>Jon McLendre</i>	11-12-07
12. Tom Dennis	HEALTH PHYSICS TECH.	<i>Tom Dennis</i>	10/17/07	<i>Tom Dennis</i>	10/17/07
13. Tom Dennis	HEALTH PHYSICS TECH	<i>Tom Dennis</i>	10/17/07	<i>Tom Dennis</i>	10/17/07
14. John Crain	Training Instructor	<i>John Crain</i>	11/5/07	<i>John Crain</i>	11/7/07
15. Ronald Skinner	TRAINING INSTRUCTOR	<i>Ronald Skinner</i>	11/6/07	<i>Ronald Skinner</i>	11/9/07

NOTES:

For JPM PI ONLY

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/5/2007 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/5/2007. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Chris Campbell	RPTech / Brief Support	<i>Chris Campbell</i>	11-6-07	<i>Chris Campbell</i>	11-9-07
2. Paul Morris	R.P. Tech / R.P. Support	<i>Paul Morris</i>	11/06/07	<i>Paul Morris</i>	11-8-07
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

FOR JPMs C1 & C2 ONLY

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 11/5/2007 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/5/2007. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. MARK A. RICKHART	UNIT SUPERVISOR	<i>Mark Rickhart</i>	11/9/07	<i>Mark Rickhart</i>	11/9/07
2. C. A. Ayala	STA/Field Supv.	<i>CA Ayala</i>	11-9-07	<i>CA Ayala</i>	11-9-07
3. PAUL SWINE	REACTOR OPERATOR	<i>Paul Swine</i>	11-9-07	<i>Paul Swine</i>	11-9-07
4. Mark Hamilton	Reactor Operator	<i>Mark Hamilton</i>	11-9-07	<i>Mark Hamilton</i>	11-9-07
5. FRANK JACOBUS	Reactor Operator	<i>Frank Jacobus</i>	11-9-07	<i>Frank Jacobus</i>	11-9-07
6. Brad Herdt	Shift Supervisor	<i>Brad Herdt</i>	11-9-07	<i>Brad Herdt</i>	11-9-07
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES: