From: Kimberly Green
To: <dtyner@entergy.com>,"MICHAEL D STROUD" <MSTROUD@entergy.com>
Date: 12/27/2007 7:18:03 AM
Subject: RAIs on RCP Flywheel and LBB Analyses
cc: <IPNonPublicHearingFile@nrc.gov>

Donna,

The attached RAIs were signed out on December 21, 2007, and will be released in ADAMs soon. Attached for your use is a Word version of the document.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,

Hearing Identifier: IndianPointUnits2and3NonPublic
Email Number: 329

Mail Envelope Properties (47751884.HQGWDO01.OWGWPO04.200.2000008.1.15B72F.1)

Subject: RAIs on RCP Flywheel and LBB Analyses
Creation Date: 12/27/2007 7:18:03 AM
From: Kimberly Green

Created By: KJG1@nrc.gov

Recipients
<IPNonPublicHearingFile@nrc.gov>
<dtyner@entergy.com>
"MICHAEL D STROUD" <MSTROUD@entergy.com>

Post Office	Route
OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	223	12/27/2007 7:18:03 AM
RAI Set 6 - RCP and LBB Analyses ML073460141.doc	99840	12/28/2007 3:38:44 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None
None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

December 21, 2007

Mr. Michael A. Balduzzi
Senior Vice President and COO
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Regional Operations, NE
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE REVIEW OF THE INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION—REACTOR COOLANT PUMP FLYWHEEL AND LEAK BEFORE BREAK ANALYSES

Dear Mr. Balduzzi:

By letter dated April 23, 2007, as supplemented by letters dated May 3, 2007 and June 21, 2007, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., submitted an application pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 54, to renew the operating licenses for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, for review by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff). The staff is reviewing the information contained in the license renewal application and has identified, in the enclosure, areas where additional information is needed to complete the review. Further requests for additional information may be issued in the future.

Items in the enclosure were discussed with Mr. Robert Walpole, and a mutually agreeable date for the response is within 30 days from the date of this letter. If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-1627, or via e-mail KJG1@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Kimberly Green, Safety Project Manager
Projects Branch 2
Division of License Renewal
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

December 21, 2007

Mr. Michael A. Balduzzi
Senior Vice President and COO
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Regional Operations, NE
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE REVIEW OF THE INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION—REACTOR COOLANT PUMP FLYWHEEL AND LEAK BEFORE BREAK ANALYSES

Dear Mr. Balduzzi:

By letter dated April 23, 2007, as supplemented by letters dated May 3, 2007 and June 21, 2007, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., submitted an application pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 54, to renew the operating licenses for Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, for review by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff). The staff is reviewing the information contained in the license renewal application and has identified, in the enclosure, areas where additional information is needed to complete the review. Further requests for additional information may be issued in the future.

Items in the enclosure were discussed with Mr. Robert Walpole, and a mutually agreeable date for the response is within 30 days from the date of this letter. If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-1627, or via e-mail KJG1@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,
/RA/
Kimberly Green, Safety Project Manager
Projects Branch 2
Division of License Renewal
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page
ADAMS Accession No.: **ML073460141**

OFFICE	PM:RPB2:DLR	LA:DLR	BC:RPB2:DLR
NAME	KGreen	IKing	RFranovich (KJG for)
DATE	12/21/07	12/20/07	12/21/07

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3
LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION (LRA)
REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAIs)

Reactor Coolant Pump Flywheel Analysis

RAI 4.7.1-1

On page 4.7-1 of the license renewal application (LRA), first paragraph, the applicant stated that the aging effect of concern is fatigue crack initiation and growth in the flywheel bore keyway from stresses due to starting the motor. Discuss whether stress corrosion cracking should also be considered as a degradation mechanism in the bore keyway considering the effect of the environment, stress conditions, and material.

RAI 4.7.1-2

On page 4.7-1 of the LRA, second paragraph, the applicant stated that the Westinghouse report WCAP-15666-A used 6000 start/stop cycles of a reactor coolant pump in the analysis of the flywheel. However, as shown in Table 4.3-1 of the LRA, under the "Analyzed Number of Cycles" column, the reactor coolant pump start/stop condition has 10,000 cycles. (a) Discuss why 10,000 cycles of the reactor coolant pump startup/stop condition were not used in the flywheel analysis. (b) LRA Table 4.3-1 lists various normal, test, and abnormal conditions. Some of those conditions may affect flywheel operation and the structural integrity of the flywheel. However, the applicant only mentioned the reactor coolant pump start/stop condition in WCAP-15666-A. Discuss whether other normal, test and abnormal conditions in LRA Table 4.3-1 should be used in WCAP-15666-A.

RAI 4.7.1-3

On page 4.7-1 of the LRA, second paragraph, the applicant stated that the reactor coolant pump flywheel is inspected every 20 years. (a) Discuss the inspection history, results, method used, area/volume, and coverage. (b) Discuss future inspection plans including whether a volumetric inspection will be performed at the end of 40 years or during the extended period of operation. If not, discuss how the structural integrity of the flywheel can be ensured. (c) Discuss whether the flywheel surface is painted. If the flywheel surface is painted, discuss the effectiveness of the surface or visual examination if these inspection methods were used in the past or will be used in the future.

RAI 4.7.1-4

On page 4.7-1 of the LRA, third paragraph, the applicant stated that "As indicated in Tables 4.3-1 and 4.3-2, the allowable number of heatup and cooldown cycles for 60 years of operation is 200 for Units 2 and 3….Because the 6000 cycles assumed in the analysis far exceeds the expected cycles in 60 years…" It is not clear whether the applicant was comparing the 6000 cycles in the analysis to the 200 cycles of heatup/cooldown. If this was the applicant's intention, it should be noted that 6000 cycles are related to the pump startup/stop whereas the 200 cycles are related to the heatup and cooldown. During each heatup cycle, there may be

multiple reactor coolant pump startups. The comparison should be between the projected/ expected cycles in 60 years vs. cycles used in the analysis for the reactor coolant pump start/stop event. Clarify the statements in the above quotes or provide further information in support of this conclusion.

RAI 4.7.1-5

Discuss why in LRA Section A.2.2, *Evaluation of Time-Limited Aging Analyses,* of Appendix A, *Updated Final Safety Analysis Report Supplement,* there is no discussion for the reactor coolant pump flywheel. If the time-limited aging analysis is applicable for the reactor coolant pump flywheel, a discussion should be included in Appendix A. Revise Appendices A.2 and A.3 of the LRA for Units 2 and 3, respectively, as necessary.

Leak Before Break

RAI 4.7.2-1

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, first paragraph, the applicant stated that for Unit 2, leak before break (LBB) analyses are documented in WCAP-10977, WCAP-10977, Supplement 1, and WCAP-10931. By letter dated February 23, 1989, the NRC staff issued its safety evaluation approving the applicant's LBB application. In its safety evaluation, the NRC staff granted LBB for selected Unit 2 piping systems based on the technical basis of WCAP-10977, Revision 2; WCAP-10977, Supplement 1; and WCAP-10931, Revision 1. (a) Confirm that Revision 2 to WCAP-10977 and Revision 1 to WCAP-10931 are the correct revisions that were used for the Unit 2 LBB application. (b) Provide a list of piping systems in Units 2 and 3 that have been granted for LBB.

RAI 4.7.2-2

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, second paragraph, the applicant stated that Unit 3 LBB analyses have been documented in the Westinghouse report, WCAP-8228. However, other LBB analyses prepared for Unit 3 have been reviewed by the NRC. Please confirm whether there are other applicable LBB analyses of record for Unit 3, and provide a history and summary description of all these analyses (including the cited WCAP-8228), including the parameters that were evaluated and conclusions reached for each analysis.

RAI 4.7.2-3

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, third paragraph, the applicant stated that the fully-aged fracture toughness values (i.e., bounding values) to address thermal aging of cast austenitic stainless steel (CASS) were used in the LBB analyses. (a) Provide a list of LBB piping systems that contain CASS components and identify the components. (b) Provide the fully-aged fracture toughness values of the CASS materials used in the LBB analyses and the normal fracture toughness values. (b) The applicant has an Aging Management Program B.1.37, *Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)*, to manage CASS components. However, Section 4.7.2 of the LRA did not mention this aging management program (AMP) to manage the LBB piping systems. Discuss whether AMP B.1.37 or some other AMP (and if so, which AMP) will be used to monitor aging effects the CASS components in the LBB piping systems.

RAI 4.7.2-4

In the third paragraph on page 4.7-2 of the LRA, several analyses are briefly mentioned. Provide a list and summary (e.g., purpose, parameters evaluated, and conclusions) of each analysis used for thermal aging of CASS.

RAI 4.7.2-5

By letter dated May 19, 2000, Christopher I. Grimes of the NRC staff forwarded to Douglas J. Walters of the Nuclear Energy Institute an evaluation of thermal aging embrittlement of CASS components (ADAMS Accession No. ML003717179). In the NRC staff's evaluation, the staff provided its positions on how to manage CASS components. Discuss whether and how the CASS components in the LBB piping satisfy the staff positions in its evaluation dated May 19, 2000.

RAI 4.7.2-6

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, third paragraph, the applicant stated that thermal aging causes an increase in the yield strength of CASS. However, the applicant did not discuss this parameter further with respect to the LBB analyses on page 4.7-2 of the LRA. (a) Discuss whether the limiting yield strength was used in the LBB analyses. (b) Discuss whether the LBB analyses approved for 40 years are applicable for 60 years in terms of yield strength used.

RAI 4.7.2-7

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, fourth paragraph, the applicant discussed the fatigue crack growth analysis of the reactor vessel inlet nozzle to safe-end without any details. (a) Discuss the results of the fatigue crack growth analysis. (b) Discuss whether the final crack size satisfies the acceptance criteria and discuss the acceptance criteria. (c) Discuss the postulated initial flaw size and location for the fatigue growth calculation. (d) Discuss the material specification/ identification of the nickel-based alloy weld. (e) The applicant stated that "…The nozzle to safe-end connection was selected because crack growth calculated at this location is representative of the entire primary loop…" Clarify whether the nozzle to safe-end connection is the limiting/ bounding location in terms of the fatigue crack growth; if it is not, identify the limiting/bounding location and explain why it is sufficient to evaluate the representative location. (f) If the above information can be found in a technical report, identify the report(s) and provide a copy of the report(s).

RAI 4.7.2-8

Pressurized water reactors have experienced primary water stress corrosion cracking in Alloy 600/82/182 weld material. (a) Provide a list of Alloy 82/182 weld material in any of the piping systems that have been approved for LBB. In this list, include the name of the corresponding piping system, pipe size, and weld identification number. (b) Discuss whether the Alloy 600/82/182 welds have been inspected and the inspection results.

RAI 4.7.2-9

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, fourth paragraph, the applicant stated that the crack growth due to fatigue was evaluated assuming the reactor vessel experienced the total allowable numbers of normal, upset, and test transients. (a) Provide a list of the transient conditions and associated number of cycles (for 40 years) used in the fatigue crack growth analysis (e.g., 200 cycles of heatup) and the number of cycles for those transient conditions projected to 60 years. (b) Clarify whether the fatigue crack growth calculation discussed in the fourth paragraph on page 4.7-2 is for 40 years or 60 years. (c) Clarify whether a fatigue crack growth calculation was performed for 60 years.

RAI 4.7.2-10

The NRC has approved two power uprate applications (measurement uncertainty and stretch power uprates) for Unit 2 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML031420375 and ML023290636) and Unit 3 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML042960007 and ML050600380). Please discuss whether the results of the 40-year LBB analyses are bounding for conditions at the end of 60 years in light of the power uprates. The discussion should include assessments of piping loads, stresses, and safety margins as specified in NUREG-1061, Vol. 3. This question covers all piping systems that have been approved for LBB for both units.

RAI 4.7.2-11

On page 4.7-2 of the LRA, fifth paragraph, the applicant concluded that "…Thus, the IP2 and IP3 analyses will remain valid during the period of extended operation in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i)…" The applicant's conclusion was based on the evaluation of fatigue crack growth and thermal aging of CASS. For each LBB piping system included in the TLAA evaluation, discuss the applicability of the 40-year LBB analyses for the period of extended operation based on the following considerations and parameters (1) leakage calculations as part of LBB analyses, (2) crack stability, and (3) capability of the reactor coolant leakage detection system which is a part of overall LBB technology.

Letter to M. Balduzzi From K. Green Dated December 21, 2007

DISTRIBUTION:

SUBJECT: REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE REVIEW OF THE INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NOS. 2 AND 3, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION—REACTOR COOLANT PUMP FLYWHEEL AND LEAK BEFORE BREAK ANALYSES

HARD COPY:
DLR RF

E-MAIL:
PUBLIC
RidsNrrDlr
RidsNrrDlrRpb1
RidsNrrDlrRpb2
RidsNrrDlrRer1
RidsNrrDlrRer2
RidsNrrDlrRerb
RidsNrrDlrRpob
RidsNrrDciCvib
RidsNrrDciCpnb
RidsNrrDraAfpb
RidsNrrDraAplb
RidsNrrDeEmcb
RidsNrrDeEeeb
RidsNrrDssSbwb
RidsNrrDssSbpb
RidsNrrDssScvb
RidsOgcMailCenter

RFranovich
BPham
KGreen
JCaverly
RAuluck
KChang
MKowal
JBoska
JTsao
STurk, OGC
LSubin, OGC
BMizuno, OGC
SBurnell, OPA
DMcIntyre, OPA
EDacus, OCA
TMensah, OEDO
RConte, RI
ECobey, RI
MCox, RI
RBarkley, RI
MMcLaughlin, RI
NMcNamara, RI
NSheehan, RI OPA
DScrenci, RI OPA
CHott, RI
DJackson, RI
PCataldo, RI

Indian Point Nuclear Generating
Units 2 and 3

cc:

Mr. Michael R. Kansler
President & CEO/CNO
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. John T. Herron
Senior Vice President
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Sr. Vice President
Engineering & Technical Services
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. Fred R. Dacimo
Site Vice President
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Indian Point Energy Center
450 Broadway, GSB
P.O. Box 249
Buchanan, NY 10511-0249

Mr. Anthony Vitale - Acting
General Manager, Plant Operations
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Indian Point Energy Center
450 Broadway
P.O. Box 249
Buchanan, NY 10511-0249

Mr. Oscar Limpias
Vice President Engineering
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. Joseph P. DeRoy
Vice President, Operations Support
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. John A. Ventosa
GM, Engineering
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. John F. McCann
Director, Nuclear Safety & Licensing
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Ms. Charlene D. Faison
Manager, Licensing
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Ernest J. Harkness
Director, Oversight
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. Patric W. Conroy
Director, Nuclear Safety Assurance
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Indian Point Energy Center
450 Broadway, GSB
P.O. Box 249
Buchanan, NY 10511-0249

cc:

Mr. T.R. Jones - Acting
Manager, Licensing
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
Indian Point Energy Center
450 Broadway, GSB
P.O. Box 249
Buchanana, NY 10511-0249

Mr. William C. Dennis
Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Paul D. Tonko
President and CEO
New York State Energy Research and
Development Authority
17 Columbia Circle
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mr. John P. Spath
New York State Energy, Research and
Development Authority
17 Columbia Circle
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mr. Paul Eddy
New York State Department
of Public Service
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Senior Resident Inspector's Office
Indian Point 2
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 59
Buchanan, NY 10511

Senior Resident Inspector's Office
Indian Point 3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 59
Buchanan, NY 10511

Mr. Charles Donaldson, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
New York Department of Law
120 Broadway
New York, NY 10271

Mayor, Village of Buchanan
236 Tate Avenue
Buchanan, NY 10511

Mr. Raymond L. Albanese
Four County Coordinator
200 Bradhurst Avenue
Unit 4 Westchester County
Hawthorne, NY 10532

Mr. William DiProfio
PWR SRC Consultant
48 Bear Hill Road
Newton, NH 03858

Mr. Garry Randolph
PWR SRC Consultant
1750 Ben Franklin Drive, 7E
Sarasota, FL 34236

Mr. William T. Russell
PWR SRC Consultant
400 Plantation Lane
Stevensville, MD 21666-3232

Mr. Jim Riccio
Greenpeace
702 H Street, NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20001

cc:

Mr. Phillip Musegaas
Riverkeeper, Inc.
828 South Broadway
Tarrytown, NY 10591

Mr. Mark Jacobs
IPSEC
46 Highland Drive
Garrison, NY 10524

Mr. R. M. Waters
Technical Specialist Licensing
450 Broadway
P.O. Box 0249
Buchanan, NY 10511-0249

Mr. Sherwood Martinelli
351 Dyckman
Peekskill, NY 10566

Ms. Susan Shapiro, Esq.
21 Perlman Drive
Spring Valley, NY 10977

John Sipos
Assistant Attorney General
New York State Department of Law
Environmental Protection Bureau
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224

Robert Snook
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
State of Connecticut
55 Elm Street
P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120

Ms. Kathryn M. Sutton, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Paul M. Bessette, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Martin J. O'Neill, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

The Honorable Nita Lowey
222 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 310
White Plains, NY 10605