

#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 6, 1991

Docket Nos. 50-390 and 50-391

> 9102140314 910206 PDR ADDCK 05000390

PDR

LICENSEE: Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)

FACILITY: Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

SUBJECT: MEETING SUMMARY - January 29, 1991 MEETING ON SEISMIC CLASSIFICATION FOR CABLE CONDUIT AND TRAYS (TAC R00508 and R00516)

REFERENCES: (1) Letter, P. S. Tam to O. D. Kingsley (TVA), dated November 29, 1990

(2) Meeting Notice by P. S. Tam (NRC), dated January 10, 1991

On January 29, 1991, the staff (NRC) met with TVA representatives at NRC offices in Bethesda, Maryland to discuss the issue of seismic classification of the Watts Bar electrical cable trays and conduit. Specifically, the staff was concerned that TVA has not classified the trays and conduit as seismic Category I. The staff's concern has been transmitted to TVA by Reference 1. Enclosure 1 is the meeting attendee list. Enclosure 2 is a copy of the slides used by TVA representatives.

For the classification of cable trays in seismic Category I structures and conduit carrying Class 1E cable, TVA proposed to delete its Category I(L) designation. Instead, TVA will consider all cable trays and conduit containing Class 1E cable to be safety-related. All safety-related cable trays and conduit will be designed to withstand normal (i.e., gravity) and safe-shutdown earthquake loads.

TVA proposed to use a combination of analyses, testing and plant walkthrough to demonstrate that the cable trays and conduit meet the guidelines of the Standard Review Plan. Specifically, the trays and conduit will retain their structural integrity and remain functional under loads associated with a safe-shutdown earthquake (SSE).

The staff and its consultants recognized that TVA is proposing to use an inelastic seismic analysis approach not normally used by other nuclear utilities, and therefore stated that TVA should provide additional information to support acceptance of the approach. Staff review effort is expected to be significant. The staff requested that TVA address the following issues:

- (1) Identify if Unistrut members are still installed in cable tray supports at Watts Bar.
- (2) Justify the applicability of the cable tray interaction (transverse-to-vertical) formula for different ductility ratios.

- (3) Review available literature, including the paper by Mahin and Bertero, "An Evaluation of Inelastic Seismic Design Spectra", ASCE Spring Convention, April 1978, provided by the staff during the meeting to determine whether the limitations expressed in the paper are relevant to TVA's planned application.
- (4) Justify the bases for using a ductility ratio of 3 for inelastic seismic analysis in light of the recommendations provided in NUREG/CR-1161.
- (5) Address how TVA's proposed use of the inelastic response spectra for cable trays conforms with the recommendations in NUREG/CR-1161 which apply to inelastic building response.

The staff reiterated the request, originally stated in Reference (1), that TVA formally document its approach in a submittal to the NRC staff, taking into account the staff's concerns above.

Original signed by

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate II-4 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/enclosures: See next page

0FC	:PDII-4/LA	:PDII-4/PM	:EMEB/SC	_ :PDII-4/DD	:PDII_4/D	:
NAME	MKrebs MK	PTam	:DTerao	SBlack	:FHebdon	
DATE	:2/7/91	:2/ 5/91	:2/ 5/91	:2/6/91	:2/6/91	
	OFFICIAL RECORD Document Name:	COPY WBN MEETING S	SUMMARY			

-2-

Meeting Summary, Memorandum for Trip Reports or Site Visits

Docket Files	
NRC PDR	
Local PDR	*
F. Miraglia	12-G-18
J. Partlow	12-G-18
S. Varga	14-E-4
G. Lainas	14-H-3
F. Hebdon	
S. Black	
M. Krebs	
P. Tam	. * .
J. Wechselberger	17-G-13
M. Branch	RII
G. Walton	RII
K. Barr	RII
H. Livermore	RII
OGC	15-B-18
E. Jordan	MNBB-3302
B. Wilson	RII
M. Callahan	17-A-3
ACRS (10)	
J. Fair	7-E-23
T. Cheng	7-E-23
D. Terao	7-E-23
T. Chan	7-E-23
J. Donohew	
WB Reading File	
H. B. Wang	9-A-1





#### CC:

Mr. Marvin Runyon, Chairman Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 7A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Edward G. Wallace Manager, Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Tennessee Valley Authority 5N 157B Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Mr. John B. Waters, Director Tennessee Valley Authority ET 12A 9A 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. W. F. Willis Chief Operating Officer ET 12B 16B 400 West Summit Hill Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

General Counsel Tennessee Valley Authority 400 West Summit Hill Drive ET 11B 33H Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. Dwight Nunn Vice President, Nuclear Projects Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37902

Dr. Mark O. Medford Vice President, Nuclear Assurance, Licensing and Fuels Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Tennessee Valley Authority Rockville Office 11921 Rockville Pike Suite 402 Rockville, Maryland 20852 Mr. John H. Garrity, Site Vice President Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P. O. Box 800 Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Mr. R. J. Stevens, Site Licensing Manager Watts Bar Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P. O. Box 800 Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Mr. Richard F. Wilson Vice President, New Projects Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Honorable Robert Aikman, County Judge Rhea County Courthouse Dayton, Tennessee 37321

Honorable Johnny Powell, County Judge Meigs County Courthouse, Route 2 Decatur, Tennessee 37322

Mr. Michael H. Mobley, Director Division of Radiological Health T.E.R.R.A. Building, 6th Floor 150 9th Avenue North Nashville, Tennessee 37219-5404

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Senior Resident Inspector Watts Bar Nuclear Plant U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Route 2, Box 700 Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Mr. Cliver D. Kingsley, Jr. Senior Vice President, Nuclear Power Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

#### Enclosure 1

#### NRC-TVA TECHNICAL MEETING ON WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT

<u>Organization</u>

#### <u>Attendee</u>

Joseph Braverman Paul Bezler Gary Hammer John R. Fair Thomas O. Cheng Ruben O. Hernandez David Terao Michael Shulman Raj Raheja Steve Eder . Wayne A. Massie Peter S. Tam Terence Chan Steve Pope Jack Donohew Tom Ippolito

Brookhaven National Laboratory Brookhaven National Laboratory EMEB/NRR/NRC EMEB/NRR/NRC ESGB/NRR/NRC TVA, Watts Bar EMEB/NRR/NRC TVA TVA TVA TVA Watts Bar site licensing NRC Project Manager for Watts Bar EMEB/NRR/NRC NUS Corporation NRC Project Manager for Sequoyah TVA Washington Office

# SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

#### INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

WBN SUBMITTALS & PRESENTATIONS

NRC RESPONSE

PRESENTATION PURPOSE

# SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

### OUTLINE OF PRESENTATION

- SUMMARY
- REGULATORY GUIDANCE & QUALIFICATION APPROACH
- DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS
- EVALUATION PROCESS
- CONCLUSIONS

# SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

#### SUMMARY

### CONDUIT CARRYING 1E CABLE

- DELETE I(L) DESIGNATION
- QUALIFICATION OF CONDUIT MEETS REGULATORY GUIDANCE AND SRP REQUIREMENTS.

### CABLE TRAYS IN CATEGORY I STRUCTURES

- CABLE TRAY SUPPORTS
- CABLE TRAY TO SUPPORT CONNECTION
- CABLE TRAYS
  - DELETE I(L) DESIGNATION
  - CRITERIA & TESTING
  - EVALUATION METHODOLOGY
- CONCLUSION

### WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS REGULATORY GUIDANCE & QUALIFICATION APPROACH

#### REGULATORY GUIDANCE

• USNRC STANDARD REVIEW PLAN (SRP) 3.7.2 SECTION II-1

#### QUALIFICATION APPROACH - CABLE TRAYS

- CABLE TRAY SUPPORTS SRP REQUIREMENTS
- TRAY CONNECTORS SRP REQUIREMENTS
- TRAYS
  - EVALUATE TO LOADS DUE TO SSE
  - INELASTIC METHODS CORRELATED TO TEST DATA
  - MARGINS OF SAFETY EQUIVALENT TO CATEGORY I

# WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS



## SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

#### DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS

CABLE TRAY SUPPORTS

#### CRITERIA

SUPPORTS DESIGNED TO STANDARD REVIEW PLAN REQUIREMENTS

#### ORIGINAL PLANT DESIGN BASIS

- SUPPORT DESIGN BASED ON TRIBUTARY CABLE TRAY SPAN LOADS IN ALL DIRECTIONS.
- SUPPORTS NOT DEPENDENT ON TRAYS FOR LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY
- ALLOWABLE STRESSES BASED ON AISC & SRP REQUIREMENTS

## WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS

#### CABLE TRAY TO SUPPORT CONNECTORS

#### CRITERIA

CONNECTORS DESIGNED TO STANDARD REVIEW PLAN REQUIREMENTS

#### BASIS

- TESTING OF STANDARD CONNECTOR SHOWED NEED FOR REPLACEMENT
- STANDARD CONNECTORS REPLACED WITH STRUCTURAL CLIP ANGLE
- CONNECTOR BOLTED TO TRAYS & WELDED TO SUPPORTS
- CONNECTORS DESIGNED TO SUPPORT TRIBUTARY SPAN LOADS IN ALL DIRECTIONS
- ALLOWABLE STRESSES BASED ON AISC & SRP REQUIREMENTS



## WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS

#### CABLE TRAYS

- SEISMIC LOADS
- DESIGN ALLOWABLES
- CRITERIA COMPARISON



#### SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

#### DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS

#### CABLE TRAY - SEISMIC LOADS

#### CRITERIA

RESPONSE AT THE PEAK OF THE IN-STRUCTURE SPECTRA UTILIZED TO ESTABLISH LOADS.

#### 7% DAMPING

PEAK RESPONSE AMPLIFIED BY MULTIMODE FACTOR

- TRANSVERSE LOADS BASED ON INELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRUM ANALYSIS
  - ELASTIC PERFECTLY PLASTIC APPROXIMATIONS OF RESPONSE UTILIZED
- VERTICAL LOADS BASED ON ELASTIC RESPONSE SPECTRUM ANALYSIS
- SIMPLE SPAN AND MULTIPLE SPAN MODELS ANALYZED TO DEFINE SEISMIC BEHAVIOR

#### <u>BASIS</u>

• COMPATIBILITY WITH WBN FSAR & SEISMIC CRITERIA COMMITMENT

- "EARTHQUAKE SPECTRA & DESIGN" BY N.M.
  NEWMARK & W.J. HALL
- NUREG/CR-1161

• STANDARD ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES



**Elastic Perfectly Plastic Approximation of** 

# Calculation of Spectral Accelerations for Transverse Inelastic Response



Frequency (hz.)

7% damped enveloping floor response spectrum at El. 789 ft. of Interior Concrete Structure

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS

#### CABLE TRAY DESIGN ALLOWABLES

#### CRITERIA

- VERTICAL TRAY ALLOWABLES
- $\begin{array}{l} M_{ALLOW} = 0.8 \ M_{max} & FOR \ DL + SSE \\ M_{ALLOW} = 0.33 \ M_{max} & FOR \ DL \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \bullet & VERTICAL \ LOAD \ TEST \\ OF \ SIMPLY \ SUPPORTED \\ \end{array}$
- TRANSVERSE TRAY ALLOWABLES

M..... BASED ON EPP YIELD POINT

TRANSVERSE & VERTICAL ALLOWABLE . CYCLIC TESTING FOR 60 MOMENTS EVALUATED BY A QUADRATIC INTERACTION EQUATION

- CONNECTOR HARDWARE CAPACITIES BASED ON STANDARD CODES OF PRACTICE REQUIREMENTS.
- ALLOWABLE STRESSES FOR MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS ARE 1.6 TIMES AISI ALLOWABLES
- X & T INTERSECTION FITTINGS EVALUATED TO A FACTOR OF SAFETY OF 1.25 AGAINST FORMATION OF FIRST PLASTIC HINGE
- BOLT ALLOWABLES ARE BASED ON 1.6 TIMES AISI ALLOWABLES

#### BASIS

- OF SIMPLY SUPPORTED TRAY SPAN TO BUCKLING OF SIDE RAILS
- TRANSVERSE LOAD TESTS OF SIMPLE AND MULTI-SPAN CONFIGURATION
- CYCLES DID NOT RESULT IN FAILURE WITH ACCEPTABLE DEFLECTIONS.
  - CORRELATIVE ANALYSES PERFORMED ON FINITE ELEMENT MODEL OF THE **TESTED CONFIGURATIONS**
  - AISI AND SRP
  - STANDARD REVIEW PLAN
  - COMPATIBILITY WITH VERTICAL LOAD TESTING
- STANDARD REVIEW PLAN



Lateral load-deflection test data for a 45° Riser section. The test configuration is shown in the inset. The plots inclicate inelastic deformation capability far exceeding the ductility factor of three. The 1/4" riser connector bolt failed when the total transverse load to the riser section reached 2199 lb.

# Load Displacement Curve



# WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS DESIGN CRITERIA & BASIS

### CABLE TRAY - CRITERIA COMPARISON

ELEMENT		ELEMENT	TVA DESIGN CRITERIA	SRP BASED DESIGN	
	1.	SEISMIC LOAD DETERMINATION	ELASTIC & INELASTIC ANALYSES	SRP SECTION 3.7.2	
	2.	VERTICAL TRAY ALLOWABLE	DL + SSE: 0.8 M <sub>max</sub> (34 ksi)	<b>S = 32</b> ksi	
			DL: 0.33 M <sub>max</sub> (14 ksi)	S = 20 ksi	
	3.	TRANSVERSE TRAY ALLOWABLE	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE DUCTILITY Equal to 3	· · · · · · ·	
			DEFLECTION AT ALLOWABLE DUCTILITY APPROXIMATELY 2" FOR STANDARD 8' SPAN		
	4.	CONNECTOR HARDWARE & MISC DETAILS	AISI & SRP REQUIREMENTS	SAME	
1					-

#### SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS

#### EVALUATION PROCESS

- ESTABLISH CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY.
- DEVELOP QUALIFICATION OF STANDARD CONFIGURATIONS.
- DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS FOR PLANT WALKTHROUGHS
- PLANT WALKTHROUGHS & CRITICAL CASE IDENTIFICATION
- CRITICAL CASE EVALUATIONS, EVALUATION OF OUTLIERS, AND MODIFICATIONS
- PROGRAM CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS - GUIDELINES FOR PLANT WALKTHROUGH -

ADEQUATE FLEXIBILITY FOR SAM

PROTECTION OF CABLE INSULATION

RACEWAY SAG

DAMAGED OR MISSING COMPONENTS

NON-STANDARD OR ALTERED HARDWARE

• RATTLESPACE

POTENTIAL INSTABILITIES DUE TO MULTIPLE HINGES

NON-DUCTILE COMPONENTS

CRITICAL CASE PARAMETERS

### WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUITS & CABLE TRAYS - CRITICAL CASE IDENTIFICATION -

THE CRITICAL-CASE IDENTIFICATION WILL CONSIDER CRITICAL PARAMETERS:

- PLANT ELEVATION
- SPAN LENGTH
- MULTIPLE SPLICES
- LONG RISERS FROM HINGED JOINTS
- ELBOWS, TEES, CROSSES WITHOUT INDEPENDENT SUPPORT
- LONG CANTILEVERS
- HEAVY ATTACHMENTS
  - UNUSUAL CONFIGURATIONS THAT MAY EXHIBIT NON-DUCTILE BEHAVIOR
- OVERFILLED CABLE TRAYS

#### SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF CONDUIT & CABLE TRAYS

CONCLUSIONS

CABLE TRAY I(L) DESIGNATION WILL BE REMOVED FROM FSAR. SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF SAFETY-RELATED CABLE TRAYS:

- IS BASED ON TESTING AND ANALYSES OF WBN TRAY CONFIGURATIONS WHICH MEET REGULATORY GUIDANCE
- ENSURES TRAYS REMAIN FUNCTIONAL UNDER SSE LOADS
- PROVIDES MARGINS EQUIVALENT TO THOSE OBTAINED BY SRP REQUIREMENTS