



Westinghouse  
Electric Corporation

Energy Systems

Nuclear and Advanced  
Technology Division

Box 355  
Pittsburgh Pennsylvania 15230-0355

May 10, 1989  
CAW-89-071

Mr. Thomas Murley, Director  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

APPLICATION FOR WITHHOLDING PROPRIETARY  
INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

Subject: Eagle 21 Process Protection System Replacement Hardware  
Verification & Validation Report (WCAPs 12271 AND 12272)

Dear Dr. Murley:


The proprietary information for which withholding is being requested in the enclosed letter by Tennessee Valley Authority is further identified in an affidavit CAW-89-071 signed by the owner of the proprietary information, Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The affidavit, which accompanies this letter, sets forth the basis on which the information may be withheld from public disclosure by the Commission and addresses with specificity the considerations listed in paragraph (b) (4) of 10CFR Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations.

Accordingly, this letter authorizes the utilization of the accompanying affidavit by

Correspondence with respect to the proprietary aspects of the application for withholding or the Westinghouse affidavit should reference this letter, CAW-89-071, and should be addressed to the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION

  
Robert A. Wiesemann, Manager  
Regulatory & Legislative Affairs

8905310102 890522  
PDR ADOCK 05000390  
A PNU

/mlt

Enclosures

cc: E. C. Shomaker, Esq.  
Office of the General Counsel, NRC


AFFIDAVIT

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA:

SS


COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY:


Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Robert A. Wiesemann, who, being by me duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is authorized to execute this Affidavit on behalf of Westinghouse Electric Corporation ("Westinghouse") and that the averments of fact set forth in this Affidavit are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief:



Robert A. Wiesemann, Manager  
Regulatory and Legislative Affairs

Sworn to and subscribed  
before me this 10<sup>th</sup> day  
of May, 1989.

  
Notary Public



- (1) I am Manager, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs, in the Nuclear and Advanced Technology Division, of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation and as such, I have been specifically delegated the function of reviewing the proprietary information sought to be withheld from public disclosure in connection with nuclear power plant licensing and rulemaking proceedings, and am authorized to apply for its withholding on behalf of the Westinghouse Energy Systems Business Unit.
- (2) I am making this Affidavit in conformance with the provisions of 10CFR Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations and in conjunction with the Westinghouse application for withholding accompanying this Affidavit.
- (3) I have personal knowledge of the criteria and procedures utilized by the Westinghouse Energy Systems Business Unit in designating information as a trade secret, privileged or as confidential commercial or financial information.
- (4) Pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (b)(4) of Section 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, the following is furnished for consideration by the Commission in determining whether the information sought to be withheld from public disclosure should be withheld.
  - (i) The information sought to be withheld from public disclosure is owned and has been held in confidence by Westinghouse.

- (ii) The information is of a type customarily held in confidence by Westinghouse and not customarily disclosed to the public. Westinghouse has a rational basis for determining the types of information customarily held in confidence by it and, in that connection, utilizes a system to determine when and whether to hold certain types of information in confidence. The application of that system and the substance of that system constitutes Westinghouse policy and provides the rational basis required.

Under that system, information is held in confidence if it falls in one or more of several types, the release of which might result in the loss of an existing or potential competitive advantage, as follows:

- (a) The information reveals the distinguishing aspects of a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.) where prevention of its use by any of Westinghouse's competitors without license from Westinghouse constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies.
- (b) It consists of supporting data, including test data, relative to a process (or component, structure, tool, method, etc.), the application of which data secures a competitive economic advantage, e.g., by optimization or improved marketability.

- (c) Its use by a competitor would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing a similar product.
- (d) It reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of Westinghouse, its customers or suppliers.
- (e) It reveals aspects of past, present, or future Westinghouse or customer funded development plans and programs of potential commercial value to Westinghouse.
- (f) It contains patentable ideas, for which patent protection may be desirable.
- (g) It is not the property of Westinghouse, but must be treated as proprietary by Westinghouse according to agreements with the owner.

There are sound policy reasons behind the Westinghouse system which include the following:

- (a) The use of such information by Westinghouse gives Westinghouse a competitive advantage over its competitors. It is, therefore, withheld from disclosure to protect the Westinghouse competitive position.

- (b) It is information which is marketable in many ways. The extent to which such information is available to competitors diminishes the Westinghouse ability to sell products and services involving the use of the information.
- (c) Use by our competitor would put Westinghouse at a competitive disadvantage by reducing his expenditure of resources at our expense.
- (d) Each component of proprietary information pertinent to a particular competitive advantage is potentially as valuable as the total competitive advantage. If competitors acquire components of proprietary information, any one component may be the key to the entire puzzle, thereby depriving Westinghouse of a competitive advantage.
- (e) Unrestricted disclosure would jeopardize the position of prominence of Westinghouse in the world market, and thereby give a market advantage to the competition of those countries.
- (f) The Westinghouse capacity to invest corporate assets in research and development depends upon the success in obtaining and maintaining a competitive advantage.

- (iii) The information is being transmitted to the Commission in confidence and, under the provisions of 10CFR Section 2.790, it is to be received in confidence by the Commission.
  
- (iv) The information sought to be protected is not available in public sources or available information has not been previously employed in the same original manner or method to the best of our knowledge and belief.
  
- (v) The proprietary information sought to be withheld in this submittal is that which is appropriately marked in "EAGLE 21 Process Protection System Replacement Hardware Verification and Validation Report", WCAP-12271, (Proprietary), for Watts Bar Units 1 and 2, being transmitted by the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) letter and Application for Withholding Proprietary Information from Public Disclosure, R. L. Gridley, TVA, to the Attention of Dr. T. Murley, Director, Office of NRC, Washington, D.C., May, 1989. The proprietary information as submitted for use by Tennessee Valley Authority Company for the Eagle 21 Process Protection System is expected to be applicable in other licensee submittals in response to certain NRC requirements for justification of implementation of the Westinghouse V&V process to satisfy regulatory requirements for the application of microprocessor based reactor protection system equipment.

This information is part of that which will enable Westinghouse to:

- (a) Provide a documentation which summarizes results of the EAGLE 21 Design, Verification and Validation Plan for Watts Bar.
- (b) Provide documentation which describes functional attributes of the EAGLE 21 microprocessor based system.
- (c) Provide documentation which describes both the design verification and software verification process.
- (d) Provide documentation which describes the major phases of the system validation process.
- (e) Assist the customer to obtain NRC approval.

Further this information has substantial commercial value as follows:

- (a) Westinghouse plans to sell the use of similar information to its customers for purposes of satisfying NRC requirements for licensing documentation.
- (b) Westinghouse can sell support and defense of this licensing basis and technology to its customers to support the licensing process.



Public disclosure of this proprietary information is likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of Westinghouse because it would enhance the ability of competitors to provide similar V&V processes and licensing defense services for commercial power reactors without commensurate expenses. Also, public disclosure of the information would enable others to use the information to meet NRC requirements for licensing documentation without purchasing the right to use the information.

The development of the technology described in part by the information is the result of applying the results of many years of experience in an intensive Westinghouse effort and the expenditure of a considerable sum of money.

In order for competitors of Westinghouse to duplicate this information, similar technical programs would have to be performed and a significant manpower effort, having the requisite talent and experience, would have to be expended for developing an acceptable V&V process for the licensing of microprocessor based reactor protection system equipment.

Further the deponent sayeth not.

ENCLOSURE 7

For the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, TVA commits to:

Evaluate the need to take additional precautions to limit the potential for radio frequency interference (RFI) effects on the Eagle 21 equipment by in-situ testing prior to fuel load. The in-situ testing will ensure any effects on the Eagle 21 equipment are within acceptable limits. The results of the testing will be made available to NRC.

22

