Survey Unit Release Record				
Design #	EP-FH-111	**Revision #**	Original	**Page 1 of 3**
Survey Unit #(s)	FH-111			
Description	1) Embedded Pipe (EP) Survey Unit FH-111 meets the definition of embedded pipe for Plum Brook Reactor Facility (PBRF). 2) EP FH-111 is a Class 1, Group 3.1 survey unit as per the PBRF Final Status Survey Plan (FSSP) and Technical Basis Document (TBD)-06-004. 3) Surveys in EP FH-111 were performed using a scintillation detector optimized to measure gamma energies representative of Cs-137. Sample #EP 3-5 from Survey Request (SR)-13 was referenced for this decision. 4) Survey Instructions for this survey unit are incorporated into and performed in accordance with (IAW) the Babcock Services Incorporated (BSI)/LVS-002, Work Execution Package (WEP) 05-006. Survey instructions described in this document constitute "Special Methods" and the survey design used in the acquisition of survey measurements. 5) Instrument efficiency determinations are developed in accordance with the BSI/LVS-002, WEP 05-006, these determinations are appropriate for the types of radiation involved and the media being surveyed.			

Approval Signatures		**Date:**
FSS/Characterization Engineer	Dal Randall	12-12-07
Technical Reviewer (FSS/Characterization Engineer)	T Wood	12-17-07
FSS/Characterization Manager	R. Case	12/18/07

Form CS-09/1 Rev 0

1.0 History/Description

1.1 The subject pipe system is a 2" drain line section located on the -11' el. of the Fan House building.

1.2 EP FH-111 consists of 2" diameter piping that is approximately 2 feet in length.

2.0 Survey Design Information

2.1 EP FH-111 was surveyed IAW Procedure #BSI/LVS-002.

2.2 100% of the 2" ID pipe was accessible for survey. The accessible 2" ID pipe was surveyed by static measurement at one foot increments, for a total of 2 survey measurements.

2.3 Surface area for the 2" ID piping is 486 cm^2 for each foot of piping, corresponding to a total 2" ID piping surface area of 973 cm^2 (0.1 m^2) for the entire length of (approximately 2') of 2" piping.

3.0 Survey Unit Measurement Locations/Data

3.1 Pipe interior radiological survey forms are provided in Attachment 2 of this release record.

4.0 Survey Unit Investigations/Results

4.1 None

5.0 Data Assessment Results

5.1 Data assessment results are provided in the EP/Buried Pipe (BP) Survey Report provided in Attachment 1.

5.2 All measurement results are less than the Derived Concentration Guideline Level (DCGL) for radionuclide specific EP that corresponds to the 1 mrem/yr dose goal established in Table 3-3 of the FSSP.

5.3 When implementing the Unity Rule, provided in Section 3.6.3 of the FSSP, and applying the Nuclide Fraction (NF), provided in TBD-06-004, the survey unit that is constituted by EP FH-111 passes FSS.

5.4 Background was not subtracted from the survey measurements and the Elevated Measurement Comparison (EMC) was not employed for the accessible portion of this survey unit.

5.5 Statistical Summary Table

Statistical Parameter	2" Pipe
Total Number of Survey Measurements	2
Number of Measurements >MDC	2
Number of Measurements Above 50% of DCGL	0
Number of Measurements Above DCGL	0
Mean	0.0271
Median	0.0271
Standard Deviation	0.0018
Maximum	0.0283
Minimum	0.0258

6.0 Documentation of evaluations pertaining to compliance with the unrestricted use limit of 25 mrem/yr and dose contributions from Embedded Pipe and radionuclides contributing 10% in aggregate of the total dose for both structural scenarios and soils.

6.1 A review of the survey results has shown that the dose contribution for EP FH-111 to be less than 1 mrem/yr. The dose contribution is estimated to be 0.027 mrem/yr based on the average of the actual gross counts measured.

7.0 Attachments

Attachment 1 – BSI EP/BP Survey Report
Attachment 2 – Pipe Interior Radiological Survey Form
Attachment 3 – DQA Worksheet
Attachment 4 –Disc containing RR for EP FH-111 & Spreadsheet

SECTION 7
ATTACHMENT 1
__2__ PAGE(S)

BSI EP/BP SURVEY REPORT

Pipe ID	EP FH-111	Survey Location	Rm #7 -11' el.
Survey Date	07-Sep-06	2350-1 #	189094
Survey Time	08:16	Detector-Sled #	44-159 238367/no sled
Pipe Size	2"	Detector Efficiency	0.00013
DCGL (dpm/100cm2)	3.79E+06	Pipe Area Incorporated by Detector Efficiency (in cm2)	486
Pipe Area Incorporated by Survey Data (m^2)	0.1	Field BKG (cpm)	35.5
Routine Survey	X	Field MDCR (cpm)	27.5
QA Survey		Nominal MDC (dpm/100cm2)	20,765

Survey Measurement Results	
Total Number of Survey Measurements	2
Number of Measurements >MDC	2
Number of Measurements Above 50% DCGL	0
Number of Measurements Above DCGL	0
Mean	0.0271
Median	0.0271
Standard Deviation	0.0018
Maximum	0.0283
Minimum	0.0258

Survey Technician(s)	STOCK

Survey Unit Classification	1
TBD 06-004 Piping Group	3.1
SR-13 Radionuclide Distribution Sample	EP 3-5
Measured Nuclide	Cs-137
Area Factor/EMC Used	No
Pass/Fail FSS	Pass
MREM/YR Contribution	<1

COMMENTS:
ACTIVITY VALUES NOT BACKGROUND CORRECTED

RP Engineer | Date	Ord Rudall 12-12-07

EP FH-111
2" Pipe
TBD 06-004 Group 3.1

Measurement #	gcpm	ncpm	Cs-137 activity (total dpm)	Cs-137 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Co-60 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Eu-152 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Eu-154 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Nb-94 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Ag-108m activity (dpm/100cm2)	Unity
1	34	34	261,538	53,766	3,296	-	220	-	-	0.028
2	31	31	238,462	49,022	3,005	-	201	-	-	0.026
									MEAN	0.027
									MEDIAN	0.027
									STD DEV	0.002
									MAX	0.028
									MIN	0.026

SECTION 7
ATTACHMENT 2
__2__ PAGE(S)

Pipe Interior Radiological Survey Form

Date: 9/7/06 Time: 0816

Pipe ID#: FH-111 ~~63~~ Pipe Diameter: 2.0" Access Point Area: Rm 7

Building: FAN House Elevation: -11' System: _____

Type of Survey Investigation _____ Characterization _____ Final Survey _____ Other ~

Gross _____ Co60 _____ Cs ~

Detector ID# / Sled ID# 44-159 # 238367 / NO SLED

Detector Cal Date: 6/21/06 Detector Cal Due Date: 6/21/07

Instrument: 2350-1 Instrument ID #: 189094

Instrument Cal Date: 3/15/06 Instrument Cal Due Date: 3/15/07

From the Daily Pipe Survey Detector Control Form for the Selected Detector

Background Value 35.5 cpm

$MDCR_{static}$ 27.5 cpm

Efficiency Factor for Pipe Diameter 0.00013 (from detector efficiency determination)

MDC_{static} 20765 dpm/ 100 cm^2

Is the MDC_{static} acceptable? (Yes) No (if no, adjust sample count time and recalculate $MDCR_{static}$)

Comments: ENTIRE, EP3-3 COMPLETE

Technician Signature _____

Pipe Interior Radiological Survey

Position #	Feet into Pipe from Opening	Count Time (min)	Gross Counts	Gross cpm	Net cpm	dpm/100cm²
1	1	1	34	34	n/a	n/a
2	2	1	31	31	↓	↓
3						
4						
5			N			
6						
7						
8				A		
9						
10						

Babcock SERVICES INC.

REFERENCE COPY

PF00770

~~63~~

FH-111



PAGE 2 of 2

REFERENCE COPY

SECTION 7
ATTACHMENT 3
__1__ PAGE(S)

DQA Check Sheet				
Design #	EP FH-111	Revision #	Original	
Survey Unit #	EP FH-111			

Preliminary Data Review`			
Answers to the following questions should be fully documented in the Survey Unit Release Record	Yes	No	N/A
1. Have surveys been performed in accordance with survey instructions in the Survey Design?	X		
2. Is the instrumentation MDC for structure static measurements below the $DCGL_W$ for Class 1 and 2 survey units, or below 0.5 $DCGL_W$ for Class 3 survey units?			X
3. Is the instrumentation MDC for embedded/buried piping static measurements below the $DCGL_W$?	X		
4. Was the instrumentation MDC for structure scan measurements, soil scan measurements, and embedded/buried piping scan measurements below the $DCGL_W$, or, if not, was the need for additional static measurements or soil samples addressed in the survey design?			X
5. Was the instrumentation MDC for volumetric measurements and smear analysis < 10% $DCGL_W$?			X
6. Were the MDCs and assumptions used to develop them appropriate for the instruments and techniques used to perform the survey?	X		
7. Were the survey methods used to collect data proper for the types of radiation involved and for the media being surveyed?	X		
8. Were "Special Methods" for data collection properly applied for the survey unit under review?	X		
9. Is the data set comprised of qualified measurement results collected in accordance with the survey design, which accurately reflects the radiological status of the facility?	X		
Graphical Data Review			
1. Has a posting plot been created?			X
2. Has a histogram (or other frequency plot) been created?			X
3. Have other graphical data tools been created to assist in analyzing the data?			X
Data Analysis			
1. Are all sample measurements below the $DCGL_W$ (Class 1 & 2), or 0.5 $DCGL_W$ (Class 3)?	X		
2. Is the mean of the sample data < $DCGL_W$?	X		
3. If elevated areas have been identified by scans and/or sampling, is the average activity in each elevated area < $DCGL_{EMC}$ (Class 1), < $DCGL_W$ (Class 2), or <0.5 $DCGL_W$ (Class 3)?			X
4. Is the result of the Elevated Measurements Test < 1.0?			X
5. Is the result of the statistical test (***S+*** for Sign Test or W_r for WRS Test) ≥ the critical value?			X

Comments:

FSS/Characterization Engineer (print/sign)	CL Wood / CL Wood	Date	12.17.07
FSS/ Characterization Manager (print/sign)	R. Case	Date	12/18/07

Form
CS-09/2
Rev 0

SECTION 7
ATTACHMENT 4
1 DISC