AREVA

# CALCULATION SUMMARY SHEET (CSS)

Document Identifier 32 - 9049387 - 001

Title North Anna Units 1&2, Pressurizer Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis

| PREPARED BY: | | REVIEWED BY: | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | METHOD: ☒ DETAILED CHECK ☐ INDEPENDENT CALCULATION | |
| NAME Monika Sedlakova | | NAME Lingyah Yen | |
| SIGNATURE Monika Sedlakova | | SIGNATURE Lingyah Yen | |
| TITLE Engineer | DATE 12/12/07 | TITLE Advisory Engineer | DATE 12/12/07 |
| COST CENTER 41315 | REF PAGE(S) 119 | TM STATEMENT: REVIEWER INDEPENDENCE NAME | Tim Wiger T.M. Wiger |

PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF RESULTS:

**Purpose:**

This document is a non-proprietary version of AREVA NP Document 32-9038239-002. The proprietary information removed from 32-9038239-002 is indicated by a pair of square brackets "[ ]." The geometry and operating condition are Dominion Power proprietary. The purpose of this calculation is to qualify the North Anna Units 1 & 2 Pressurizer Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Design to the requirements specified in Reference 13.7.

The purpose of Revision 001 is to update non-proprietary version of AREVA NP Document 32-9038239-002.

**Conclusion:**

The calculations demonstrate that the design of the Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay for the North Anna Units 1 & 2 Pressurizer has met the stress and fatigue requirements of the Design Code (Reference 13.1).
Based on the loads and cycles specified in References 13.8 and 13.16, the conservative fatigue analysis indicates that the Pressurizer Surge Nozzle has a maximum fatigue usage factor of[ ]Years of operation (Reference 13.7) compared to the ASME Code allowed maximum value of 1.0.

Revision 001 is complete revision.
The total number of pages is 254 which are consisted of pages 1 to 250 and 4 inserted pages, 4a, 8a, 10a and 243a.

| THE FOLLOWING COMPUTER CODES HAVE BEEN USED IN THIS DOCUMENT: | | THE DOCUMENT CONTAINS ASSUMPTIONS THAT MUST BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO USE ON SAFETY-RELATED WORK |
|---|---|---|
| CODE/VERSION/REV | CODE/VERSION/REV | ☐ YES |
| | | ☒ NO |

# RECORD OF REVISIONS

| Revision | Date | Pages/Sections Changed | Brief Description |
|---|---|---|---|
| 000 | 02/2007 | All | Original Release |
| 001 | 12/2007 | All Pages | Update non-proprietary version of AREVA NP Document 32-9038239-002. |

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

**RECORD OF REVISIONS** ..... **2**
**LIST OF TABLES** ..... **5**
**LIST OF FIGURES** ..... **9**
**1. PURPOSE** ..... **11**
1.1 INTRODUCTION ..... 11
1.2 SCOPE ..... 11
**2. ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY** ..... **12**
**3. KEY ASSUMPTIONS** ..... **13**
**4. DESIGN INPUT** ..... **14**
4.1 GEOMETRY ..... 14
4.2 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL ..... 15
4.3 MATERIALS ..... 18
4.4 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND LOADS ..... 19
4.4.1 Thermal analysis ..... 19
4.4.2 Structural Analysis ..... 19
**5. EXTERNAL LOADS** ..... **21**
5.1 NOZZLE CROSS SECTION CHARACTERISTICS ..... 23
5.2 APPLICABLE STRESS INTENSITY DUE TO EXTERNAL LOADS FOR PRIMARY + SECONDARY QUALIFICATION ..... 25
**6. DESIGN CONDITION** ..... **38**
**7. THERMAL ANALYSIS** ..... **42**
**8. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS** ..... **68**
**9. ASME CODE CRITERIA** ..... **69**
9.1 ASME CODE PRIMARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA ..... 69
9.2 ASME CODE PRIMARY+SECONDARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA 70
9.2.1 Path Stress Evaluation ..... 70
9.2.2 Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity Range Qualification (NB 3222.2) ..... 74
9.2.2.1 Summary of Stress Intensity Range Qualification ..... 86
9.2.3 Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis (NB-3228.5) ..... 86

9.2.3.1 Primary + Secondary SI Range (Excluding thermal bending stresses) (NB-3228.5(a)) ..... 86
9.2.3.2 Factor Ke (NB-3228.5(b)) ..... 90
9.2.3.3 Fatigue Usage Factor (NB-3228.5(c) and NB-3222.4) ..... 90
9.2.3.4 Thermal Stress Ratchet (NB-3228.5(d) and NB-3222.5) ..... 90
9.2.3.5 Temperature Limits (NB-3228.5(e)) ..... 96
9.2.3.6 Minimum Strength Ratio (NB-3228.5(f)) ..... 96
9.2.4 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation ..... 97
**10. RESULTS SUMMARY/CONCLUSION ..... 106**
**11. SOFTWARE VERIFICATION ..... 107**
**12. COMPUTER OUTPUT FILES ..... 108**
**13. REFERENCES ..... 119**
**APPENDIX A ..... 120**
**PATH STRESSES FOR FRACTURE MECHANICS ..... 120**
**A.1 PURPOSE ..... 121**
**A.2 STRESS AND TEMPERATURE EVALUATION ..... 121**
**APPENDIX B ..... 127**
**STRUCTURAL TIME POINTS OF INTEREST ..... 127**
**B.1 TIME POINTS OF INTEREST USED FOR STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS ..... 128**
**APPENDIX C ..... 169**
**JUSTIFICATION OF INSUFFECIENT LENGTH OF WELD OVERLAY ..... 169**
**C.1 PURPOSE ..... 170**
**C.2 ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY ..... 170**
**C.3 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ..... 170**
**C.4 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS ..... 171**
**APPENDIX D ..... 173**
**RE-ANALYSIS WITH INSURGE AND OUTSURGE TRANSIENT ..... 173**
**D.1 PURPOSE ..... 174**
**D.2 THERMAL ANALYSIS ..... 174**
**D.3 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS ..... 179**
**D.4 ASME CODE CRITERIA ..... 181**

D.4.1 ASME CODE PRIMARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA ..... 181
D.4.2 ASME CODE PRIMARY+SECONDARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA ..... 182
D.4.2.1 Path Stress Evaluation ..... 182
D.4.2.2 Primary+Secondary Stress Intensity Range Qualification ..... 183
D.4.2.2.1 Summary of Stress Intensity Range Qualification ..... 191
D.4.2.3 Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis (NB-3228.5) ..... 191
D.4.2.3.1 Primary + Secondary SI Range (Excluding thermal bending stresses) (NB-3228.5(a)) ..... 191
D.4.2.3.2 Factor Ke (NB-3228.5(b)) ..... 197
D.4.2.3.3 Fatigue Usage Factor (NB-3228.5(c) and NB-3222.4) ..... 197
D.4.2.3.4 Thermal Stress Ratchet (NB-3228.5(d) and NB-3222.5) ..... 197
D.4.2.3.5 Thermal Only External Loading ..... 208
D.4.2.3.5 Temperature Limits (NB-3228.5(e)) ..... 208
D.4.2.3.7 Minimum Strength Ratio (NB-3228.5(f)) ..... 208
D.4.2.4 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation ..... 210
**D.5 SOFTWARE VERIFICATION ..... 229**
**D.6 COMPUTER OUTPUT FILES ..... 229**
**APPENDIX E ..... 231**
**NON-LINEAR ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS ..... 231**
**E.1 PURPOSE ..... 232**
**E.2 NON-LINEAR ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS (NB-3228.4) ..... 232**
E.2.1 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL FOR ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS ..... 233
E.2.2 DESIGN CONDITION ANALYSIS ..... 235
E.2.3 THERMAL TRANSIENT ANALYSIS FOR IOSURGE TRANSIENT ..... 236
E.2.4 ELASTIC-PLASTIC MATERIAL PROPERTIES ..... 237
E.2.5 EXTERNAL LOADS ..... 240
E.2.6 RESULTS OF THE ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS ..... 243
**E.3 SOFTWARE VERIFICATION ..... 249**
**E.4 COMPUTER OUTPUT FILES ..... 249**

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 5-1 Applicable External Loads ..... 21
Table 5-2 Applicable Loads Due to Thermal Stratification ..... 22
Table 5-3 Nozzle Cross Sectional Characteristics (Maximum WOL) ..... 23
Table 5-4 Nozzle Cross Sectional Characteristics (Minimum WOL) ..... 23
Table 5-5 Primary + Secondary SI Due to External Loads (Max WOL) ..... 26
Table 5-6 Primary + Secondary SI Due to External Loads (Min WOL) ..... 27
Table 5-7 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Max WOL) ..... 28
Table 5-8 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Min WOL) ..... 29
Table 5-9 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Max WOL) ..... 30
Table 5-10 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Min WOL) ..... 31
Table 5-11 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Max WOL) ..... 32
Table 5-12 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Min WOL) ..... 33
Table 5-13 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Max WOL) ..... 34
Table 5-14 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-{ }(Min WOL) ..... 35
Table 5-15 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case OP-{ }(Max WOL) ..... 36
Table 5-16 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case OP-{ }(Min WOL) ..... 37
Table 7-1 Transients ..... 42
Table 7-2 Nodes of Interest for Evaluation of Temperature Gradients ..... 45
Table 7-3 Temperature Gradients of Interest ..... 45
Table 9-1 Path Descriptions ..... 71
Table 9-2 Summary of Maximum Primary + Secondary SI Ranges for Membrane + Bending Stresses (Maximum WOL) ..... 75

Table 9-3 Comparison of Maximum SI Range including External Loads to ASME Code 3*Sm Criteria (Maximum WOL) ..... 76

Table 9-4 External Stress Added by Components (Maximum WOL) ..... 78

Table 9-5 Summary of Maximum Primary + Secondary SI Ranges for Membrane + Bending Stresses (Minimum WOL) ..... 80

Table 9-6 Comparison of Maximum SI Range including External Loads to ASME Code 3*Sm Criteria (Minimum WOL) ..... 81

Table 9-7 External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL) ..... 83

Table 9-8 Maximum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending ..... 87

Table 9-9 Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending ..... 89

Table 9-10 Allowable Ranges of Thermal Stresses for Maximum WOL ..... 91

Table 9-11 Allowable Ranges of Thermal Stresses for Minimum WOL ..... 91

Table 9-12 Thermal M+B SI Range for Maximum WOL ..... 92

Table 9-13 Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL ..... 94

Table 9-14 Stress Category and FSRF in Fatigue Evaluation ..... 97

Table 10-1 Summary of Results(1) ..... 106

Table 11-1 Software Verification Files ..... 107

Table 12-1 Computer Output and Input Files ..... 108

Table A- 1 Paths Description Min WOL ..... 121

Table A- 2 Stress and Temperature Result Files for Fracture Mechanics Evaluation ..... 123

Table B- 1 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Heatup and Cooldown-MAX WOL ..... 129

Table B- 2 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Loading and Unloading-MAX WOL ..... 130

Table B- 3 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Increase-MAX WOL ..... 131

Table B- 4 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Decrease-MAX WOL ..... 132

Table B- 5 Structural Time Points of Interest for Large Step Decrease In Load-MAX WOL ..... 133

Table B- 6 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Load-MAX WOL ..... 134

Table B- 7 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Power-MAX WOL ..... 135

Table B- 8 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Flow-MAX WOL ..... 136

Table B- 9 Structural Time Points of Interest for Feedwater Cycling at Hot Shutdown-MAX WOL ..... 137

Table B- 10 Structural Time Points of Interest for Boron Concentration Equalization-MAX WOL ..... 138

Table B- 11 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with No Cooldown-MAX WOL138

Table B- 12 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown but no Safety Injection-MAX WOL....139

Table B- 13 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown and Safety Injection-MAX WOL....139

Table B- 14 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Reactor Coolant System Depressurization-MAX WOL....140

Table B- 15 Structural Time Points of Interest for Control Rod Drop-MAX WOL....141

Table B- 16 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Startup of an Inactive Loop-MAX WOL....142

Table B- 17 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Safety Injection Actuation-MAX WOL....143

Table B- 18 Structural Time Points of Interest for Turbine Roll Test-MAX WOL....144

Table B- 19 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Shutdown-MAX WOL....144

Table B- 20 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Startup-MAX WOL....145

Table B- 21 Structural Time Points of Interest for RCS Cold Overpressurization-MAX WOL146

Table B- 22 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Heatup and Cooldown-MIN WOL....147

Table B- 23 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Loading and Unloading-MIN WOL..149

Table B- 24 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Increase-MIN WOL....150

Table B- 25 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Decrease-MIN WOL....151

Table B- 26 Structural Time Points of Interest for Large Step Decrease In Load-MIN WOL ..152

Table B- 27 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Load-MIN WOL....153

Table B- 28 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Power-MIN WOL....154

Table B- 29 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Flow-MIN WOL....155

Table B- 30 Structural Time Points of Interest for Feedwater Cycling at Hot Shutdown-MIN WOL....156

Table B- 31 Structural Time Points of Interest for Boron Concentration Equalization-MIN WOL....157

Table B- 32 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with No Cooldown-MIN WOL158

Table B- 33 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown but no Safety Injection-MIN WOL....159

Table B- 34 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown and Safety Injection-MIN WOL ..... 160

Table B- 35 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Reactor Coolant System Depressurization-MIN WOL ..... 161

Table B- 36 Structural Time Points of Interest for Control Rod Drop-MIN WOL ..... 162

Table B- 37 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Startup of an Inactive Loop-MIN WOL ..... 163

Table B- 38 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Safety Injection Actuation-MIN WOL ..... 164

Table B- 39 Structural Time Points of Interest for Turbine Roll Test-MIN WOL ..... 165

Table B- 40 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Shutdown-MIN WOL ..... 166

Table B- 41 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Startup-MIN WOL ..... 167

Table B- 42 Structural Time Points of Interest for RCS Cold Overpressurization-MIN WOL ..... 168

Table C- 1 Computer Output Files For Appendix C ..... 171

Table D-1 Insurge & Outsurge Transients ..... 174

Table D-2 IOSurge Transient (Δt = [ ] ..... 175

Table D-3 IOSurge110 Transient (Δt = [ ] ..... 175

Table D-4 Structural Time Points of Interest for Insurge/Outsurge Transient with Temperature Drop of [ ] ..... 179

Table D-5 Structural Time Points of Interest for Insurge/Outsurge Transient with Temperature Drop of [ ] ..... 179

Table D-6 Summary of Maximum Primary + Secondary SI Ranges for Membrane + Bending Stresses (Minimum WOL) ..... 184

Table D-7 Comparison of Maximum SI Range including External Loads to ASME Code 3*Sm Criteria (Minimum WOL) ..... 185

Table D-8 External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL) ..... 186

Table D-9 Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending ..... 192

Table D-9 (Continued) Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending ..... 195

Table D-9 (Continued) Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending ..... 196

Table D-10 Allowable Ranges of Thermal Stresses for Minimum WOL ..... 198

Table D-11 Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL ..... 199

Table D-12 Thermal External Loads ..... 208

Table D-13 Thermal External Loads ....208
Table D-12 Stress Category and FSRF in Fatigue Evaluation ....210
Table D-13 PipeL Usage Factor Calculation ....212
Table D-14 SEU_Weld Usage Factor Calculation ....215
Table D-15 SEL_Wola Usage Factor Calculation ....218
Table D-16 SEL_Welda Usage Factor Calculation ....221
Table D-17 P_Wol Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation ....223
Table D-18 Noz Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation ....225
Table D-19 Sleeve Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation ....227
Table D-20 Software Verification Files ....229
Table D-21 Computer Output and Input Files ....229
Table E-1 Elastic-Plastic Material Properties of [ ] ....239
Table E-2 First Strain Values for Table E-1 ....239
Table E-3 Elastic-Plastic Material Properties of [ ] ....239
Table E-4 External Loads ....241
Table E-5 Time Points of Interest for 'IOSurge' Structural Elastic-Plastic Analysis ....242
Table E-6 Maximum Principal Total Strain Ranges ....244
Table E-7 Maximum Principal Strain Ranges and Calculated SI Ranges ....247
Table E-8 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation for Safe End ....248
Table E-9 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation for Thermal Sleeve ....248
Table E-10 Software Verification Files ....249
Table E-11 Computer Output and Input Files ....249

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1 Surge Nozzle Geometry with Weld Overlay ..... 15

Figure 4-2 Finite Element Model of Surge Nozzle with Weld Overlay (Maximum WOL Shown) 16

Figure 4-3 Finite Element Model – Detail View of SWOL Region (Maximum Configuration Shown) ..... 17

Figure 4-4 Surfaces for Thermal Boundary Conditions ..... 20

Figure 5-1 Path Definitions for External Loading ..... 24

Figure 6-1 Deformed Shape versus Un-deformed Outline ..... 39

Figure 6-2 Stress Intensity Contours at Design Condition (Maximum WOL Shown) ..... 40

Figure 6-3 Stress Intensity Contours at Design Condition Boundaries (Maximum WOL Shown) 41

Figure 7-1 Location of Node Numbers for Evaluation of Temperature Gradients ..... 46

Figure 7-2 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Plant Heatup/Cooldown Transients for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 47

Figure 7-3 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Plant Loading/Unloading Transients for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 48

Figure 7-4 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge 10% Step Load Increase Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 49

Figure 7-5 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge 10% Step Load Decrease Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 50

Figure 7-6 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Large Step Decrease in Load for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 51

Figure 7-7 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loss of Load for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 52

Figure 7-8 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loss of Power Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 53

Figure 7-9 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loss of Flow Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 54

Figure 7-10 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Feedwater Cycling at Hot Shutdown Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 55

Figure 7-11 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Boron Concentration Equalization Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 56

Figure 7-12 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Reactor Trip with No Cooldown Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ..... 57

Figure 7-13 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Reactor Trip with Cooldown but no Safety Injection Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....58

Figure 7-14 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Reactor Trip with Cooldown and Safety Injection Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....59

Figure 7-15 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Inadvertent Reactor Coolant System Depressurization Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....60

Figure 7-16 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Control Rod Drop Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....61

Figure 7-17 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Inadvertent Startup of an Inactive Loop Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....62

Figure 7-18 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Inadvertent Safety Injection Actuation Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....63

Figure 7-19 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Turbine Roll Test Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....64

Figure 7-20 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Shutdown Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....65

Figure 7-21 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Startup Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration ....66

Figure 7-22 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge RCS Cold Overpressurization for Maximum WOL Configuration ....67

Figure 9-1 Path Locations in SWOL Region used for Stress Evaluation ....72

Figure 9-2 Path Locations in Nozzle used for Stress Evaluation ....73

Figure A- 1 Paths Defined for Fracture Mechanics Evaluation ....122

Figure C- 1 Stress Intensity Contour for the Thin Weld Overlay Configuration ....172

Figure D-1 Temperature and Temperature Gradient for IOSurge Transient ....177

Figure D-2 Temperature and Temperature Gradient for IOSurge110 Transient ....178

Figure E-1 3-D Finite Element Model ....233

Figure E-2 Stress Intensity Contours for 3-D Design Pressure Run ....235

Figure E-3 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for IOSurge Transient ....236

Figure E-4 Elastic-Plastic Region of the Model ....238

Figure E-5 External Loads Coordinate System ....240

Figure E-6 External Loads at Pilot Node ....242

Figure E-7 Nodes for Post-Processing of Elastic-Plastic Analysis ....243

Figure E-8 Strain Plots for Node #12507 and IOSurge Transient ..... 245
Figure E-9 Displacement Plots for Node #12507 and IOSurge Transient ..... 245
Figure E-10 Strain Plots for Node #18575 and IOSurge Transient ..... 246
Figure E-11 Displacement Plots for Node #18575 and IOSurge Transient ..... 246

# 1. PURPOSE

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) of Alloy 82/182 materials is a well-documented phenomenon in the nuclear power. High temperature components, such as those associated with the pressurizer, have risk for PWSCC. Dominion Generation (Dominion) plans to mitigate the North Anna Units 1 and 2 pressurizer nozzle Alloy 82/182 dissimilar metal welds (DMW) with full structural weld overlays (SWOL) during the fall 2007 and spring 2007 refueling outages for Units 1 and 2, respectively. The planned mitigation using SWOL is a preemptive measure to reduce susceptibility of the DMW and the adjacent pipe/fitting to safe end welds to PWSCC.

## 1.2 SCOPE

The surge nozzle is located on the bottom of the pressurizer as a conduit for the surge line insurges and outsurges. The weld overlay is designed to cover both the Alloy 82/182 DM region and the austenitic stainless weld between the nozzle safe end and the piping. Application of weld overlays alters the local stress distribution. A detailed finite element analysis (FEA) is therefore conducted to investigate stress conditions under various operational transients. The results are summarized in this report to certify that criteria per ASME Code Section III for Class 1 components, Reference 13.1, are satisfied for the surge nozzle with weld overlays.

The analysis is focused on the overlaid region for requirements on both stress distribution and fatigue failure criterion. The main scope of the analysis includes the surge line piping, the stainless steel weld between the safe end and the piping, the safe end, the DM weld between the safe end and the nozzle, the surge nozzle, SWOL, and the pressurizer lower head. In addition, post-processing of thermal and structural results is performed to provide data for fracture analysis of the surge nozzle (see Appendix A).

It should be noted that the original nozzle configuration without the Weld Overlay is not analyzed in this calculation. The application of the SWOL will increase the secondary stress due to thermal gradients and added discontinuities at the SWOL to Pipe, and SWOL to Nozzle junctures. The cumulative fatigue usage factors calculated in this document assume the Surge Nozzle SWOL has been in place since the plant conception. Therefore, the usage factors calculated will be higher than the actual usage factors based on summing Surge Nozzle's usage prior to SWOL and usage with the SWOL.

# 2. ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY

The general methodology of model development and stress analysis consists of:

1) Building a two-dimensional axisymmetric model of the Pressurizer Surge Nozzle. The model incorporates the geometry (of the adjacent lower head, nozzle, safe end, welds, weld overlay, and piping), appropriate materials, and boundary conditions. The 2-D Solid model is converted into a 2-D finite element model. There are two finite element models consisting of thermal and structural elements, respectively, to enable the thermal and structural analysis.
2) Applying the design conditions of pressure and temperature (as temperature affects the material properties only) to the structural finite element model and obtaining the deformation and stresses in the model. The deformation field is used to verify the correct behavior of the model and correct modeling of boundary and load conditions.
3) Applying the thermal loads resulting from the plant operating transients (in the form of transient temperatures and corresponding heat transfer coefficients versus time). Evaluating the results of the thermal analysis by examining the magnitude of temperature differences between key locations of the model. The time points of the maximum temperature gradient are those at which the maximum thermal stresses develop.
4) Applying the corresponding pressure and thermal loads (nodal temperature) at each time point identified in step 3 and other time points of analytical interest on the structural finite element model and obtaining the stress results.
5) Hand calculating the effects due to nozzle external loads and adding the resulting stresses to the stress results due to pressure and temperature effect.
6) Comparing the results to the ASME Code for acceptability.
7) Documenting stresses and temperatures for the fracture mechanics analysis of the surge nozzle weld overlay design.

# 3. KEY ASSUMPTIONS

There are no major assumptions for this calculation. Minor assumptions are noted where applicable.

# 4. DESIGN INPUT

## 4.1 GEOMETRY

Major parts of the Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay are shown in Figure 4-1. The detailed dimensions of the surge nozzle design are shown in References 13.5 and 13.6 as noted.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Pressurizer Lower Head Inside Radius to Base Metal | = | |
| Pressurizer Lower Head Base Metal Thickness | = | |
| Pressurizer Lower Head and Nozzle Cladding Thickness | = | |
| Pipe ID | = | |
| Pipe OD | = | |
| Safe End Top OD | = | |
| Safe End Top ID | = | |
| Thermal Sleeve Weld ID | = | |
| Thermal Sleeve ID | = | |
| Thermal Sleeve OD | = | |
| Surge Nozzle ID | = | |
| Surge Nozzle OD at Weld | = | |
| Nozzle OD (near head) | = | |
| Nozzle OD (at nozzle to head weld) | = | |
| Max Weld Overlay Length | = | |
| Max Weld Overlay Thickness (at nozzle side) | = | |
| Min Weld Overlay Length | = | |
| Min Weld Overlay Thickness (at nozzle side) | = | |

The model shown in Figure 4-1 simulates, in two-dimensional space, the surge nozzle, surge nozzle safe end, and part of adjacent pressurizer vessel closure head. Since the surge nozzle is radial with respect to the spherical head, an axisymmetric model is used. The modeled portion of pressurizer head extends to 30 degrees from the axis of symmetry. This is considered sufficient for the stresses and thermal gradients to attenuate.

**Figure 4-1 Surge Nozzle Geometry with Weld Overlay**

## 4.2 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

The finite element analysis in this document is performed using ANSYS 10.0 (Reference 13.10). The model was developed in ANSYSWORKBENCH 10.0 and is shown in Figure 4-2 and Figure 4-3. The output files documenting the Nozzle geometry are listed in Section 12. The element type chosen is the structural element PLANE183 (2-D 8-Node Structural Solid). This element type is converted to element PLANE77 (2-D 8-Node Thermal Solid) for the thermal analysis.

**Figure 4-2 Finite Element Model of Surge Nozzle with Weld Overlay (Maximum WOL Shown)**

**Figure 4-3 Finite Element Model – Detail View of SWOL Region (Maximum Configuration Shown)**

## 4.3 MATERIALS

Reference 13.7 provides the component material designations of various components:

Pressurizer Lower Head
Surge Nozzle
Nozzle to Upper Head Weld
Safe End
Nozzle to Safe End Weld
Buttering Weld
Thermal Sleeve
Pipe
Safe End to Pipe Weld
Head Internal Cladding
Weld Overlay

The analysis herein uses the thermal properties – mean coefficient of thermal expansion ($\alpha$), specific heat (C), thermal conductivity (k), and the mechanical properties – modulus of elasticity (E), Poisson's ratio ($\mu$), density ($\rho$). The pertinent properties (thermal & structural) for these materials are listed in Reference 13.15.

## 4.4 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND LOADS

### 4.4.1 Thermal analysis

During operation, the inside surfaces of the Pressurizer and the inside bore surfaces of the Surge Line Nozzle are in contact with the reactor coolant. Appropriate heat transfer coefficients and bulk temperatures versus time for each region shown in Figure 4-4 are applied on these surfaces. The reactor coolant temperature varies with time depending upon the service load condition that is being applied and has been defined thoroughly in Reference 13.16.

The outside surface of pressurizer head and surge nozzle is exposed to the ambient temperature in conjunction with a small HTC. An ambient temperature of 70°F is conservatively used for all time points in the thermal analysis. Reference 13.16 specifies that a small HTC of[ ] [ ]be used in the analysis.

### 4.4.2 Structural Analysis

Pressure is applied to all exposed interior surfaces of the Pressurizer Lower Head and Nozzle. The exterior surfaces of the Pressurizer Lower Head and Nozzle are not loaded by pressure. End Cap pressure is applied to the upper end of the Piping to represent the hydrostatic end load from the piping closure.

End Cap Pressure is calculated by multiplying Pressurizer Pressure by the quantity $\left(-\frac{d^2}{D^2-d^2}\right)$.

Where:
d = ID of the piping
D = OD of the piping

The boundary conditions for the structural analysis are set to have zero displacement in the circumferential direction (from the nozzle axis) and at the plane of symmetry.

Coupling is not used in the structural analysis between the Safe End and Thermal Sleeve in the Thermal Sleeve Weld vicinity. Internal pressure, as discussed in Section 8, is applied to either side of the interface.

**Figure 4-4 Surfaces for Thermal Boundary Conditions**

# 5. EXTERNAL LOADS

Per Reference 13.16, the external forces and moments acting on the Surge Nozzle Safe End Weld location (Figure 5-1) are listed in Table 5-1. Table 5-2 lists the external loads due to Thermal Stratification only per Reference 13.8. These loads are defined in the local coordinate system with the "y" axis oriented along the nozzle axis of symmetry in the nozzle to pipe direction.

**Table 5-1 Applicable External Loads**

| OBE + Thermal[1] | Fy (Axial) *lbs* | Fx *lbs* | Fz *lbs* | Fs (Shear)[2] *lbs* | Mtx (Torsional) *in-lbs* | Mx *in-lbs* | Mz *in-lbs* | Mb (Bending)[3] *in-lbs* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Unit 1 Piping | | | | | | | | |
| Unit 2 Piping | | | | | | | | |
| Vessel Spec. | | | | | | | | |
| **Enveloped Loads** | | | | | | | | |

Note [1]: DW loads are not included in the tabulated values since this calculation is for the P+Q evaluation of the stress intensity range. Deadweight loads act at all time points of all transients, and therefore do not contribute to the stress intensity ranges. Hence their omission is appropriate

Note [2]: Shear is calculated as the SRSS of Fx and Fz.

Note [3]: Bending is calculated as the SRSS of Mx and Mz.

These loads are evaluated using hand calculation and the stresses due to these loads are added to the ANSYS results where appropriate for ASME evaluation.

## Table 5-2 Applicable Loads Due to Thermal Stratification

| Thermal Load Cases[1] | Plant Unit | Fy (Axial) *lbs* | Fx *lbs* | Fz *lbs* | Fs (Shear)[2] *lbs* | Mtx (Torsional) *in-lbs* | Mx *in-lbs* | Mz *in-lbs* | Mb (Bending)[3] *in-lbs* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Note [1]: Per Reference 13.8

Note [2]: Shear is calculated as the SRSS of Fx and Fz.

Note [3]: Bending is calculated as the SRSS of Mx and Mz.

## 5.1 NOZZLE CROSS SECTION CHARACTERISTICS

The nozzle geometric dimensions are specified in References 13.5 and 13.6. Based on these dimensions, the cross sectional characteristics (Table 5-3 and Table 5-4) are calculated for the locations depicted in Figure 5-1.

**Table 5-3 Nozzle Cross Sectional Characteristics (Maximum WOL)**

| Path Name | D | d | I | SOD | SID | A | L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | [in] | [in] | [$in^4$] | [$in^3$] | [$in^3$] | [$in^2$] | [in] |
| PipeL | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | |

Note (1): For path lines PipeL and P_Wol, the stress intensity due to axial bending stress from external shear forces would reduce the stress intensity due to transient loads. Therefore, the vertical distances from path line SEU_Weld to PipeL and P_Wol are conservatively reduced to zero.

**Table 5-4 Nozzle Cross Sectional Characteristics (Minimum WOL)**

| Path Name | D | d | I | SOD | SID | A | L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | [in] | [in] | [$in^4$] | [$in^3$] | [$in^3$] | [$in^2$] | [in] |
| PipeL | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | |

Note (1): For path lines PipeL and P_Wol, the stress intensity due to axial bending stress from external shear forces would reduce the stress intensity due to transient loads. Therefore, the vertical distances from path line SEU_Weld to PipeL and P_Wol are conservatively reduced to zero.

Where:

| | |
|---|---|
| $D$ | – outside diameter |
| $d$ | – inside diameter |
| $I = \frac{\pi}{64}\left(D^4 - d^4\right)$ | – moment of inertia |
| $S_{OD} = \frac{I}{D/2}$ | – section modulus of the nozzle – outside diameter |
| $S_{ID} = \frac{I}{d/2}$ | – section modulus of the nozzle – inside diameter |
| $A = \frac{\pi\left(D^2 - d^2\right)}{4}$ | – cross-section area of the nozzle |
| $L$ | – moment arm |

**Figure 5-1 Path Definitions for External Loading**

## 5.2 APPLICABLE STRESS INTENSITY DUE TO EXTERNAL LOADS FOR PRIMARY + SECONDARY QUALIFICATION

The Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay is exposed to the external loads. The total stress intensities applicable for primary + secondary qualification due to these loads are calculated here.

The membrane stress due to internal pressure is not considered here, since this is already included in the ANSYS transient run. Thus only OBE and thermal operating external loads (TH) are applicable for calculation in this section.

The membrane + bending stress intensities due to external loads from Table 5-1 and due to thermal stratification only from Table 5-2 are calculated as follows:

$\sigma_{ax\_EX} = \frac{F_y}{A}$ - axial membrane stress due to external axial force ($F_y$)

$\sigma_{ax\_BM} = \frac{M_b}{S}$ - axial bending stress due to external bending moment ($M_b$)

$\sigma_{ax\_BF} = \frac{F_s \cdot L}{S}$ - axial bending stress due to external shear force ($F_s$)

$\tau_{s\_F_s} = \frac{F_s}{A}$ - shear stress due to external shear force ($F_s$)

$\tau_{s\_M_t} = \frac{M_{tx}}{2 \cdot S}$ - shear stress due to external torsion moment ($M_{tx}$)

$\text{Sint} = \sqrt{\sigma_{ax\_M+B}^2 + 4 \cdot \tau_s^2}$ - membrane + bending stress intensity range

where:

$\sigma_{ax\_M+B} = \sigma_{ax\_EX} + \sigma_{ax\_MB} + \sigma_{ax\_BF}$ - axial membrane + bending stress

$\tau_s = \tau_{s\_F_s} + \tau_{s\_M_t}$ - shear stress due to external shear force and torsion moment

The maximum stress intensities due to external loads (Thermal, OBE) are listed together with the stress components in Table 5-5 (Maximum WOL) and Table 5-6 (Minimum WOL). These stress intensities will be used in the ASME Code evaluation for primary + secondary stresses and fatigue in the nozzle regions (Section 9). Additional stress intensities due to thermal stratification only are listed together with the stress components in Tables 5-7 through 5-16 for each load case. These stress intensities will be included to account for subcycles of thermal stratification during fatigue evaluation (Section 9.2.4).

**Table 5-5 Primary + Secondary SI Due to External Loads (Max WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diam | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

## Table 5-6 Primary + Secondary SI Due to External Loads (Min WOL)

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diame | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-7 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-( )(Max WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diar | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-8 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-[ ](Min WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diam | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-9 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-[ ] (Max WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diam | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-10 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-( ) (Min WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diam | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-11 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-( ) (Max WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diar | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-12 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-( ) (Min WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diam | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-13 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-( )(Max WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diam | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-14 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case HU-[ ](Min WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diar | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-15 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case OP-( )(Max WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diar | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

**Table 5-16 Primary + Secondary SI Due to Thermal Stratification Load Case OP-( )(Min WOL)**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| Inside Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |
| Outside Diar | | | | | | | | |
| PipeL | | | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | | | |

# 6. DESIGN CONDITION

The pressurizer assembly was designed to satisfy the ASME Code Criteria when operating at a pressure of [ ] at temperature of [ ] (Reference 13.8). These design conditions were simulated by setting a uniform temperature of [ ] throughout the model (this temperature is only used to define material properties and not thermal expansion) and a uniform pressure of [ ] on all inside surfaces. The Lower end of the Surge Nozzle Piping has the pressure applied to represent the hydrostatic end load. Also, pressure is applied to the assumed boundary edge at the end of Surge Line Piping. The ANSYS output file for the design condition stress analysis is [ ] for maximum and minimum WOL respectively.

Stress analysis of the model under design pressure case served two important purposes. It provides a basis for verification of the correct behavior of the model as well as boundary conditions. Stresses from the design pressure run are used to evaluate the primary stresses in the model in Section 9. Attenuation of stress effects at regions distant from the nozzle is also verified.

Figure 6-1 shows the deformed shape of the Maximum WOL model under the design pressure along with the outline of the un-deformed shape. The stress intensity contours developed in the Maximum WOL model under design pressure are shown in Figure 6-2 and Figure 6-3.

**Figure 6-1 Deformed Shape versus Un-deformed Outline**

**Figure 6-2 Stress Intensity Contours at Design Condition (Maximum WOL Shown)**

**Figure 6-3 Stress Intensity Contours at Design Condition Boundaries (Maximum WOL Shown)**

# 7. THERMAL ANALYSIS

The operating thermal loads are defined by the thermal transient conditions as contained in Reference 13.16. A summary of the applicable Service Level A (Normal) and Service Level B (Upset) transients including the applicable design cycles, is shown below in Table 7-1. The numbers of cycles listed below correspond to 60 years of pressurizer design life.

**Table 7-1 Transients**

| Transient ID Number | Operating Cycle | Name Abbreviation | Occurrences | Operating Condition |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Unit heatup at HL surge nozzle | | | Normal |
| 2 | Unit cooldown at HL surge nozzle | | | |
| 3 | Plant Loading at 5% power per minute | | | |
| 4 | Plant Unloading at 5% power per minute | | | |
| 5 | 10% step load increase | | | |
| 6 | 10% step load decrease | | | |
| 7 | Large step decrease in load | | | |
| 8 | Loss of load | | | Upset |
| 9 | Loss of power | | | |
| 10 | Loss of flow in one loop | | | |
| 11 | Feedwater cycling at hot shutdown | | | Normal |
| 12 | Boron Concentration Equalization | | | |
| 13 | Reactor trip with no cooldown | | | Upset |
| 14 | Reactor trip with cooldown but no safety injection | | | |
| 15 | Reactor trip with cooldown and safety injection | | | |
| 16 | Inadvertent reactor coolant system depressurization | | | |
| 17 | Inadvertent startup of an inactive loop | | | |
| 18 | Control rod drop | | | |
| 19 | Inadvertent safety injection actuation | | | |
| 20 | Steady state fluctuations [1] | | | Normal |
| 21 | Turbine roll test | | | Test |
| 22 | Loop out of service normal loop shutdown | | | Normal |
| 23 | Loop out of service normal loop startup | | | Normal |
| 24 | RCS cold overpressurization | | | Upset |
| 25 | Heatup and Cooldown Surge Flow Details H1-H6 and C1-C7, Subcycles of Heatup and Cooldown | | | Normal |
| 26 | Thermal Stratifications | | | Normal |

Note: [1] These small fluctuations create small stresses that are negligible compared to other transients.

The Cooldown Transient was combined with the Heatup Transient. Only the times were changed to set zero mark at 27 hours for Cooldown. Similarly, the Plant Unloading transient was combined with Plant Loading with its start time set to 0.4444 hours. The detailed thermal loading due to these transients were applied to the thermal finite element model in the form of fluid and steam temperatures and HTC versus time.

The computer input files containing definition of these transients are:

The computer output files for the thermal analyses of the transients are:

The results of the thermal analyses are evaluated by examining the magnitude of temperature differences between key locations of the model (see Figure 7-1). The time points of the maximum temperature gradient are those at which the maximum thermal stresses develop.

The computer output files that provide the temperatures at the selected locations are:

Added transients for insurge and outsurge are defined in Attachment 12 of Reference 13.8. The two added transients, IOSurge and IOSurge110 are described in Appendix D. All files and supporting information are presented in Section D.2.

The temperature gradients between these key locations (See Figure 7-1) are also listed in the above output files. The Thermal gradient for each transient are plotted in Figure 7-2 through

Figure 7-22 for the maximum WOL configuration only. The minimum configuration thermal plots look very similar to those of the maximum. These figures are used only to show the trend. Specific data are taken from the computer output files.

**Table 7-2 Nodes of Interest for Evaluation of Temperature Gradients**

| Location Designation in ANSYS DT Files | Max WOL Node No. | Min WOL Node No. | Location Description |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 3145 | 2412 | Mid thickness in Piping lower than SWOL region |
| 3 | 3774 | 3480 | Mid thickness in lower SWOL |
| 4 & 6 | 6350 | 6105 | Inside surface of Piping in SWOL Region |
| 5 | 6193 | 5950 | Outer surface of lower SWOL |
| 7 | 6159 | 6001 | Outer surface of SWOL horizontal with Pipe-to Safe End Weld |
| 8 | 5135 | 4968 | Inside surface of Nozzle above the Safe End-to-Nozzle Weld |
| 9 | 6134 | 6073 | Outer surface of SWOL horizontal with Location 8 |
| 10 | 5179 | Not Analyzed | Inside surface of Nozzle at Mouth |
| 11 | 4969 | Not Analyzed | Outer surface of Nozzle at Nozzle-to-Head Transition |

**Table 7-3 Temperature Gradients of Interest**

| Gradient Designation in ANSYS DT Files | Gradient Location | Gradient Description |
|---|---|---|
| 21 | 2 to 3 | Lower Piping to SWOL |
| 22 | 4 to 5 | Inside Piping to Outer SWOL |
| 23 | 7 to 6 | Inside Piping to Outer mid-SWOL |
| 24 | 9 to 8 | Outer SWOL to Nozzle Annulus Surface |
| 25 | 11 to 10 | Outer Nozzle-to-Head Transition to Inside Surface Nozzle Mouth |

Note: Nodes were not selected at the nozzle mouth region in Minimum Weld Overlay. That area is far enough away from the weld overlay region

**Figure 7-1 Location of Node Numbers for Evaluation of Temperature Gradients**

**Figure 7-2 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Plant Heatup/Cooldown Transients for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-3 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Plant Loading/Unloading Transients for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-4 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge 10% Step Load Increase Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-5 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge 10% Step Load Decrease Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-6 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Large Step Decrease in Load for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-7 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loss of Load for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-8 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loss of Power Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-9 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loss of Flow Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-10 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Feedwater Cycling at Hot Shutdown Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-11 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Boron Concentration Equalization Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-12 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Reactor Trip with No Cooldown Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-13 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Reactor Trip with Cooldown but no Safety Injection Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-14 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Reactor Trip with Cooldown and Safety Injection Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-15 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Inadvertent Reactor Coolant System Depressurization Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-16 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Control Rod Drop Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-17 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Inadvertent Startup of an Inactive Loop Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-18 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Inadvertent Safety Injection Actuation Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-19 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Turbine Roll Test Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-20 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Shutdown Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-21 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Startup Transient for Maximum WOL Configuration**

**Figure 7-22 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for Surge RCS Cold Overpressurization for Maximum WOL Configuration**

# 8. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Stress analyses are performed at those time points which the maximum temperature gradients (maximum thermal stresses) in addition to those defining the transient in Reference 13.16. The nodal temperature at the particular time point is read into the structural model directly from the result file of the thermal analysis. The corresponding pressure is obtained through linear interpolation from appropriate tables listed in Reference 13.16. All time points of interest are also listed in Appendix B. The computer output files for the structural analyses are:

Added files for IOSurge and IOSurge110 transients added to account for the insurge and outsurge are documented in Appendix D, Section D.3.

# 9. ASME CODE CRITERIA

The ASME Code stress analysis involves two basic sets of criteria:

1. Assure that failure does not occur due to application of the design loads.
2. Assure that failure does not occur due to repetitive loading.

In general, the Primary Stress Intensity criteria of the ASME Code (Reference 13.1) assure that the design is adequate for application of design loads.

Also, the ASME Code criteria for cumulative fatigue usage factor assure that the design is adequate for repetitive loading.

## 9.1 ASME CODE PRIMARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA

Per NB-3213.8 of Reference 13.1, the primary stresses are those normal or shear stresses developed by an imposed loading such as internal pressure and external loadings. A thermal stress is not classified as a primary stress. The classification as well as the limit of primary stress intensity is specified in NB-3221 of Reference 13.1 for Design Conditions. The limit of primary stress intensity for Level B (Upset), Level C (Emergency), and Level D (Faulted) is specified in NB-3223, NB-3224, and NB-3225 of Reference 13.1, respectively.

As presented in Reference 13.14, the primary stress intensity criteria are the basic requirements in calculating the weld overlay size, which is under the assumption that a 360° circumferential flaw has grown completely through the original weld. Loading conditions in each service level have been considered in the weld overlay sizing calculation. The nozzle to piping region has been reinforced by the weld overlay since adding materials to the nozzle outside region relieves primary stress burden resulting from internal pressure and external loads. The overlay further reduces stress concentration by eliminating the outside surface discontinuity. Therefore, the primary stress intensity requirements for the surge nozzle, welds with overlay, safe end and piping have been satisfied for all service level loadings without the need for further evaluation.

Other related criteria include the minimum required pressure thickness (NB-3324 of Reference 13.1) and reinforcement area (NB-3330 of Reference 13.1), which were addressed in the original nozzle/pressurizer designs. Adding weld overlay will increase the nozzle wall thickness and therefore these requirements are satisfied.

## 9.2 ASME CODE PRIMARY+SECONDARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA

As stated previously, the analyses of stresses for transient conditions are required to satisfy the requirements for the repetitive loadings. The following discussion describes the fatigue analysis process employed herein for the design.

Overall stress levels are reviewed and assessed to determine which model locations require detailed stress/fatigue analysis. The objective is to assure that:

1. The most severely stressed locations are evaluated.
2. The specified region is quantitatively qualified.

### 9.2.1 Path Stress Evaluation

The ANSYS Post Processor is used to tabulate the stresses along predetermined paths and classify them in accordance with the ASME Code Criteria (i.e., membrane, membrane plus bending, total). For paths that go through 2 materials partial paths are taken in addition to the free edge to free edge.

The paths are shown in Figure 9-1 and Figure 9-2 and are described in Table 9-1. For post processor calculation, the definitions of these paths are contained in the computer input files as follows:

## Table 9-1 Path Descriptions

| Path Name | Maximum Weld Overlay | | Minimum Weld Overlay | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Inside Node | Outside Node | Inside Node | Outside Node |
| PipeL | 6289 | 6340 | 6172 | 6103 |
| P_Wol | 6290 | 6203 | 6216 | 5986 |
| P_Wola | 6290 | 6205 | 6216 | 5988 |
| P_Wolb | 6205 | 6203 | 5988 | 5986 |
| SEU_Weld | 6426 | 6157 | 6244 | 6001 |
| SEU_Welda | 6426 | 6161 | 6244 | 16050 |
| SEU_Weldb | 6161 | 6157 | 16050 | 6001 |
| SEU_Wol | 6472 | 6213 | 6292 | 5947 |
| SEU_Wola | 6472 | 6209 | 6292 | 6012 |
| SEU_Wolb | 6209 | 6213 | 6012 | 5947 |
| SEL_Wol | 5230 | 6228 | 5060 | 5958 |
| SEL_Wola | 5230 | 6226 | 5060 | 6038 |
| SEL_Wolb | 6226 | 6228 | 6038 | 5958 |
| SEL_Weld | 6437 | 6243 | 6255 | 6052 |
| SEL_Welda | 6437 | 6240 | 6255 | 6054 |
| SEL_Weldb | 6240 | 6243 | 6054 | 6052 |
| N_Wol | 4935 | 6133 | 4803 | 6072 |
| N_Wola | 4935 | 5206 | 4803 | 5037 |
| N_Wolb | 5206 | 6133 | 5037 | 6072 |
| Noz | 4899 | 5039 | 4985 | 4868 |
| NozL | 5179 | 4969 | NA | NA |
| Sleeve | 5246 | 5249 | 5078 | 5081 |

Note: The full path is taken at the same location as the partial paths. The partial path name has the letter "a" or a "b" behind the full path name.

**Figure 9-1 Path Locations in SWOL Region used for Stress Evaluation**

Note: Due to the distance from the WOL region, NozL was only evaluated in the maximum configuration computer files

**Figure 9-2 Path Locations in Nozzle used for Stress Evaluation**

### 9.2.2 Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity Range Qualification (NB 3222.2)

The ANSYS Post Processor was used to find the membrane + bending Stress Intensity Ranges and total Stress Intensity Ranges based on the method prescribed in paragraph NB-3216.2 of the ASME Code. The computer runs containing the results of the stress ranges calculation for membrane + bending, total stresses and associated usage factors are listed below. Additional transients added (IOSurge and IOSurge 110) are incorporated into computer runs listed in Appendix D, Section D.4.2.2. The Primary + Secondary qualifications presented in Appendix D supersede that presented in this and the following sections.

The membrane + bending stress ranges as determined in the stress range runs are conservatively combined by hand with the stresses due to external loads (calculated in Section 5.2) where appropriate. The maximum membrane + bending Stress Intensity Ranges are compared directly to the Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity Range criteria of the ASME Code. The summary of maximum membrane + bending Stress Intensity Ranges for maximum and minimum WOL configurations are tabulated in Table 9-2 through Table 9-6.

Note that the Zero Stress State (ZSS) is included in the ANSYS runs listed above.

## Table 9-2 Summary of Maximum Primary + Secondary SI Ranges for Membrane + Bending Stresses (Maximum WOL)

| Path | Transient Stresses | | Applicable External Stresses | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] | Maximum SI Inside Node [ksi] | Minimum SI Outside Node [ksi] |
| PipeL | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | |
| P_Wola | | | | |
| P_Wolb | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | |
| SEU_Welda | | | | |
| SEU_Weldb | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | |
| SEU_Wola | | | | |
| SEU_Wolb | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | |
| SEL_Wola | | | | |
| SEL_Wolb | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | |
| SEL_Welda | | | | |
| SEL_Weldb | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | |
| N_Wola | | | | |
| N_Wolb | | | | |
| Noz | | | | |
| NozL | | | | |
| Sleeve | | | | |

## Table 9-3 Comparison of Maximum SI Range including External Loads to ASME Code 3*Sm Criteria (Maximum WOL)

| Path | Transient + External Stresses | | Allowable M+B SI Range 3*Sm(1) | | Material | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] | Inside Node [ksi] | Outside Node [ksi] | Inside Node | Outside Node |
| PipeL | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | |
| P_Wola | | | | | | |
| P_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | |
| SEU_Welda | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weldb | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wola | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wola | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | |
| SEL_Welda | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weldb | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | |
| N_Wola | | | | | | |
| N_Wolb | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | |
| NozL | | | | | | |
| Sleeve | | | | | | |

**Table 9-4 External Stress Added by Components (Maximum WOL)**

**Table 9-4 (Continued) External Stress Added by Components (Maximum WOL)**

**Table 9-5 Summary of Maximum Primary + Secondary SI Ranges for Membrane + Bending Stresses (Minimum WOL)**

| Path | Transient Stresses | | Applicable External Stresses | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] |
| PipeL | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | |
| P_Wola | | | | |
| P_Wolb | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | |
| SEU_Welda | | | | |
| SEU_Weldb | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | |
| SEU_Wola | | | | |
| SEU_Wolb | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | |
| SEL_Wola | | | | |
| SEL_Wolb | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | |
| SEL_Welda | | | | |
| SEL_Weldb | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | |
| N_Wola | | | | |
| N_Wolb | | | | |
| Noz | | | | |
| Sleeve | | | | |

## Table 9-6 Comparison of Maximum SI Range including External Loads to ASME Code 3*Sm Criteria (Minimum WOL)

| Path | Transient + External Stresses | | Allowable M+B SI Range 3*Sm(1) | | Material | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] | Inside Node [ksi] | Outside Node [ksi] | Outside Node | Inside Node |
| PipeL | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | |
| P_Wola | | | | | | |
| P_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | |
| SEU_Welda | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weldb | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wola | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wola | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | |
| SEL_Welda | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weldb | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | |
| N_Wola | | | | | | |
| N_Wolb | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | |
| Sleeve | | | | | | |

**Table 9-7 External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

**Table 9-7 (Continued) External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

[ ]

#### *9.2.2.1 Summary of Stress Intensity Range Qualification*

Tables 9-3, 9-4, 9-6 and 9-7 show the maximum SI Range calculated and the allowable limits for both maximum and minimum weld overlay configurations. The following path locations for both configurations exceeded the allowable 3*Sm limit:

PipeL - inside and outside nodes (maximum and minimum WOL)

Sleeve - inside node (maximum WOL)

The ASME Code allows the 3*Sm limit to be exceeded under special conditions, one of them being that Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis (NB 3228.5) is used for fatigue analysis. See Section 9.2.3 for further qualifications.

### 9.2.3 Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis (NB-3228.5)

The maximum Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity criteria in Section 9.2.2 is not met for the locations determined in the Section 9.2.2.1. Therefore, the simplified elastic-plastic analysis for these locations is provided in this section.

The Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity range in the model may exceed $3*S_m$ if the requirements of the simplified elastic-plastic analysis are met. The requirements are:

#### 9.2.3.1 *Primary + Secondary SI Range (Excluding thermal bending stresses) (NB-3228.5(a))*

The range of Primary + Secondary membrane + bending stress intensity, excluding thermal bending stresses, shall be ≤ 3*Sm.

The SI ranges excluding thermal bending are calculated for the locations identified in Section 9.2.2.1. The membrane + bending ANSYS output files listed in Section 9.2.2 are used to find the stress components for membrane stress due to pressure and thermal conditions.

The bending stress due to pressure only is determined by multiplying the bending stress obtained from design linearization output files [ ] with a pressure ratio. The ratio is based on the transient pressure at the time point of interest and design pressure. The ratioed bending stress is added to the membrane stress and external stress for determination of SI range without thermal bending effect.

The design condition is [ ] The applied temperature affects only physical material properties, therefore the effect of thermal bending is considered to be negligible.

Tables 9-8 and 9-9 present the calculations and results for the maximum primary + secondary SI Ranges for membrane + bending – thermal bending stresses per NB-3228.5(a) for the maximum and minimum WOLs.

**Table 9-8 Maximum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

**Table 9-8 (Continued) Maximum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

**Table 9-9 Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

All SI Ranges listed in Tables 9-8 and 9-9 are less than the allowable stress, therefore the requirement of ASME NB-3228.5(a) has been met on all locations.

#### 9.2.3.2 *Factor Ke (NB-3228.5(b))*

The values of $S_a$ used for entering the design fatigue curve is multiplied by the factor $K_e$, where

$$K_e = 1.0 + \frac{1-n}{n\cdot(m-1)}\cdot\left(\frac{S_n}{3\cdot S_m} - 1\right) \quad \text{for } 3\cdot S_m < S_n < 3\cdot m\cdot S_m$$

$$K_e = 1.0/n \quad \text{for } S_n \geq 3\cdot m\cdot S_m$$

m = 1.7 for austenitic stainless steel from Table NB-3228.5 (b)-1 (Reference 13.1)

n = 0.3 for austenitic stainless steel from Table NB-3228.5 (b)-1 (Reference 13.1)

$S_m$ [ksi] @ average temperature of the metal at the critical time points

$S_n$ [ksi] Primary + Secondary membrane plus bending SI Range

The $K_e$ factor is calculated for each SI Ranges over the $3S_m$ limit in the fatigue evaluation as documented in Section 9.2.4.

#### 9.2.3.3 *Fatigue Usage Factor (NB-3228.5(c) and NB-3222.4)*

For fatigue usage factor evaluation see Section 9.2.4.

#### 9.2.3.4 *Thermal Stress Ratchet (NB-3228.5(d) and NB-3222.5)*

Thermal Ratchet is considered for the locations listed in Section 9.2.2.1.

Some of these locations are parts of the local geometric discontinuities. The ASME Code requirements for thermal ratcheting are considered accurately only for cylindrical shells without discontinuities. On the other hand, the requirements for thermal ratcheting at discontinuities are considered to be "probably overly conservative" (Reference 13.12, page 207).

**Maximum Allowable Range of Thermal Stress (NB-3222.5):**

Tables 9-10 and 9-11 determine the maximum allowable ranges of thermal stresses in the piping and thermal sleeve. The values of allowable stresses are conservatively calculated based on the membrane stresses due to the design pressure[ ].

The "SINT" values are obtained from ANSYS output files [ ] and [ ].

NB-3222.5 only requires the SI Range to include thermal SI Ranges and those from the output files also contain pressure effects. The Thermal SI Ranges are calculated in Tables 9-12 and 9-13.

### Table 9-10 Allowable Ranges of Thermal Stresses for Maximum WOL

| Path | SI Range(3) | Average Temperature | Sm | 1.5*Sm | Sy | SINT | x | y' | Allowable SI Range |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | [ksi] | [°F] | [ksi] | [ksi] | [ksi] | [ksi] | | | [ksi] |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Note (1): See Table 9-4
Note (2): See Table 9-3
Note (3): See Table 9-12

### Table 9-11 Allowable Ranges of Thermal Stresses for Minimum WOL

| Path | SI Range(2) | Average Temperature | Sm | 1.5*Sm | Sy | SINT | x | y' | Allowable SI Range |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | [ksi] | [°F] | [ksi] | [ksi] | [ksi] | [ksi] | | | [ksi] |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Note (1): See Table 9-7
Note (2): See Table 9-13

Where:

x = max. general membrane stress due to pressure ("SINT") divided by the yield strength $S_y$ (1)

$y' = \frac{1}{x}$ for $0.0 < x < 0.5$; $y' = 4(1-x)$ for $0.5 < x < 1.0$

Maximum allowable range of thermal stress = $y' * S_y$ (1)

Note (1): $1.5S_m$ is used instead of $S_y$. Per NB-3222.5, note 11, it is permissible to use $1.5S_m$ in this equation whenever it is greater than $S_y$.

The maximum SI Ranges of thermal stresses are less than the allowable stresses; therefore the requirement has been met.

**Table 9-12 Thermal M+B SI Range for Maximum WOL**

**Table 9-12 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Maximum WOL**

**Table 9-13 Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

### 9.2.3.5 *Temperature Limits (NB-3228.5(e))*

The maximum temperature of the components is [ ] which does not exceed the maximum allowable temperatures listed in Table NB-3228.5(b)-1, Reference 13.1.

Therefore, the ASME Code requirement is met.

### 9.2.3.6 *Minimum Strength Ratio (NB-3228.5(f))*

The material shall have specified minimum yield strength to specified minimum tensile strength ratio of less then 0.80.

The $S_y$ and $S_u$ values at 70°F are obtained from Reference 13.15.

For Thermal Sleeve, Path 'Sleeve', [ ]
Specified minimum yield strength, $S_y$ = 30 ksi @70°F
Specified minimum tensile strength, $S_u$ = 75 ksi @70°F
Ratio of $S_y/S_u$ = 0.4

For Piping, Path 'PipeL', [ ]
Specified minimum yield strength, $S_y$ = 30 ksi @70°F
Specified minimum tensile strength, $S_u$ = 75 ksi @70°F
Ratio of $S_y/S_u$ = 0.4

Therefore, the ASME Code requirement is met.

### 9.2.4 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

For consideration of fatigue usage, the Peak Stress Intensity Ranges are calculated. These values must include the total localized stresses.

The fatigue usage factor at a location is usually calculated based on the actual stress intensity range. However, at a geometric or material discontinuity, an unrealistic peak stress may result from the modeling approach, element type and mesh sizes. The total stress obtained from the finite element analysis may not be able to capture the actual stress condition. To account for the possible modeling inaccuracies, an FSRF is usually applied to the M+B stress intensity range for location experiencing the discontinuity.

The stress category used in fatigue evaluation, along with an appropriate FSRF, for each node is listed in Table 9-14. For path lines nearby the thermal sleeve weld (i.e., crevice), M+B stresses with a FSRF of 4.0 are applied. Per Reference 13.13 (p. 395), the FSRF for node on the inside and outside of the safe end component, outer nozzle, and pipe near WOL junction are based on a bounding taper angle.

**Table 9-14 Stress Category and FSRF in Fatigue Evaluation**

| Path Name | Inside Node | | Outside Node | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Stress Category | FSRF | Stress Category | FSRF |
| PipeL | TOTAL | | M+B | |
| P_Wol | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| P_Wola | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| P_Wolb | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Weld | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Welda | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEU_Weldb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Wol | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Wola | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEU_Wolb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Wol | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Wola | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEL_Wolb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Weld | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Welda | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEL_Weldb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| N_Wol | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| N_Wola | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| N_Wolb | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| Noz | TOTAL | | M+B | |
| NozL | M+B | | M+B | |
| TS | TOTAL | | M+B | |

For the location determined to be critical, the corresponding external loads from Table 5-5 through Table 5-16 have been incorporated in the fatigue calculation. Using the SI ranges and cycles taken from the "fatigue" output files (see Section 12.0), the bounding external SI (including thermal stratification) have been added manually to the first [ ] cycles and the fatigue usage factor then recalculated. This method of adding the external SI to the transient SI is a conservative method as shown in the previous sections.

As stated in Table 5-30 of Reference 13.16, within the Heatup and Cooldown cycles there exist sub-cycles (minor cycles) at the beginning and end of the transients (major cycles) respectively.

[ ]

The thermal stratification external loads are included in the fatigue calculation as independent sub-cycles. For each case the usage factor calculation is based upon the SI specified in Table 5-7 through Table 5-16 and the number of cycles for each case as stated in Reference 13.8.

The following pages contain the calculation of the cumulative fatigue usage factor for the points of interest. The usage factor includes Transients loading and all applicable external loadings. The calculation is performed separately for seven materials and different parts of model.

The critical locations are:

[ ]

**Path Name** PipeL (outside) Maximum Weld Overlay **Output File:** [ ]
**Node** [ ] **FSRF=**[ ]
**MATERIAL:** [ ] **TYPE:** High Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** [ ] **3Sm=** 49.1
**E matl (psi) =** [ ] [ ] **E ratio** =('E curve' / 'E analysis')=1.14
**Salt**=(M+B+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | M+B SI | Ext. Load | Ke | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HU/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | HU/RCOP | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | HU/CD | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | CD/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | RCSD/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | SIA/SUIL | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CRD/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | CRD/LOP | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | CRD/LOSLSD | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | LOSLSD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | LOL/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | RT_CDnSI/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | FCHSD/LOF | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | FCHSD/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | PL/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | LSDL/LSDL | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 10SLdec/10SLdec | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | BCE/TRT | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | BCE/UL | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 10SLinc/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | BCE/LOSLSU | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | HU-340 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | HU-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | HU-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-147 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | OP-43 | | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | | |

**Path Name** SEU_Welda (inside) Minimum Weld Overlay **Output File:**
**Node** **FSRF=**
**MATERIAL:** **TYPE:** High Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** **3Sm=** 49.3
**E matl (psi) =** **E ratio** =('E curve' / 'E analysis')=1.14
**Salt**=(Total+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | Total SI | Ext. Load | Ke[1] | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | RCSD/SUIL | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | RCSD/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | RCOP/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | HU/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | HU/LOSLSD | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | LOSLSD/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CRD/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | CRD/LOL | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | LOF/LOL | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | LOF/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | FCHSD/LSDL | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 10SLDec/FCHSD | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 10SLDec/CD | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 10SLDec/LOP | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 10SLDec/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | PL/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | BCE/UL | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 10SLInc/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | BCE/LOSLSU | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | BCE/TRT | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | HU-340 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | HU-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | HU-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-147 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | OP-43 | | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | | |

Note [1]: Ke factor calculation for this location is based on Total Stress. This is conservative because the Total stress range is higher than Membrane+Bending, resulting in a large usage factor.

**Path Name** SEL_Wola (inside) Maximum Weld Overlay **Output File:** [ ]
**Node** [ ] **FSRF=** [ ]
**MATERIAL:** [ ] **TYPE:** High Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** [ ] **3Sm=** 40.7
**E matl (psi) =** [ ] [ ] **E ratio** =('E curve' / 'E analysis')=1.14
**Salt**=(M+B+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | M+B SI | Ext. Load | Ke | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HU/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | HU/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | HU/SUIL | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | HU/CD | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | CD/RCSD | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | CD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CRD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | FCHSD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | FCHSD/LOL | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | FCHSD/LOF | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | FCHSD/LSDL | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | FCHSD/LOSLSD | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | FCHSD/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | PL/RCOP | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | PL/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 10SLdec/LOP | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 10SLdec/10SLdec | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | BCE/UL | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 10SLinc/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | BCE/LOSLSU | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | BCE/TRT | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | HU-340 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | HU-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | HU-147 | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | OP-43 | | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | | |

**Path Name** SEL_Welda (inside) Minimum Weld Overlay **Output File:**
**Node** **FSRF=**
**MATERIAL:** **TYPE:** High Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** **3Sm=** 69.9
**E matl (psi) =** **E ratio** =('E curve' / 'E analysis')=1.00
**Salt**=(M+B+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | M+B SI | Ext. Load | Ke | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HU/LOP | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | BCE/HU | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | BCE/CD | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | BCE/RCOP | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | LOL/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | LOL/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | FCHSD/RCSD | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | FCHSD/LOL | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | FCHSD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | CRD/FCHSD | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | FCHSD/LOF | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | FCHSD/LOSLSD | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | FCHSD/LSDL | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 10SLdec/FCHSD | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 10SLdec/SUIL | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 10SLdec/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | PL/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 10SLinc/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | BCE/LOSLSU | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | UL/TRT | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | BCE/UL | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | HU-340 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | HU-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | HU-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-147 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | OP-43 | | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | | |

**Path Name** N_Wolb (outside) Minimum Weld Overlay **Output Files:**
**Node** **FSRF=**
**MATERIAL:** **TYPE:** High Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** **3Sm=** 69.9
**E matl (psi) =** **E ratio** =('E curve' / 'E analysis')=1.02
**Salt**=(M+B+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | M+B SI | Ext. Load | Ke | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HU/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | HU/CD | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | CD/LOP | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | LOP/RCSD | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | LOP/RCOP | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | LOSLSD/SIA | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | CRD/LOSLSD | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | CRD/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | FCHSD/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | FCHSD/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | PL/SUIL | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | PL/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | PL/PL | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 10SLdec/LOF | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 10SLdec/RT_noCD | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 10SLdec/TRT | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 10SLdec/LOL | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 10SLdec/UL | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | BCE/UL | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 10SLinc/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | BCE/LOSLSU | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | BCE/LSDL | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | HU-340 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | HU-200 | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | HU-100 | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-147 | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | OP-43 | | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | | |

**Path Name** NozL (inside) Maximum Weld Overlay **Output File:** [ ]
**Node** [ ] **FSRF=** [ ]
**MATERIAL:** [ ] **TYPE:** Low Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** [ ] **3Sm=** 80.1
**E matl (psi) =** [ ] [ ] **E ratio** = ('E curve' / 'E analysis')= 1.21
**Salt**=(M+B+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | M+B SI | Ke | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | HU/SIA | | | | | | | |
| 2 | FCHSD/HU | | | | | | | |
| 3 | FCHSD/CD | | | | | | | |
| 4 | LOL/RCOP | | | | | | | |
| 5 | LOL/RCSD | | | | | | | |
| 6 | RT_CDnSI/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | |
| 7 | FCHSD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 8 | CRD/FCHSD | | | | | | | |
| 9 | FCHSD/LOL | | | | | | | |
| 10 | FCHSD/LOF | | | | | | | |
| 11 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | |
| 12 | FCHSD/TRT | | | | | | | |
| 13 | FCHSD/LSDL | | | | | | | |
| 14 | FCHSD/FCHSD | | | | | | | |
| 15 | LOP/SUIL | | | | | | | |
| 16 | LOP/LOSLSD | | | | | | | |
| 17 | LOSLSD/LOSLSD | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 10SLdec/PL | | | | | | | |
| 19 | PL/PL | | | | | | | |
| 20 | BCE/UL | | | | | | | |
| 21 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 10SLinc/LOSLSU | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 10SLinc/10SLinc | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | |

**Path Name** Sleeve (outside) Maximum Weld Overlay **Output File:**
**Node** **FSRF=**
**MATERIAL:** **TYPE:** High Alloy
**UTS (psi) =** **3Sm=** 45.5
**E matl (psi) =** **E ratio** = ('E curve' / 'E analysis')= 1.00
**Salt**=(M+B+Ext. Load)*FSRF/2

| RANGE | TRANSIENTS WITH | REQ'D CYCLES | M+B SI | Ke | Salt | Ke x (E ratio) x S alt ksi | ALLOWABLE CYCLES | USAGE FACTOR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | RCSD/RT_CDSI | | | | | | | |
| 2 | RCSD/RCSD | | | | | | | |
| 3 | HU/SUIL | | | | | | | |
| 4 | RCOP/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 5 | HU/CD | | | | | | | |
| 6 | CD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 7 | LOSLSD/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 8 | RT_CDnSI/RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 9 | LOF/SIA | | | | | | | |
| 10 | FCHSD/LOF | | | | | | | |
| 11 | CRD/FCHSD | | | | | | | |
| 12 | FCHSD/RT_noCD | | | | | | | |
| 13 | FCHSD/LOL | | | | | | | |
| 14 | FCHSD/LOP | | | | | | | |
| 15 | FCHSD/LSDL | | | | | | | |
| 16 | FCHSD/PL | | | | | | | |
| 17 | PL/PL | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 10SLinc/UL | | | | | | | |
| 19 | LOSLSU/UL | | | | | | | |
| 20 | UL/UL | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 10SLdec/10SLdec | | | | | | | |
| 22 | BCE/TRT | | | | | | | |
| 23 | BCE/BCE | | | | | | | |
| | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | |

# 10. RESULTS SUMMARY/CONCLUSION

The fatigue calculation assumes that the Surge Nozzle WOL configuration has existed from the beginning of plant operation. The preceding calculations demonstrate that the design of the Surge Nozzle Maximum and Minimum Weld Overlay for the North Anna Units 1 & 2 Pressurizers have met the stress and fatigue requirements of the Design Code (Reference 13.1).

Based on the loads and cycles specified in References 13.8 and 13.16, the conservative fatigue analysis indicated that the Pressurizer Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay design has a maximum fatigue factor of [ ] compared to the ASME Code allowed maximum value of 1.0. Therefore, the total usage for the Surge Nozzle is less than the allowable value of 1.0 for the total of 60 years of operation.

**Table 10-1 Summary of Results[1]**

| | Nozzle | | | Safe End to Nozzle Weld | | | Safe End | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Calculated | Limit | IR[2] | Calculated | Limit | IR[2] | Calculated | Limit | IR[2] |
| Primary SI | Bounded by original analysis, see Section 9.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Max. SI Range PL+Pb+Q [ksi] | [ ] | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue Usage | | | | | | | | | |
| | **Thermal Sleeve** | | | **Safe End to Pipe Weld** | | | **Pipe** | | |
| | Calculated | Limit | IR[2] | Calculated | Limit | IR[2] | Calculated | Limit | IR[2] |
| Primary SI | Bounded by original analysis, see Section 9.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Max. SI Range PL+Pb+Q [ksi] | [ ] | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue Usage | | | | | | | | | |
| | **Weld Overlay** | | | | | | | | |
| | Calculated | Limit | IR[1] | | | | | | |
| Primary SI | Bounded by original analysis, see Section 9.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Max. SI Range PL+Pb+Q [ksi] | [ ] | | | | | | | | |
| Fatigue Usage | | | | | | | | | |

Note [1]: Results from Appendix D and E qualifications that incorporate added insurge and outsurge transients replacing previous qualifications in Section 9.
Note [2]: IR- Interaction Ration is defined as (Calculated Value / Limit)
Note [3]: See Table D-8.
Note [4]: See Table D-7.

# 11. SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

The finite element analyses documented in this report were performed using ANSYS v10.0 software (Reference 13.10). The suitability and accuracy of use of ANSYS v10.0 was verified by performing the following verification runs (Table 11-1).

**Table 11-1 Software Verification Files**

# 12. COMPUTER OUTPUT FILES

For list of fracture mechanics output files, see Appendix A.

For list of output files for justification of insufficient Weld Overlay length, see Appendix C.

For list of output files for Insurge/Outsurge Elastic Analysis, see Appendix D.

For list of output files for Elastic-Plastic Analysis, see Appendix E.

**Table 12-1 Computer Output and Input Files**

# 13. REFERENCES

13.1 ASME Code, Section III, Division 1, "Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code", 2001 Edition including Addenda through 2003.

13.2 Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report ORNL/NUREG/TM-57, "Heat-to-Heat Variations of Total Strain (to 5%) at Discrete Stress Levels in Types 316 and 304 Stainless Steel from 24 to 316°C", prepared for the US NRC.

13.3 J. L. Rempe et al., "Light Water Reactor Lower Head Failure Analysis," NUREG/CR-5642 (EGG-2618), October 1993.

13.4 Not Used

13.5 AREVA Drawing 02-8016831C-003, "North Anna Pressurizer Surge Nozzle Design."

13.6 AREVA Drawing 02-8017167D-000, "North Anna Pressurizer Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Design."

13.7 AREVA Document 51-9031151-003, "North Anna Pressurizer Nozzle Weld Overlays – Technical Requirements."

13.8 AREVA Document 38-9034638-003, "Required Engineering Input for North Anna Pressurizer Weld Overlays, North Anna Power Station Units 1 & 2."

13.9 ANSYS Finite Element Computer Code, Version 10.0 Help Manual

13.10 "ANSYS" Finite Element Computer Code, Version 10.0, ANSYS, Inc. Canonsburg, Pa.

13.11 AREVA Document 38-9042859-000, Code Case N-740-1 (2-07-2007 Draft), "Dissimilar Metal Weld Overlay for Repair of Class 1, 2, and 3 Items, Section XI, Division 1." (Revision 000 of this document is the applicable revision for this project. This Code Case is not yet accepted by the NRC.)

13.12 "Companion Guide to the ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code", Volume 1, ASME Press, New York, 2002.

13.13 John F. Harvey, "Theory and Design of Pressure Vessels," Second Edition, Van Nostran Reinhold, 1991.

13.14 AREVA Document 32-9034323-003, "North Anna Units 1 & 2 Pressurizer Weld Overlay Sizing Calculation – Surge Nozzle."

13.15 AREVA Document 51-9038545-002, "Material Properties for North Anna Units 1 & 2 Pressurizer Nozzles."

13.16 AREVA Document 51-9036969-004, "Pressurizer Bounding Transients for North Anna Units 1 & 2."

13.17 ANSYS Finite Element Computer Code, Version 11.0 Help Manual

13.18 "ANSYS" Finite Element Computer Code, Version 11.0, ANSYS, Inc. Canonsburg, Pa.

# APPENDIX A

# PATH STRESSES FOR FRACTURE MECHANICS

## A.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this appendix is to provide supplemental stress and thermal results of the transient analysis for a Fracture Mechanics Analysis of the surge nozzle weld overlay. The focus of the analysis is crack initiation and growth through both the Pipe to Safe End Weld and Nozzle to Safe End Weld. The Minimum Weld overlay represents the worst case scenario with a through-weld crack, therefore only the minimum WOL is included in this appendix.

## A.2 STRESS AND TEMPERATURE EVALUATION

The ANSYS Post Processor is used to tabulate the stresses and temperatures along the predetermined paths for the Minimum Weld Overlay Configuration only. The paths are shown in Figure A-1 and described in Table A-1.

The definitions of these paths and post processing commands are contained in computer output file named, [ ]. All output files used for fracture mechanics evaluation are listed in Table A-2.

**Table A- 1 Paths Description Min WOL**

| Output File Path No. | Path Name | Inside Node No. | Outside Node No. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | SEUWELD | 6244 | 6001 |
| 2 | SELWELD | 6255 | 6052 |
| 3 | SEBUT | 6297 | 15955 |

**Figure A- 1 Paths Defined for Fracture Mechanics Evaluation**

Stresses along the path line are summarized at twelve points separated by an equal distance from the inside node to the outside node. At each point, the axial (longitudinal, $S_y$) stress and the temperature of the nozzle are given. The path point distances from the inside node are included in the output files listed in Table A-2 with "_PathLocs" in their names. The stress and temperature result files for these locations are listed on Table A-2.

In addition, files with "_PathDisc" in their names, listed in Table A-2, provide path point distances from the inside node including the location at the dissimilar material interface for each path (two path points, one just before and one just after the material discontinuity, define the location of the material interface). No post processing are obtained at these path points; this information is provides for reference only.

**Table A- 2 Stress and Temperature Result Files for Fracture Mechanics Evaluation**

# APPENDIX B

# STRUCTURAL TIME POINTS OF INTEREST

## B.1 TIME POINTS OF INTEREST USED FOR STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

The following tables list all transient time points analyzed in the structural analysis of the Surge Nozzle. The time points have been selected based on localized extreme thermal gradients tabulated at the end of all "...Dt.out" thermal post processing computer files. The thermal extreme time points were merged with all time points defining the transients for the structural analysis. In the following tables, those time points marked with asterisks have been taken directly from the transient definition; all other points represent temperature gradient extreme time points.

## Table B- 1 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Heatup and Cooldown-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | | 23.69330* | | | 31.00240* | | |
| 1.22290* | | | 23.69334 | | | 31.00244 | | |
| 1.31209 | | | 23.69343 | | | 31.00250 | | |
| 1.40128 | | | 23.69360* | | | 31.00270* | | |
| 2.11480* | | | 23.71239 | | | 31.02136 | | |
| 4.00000* | | | 23.72780 | | | 31.03677 | | |
| 4.00030* | | | 23.73125 | | | 31.04029 | | |
| 4.04183 | | | 23.73470* | | | 31.04380* | | |
| 4.08335 | | | 23.73500* | | | 31.04410* | | |
| 4.16640* | | | 24.15024 | | | 31.54330* | | |
| 4.16670* | | | 24.56548 | | | 31.73559 | | |
| 7.44280* | | | 25.81120* | | | 32.31246 | | |
| 7.44310* | | | 25.81124 | | | 33.46620* | | |
| 7.48463 | | | 25.81150* | | | 33.46650* | | |
| 7.52615 | | | 25.83022 | | | 33.50881 | | |
| 7.60920* | | | 25.84563 | | | 33.52957 | | |
| 7.60950* | | | 25.84912 | | | 33.55033 | | |
| 7.96808 | | | 25.85260* | | | 33.63260* | | |
| 8.20713 | | | 25.85290* | | | 33.63290* | | |
| 8.92428 | | | 26.31174 | | | 34.38500* | | |
| 10.00000* | | | 26.69411 | | | 34.38530* | | |
| 18.00000* | | | 27.00000* | | | 34.41852 | | |
| 19.00000* | | | 27.00003 | | | 34.45174 | | |
| 19.55320* | | | 27.00010* | | | 34.55140* | | |
| 19.55324 | | | 27.00014 | | | 34.55170* | | |
| 19.55333 | | | 27.00020 | | | 34.87490* | | |
| 19.55350* | | | 27.00040* | | | 34.87520* | | |
| 19.57239 | | | 27.01913 | | | 34.87550* | | |
| 19.58266 | | | 27.03454 | | | 35.09710* | | |
| 19.59120 | | | 27.03802 | | | 35.09716 | | |
| 19.59460* | | | 27.04150* | | | 35.09720 | | |
| 19.59490* | | | 27.04180* | | | 35.09740* | | |
| 20.23744 | | | 27.43804 | | | 35.40100* | | |
| 21.73670* | | | 27.83428 | | | 40.93120* | | |
| 21.73674 | | | 29.02300* | | | 40.93126 | | |
| 21.73683 | | | 29.02304 | | | 40.93150* | | |
| 21.73700* | | | 29.02310 | | | 40.93153 | | |
| 21.75585 | | | 29.02330* | | | 40.93166 | | |
| 21.76613 | | | 29.04351 | | | 40.93180* | | |
| 21.77468 | | | 29.05995 | | | 41.15340* | | |
| 21.77810* | | | 29.06440* | | | 41.15370* | | |
| 21.77840* | | | 29.06470* | | | 41.76480* | | |
| 22.54436 | | | 29.45224 | | | 42.33220* | | |
| 22.92734 | | | 29.83978 | | | 43.00000* | | |

## Table B- 2 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Loading and Unloading-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | | 0.46540* | | |
| 0.00013 | | | 0.46541 | | |
| 0.00020* | | | 0.46545 | | |
| 0.02780* | | | 0.46549 | | |
| 0.03058 | | | 0.46550* | | |
| 0.03927 | | | 0.47110 | | |
| 0.04170* | | | 0.49350* | | |
| 0.04530 | | | 0.52160* | | |
| 0.05970* | | | 0.52250* | | |
| 0.05980* | | | 0.55233 | | |
| 0.07640* | | | 0.58215 | | |
| 0.09720* | | | 0.76110* | | |
| 0.09894 | | | 0.77610* | | |
| 0.10068 | | | 0.78622 | | |
| 0.10852 | | | 0.79255 | | |
| 0.11375 | | | 0.79697 | | |
| 0.11810* | | | 0.80140* | | |
| 0.22780* | | | 0.84430* | | |
| 0.30560* | | | 0.84432 | | |
| 0.31368 | | | 0.84432 | | |
| 0.31714 | | | 0.84433 | | |
| 0.32407 | | | 0.84438 | | |
| 0.33330* | | | 0.84439 | | |
| 0.33331 | | | 0.84440* | | |
| 0.33340* | | | 0.87950* | | |
| 0.37500* | | | 0.88570* | | |
| 0.38888 | | | 0.88844 | | |
| 0.44440* | | | 0.89006 | | |
| 0.44447 | | | 0.89087 | | |
| 0.44450* | | | 0.89490 | | |
| 0.44460* | | | 0.89700* | | |
| 0.45580* | | | 0.89762 | | |
| 0.45840* | | | 0.90320* | | |

## Table B- 3 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Increase-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00310* | | |
| 0.00421 | | |
| 0.00500* | | |
| 0.00592 | | |
| 0.00628 | | |
| 0.00720* | | |
| 0.00730* | | |
| 0.00869 | | |
| 0.00910* | | |
| 0.01970* | | |
| 0.02140* | | |
| 0.02313 | | |
| 0.02690* | | |
| 0.03579 | | |
| 0.04376 | | |
| 0.04727 | | |
| 0.04880* | | |
| 0.05350* | | |
| 0.05360* | | |
| 0.05510* | | |
| 0.05558 | | |
| 0.05650* | | |
| 0.06850 | | |
| 0.09250* | | |

## Table B- 4 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Decrease-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00310* | | |
| 0.00480* | | |
| 0.00696 | | |
| 0.00760* | | |
| 0.00770* | | |
| 0.00785 | | |
| 0.00790* | | |
| 0.00925 | | |
| 0.00987 | | |
| 0.01017 | | |
| 0.01220* | | |
| 0.01240* | | |
| 0.01430* | | |
| 0.03203 | | |
| 0.04250* | | |
| 0.04320 | | |
| 0.04690 | | |
| 0.04950* | | |
| 0.08310* | | |
| 0.08393 | | |
| 0.08460 | | |
| 0.08561 | | |
| 0.08680* | | |
| 0.08728 | | |
| 0.08776 | | |
| 0.08982 | | |
| 0.09160* | | |
| 0.09460* | | |
| 0.09470* | | |
| 0.09694 | | |
| 0.10590* | | |

## Table B- 5 Structural Time Points of Interest for Large Step Decrease In Load- MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00011 | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00013 | | |
| 0.00015 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00210* | | |
| 0.00240* | | |
| 0.00294 | | |
| 0.00510* | | |
| 0.00520* | | |
| 0.00619 | | |
| 0.00730* | | |
| 0.00830* | | |
| 0.00998 | | |
| 0.01358 | | |
| 0.01478 | | |
| 0.01670* | | |
| 0.01732 | | |
| 0.01794 | | |
| 0.02290* | | |
| 0.02353 | | |
| 0.02686 | | |
| 0.02920* | | |
| 0.03184 | | |
| 0.03340* | | |
| 0.04173 | | |
| 0.09590* | | |
| 0.21160* | | |
| 0.22720* | | |
| 0.24080* | | |
| 0.26890* | | |
| 0.32624 | | |
| 0.55560* | | |

## Table B- 6 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Load-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00180 | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00210* | | |
| 0.00483 | | |
| 0.00866 | | |
| 0.01030* | | |
| 0.01059 | | |
| 0.01130* | | |
| 0.01140* | | |
| 0.01180* | | |
| 0.01688 | | |
| 0.01760* | | |
| 0.01850* | | |
| 0.02270* | | |
| 0.05376 | | |
| 0.33330* | | |

## Table B- 7 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Power-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00110* | | |
| 0 00188 | | |
| 0.00270* | | |
| 0.00280* | | |
| 0.00404 | | |
| 0.00420* | | |
| 0.01674 | | |
| 0.01870* | | |
| 0.02480* | | |
| 0.02481 | | |
| 0.02482 | | |
| 0.02487 | | |
| 0.02490* | | |
| 0.04309 | | |
| 0.04538 | | |
| 0.05000* | | |
| 0.05259 | | |
| 0.05435 | | |
| 0.05788 | | |
| 0.06940* | | |
| 0.08162 | | |
| 0.08436 | | |
| 0.08983 | | |
| 0.09950* | | |
| 0.09960* | | |
| 0.14030* | | |
| 0.14819 | | |
| 0.16735 | | |
| 0.21920* | | |
| 0 28060* | | |
| 0.37620* | | |
| 0.43387 | | |
| 0.49154 | | |
| 0.77990* | | |
| 0.80690* | | |

## Table B- 8 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Flow-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00023 | | |
| 0.00030 | | |
| 0.00070* | | |
| 0.00083 | | |
| 0.00100* | | |
| 0.00110* | | |
| 0.00114 | | |
| 0.00118 | | |
| 0.00170* | | |
| 0.00203 | | |
| 0.00217 | | |
| 0.00250* | | |
| 0.00533 | | |
| 0.00930* | | |
| 0.01047 | | |
| 0.01210* | | |
| 0.01220* | | |
| 0.01274 | | |
| 0.01400* | | |
| 0.01588 | | |
| 0.01684 | | |
| 0.01840* | | |
| 0.01954 | | |
| 0.02070* | | |
| 0.02100* | | |

## Table B- 9 Structural Time Points of Interest for Feedwater Cycling at Hot Shutdown-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.42780* | | |
| 0.42790* | | |
| 0.44710* | | |
| 0.45432 | | |
| 0.46514 | | |
| 0.47055 | | |
| 0.47597 | | |
| 0.49040* | | |
| 0.63460* | | |
| 0.64410* | | |
| 0.64415 | | |
| 0.64418 | | |
| 0.64420* | | |
| 0.65870* | | |
| 0.66830 | | |
| 0.67516 | | |
| 0.68887 | | |
| 0.69573 | | |
| 0.70670* | | |
| 0.74040* | | |
| 1.20190* | | |
| 1.24357 | | |
| 1.26440* | | |
| 1.26450* | | |
| 1.26920* | | |
| 1.27724 | | |
| 1.28046 | | |
| 1.28850* | | |
| 2.00000* | | |

## Table B- 10 Structural Time Points of Interest for Boron Concentration Equalization-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00013 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.01686 | | |
| 0.03353 | | |
| 0.05019 | | |
| 1.00000* | | |
| 1.00010* | | |

## Table B- 11 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with No Cooldown-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00180* | | |
| 0.00358 | | |
| 0.00700* | | |
| 0.00804 | | |
| 0.00846 | | |
| 0.00950* | | |
| 0.00960* | | |
| 0.01033 | | |
| 0.01200* | | |
| 0.01240* | | |
| 0.01410* | | |
| 0.01414 | | |
| 0.01420* | | |
| 0.01428 | | |
| 0.01540* | | |
| 0.02780* | | |

**Table B- 12 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown but no Safety Injection-MAX WOL**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00509 | | |
| 0.00550* | | |
| 0.00750* | | |
| 0.01380* | | |
| 0.01387 | | |
| 0.01444 | | |
| 0.01480* | | |
| 0.01500* | | |
| 0.01588 | | |
| 0.01784 | | |
| 0.01800* | | |
| 0.01810* | | |
| 0.01818 | | |
| 0.01830* | | |
| 0.02020 | | |
| 0.02780* | | |

**Table B- 13 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown and Safety Injection-MAX WOL**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00149 | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00537 | | |
| 0.00745 | | |
| 0.00953 | | |
| 0.01230* | | |
| 0.01390* | | |
| 0.01420* | | |
| 0.02150* | | |
| 0.02850* | | |
| 0.11960 | | |
| 0.12947 | | |
| 0.13934 | | |
| 0.16670* | | |

## Table B- 14 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Reactor Coolant System Depressurization-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00840* | | |
| 0.00960* | | |
| 0.00969 | | |
| 0.00970* | | |
| 0.00974 | | |
| 0.01030* | | |
| 0.01060 | | |
| 0.01135 | | |
| 0.01371 | | |
| 0.01390* | | |
| 0.01467 | | |
| 0.02310* | | |
| 0.02311 | | |
| 0.02314 | | |
| 0.02320* | | |
| 0.02364 | | |
| 0.02450* | | |
| 0.03220* | | |
| 0.03990* | | |
| 0.05990 | | |
| 0.27990* | | |
| 0.28134 | | |
| 0.28483 | | |
| 0.28541 | | |
| 0.28630* | | |
| 0.29301 | | |
| 0.33330* | | |

## Table B- 15 Structural Time Points of Interest for Control Rod Drop-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00007 | | |
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00016 | | |
| 0.00022 | | |
| 0.00100* | | |
| 0.00266 | | |
| 0.00290* | | |
| 0.00593 | | |
| 0.00810* | | |
| 0.00870* | | |
| 0.01140* | | |
| 0.01193 | | |
| 0.01320* | | |
| 0.01330* | | |
| 0.01379 | | |
| 0.01700* | | |
| 0.02710 | | |
| 0.02840* | | |
| 0.03111 | | |
| 0.03331 | | |
| 0.03771 | | |
| 0.04050* | | |
| 0.04140* | | |
| 0.04150* | | |
| 0.04183 | | |
| 0.04215 | | |
| 0.04410* | | |

## Table B- 16 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Startup of an Inactive Loop-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | | 0.02074 | | |
| 0.00020* | | | 0.02120 | | |
| 0.00160* | | | 0.02211 | | |
| 0.00340* | | | 0.02293 | | |
| 0.00400* | | | 0.02330* | | |
| 0.00406 | | | 0.02397 | | |
| 0.00410* | | | 0.02447 | | |
| 0.00480* | | | 0.02597 | | |
| 0.00510* | | | 0.02730* | | |
| 0.00551 | | | 0.02806 | | |
| 0.00571 | | | 0.02899 | | |
| 0.00580* | | | 0.02930 | | |
| 0.00730* | | | 0.03070* | | |
| 0.00770* | | | 0.03104 | | |
| 0.00870 | | | 0.03187 | | |
| 0.01241 | | | 0.03410* | | |
| 0.01270* | | | 0.03486 | | |
| 0.01323 | | | 0.03551 | | |
| 0.01360 | | | 0.03616 | | |
| 0.01505 | | | 0.03670* | | |
| 0.01670* | | | 0.03693 | | |
| 0.01850* | | | 0.03716 | | |
| 0.01860* | | | 0.03749 | | |
| 0.01890 | | | 0.03900* | | |
| 0.02010* | | | 0.03910* | | |

## Table B- 17 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Safety Injection Actuation-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00466 | | |
| 0.01186 | | |
| 0.01340* | | |
| 0.01341 | | |
| 0.01344 | | |
| 0.01349 | | |
| 0.01350* | | |
| 0.01420* | | |
| 0.01423 | | |
| 0.01480* | | |
| 0.02780* | | |
| 0.03411 | | |
| 0.03862 | | |
| 0.04763 | | |
| 0.05665 | | |
| 0.09090* | | |
| 0.28620* | | |
| 0.28625 | | |
| 0.28629 | | |
| 0.28630* | | |
| 0.28841 | | |
| 0.29016 | | |
| 0.29080* | | |
| 0.29718 | | |
| 0.31790 | | |
| 0.32398 | | |
| 0.33330* | | |

**Table B- 18 Structural Time Points of Interest for Turbine Roll Test-MAX WOL**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.01510* | | |
| 0.01868 | | |
| 0.03249 | | |
| 0.05090* | | |
| 0 27780* | | |
| 0.28298 | | |
| 0.29594 | | |
| 0.30372 | | |
| 0.31149 | | |
| 0.34000* | | |

**Table B- 19 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Shutdown-MAX WOL**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00268 | | |
| 0.00372 | | |
| 0.00380* | | |
| 0.00390* | | |
| 0.00510* | | |
| 0.00558 | | |
| 0.01090* | | |
| 0.01560* | | |
| 0.02362 | | |
| 0.02697 | | |
| 0.03633 | | |
| 0.03900* | | |
| 0.04250 | | |
| 0.05650* | | |
| 0.05660* | | |
| 0.06770* | | |
| 0.07082 | | |
| 0.07305 | | |
| 0.07528 | | |
| 0 08131 | | |
| 0 08330* | | |

## Table B- 20 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Startup-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00029 | | |
| 0.00130* | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00270* | | |
| 0.00275 | | |
| 0.00330* | | |
| 0.00331 | | |
| 0.00340* | | |
| 0.00365 | | |
| 0.00720* | | |
| 0.01050* | | |
| 0.01341 | | |
| 0.01900* | | |
| 0.01929 | | |
| 0.01999 | | |
| 0.02190* | | |
| 0.02200* | | |
| 0.02243 | | |
| 0.02840* | | |
| 0.05000* | | |
| 0.07334 | | |
| 0.09001 | | |
| 0.10668 | | |
| 0.16670* | | |

## Table B- 21 Structural Time Points of Interest for RCS Cold Overpressurization-MAX WOL

| Time | Temperature [F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00025 | | |
| 0.00140* | | |
| 0.00150* | | |
| 0.00160* | | |
| 0.00161 | | |
| 0.00170* | | |
| 0.00180* | | |
| 0.00181 | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00196 | | |
| 0.00200* | | |
| 0.00210* | | |
| 0.00220* | | |
| 0.00225 | | |
| 0.00230* | | |
| 0.00240* | | |
| 0.00250* | | |
| 0.00259 | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00270* | | |
| 0.00280* | | |
| 0.00290* | | |
| 0.00300* | | |
| 0.00310* | | |
| 0.00320* | | |
| 0.00330* | | |
| 0.00340* | | |
| 0.00350* | | |
| 0.00360* | | |
| 0.00370* | | |
| 0.00380* | | |
| 0.00385 | | |
| 0.00390* | | |

## Table B- 22 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Heatup and Cooldown- MIN WOL

| Time | Temp [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temp [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temp [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | | 23.70726 | | | 31.04380* | | |
| 0.06124 | | | 23.71240 | | | 31.04410* | | |
| 1.22290* | | | 23.71754 | | | 31.06906 | | |
| 1.31209 | | | 23.73126 | | | 31.54330* | | |
| 2.11480* | | | 23.73470* | | | 31.92788 | | |
| 4.00000* | | | 23.73500* | | | 33.46620* | | |
| 4.00030* | | | 23.94262 | | | 33.46626 | | |
| 4.04183 | | | 24.15024 | | | 33.46630 | | |
| 4.16640* | | | 25.39596 | | | 33.46650* | | |
| 4.16670* | | | 25.81120* | | | 33.50913 | | |
| 4.82192 | | | 25.81150* | | | 33.63260* | | |
| 5.47714 | | | 25.81698 | | | 33.63290* | | |
| 7.44280* | | | 25.82509 | | | 33.85853 | | |
| 7.44310* | | | 25.83023 | | | 34.38500* | | |
| 7.48463 | | | 25.83537 | | | 34.38503 | | |
| 7.60920* | | | 25.85260* | | | 34.38509 | | |
| 7.60950* | | | 25.85290* | | | 34.38530* | | |
| 7.84855 | | | 26.08232 | | | 34.41852 | | |
| 7.96808 | | | 26.31174 | | | 34.55140* | | |
| 8.68523 | | | 27.00000* | | | 34.55170* | | |
| 10.00000* | | | 27.00010* | | | 34.74562 | | |
| 10.40000 | | | 27.00040* | | | 34.87490* | | |
| 18.00000* | | | 27.00588 | | | 34.87520* | | |
| 18.20000 | | | 27.01400 | | | 34.87526 | | |
| 19.00000* | | | 27.01914 | | | 34.87529 | | |
| 19.55320* | | | 27.02427 | | | 34.87550* | | |
| 19.55350* | | | 27.04150* | | | 34.96539 | | |
| 19.55898 | | | 27.04180* | | | 35.09710* | | |
| 19.56726 | | | 27.23992 | | | 35.09716 | | |
| 19.57754 | | | 27.43804 | | | 35.09740* | | |
| 19.58268 | | | 28.62676 | | | 35.37832 | | |
| 19.59460* | | | 29.02300* | | | 35.40100* | | |
| 19.59490* | | | 29.02330* | | | 36.50704 | | |
| 19.80908 | | | 29.02741 | | | 40.93120* | | |
| 20.02326 | | | 29.03571 | | | 40.93123 | | |
| 21.73670* | | | 29.04357 | | | 40.93126 | | |
| 21.73700* | | | 29.05179 | | | 40.93130 | | |
| 21.74248 | | | 29.06440* | | | 40.93150* | | |
| 21.75073 | | | 29.06470* | | | 40.93180* | | |
| 21.75587 | | | 29.25847 | | | 40.97612 | | |
| 21.76100 | | | 29.45224 | | | 41.15340* | | |
| 21.77128 | | | 30.22732 | | | 41.15356 | | |
| 21.77810* | | | 30.61486 | | | 41.15370* | | |
| 21.77840* | | | 31.00240* | | | 41.39814 | | |
| 21.96989 | | | 31.00270* | | | 41.76480* | | |
| 22.16138 | | | 31.00818 | | | 41.99176 | | |
| 23.69330* | | | 31.01623 | | | 42.33220* | | |
| 23.69360* | | | 31.02137 | | | 43.00000* | | |

23.69908 [ ] 31.02651 [ ]

## Table B- 23 Structural Time Points of Interest for Plant Loading and Unloading-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] | Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | | 0.46540* | | |
| 0.00015 | | | 0.46549 | | |
| 0.00020* | | | 0.46550* | | |
| 0.00572 | | | 0.49350* | | |
| 0.02283 | | | 0.50236 | | |
| 0.02780* | | | 0.52160* | | |
| 0.03336 | | | 0.52250* | | |
| 0.04170* | | | 0.55233 | | |
| 0.05970* | | | 0.62192 | | |
| 0.05980* | | | 0.76110* | | |
| 0.07087 | | | 0.77610* | | |
| 0.07640* | | | 0.78116 | | |
| 0.09720* | | | 0.78622 | | |
| 0.09894 | | | 0.79255 | | |
| 0.10330 | | | 0.80140* | | |
| 0.11810* | | | 0.84430* | | |
| 0.22780* | | | 0.84432 | | |
| 0.23428 | | | 0.84432 | | |
| 0.30560* | | | 0.84433 | | |
| 0.31022 | | | 0.84438 | | |
| 0.31368 | | | 0.84440* | | |
| 0.31714 | | | 0.86646 | | |
| 0.33330* | | | 0.87950* | | |
| 0.33331 | | | 0.88012 | | |
| 0.33340* | | | 0.88570* | | |
| 0.34033 | | | 0.88844 | | |
| 0.37500* | | | 0.88925 | | |
| 0.44440* | | | 0.89006 | | |
| 0.44443 | | | 0.89167 | | |
| 0.44450* | | | 0.89700* | | |
| 0.44460* | | | 0.89762 | | |
| 0.45580* | | | 0.89824 | | |
| 0.45840* | | | 0.90320* | | |
| 0.46124 | | | | | |

## Table B- 24 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Increase-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00310* | | |
| 0.00421 | | |
| 0.00500* | | |
| 0.00528 | | |
| 0.00628 | | |
| 0.00720* | | |
| 0.00730* | | |
| 0.00910* | | |
| 0.01437 | | |
| 0.01970* | | |
| 0.02140* | | |
| 0.02263 | | |
| 0.02690* | | |
| 0.02836 | | |
| 0.02982 | | |
| 0.04880* | | |
| 0.05350* | | |
| 0.05360* | | |
| 0.05510* | | |
| 0.05558 | | |
| 0.05650* | | |
| 0.06850 | | |
| 0.08050 | | |
| 0.09250* | | |

## Table B- 25 Structural Time Points of Interest for 10% Step Load Decrease-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00310* | | |
| 0.00441 | | |
| 0.00480* | | |
| 0.00760* | | |
| 0.00768 | | |
| 0.00770* | | |
| 0.00785 | | |
| 0.00790* | | |
| 0.01220* | | |
| 0.01240* | | |
| 0.01430* | | |
| 0.02800 | | |
| 0.03605 | | |
| 0.03928 | | |
| 0.04250* | | |
| 0.04950* | | |
| 0.08310* | | |
| 0.08359 | | |
| 0.08393 | | |
| 0.08460 | | |
| 0.08680* | | |
| 0.08728 | | |
| 0.09050 | | |
| 0.09160* | | |
| 0.09460* | | |
| 0.09470* | | |
| 0.09694 | | |
| 0.10590* | | |

## Table B- 26 Structural Time Points of Interest for Large Step Decrease In Load-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00011 | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00013 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00210* | | |
| 0.00240* | | |
| 0.00294 | | |
| 0.00329 | | |
| 0.00510* | | |
| 0.00520* | | |
| 0.00730* | | |
| 0.00830* | | |
| 0.00914 | | |
| 0.00998 | | |
| 0.01358 | | |
| 0.01616 | | |
| 0.01670* | | |
| 0.01732 | | |
| 0.01794 | | |
| 0.01856 | | |
| 0.02290* | | |
| 0.02686 | | |
| 0.02920* | | |
| 0.03340* | | |
| 0.09590* | | |
| 0.21160* | | |
| 0.22720* | | |
| 0.22856 | | |
| 0.24080* | | |
| 0.26007 | | |
| 0.26890* | | |
| 0.32624 | | |
| 0.55560* | | |

## Table B- 27 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Load-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00014 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00170 | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00210* | | |
| 0.00702 | | |
| 0.00866 | | |
| 0.01030* | | |
| 0.01130* | | |
| 0.01140* | | |
| 0.01180* | | |
| 0.01398 | | |
| 0.01760* | | |
| 0.01775 | | |
| 0.01798 | | |
| 0.01850* | | |
| 0.02270* | | |
| 0.05376 | | |
| 0.08482 | | |
| 0.33330* | | |

## Table B- 28 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Power-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00014 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00110* | | |
| 0.00188 | | |
| 0.00270* | | |
| 0.00280* | | |
| 0.00294 | | |
| 0.00308 | | |
| 0.00420* | | |
| 0.00783 | | |
| 0.01674 | | |
| 0.01870* | | |
| 0.02480* | | |
| 0.02484 | | |
| 0.02485 | | |
| 0.02490* | | |
| 0.02825 | | |
| 0.04081 | | |
| 0.04309 | | |
| 0.04765 | | |
| 0.05000* | | |
| 0.06940* | | |
| 0.07341 | | |
| 0.08162 | | |
| 0.08436 | | |
| 0.08710 | | |
| 0.09950* | | |
| 0.09960* | | |
| 0.10774 | | |
| 0.14030* | | |
| 0.14819 | | |
| 0.21920* | | |
| 0.28060* | | |
| 0.35435 | | |
| 0.37620* | | |
| 0.77990* | | |
| 0.79709 | | |
| 0.80690* | | |

## Table B- 29 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loss of Flow-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00070* | | |
| 0.00083 | | |
| 0.00100* | | |
| 0.00110* | | |
| 0.00118 | | |
| 0.00140 | | |
| 0.00170* | | |
| 0.00203 | | |
| 0.00217 | | |
| 0.00250* | | |
| 0.00420 | | |
| 0.00533 | | |
| 0.00930* | | |
| 0.01012 | | |
| 0.01117 | | |
| 0.01210* | | |
| 0.01220* | | |
| 0.01400* | | |
| 0.01610 | | |
| 0.01685 | | |
| 0.01716 | | |
| 0.01840* | | |
| 0.02070* | | |
| 0.02100* | | |

## Table B- 30 Structural Time Points of Interest for Feedwater Cycling at Hot Shutdown-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.42780* | | |
| 0.42790* | | |
| 0.43310 | | |
| 0.44710* | | |
| 0.45071 | | |
| 0.45432 | | |
| 0.45973 | | |
| 0.48138 | | |
| 0.49040* | | |
| 0.63460* | | |
| 0.64410* | | |
| 0.64415 | | |
| 0.64420* | | |
| 0.65141 | | |
| 0.65870* | | |
| 0.66350 | | |
| 0.66830 | | |
| 0.67516 | | |
| 0.70670* | | |
| 0.74040* | | |
| 0.95137 | | |
| 1.20190* | | |
| 1.22013 | | |
| 1.24357 | | |
| 1.26440* | | |
| 1.26444 | | |
| 1.26450* | | |
| 1.26920* | | |
| 1.27403 | | |
| 1.27724 | | |
| 1.28046 | | |
| 1.28368 | | |
| 1.28850* | | |
| 1.43080 | | |
| 2.00000* | | |

## Table B- 31 Structural Time Points of Interest for Boron Concentration Equalization-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00014 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.01686 | | |
| 0.03353 | | |
| 0.07400 | | |
| 1.00000* | | |
| 1.00010* | | |

## Table B- 32 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with No Cooldown-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00007* | | |
| 0.00010 | | |
| 0.00180* | | |
| 0.00232 | | |
| 0.00358 | | |
| 0.00700* | | |
| 0.00804 | | |
| 0.00930 | | |
| 0.00950* | | |
| 0.00960* | | |
| 0.01200* | | |
| 0.01240* | | |
| 0.01392 | | |
| 0.01410* | | |
| 0.01420* | | |
| 0.01428 | | |
| 0.01469 | | |
| 0.01540* | | |
| 0.02284 | | |
| 0.02780* | | |

**Table B- 33 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown but no Safety Injection-MIN WOL**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00226 | | |
| 0.00509 | | |
| 0.00550* | | |
| 0.00750* | | |
| 0.01380* | | |
| 0.01387 | | |
| 0.01480* | | |
| 0.01500* | | |
| 0.01588 | | |
| 0.01767 | | |
| 0.01800* | | |
| 0.01810* | | |
| 0.01818 | | |
| 0.01830* | | |
| 0.02780* | | |

## Table B- 34 Structural Time Points of Interest for Reactor Trip with Cooldown and Safety Injection-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00133 | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00259 | | |
| 0.00606 | | |
| 0.00745 | | |
| 0.01230* | | |
| 0.01390* | | |
| 0.01420* | | |
| 0.01481 | | |
| 0.02150* | | |
| 0.02850* | | |
| 0.11149 | | |
| 0.11974 | | |
| 0.12961 | | |
| 0.16670* | | |

## Table B- 35 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Reactor Coolant System Depressurization-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00007 | | |
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00353 | | |
| 0.00840* | | |
| 0.00960* | | |
| 0.00961 | | |
| 0.00962 | | |
| 0.00970* | | |
| 0.00974 | | |
| 0.00989 | | |
| 0.01030* | | |
| 0.01180 | | |
| 0.01371 | | |
| 0.01390* | | |
| 0.02310* | | |
| 0.02313 | | |
| 0.02320* | | |
| 0.02450* | | |
| 0.02714 | | |
| 0.03220* | | |
| 0.03990* | | |
| 0.16990 | | |
| 0.27990* | | |
| 0.28483 | | |
| 0.28541 | | |
| 0.28630* | | |
| 0.33330* | | |

## Table B- 36 Structural Time Points of Interest for Control Rod Drop-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00100* | | |
| 0.00266 | | |
| 0.00290* | | |
| 0.00507 | | |
| 0.00810* | | |
| 0.00870* | | |
| 0.01140* | | |
| 0.01193 | | |
| 0.01238 | | |
| 0.01320* | | |
| 0.01330* | | |
| 0.01700* | | |
| 0.01814 | | |
| 0.02579 | | |
| 0.02840* | | |
| 0.03111 | | |
| 0.03221 | | |
| 0.04050* | | |
| 0.04140* | | |
| 0.04150* | | |
| 0.04183 | | |
| 0.04215 | | |
| 0.04410* | | |

## Table B- 37 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Startup of an Inactive Loop-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00160* | | |
| 0.00340* | | |
| 0.00400* | | |
| 0.00401 | | |
| 0.00410* | | |
| 0.00442 | | |
| 0.00480* | | |
| 0.00510* | | |
| 0.00571 | | |
| 0.00580* | | |
| 0.00730* | | |
| 0.00770* | | |
| 0.00870 | | |
| 0.01013 | | |
| 0.01270* | | |
| 0.01323 | | |
| 0.01360 | | |
| 0.01642 | | |
| 0.01670* | | |
| 0.01850* | | |
| 0.01860* | | |
| 0.01875 | | |
| 0.02010* | | |
| 0.02120 | | |
| 0.02211 | | |
| 0.02330* | | |
| 0.02397 | | |
| 0.02730* | | |
| 0.02806 | | |
| 0.02930 | | |
| 0.03070* | | |
| 0.03187 | | |
| 0.03324 | | |
| 0.03410* | | |
| 0.03486 | | |
| 0.03583 | | |
| 0.03670* | | |
| 0.03716 | | |
| 0.03749 | | |
| 0.03900* | | |
| 0.03910* | | |

## Table B- 38 Structural Time Points of Interest for Inadvertent Safety Injection Actuation-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00186 | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00466 | | |
| 0.01243 | | |
| 0.01340* | | |
| 0.01349 | | |
| 0.01350* | | |
| 0.01420* | | |
| 0.01480* | | |
| 0.01889 | | |
| 0.02780* | | |
| 0.03096 | | |
| 0.03411 | | |
| 0.03862 | | |
| 0.04312 | | |
| 0.09090* | | |
| 0.14992 | | |
| 0.28620* | | |
| 0.28625 | | |
| 0.28630* | | |
| 0.28648 | | |
| 0.28841 | | |
| 0.28991 | | |
| 0.29016 | | |
| 0.29080* | | |
| 0.30882 | | |
| 0.33330* | | |

## Table B- 39 Structural Time Points of Interest for Turbine Roll Test-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00319 | | |
| 0.01510* | | |
| 0.01868 | | |
| 0.02226 | | |
| 0.02737 | | |
| 0.04272 | | |
| 0.05090* | | |
| 0.27780* | | |
| 0.28298 | | |
| 0.28817 | | |
| 0.34000* | | |

## Table B- 40 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Shutdown-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00268 | | |
| 0.00372 | | |
| 0.00380* | | |
| 0.00390* | | |
| 0.00439 | | |
| 0.00510* | | |
| 0.01090* | | |
| 0.01560* | | |
| 0.02362 | | |
| 0.03031 | | |
| 0.03900* | | |
| 0.05650* | | |
| 0.05660* | | |
| 0.06514 | | |
| 0.06770* | | |
| 0.07082 | | |
| 0.07305 | | |
| 0.07528 | | |
| 0.08330* | | |

## Table B- 41 Structural Time Points of Interest for Loop Out of Service Normal Loop Startup-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00007 | | |
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00012 | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00130* | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00270* | | |
| 0.00275 | | |
| 0.00310 | | |
| 0.00330* | | |
| 0.00331 | | |
| 0.00340* | | |
| 0.00365 | | |
| 0.00391 | | |
| 0.00460 | | |
| 0.00720* | | |
| 0.00753 | | |
| 0.01050* | | |
| 0.01220 | | |
| 0.01341 | | |
| 0.01803 | | |
| 0.01900* | | |
| 0.02190* | | |
| 0.02200* | | |
| 0.02840* | | |
| 0.03272 | | |
| 0.05000* | | |
| 0.06167 | | |
| 0.07334 | | |
| 0.16670* | | |

## Table B- 42 Structural Time Points of Interest for RCS Cold Overpressurization-MIN WOL

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.00010* | | |
| 0.00020* | | |
| 0.00025 | | |
| 0.00030 | | |
| 0.00140* | | |
| 0.00150* | | |
| 0.00160* | | |
| 0.00170* | | |
| 0.00180* | | |
| 0.00181 | | |
| 0.00190* | | |
| 0.00194 | | |
| 0.00200* | | |
| 0.00210* | | |
| 0.00220* | | |
| 0.00230* | | |
| 0.00240* | | |
| 0.00250* | | |
| 0.00260* | | |
| 0.00270* | | |
| 0.00277 | | |
| 0.00280* | | |
| 0.00289 | | |
| 0.00290* | | |
| 0.00300* | | |
| 0.00310* | | |
| 0.00320* | | |
| 0.00330* | | |
| 0.00340* | | |
| 0.00350* | | |
| 0.00351 | | |
| 0.00360* | | |
| 0.00370* | | |
| 0.00380* | | |
| 0.00390* | | |

# APPENDIX C

# JUSTIFICATION OF INSUFFICIENT LENGTH OF WELD OVERLAY

## C.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Appendix is to examine stress distribution in the North Anna Surge Nozzle components and to justify the deficient length of weld overlay on the nozzle side as it is calculated in Reference 13.14.

## C.2 ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY

By AREVA Document 38-9042859-000, Reference 13.11, the length of the weld overlay should extend at least $0.75\sqrt{(Rt_n)}$ beyond each end of the observed crack, where $R$ and $t_n$ are the outside radius and nominal wall thickness of the pipe prior to depositing the weld overlay. This requirement is to make sure that enough length is provided to attenuate stresses in case of stress concentration due to crack initiation. Because of the existing short length of the Surge Nozzle analyzed in the main body of this document, the above requirement was not satisfied and therefore shorter length for the weld overlay was used. The main focus of this Appendix is to look closely at the stress distribution for the Surge Nozzle thin weld overlay configuration under the conservative assumption of the total loss of buttering and weld between nozzle and safe end.

For this sake the finite element model for the thin weld overlay created in the main body of this document was tested under design pressure and external applied loads listed in Tables 6-7 through 6-9, Reference 13.16. The dead weight loads were taken from Table 1 of Reference 13.14. All the elements pertain to the weld and buttering between the safe end and nozzle body were eliminated. The modified geometry for this analysis is documented in these files: [ ](Table 12-1). Also, it is important to note that since the model created in the main body of this document uses 2-D axisymmetric elements and some of the applied external loads are non-axisymmetric, special 2-D elements were needed to address this issue. Consequently, all the elements were changed to PLANE 83 which allows the application of non-axisymmetric loads on an axisymmetric model. The detailed description of this element is listed in ANSYS Manual, Reference 13.9.

## C.3 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The geometric boundary conditions for the model remained the same as it is described in the main body of this document. The external loads are conservatively assumed to be applied at the top of the external pipe and their application to the model is described as follows:

First, unit loads are applied on the model, and in the second step, the unit loads are scaled and combined such that they are representative of the different external loads (DW, Design Pressure, Thermal (TH), and OBE) reported in Reference 13.16, Tables 6-7 through 6-9. Five different unit load cases are evaluated for the thin weld overlay configuration. 1) Unit, 1 kip, axial load, 2) Unit, 1 kip-in, torsion, 3) Unit, 1 kip, shear, 4) Unit, 1 kip-in, bending, 5) Unit, 1 ksi, pressure. All unit loads are applied at the end of the modeled external surge piping with an exception of the unit pressure load applied on the internal surfaces.

The unit axial, unit torsion and unit pressure load cases can be represented by the constant term of a harmonic function series in particular the Fourier series, Reference 13.9. The unit shear and unit bending load cases can be represented by the first harmonic, either symmetric cosine or antisymmetric sine function of the Fourier series Reference 13.9. While the unit bending moment can be described by a single harmonic load applied perpendicular to the cross section, the unit shear uniform lateral load is composed of two harmonic components applied in two

perpendicular directions in the plane of the cross section, Reference 13.9. Since all load cases considered can be exactly represented by either the constant or first harmonic terms of the Fourier series, no Fourier series expansion of the non-axisymmetric loads is necessary. The ANSYS output of the unit external loads is contained in the output file [ ].

Due to the axisymmetry of the geometries only two load combinations need to be defined for each model (DW + Design Pressure + TH + OBE) and (DW + Design Pressure + TH - OBE). The shear and bending moment components are combined using the square root of the sum of the squares Fs=$\sqrt{(F_x^2 + F_z^2)}$ and for moment Mb=$\sqrt{(M_x^2 + M_z^2)}$ and since the first load combination produces higher stresses the stress intensity contour plot for this load combination is shown in Figure C-1. It is important to mention that the axial load here is considered to be Fy aligned to the axis of axisymmetry y. The stress output for the aforementioned load combinations is contained in output file [ ].

## C.4 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

It is clear from Figure C-1 that the existing length of the weld overlay provides enough material to attenuate the stresses (due to design pressure and external loads) effectively. It is important to note that the comparison with ASME Code limits are documented in the main body of this document and this Appendix is intended to only verify the stress attenuation under conservative assumption of losing the entire weld between safe end and nozzle.

**Table C- 1 Computer Output Files For Appendix C**

[ ]

**Figure C- 1 Stress Intensity Contour for the Thin Weld Overlay Configuration**

# APPENDIX D

# RE-ANALYSIS WITH INSURGE AND OUTSURGE TRANSIENT

## D.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Appendix is to incorporate the addition of an Insurge and Outsurge Transient to the analysis. The methodology, design input, external loads, Design Condition, and all existing transients presented in the main body of this calculation still remain valid.

This evaluation is limited to minimum weld overlay configuration only based on the review of the stress results between maximum and minimum configurations. The final results are very similar between the two configurations, and therefore, only minimum weld overlay configuration is used.

The elastic or simplified elastic-plastic approach is used in this Appendix. An elastic-plastic approach will be implemented at those locations not qualified in this Appendix, and the evaluation will be documented in Appendix E.

## D.2 THERMAL ANALYSIS

All transients described in Section 7 are still applicable. The added transients for insurge and outsurge is defined in Attachment 12 of Reference 13.8. The insurge and outsurge transitions can be separated into two groups. One group is during HUCD Transient, and the other is during transients other than HUCD. The first group has a maximum temperature drop of [ ], and the second group has maximum temperature drop of [ ] The number of cycles are conservatively added for all the possible events and separated into these two groups as shown in the Table below.

**Table D-1 Insurge & Outsurge Transients**

| Transient ID Number | Operating Cycle | Name Abbreviation | Occurrences | Operating Condition |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 27 | Insurge/Outsurge Transient | | | Normal (HUCD) |
| 28 | Insurge/Outsurge Transient | | | Normal & Upset (Non-HUCD) |

Note (1): This transient only occurs during the HUCD Transient, and therefore it can only range with all other transients for [ ] cycles. The rest will only range within itself as subcycles.

The temperature and pressure profile of the two surge transients are developed based on Reference 13.8 and using the same approach to determine the HTC as Reference 13.16.

## Table D-2 IOSurge Transient (Δt =[ ]

| Time | Time | Fluid Temp Pzr | Fluid Temp Noz | Pressure | Flow Rate | $HTC_{noz}$ | $HTC_{annulus\_eff}$ | $HTC_{pzr}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *hr* | *min* | *°F* | *°F* | *psia* | *gpm* | *Btu/hr·ft²·°F* | *Btu/hr·ft²·°F* | *Btu/hr·ft²·°F* |
| 0.0001 | 0.001 | | | | | | | |
| 0.0500 | 3.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.05001 | 3.001 | | | | | | | |
| 0.0667 | 4.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.3167 | 19.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.3333 | 20.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.3334 | 20.001 | | | | | | | |
| 0.5000 | 30.000 | | | | | | | |
| 1.0000 | 60.000 | | | | | | | |
| 2.0000 | 120.000 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-3 IOSurge110 Transient (Δt =[ ]

| Time | Time | Fluid Temp Pzr | Fluid Temp Noz | Pressure | Flow Rate | $HTC_{noz}$ | $HTC_{annulus\_eff}$ | $HTC_{pzr}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *hr* | *min* | *°F* | *°F* | *psia* | *gpm* | *Btu/hr·ft²·°F* | *Btu/hr·ft²·°F* | *Btu/hr·ft²·°F* |
| 0.0000 | 0.001 | | | | | | | |
| 0.0500 | 3.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.0500 | 3.001 | | | | | | | |
| 0.0667 | 4.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.3167 | 19.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.3333 | 20.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.3334 | 20.001 | | | | | | | |
| 0.5000 | 30.000 | | | | | | | |
| 1.0000 | 60.000 | | | | | | | |
| 2.0000 | 120.000 | | | | | | | |

The detailed thermal loading due to these transients were applied to the thermal finite element model in the form of fluid and steam temperatures and HTC versus time.

The computer input files containing definition of these transients are:

The computer output files for the thermal analyses of the transients are:

The points on interests for temperature gradients are the same as illustrated in Table 7-2 and Figure 7-1. The results of the thermal analyses are evaluated by examining the magnitude of temperature differences between key locations of the model (see Figure 7-1). The time points of the maximum temperature gradient are those at which the maximum thermal stresses develop.

The computer output files that provide the temperatures at the selected locations are:

**Figure D-1 Temperature and Temperature Gradient for IOSurge Transient**

**Figure D-2 Temperature and Temperature Gradient for IOSurge110 Transient**

## D.3 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Stress analyses are performed at those time points which the maximum temperature gradients (maximum thermal stresses) in addition to those defining the transient in Tables D-2 and D-3. The time points of interest are listed in the Tables below. In the following tables, those time points marked with asterisks have been taken directly from the transient definition; all other points represent temperature gradient extreme time points.

**Table D-4 Structural Time Points of Interest for Insurge/Outsurge Transient with Temperature Drop of[ ]**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.000100* | | |
| 0.050000* | | |
| 0.050100* | | |
| 0.050653 | | |
| 0.066700* | | |
| 0.096707 | | |
| 0.316700* | | |
| 0.333300* | | |
| 0.333400* | | |
| 0.500000* | | |
| 1.000000* | | |
| 2.000000* | | |

**Table D-5 Structural Time Points of Interest for Insurge/Outsurge Transient with Temperature Drop of[ ]**

| Time | Temperature [°F] | Press [psi] |
|---|---|---|
| 0.000100* | | |
| 0.050000* | | |
| 0.050100* | | |
| 0.051207 | | |
| 0.053128 | | |
| 0.066700* | | |
| 0.083669 | | |
| 0.316700* | | |
| 0.333300* | | |
| 0.333400* | | |
| 0.500000* | | |
| 1.000000* | | |
| 2.000000* | | |

The nodal temperature at the particular time point is read into the structural model directly from the result file of the thermal analysis. The corresponding pressure is obtained through linear interpolation from appropriate tables listed in Tables D-2 and D-3. The computer output files for the structural analyses of the Insurge/Outsurge Transients are:

[ ]

## D.4 ASME CODE CRITERIA

The ASME Code stress analysis involves two basic sets of criteria:

1. Assure that failure does not occur due to application of the design loads.
2. Assure that failure does not occur due to repetitive loading.

In general, the Primary Stress Intensity criteria of the ASME Code (Reference 13.1) assure that the design is adequate for application of design loads.

Also, the ASME Code criteria for cumulative fatigue usage factor assure that the design is adequate for repetitive loading.

### D.4.1 ASME CODE PRIMARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA

As explained in Section 9.1, the nozzle configuration with structural weld overlay is qualified for primary stress consideration. Therefore, no primary stress evaluation is included in this Appendix.

## D.4.2 ASME CODE PRIMARY+SECONDARY STRESS INTENSITY (SI) CRITERIA

As stated previously, the analyses of stresses for transient conditions are required to satisfy the requirements for the repetitive loadings. The following discussion describes the fatigue analysis process employed herein for the design.

Overall stress levels are reviewed and assessed to determine which model locations require detailed stress/fatigue analysis. The objective is to assure that:

1. The most severely stressed locations are evaluated.
2. The specified region is quantitatively qualified.

### D.4.2.1 Path Stress Evaluation

The ANSYS Post Processor is used to tabulate the stresses along predetermined paths and classify them in accordance with the ASME Code Criteria (i.e., membrane, membrane plus bending, total). For paths that go through 2 materials partial paths are taken in addition to the free edge to free edge.

For this analysis, the same paths for the minimum WOL are analyzed. The paths are shown in Figure 9-1 and Figure 9-2 and are described in Table 9-1.

### D.4.2.2 Primary+Secondary Stress Intensity Range Qualification

The ANSYS Post Processor was used to find the membrane + bending Stress Intensity Ranges and total Stress Intensity Ranges based on the method prescribed in paragraph NB-3216.2 of the ASME Code. The computer runs containing the results of the stress ranges calculation for membrane + bending, total stresses and associated usage factors are listed below.

The membrane + bending stress ranges as determined in the stress range runs are conservatively combined by hand with the stresses due to external loads (calculated in Section 5.2 of the main document) where appropriate. The maximum membrane + bending Stress Intensity Ranges are compared directly to the Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity Range criteria of the ASME Code. The summary of maximum membrane + bending Stress Intensity Ranges for the minimum WOL configuration is tabulated in Table D-6 through Table D-8.

Note that the Zero Stress State (ZSS) is included in the ANSYS runs listed above.

## Table D-6 Summary of Maximum Primary + Secondary SI Ranges for Membrane + Bending Stresses (Minimum WOL)

| Path | Transient Stresses w/ Surge | | Applicable External Stresses | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] |
| PipeL | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | |
| P_Wola | | | | |
| P_Wolb | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | |
| SEU_Welda | | | | |
| SEU_Weldb | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | |
| SEU_Wola | | | | |
| SEU_Wolb | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | |
| SEL_Wola | | | | |
| SEL_Wolb | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | |
| SEL_Welda | | | | |
| SEL_Weldb | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | |
| N_Wola | | | | |
| N_Wolb | | | | |
| Noz | | | | |
| Sleeve | | | | |

Note [1]: External stress at outside node of full path is conservatively used for the partial path node located at material interface.

## Table D-7 Comparison of Maximum SI Range including External Loads to ASME Code 3*Sm Criteria (Minimum WOL)

| Path | Transient + External Stresses | | Allowable M+B SI Range 3*Sm(1) | | Material | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SI Range Inside Node [ksi] | SI Range Outside Node [ksi] | Inside Node [ksi] | Outside Node [ksi] | Outside Node | Inside Node |
| PipeL | | | | | | |
| P_Wol | | | | | | |
| P_Wola | | | | | | |
| P_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weld | | | | | | |
| SEU_Welda | | | | | | |
| SEU_Weldb | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wol | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wola | | | | | | |
| SEU_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wol | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wola | | | | | | |
| SEL_Wolb | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weld | | | | | | |
| SEL_Welda | | | | | | |
| SEL_Weldb | | | | | | |
| N_Wol | | | | | | |
| N_Wola | | | | | | |
| N_Wolb | | | | | | |
| Noz | | | | | | |
| Sleeve | | | | | | |

**Table D-8 External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

**Table D-8 (Continued) External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

**Table D-8 (Continued) External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

**Table D-8 (Continued) External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

**Table D-8 (Continued) External Stress Added by Components (Minimum WOL)**

#### *D.4.2.2.1 Summary of Stress Intensity Range Qualification*

Tables D-7 and D-8 show the maximum SI Range calculated and the allowable limits for both maximum and minimum weld overlay configurations. The following path locations for both configurations exceeded the allowable 3*Sm limit:

| | |
|---|---|
| PipeL – inside and outside nodes | SEU_Wola – inside and outside nodes |
| SEU_Welda – outside node | SEL_Wola – inside node |
| SEU_Wol – inside node | Sleeve – inside and outside node |

The ASME Code allows the 3*Sm limit to be exceeded under special conditions, one of them being that Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis (NB 3228.5) is used for fatigue analysis. See Section D.4.2.3 for further qualifications.

### D.4.2.3 Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis (NB-3228.5)

The maximum Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity criterion in Section D.4.2.2 is not met for the locations determined in the Section D.4.2.2.1. Therefore, the simplified elastic-plastic analysis for these locations is provided in this section.

The Primary + Secondary Stress Intensity range in the model may exceed $3*S_m$ if the requirements of the simplified elastic-plastic analysis are met. The requirements are:

#### *D.4.2.3.1 Primary + Secondary SI Range (Excluding thermal bending stresses) (NB-3228.5(a))*

The range of Primary + Secondary membrane + bending stress intensity, excluding thermal bending stresses, shall be ≤ 3*Sm.

The SI ranges excluding thermal bending are calculated for the locations identified in Section D.4.2.2.1. The membrane + bending ANSYS output files listed in Section D.4.2.2 are used to find the stress components for membrane stress due to pressure and thermal conditions.

The bending stress due to pressure only is determined by multiplying the bending stress obtained from design linearization output files [ ] with a pressure ratio. The ratio is based on the transient pressure at the time point of interest and design pressure. The ratioed bending stress is added to the membrane stress and external stress for determination of SI range without thermal bending effect.

The design condition is [ ] The applied temperature affects only physical material properties, therefore the effect of thermal bending is considered to be negligible.

Tables D-9 presents the calculations and results for the maximum primary + secondary SI Ranges for membrane + bending – thermal bending stresses per NB-3228.5(a) for the minimum WOL.

**Table D-9 Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

**Table D-9 (Continued) Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

**Table D-9 (Continued) Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

**Table D-9 (Continued) Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

**Table D-9 (Continued) Minimum WOL SI Ranges Minus Thermal Bending**

All SI Ranges listed in Tables D-4 are less than the allowable stress, therefore the requirement of ASME NB-3228.5(a) has been met on all locations.

### *D.4.2.3.2 Factor Ke (NB-3228.5(b))*

The values of $S_a$ used for entering the design fatigue curve is multiplied by the factor $K_c$, where

$$K_e = 1.0 + \frac{1-n}{n\cdot(m-1)}\cdot\left(\frac{S_n}{3\cdot S_m} - 1\right) \quad \text{for } 3\cdot S_m < S_n < 3\cdot m\cdot S_m$$

$$K_e = 1.0/n \quad \text{for } S_n \geq 3\cdot m\cdot S_m$$

m = 1.7 for austenitic stainless steel from Table NB-3228.5 (b)-1 (Reference 13.1)

n = 0.3 for austenitic stainless steel from Table NB-3228.5 (b)-1 (Reference 13.1)

$S_m$ [ksi] @ average temperature of the metal at the critical time points

$S_n$ [ksi] Primary + Secondary membrane plus bending SI Range

The $K_e$ factor is calculated for each SI Ranges over the $3xS_m$ limit in the fatigue evaluation as documented in Section D.4.2.4.

### *D.4.2.3.3 Fatigue Usage Factor (NB-3228.5(c) and NB-3222.4)*

For fatigue usage factor evaluation see Section D.4.2.4.

### *D.4.2.3.4 Thermal Stress Ratchet (NB-3228.5(d) and NB-3222.5)*

Thermal Ratchet is considered for the locations listed in Section D.4.2.2.1.

Some of these locations are parts of the local geometric discontinuities. The ASME Code requirements for thermal ratcheting are considered accurately only for cylindrical shells without discontinuities. On the other hand, the requirements for thermal ratcheting at discontinuities are considered to be "probably overly conservative" (Reference 13.12, page 207).

**Maximum Allowable Range of Thermal Stress (NB-3222.5):**

Tables D-10 determines the maximum allowable ranges of thermal stresses in the piping and thermal sleeve. The values of allowable stresses are conservatively calculated based on the membrane stresses due to the design pressure[ ].

The "S1" values are obtained from ANSYS output files [ ] and [ ].

NB-3222.5 only requires the SI Range to include thermal SI Ranges and those from the output files also contain pressure effects. The Thermal SI Ranges are calculated in Tables D-11.

## Table D-10 Allowable Ranges of Thermal Stresses for Minimum WOL

| Path | SI Range(1) | Maximum Temperature (2) (3) | Sm | 1.5*Sm | Sy | S1 | x | y' | Allowable SI Range |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | [ksi] | [°F] | [ksi] | [ksi] | [ksi] | [ksi] | | | [ksi] |

Note (1): See Table D-11
Note (2): See Table D-7
Note (3): See Table D-8

Where:

x = max. general membrane stress due to pressure ("S1") divided by the yield strength $S_y$ (1)

$y' = \frac{1}{x}$ for $0.0 < x < 0.5$; $y' = 4(1 - x)$ for $0.5 < x < 1.0$

Maximum allowable range of thermal stress = $y' * S_y$ (1)

Note (1): $1.5S_m$ is used instead of $S_y$. Per NB-3222.5, note 11, it is permissible to use $1.5S_m$ in this equation whenever it is greater than $S_y$.

The maximum SI Ranges of thermal stresses are less than the allowable stresses; therefore the requirement has been met.

**Table D-11 Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

**Table D-11 (Continued) Thermal M+B SI Range for Minimum WOL**

### *D.4.2.3.5 Thermal Only External Loading*

Seismic external loads are assumed to only occur during the steady state transient. Because the two transients ranging to create the maximum stress intensity are not the steady state condition, the OBE external loads can be excluded from the total stress intensity range. When the steady state condition (PLUL) ranges with another transient, the stress state is significantly less than the maximum stress intensity range such that even if OBE and Thermal external loads were combined with it, the total stress intensity would not govern. From Reference 13.16, the enveloped external loads due to Thermal loads only are:

**Table D-12 Thermal External Loads**

| Thermal Loads | Fy (Axial) *kip* | Fx *kip* | Fz *kip* | Fs (Shear)(1) *kip* | Mtx (Torsional) *in- kip* | Mx *in- kip* | Mz *in- kip* | Mb (Bending)(2) *in- kip* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| [ ] | | | | | | | | |

Note (1): Shear is calculated as the SRSS of Fx and Fz.

Note (2): Bending is calculated as the SRSS of Mx and Mz.

Using the dimensions and equations in presented in Section 5.1 and 5.2, the following external loads are found for path PipeL, outside diameter:

**Table D-13 Thermal External Loads**

| Loading | Axial Stress | | | | Shear Stress | | | M+B |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $\sigma_{ax\_EX}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BF}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_BM}$ [ksi] | $\sigma_{ax\_M+B}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Fs}$ [ksi] | $\tau_{s\_Mt}$ [ksi] | $\tau_s$ [ksi] | Sint [ksi] |
| [ ] | | | | | | | | |

### *D.4.2.3.5 Temperature Limits (NB-3228.5(e))*

The maximum temperature of the components is[ ] which does not exceed the maximum allowable temperatures listed in Table NB-3228.5(b)-1, Reference 13.1.

Therefore, the ASME Code requirement is met.

### *D.4.2.3.7 Minimum Strength Ratio (NB-3228.5(f))*

The material shall have specified minimum yield strength to specified minimum tensile strength ratio of less then 0.80.

The $S_y$ and $S_u$ values at 70°F are obtained from Reference 13.15.

For[ ]
Specified minimum yield strength, $S_y$ = 30 ksi @70°F
Specified minimum tensile strength, $S_u$ = 75 ksi @70°F
Ratio of $S_y/S_u$ = 0.40

[ ]
Specified minimum yield strength, $S_y$ = 30 ksi @70°F
Specified minimum tensile strength, $S_u$ = 75 ksi @70°F
Ratio of $S_y/S_u$ = 0.40

[ ]
Specified minimum yield strength, $S_y$ = 25 ksi @70°F
Specified minimum tensile strength, $S_u$ = 65 ksi @70°F
Ratio of $S_y/S_u$ = 0.38

[ ]
Specified minimum yield strength, $S_y$ = 30 ksi @70°F
Specified minimum tensile strength, $S_u$ = 75 ksi @70°F
Ratio of $S_y/S_u$ = 0.40

Therefore, the ASME Code requirement is met.

### D.4.2.4 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

For consideration of fatigue usage, the Peak Stress Intensity Ranges are calculated. These values must include the total localized stresses.

The fatigue usage factor at a location is usually calculated based on the actual stress intensity range. However, at a geometric or material discontinuity, an unrealistic peak stress may result from the modeling approach, element type and mesh sizes. The total stress obtained from the finite element analysis may not be able to capture the actual stress condition. To account for the possible modeling inaccuracies, an FSRF is usually applied to the M+B stress intensity range for location experiencing the discontinuity.

The stress category used in fatigue evaluation, along with an appropriate FSRF, for each node is listed in Table D-12. For path lines nearby the thermal sleeve weld (i.e., crevice), M+B stresses with a FSRF of 4.0 are applied. Per Reference 13.13 (p. 395), the FSRF for node on the inside and outside of the safe end component, outer nozzle, and pipe near WOL junction are based on a bounding taper angle.

**Table D-12 Stress Category and FSRF in Fatigue Evaluation**

| Path Name | Inside Node | | Outside Node | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Stress Category | FSRF | Stress Category | FSRF |
| PipeL | TOTAL | | M+B | |
| P_Wol | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| P_Wola | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| P_Wolb | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Weld | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Welda | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEU_Weldb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Wol | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEU_Wola | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEU_Wolb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Wol | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Wola | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEL_Wolb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Weld | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| SEL_Welda | M+B | | M+B | |
| SEL_Weldb | M+B | | TOTAL | |
| N_Wol | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| N_Wola | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| N_Wolb | TOTAL | | TOTAL | |
| Noz | TOTAL | | M+B | |
| TS | TOTAL | | M+B | |

For the location determined to be critical, the corresponding external loads from Table 5-5 through Table 5-16 have been incorporated in the fatigue calculation. Using the SI ranges and cycles taken from the "fatigue" output files (see Section 12), the bounding external SI (including thermal stratification) have been added manually to the first ( ) cycles and the fatigue usage factor then recalculated. This method of adding the external SI to the transient SI is a conservative method as shown in the previous sections.

The thermal stratification external loads are included in the fatigue calculation as independent sub-cyles. For each case the usage factor calculation is based upon the SI specified in Table 5-7 through Table 5-16 and the number of cycles for each case as stated in Reference 13.8.

The following pages contain the calculation of the cumulative fatigue usage factor for the points of interest. The usage factor includes Transient loading and all applicable external loadings. The calculation is performed separately for seven materials and different parts of model.

The critical locations are:

## Table D-13 PipeL Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - PipeL (Outside Node, 6103)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl (psi) =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'SIA'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'HUCD_1'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'HUCD_1'-'RT_CDSI' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'HUCD_1'-'RCOP' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'HUCD_1'-'HUCD_2' | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'HUCD_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'RCSD'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'SUIL'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'RT_CDnSI-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'RT_CDnSI-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'CRD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'IOSurge_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'FCHSD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'LOSLSD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 'LOF'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 'IOSurge110_1'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 'LOP'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 'LOL'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 'RT_noCD'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 'PLUL_1'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 'LSDL'-'LSDL' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | '10SLdec'-'10SLdec' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 'BCE'-'TRT' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 'BCE'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | '10SLinc'-'BCE' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | 'BCE'-'LOSLSU' | | | | | | | |
| 28 | 'BCE'-'BCE' | | | | | | | |
| 29 | HU-340 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | HU-200 | | | | | | | |
| 31 | HU-100 | | | | | | | |
| 32 | HU-147 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-13 (Continued) PipeL Usage Factor Calculation

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 33 | OP-43 | |
| 34 | IO Surge Sub-Cycles | |
| 35 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | |

The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Memb + Bend' SI Range
Range 1, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 2, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 3, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 4, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 5, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 6, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 7, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 8, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 9, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 10, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 11, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 12, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 13, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 14, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 15, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 16, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 17, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 18, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 19, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 20, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 21, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 22, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 23, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 24, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 25, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 26, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 27, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 28, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 29, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 30, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 31, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 32, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 33, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =

## Table D-13 (Continued) PipeL Usage Factor Calculation

| Location | M+B Transient SI Range | Temp 1 | Temp 2 | Max. Temp. | Sm | External SI | Transient + External SI Range | EQ 1 (3) | EQ 2 (3) | Ke Factor |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *ksi* | *°F* | *°F* | *°F* | *ksi* | *ksi* | *ksi* | | | |

Note (1): Max. Temperature used to find the Ematl value.

Note (2): Thermal only external loads. See Section D.4.2.3.5.

Note (3): Equation 1 and 2 for Ke values are presented in Section D.4.2.3.2.

## Table D-14 SEU_Weld Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - SEU_Weld (Inside Node, 6244)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl (psi) =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'RCSD'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'LOL'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'RT_CDnSI-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'RT_CDnSI-'RT_CDSI' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'HUCD_1'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'CRD'-'HUCD_1' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'HUCD_1'-'HUCD_1' | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'HUCD_2'-'SIA' | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'HUCD_2'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'HUCD_2'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'RCOP'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'RT_noCD'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'LOF'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'LSDL'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 'LSDL'-'SUIL' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 'FCHSD'-'LSDL' | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 'FCHSD'-'LOSLSD' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 'FCHSD'-'LOP' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | '10SLdec'-'FCHSD' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | '10SLdec'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 'TRT'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 'PLUL_1'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 'PLUL_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | '10SLinc'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | 'IOSurge110_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 28 | 'LOSLSU'-'LOSLSU' | | | | | | | |
| 29 | HU-340 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | HU-200 | | | | | | | |
| 31 | HU-100 | | | | | | | |
| 32 | HU-147 | | | | | | | |
| 33 | OP-43 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-14 (Continued) SEU_Weld Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 34 | IOSurge Sub-Cycles | |
| 35 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | |

The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Memb + Bend' SI Ran
Range 1, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 2, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 3, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 4, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 5, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 6, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 7, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 8, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 9, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 10, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 11, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 12, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 13, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 14, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 15, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 16, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 17, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 18, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 19, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 20, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 21, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 22, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 23, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 24, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 25, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 26, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 27, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 28, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 29, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 30, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 31, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 32, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 33, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =

**Table D-14 (Continued) SEU_Weld Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation**

| Location | M+B Transient SI Range | Temp 1 | Temp 2 | Max. Temp. | Sm | External SI | Transient + External SI Range | EQ 1 (1) | EQ 2 (1) | Ke Factor |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *ksi* | *°F* | *°F* | *°F* | *ksi* | *ksi* | *ksi* | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Note (1): Equation 1 and 2 for Ke values are presented in Section D.4.2.3.2.

## Table D-15 SEL_Wola Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - SEL_Wola (Inside Node, 5060)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl (psi) =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'HUCD_1'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'RCSD'-'RT_CDSI' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'RCSD'-'SUIL' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'SIA'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'CRD'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'FCHSD'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'FCHSD'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'FCHSD'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'FCHSD'-'LOL' | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'FCHSD'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'FCHSD'-'LOF' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'FCHSD'-'LSDL' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'FCHSD'-'LOSLSD' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'FCHSD'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 'HUCD_2'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 'PLUL_1'-'RCOP' | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | '10SLdec'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 'BCE'-'LOP' | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 'PLUL_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | '10SLinc'-'BCE' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 'BCE'-'LOSLSU' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 'TRT'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 'IOSurge110_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-340 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | HU-200 | | | | | | | |
| 29 | HU-100 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | HU-147 | | | | | | | |
| 31 | OP-43 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-15 (Continued) SEL_Wola Usage Factor Calculation

| | |
|---|---|
| 34 | IO Surge Sub-Cycles (2) |
| 35 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Memb + Bend' SI Ra | |
| Range 1, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 2, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 3, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 4, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 5, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 6, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 7, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 8, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 9, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 10, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 11, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 12, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 13, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 14, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 15, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 16, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 17, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 18, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 19, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 20, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 21, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 22, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 23, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 24, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 25, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 26, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 27, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 28, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 29, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 30, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |
| Range 31, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range = | |

**Table D-15 (Continued) SEL_Wola Usage Factor Calculation**

| Location | M+B Transient SI Range | Temp 1 | Temp 2 | Max Temp. | Sm | External SI | Transient + External SI Range | EQ 1 (3) | EQ 2 (3) | Ke Factor |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *ksi* | *°F* | *°F* | *°F* | *ksi* | *ksi* | *ksi* | | | |
| [ ] | | | | | | | | | | |

Note (1): Max. Temperature used to find the E matl value.

Note (2): Plastic analysis is used to find the CFUF associated with the Insurge/Outsurge Subcycles. See Appendix E.

Note (3): Equations 1 and 2 for Ke values are presented in Section D.4.2.3.2.

Note (4): IOSurge Transient contributes a partial usage factor of [ ] when this transient ranges within itself (i.e., sub-cycles), see Section D.4.2.4 (last paragraph).

Because the total usage factor of [ ] is above the allowable value 1.0, plastic analysis is performed for IOSurge Transient at this location (SEL_Wola) in Appendix E.

## Table D-16 SEL_Welda Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - SEL_Welda (Inside Node, 6103)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl (psi) =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'HUCD_1'-'LOP' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'BCE'-'HUCD_1' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'BCE'-'HUCD_2' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'LOL'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'RT_CDnSI-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'RT_CDnSI-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'BCE'-'RCOP' | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'RT_CDnSI-'RT_CDSI' | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'RT_CDnSI-'SIA' | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'RCSD'-'SIA' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'CRD'-'SIA' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'CRD'-'FCHSD' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'FCHSD'-'LOF' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 'FCHSD'-'LOSLSD' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 'FCHSD'-'LSDL' | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 'FCHSD'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | '10SLdec'-'FCHSD' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | '10SLdec'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 'BCE'-'SUIL' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 'PLUL_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | '10SLinc'-'TRT' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 'LOSLSU'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | '10SLinc'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 28 | 'IOSurge110_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 29 | HU-340 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | HU-200 | | | | | | | |
| 31 | HU-100 | | | | | | | |
| 32 | HU-147 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-16 (Continued) SEL_Welda Usage Factor Calculation

| | |
|---|---|
| 33 | OP-43 |
| 34 | IO Surge Sub-Cycles |
| 35 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD |

The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Memb + Bend' SI Ran
Range 1, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 2, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 3, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 4, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 5, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 6, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 7, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 8, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 9, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 10, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 11, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 12, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 13, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 14, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 15, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 16, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 17, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 18, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 19, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 20, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 21, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 22, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 23, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 24, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 25, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 26, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 27, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 28, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 29, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 30, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 31, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 32, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 33, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =

## Table D-17 P_Wol Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - P_Wol (Outside Node, 5986)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl (psi) =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'LOP'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'HUCD_1'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'HUCD_1'-'HUCD_1' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'HUCD_2'-'LOSLSD' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'BCE'-'HUCD_2' | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'BCE'-'RT_CDSI' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'BCE'-'RCOP' | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'BCE'-'RCSD' | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'BCE'-'SIA' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'BCE'-'CRD' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'BCE'-'FCHSD' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'BCE'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | '10SLdec'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 'LOL'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 'LSDL'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 'PLUL_1'-'SUIL' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 'PLUL_1'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 'PLUL_1'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 'PLUL_2'-'TRT' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 'LOF'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 'PLUL_2'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 'PLUL_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | '10SLinc'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | 'LOSLSU'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 28 | 'IOSurge110_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 29 | HU-340 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | HU-200 | | | | | | | |
| 31 | HU-100 | | | | | | | |
| 32 | HU-147 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-17 (Continued) P Wol Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

| | |
|---|---|
| 33 | OP-43 |
| 34 | IOSurge Sub-Cycles |
| 35 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD |
| | |

The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Total' SI Range x Fatig
Range 1, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 2, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 3, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 4, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 5, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 6, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 7, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 8, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 9, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 10, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 11, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 12, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 13, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 14, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 15, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 16, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 17, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 18, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 19, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 20, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 21, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 22, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 23, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 24, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 25, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 26, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 27, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 28, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 29, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 30, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 31, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 32, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 33, 'Total' SI Range =

## Table D-18 Noz Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - Noz (Outside Node, 4868)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'HUCD_1'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'HUCD_2'-'LOP' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'HUCD_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'RCOP'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'RT_CDSI'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'RCSD'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'SIA'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'IOSurge_2'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'FCHSD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'CRD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'IOSurge110_1'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'LOSLSD'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'PLUL_1'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | '10SLdec'-'SUIL' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | '10SLdec'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 17 | '10SLdec'-'TRT' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | '10SLdec'-'LOF' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | '10SLdec'-'RT_noCD' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | '10SLdec'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 'PLUL_2'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 'BCE'-'LOL' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | '10SLinc'-'BCE' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 'BCE'-'LOSLSU' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 'BCE'-'LSDL' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 'BCE'-'BCE' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HU-340 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | HU-200 | | | | | | | |
| 29 | HU-100 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | HU-147 | | | | | | | |

## Table D-18 (Continued) Noz Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 31 | OP-43 | |
| 32 | IO Surge Sub-Cycles | |
| 33 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | |

The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Total' SI Range x Ke (a

Range 1, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 2, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 3, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 4, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 5, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 6, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 7, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 8, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 9, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 10, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 11, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 12, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 13, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 14, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 15, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 16, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 17, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 18, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 19, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 20, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 21, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 22, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 23, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 24, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 25, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 26, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 27, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 28, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 29, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 30, 'Total' SI Range =
Range 31, 'Total' SI Range =

## Table D-19 Sleeve Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

**Evaluation Title:** North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - TS (Outside Node, 5081)
**Reference Files:**
**Material:**
**Type:**
**UTS (ksi) =**
**E matl (psi) =**

| RANGE NUMBER | TRANSIENTS WITH RANGE EXTREMES | REQ'D CYCLES | E matl | PEAK SI RANGE | S alt | (E ratio) x S alt | ALLOWABLE CYCLES "N" | USAGE FACTOR "U" |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 'RCSD'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 'HUCD_1'-'IOSurge_1' | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 'HUCD_1'-'RT_CDSI' | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 'HUCD_1'-'SUIL' | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 'RCOP'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 'HUCD_2'-'IOSurge_2' | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 'HUCD_2'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 'LOSLSD'-'SIA' | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 'LOSLSD'-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 'RT_CDnSI-'RT_CDnSI | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 'FCHSD'-'LOL' | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 'CRD'-'FCHSD' | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 'FCHSD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 'RT_noCD'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 'LOF'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 'IOSurge110_1'-'IOSurge110_2' | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 'LOP'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 'LSDL'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 'PLUL_1'-'IOSurge110_1' | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 'PLUL_1'-'PLUL_1' | | | | | | | |
| 21 | '10SLinc'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 22 | 'LOSLSU'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 23 | 'PLUL_2'-'PLUL_2' | | | | | | | |
| 24 | '10SLdec'-'10SLdec' | | | | | | | |
| 25 | 'BCE'-'TRT' | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 'BCE'-'BCE' | | | | | | | |
| 27 | IOSurge Sub-Cycles(1) | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Sub-Cycle within HUCD | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

## Table D-19 (Continued) Sleeve Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation

The 'Peak SI Range' = 'Memb + Bend' SI Range x Fatigue Strength Reduction Factor (FSRF) x Ke (as needed)

Range 1, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 2, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 3, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 4, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 5, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 6, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 7, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 8, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 9, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 10, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 11, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 12, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 13, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 14, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 15, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 16, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 17, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 18, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 19, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 20, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 21, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 22, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 23, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 24, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 25, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =
Range 26, 'Memb + Bend' SI Range =

| Location | M+B Transient SI Range | Temp 1 | Temp 2 | Max. Temp. | Sm | Transient + External SI Range | EQ 1 (2) | EQ 2 (2) | Ke Factor |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *ksi* | *°F* | *°F* | *°F* | *ksi* | *ksi* | | | |

Note (1): Plastic analysis is used to find the CFUF associated with the Insurge/Outsurge Subcycles. See Appendix E.

Note (2): Equations 1 and 2 for Ke values are presented in Section D.4.2.3.2.

Note (3): IOSurge Transient contributes a partial usage factor of [ ] when this transient ranges within itself (i.e., sub-cycles), see Section D.4.2.4 (last paragraph).

Because the total usage factor [ ] is above allowable value 1.0, plastic analysis is performed for IOSurge Transient at this location (Thermal Sleeve path TS) in Appendix E.

## D.5 SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

The finite element analyses documented in this report were performed using ANSYS v11.0 software (References 13.17 and 13.18). The suitability and accuracy of use of ANSYS v11.0 was verified by performing the following verification runs (Table D-20).

**Table D-20 Software Verification Files**

## D.6 COMPUTER OUTPUT FILES

**Table D-21 Computer Output and Input Files**

# APPENDIX E

# NON-LINEAR ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS

## E.1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Appendix is to analyze several points on the Surge Nozzle using Non-Linear Elastic-Plastic Analysis that could not be qualified with Simplified Elastic-Plastic Analysis presented in Appendix D.

## E.2 NON-LINEAR ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS (NB-3228.4)

The analysis is based on the requirements and procedures specified in NB-3228.4 of Reference 13.1. As mentioned in Section D.4.2.4, the elastic-plastic analysis is performed for IOSurge Transient ($\Delta t$ =[ ] for all the sub-cycles, and the locations which do not meet the ASME Section III elastic or simplified elastic-plastic criteria (Reference 13.1) are then evaluated in accordance with par. NB-3228.4 - Shakedown Analysis. The path locations evaluated with elastic-plastic approach are: SEL_Wola inside, and Sleeve outside.

Par. NB-3228.4 of Reference 13.1, states that the limits on Thermal Stress Ratchet in Shell (NB-3222.5) and Progressive Distortion of Non-Integral Connections (NB-3227.3) need not be satisfied at a specific location, if, at the location, the procedures of (a) through (c) are used. The shakedown of a structure is defined by NB-3213.34 of the same reference as the deformation response of the structure that after a few cycles of load application, ratcheting ceases and the subsequent structural response is elastic, or elastic-plastic, and progressive incremental inelastic deformation is absent.

NB-3228.4(a): In evaluating stresses for comparison with the remaining stress limits, the stresses shall be calculated on an elastic basis.

Since the stresses for comparison with the remaining stress limits are calculated on elastic basis as presented in previous sections. This requirement is satisfied.

NB-3228.4(b): In lieu of satisfying the specific requirements of NB-3221.2, NB-3222.2, NB-3222.5, and NB-3227.3 at a specific location, the structural action shall be calculated on a plastic basis, and the design shall be considered to be acceptable if the shakedown occurs (as opposed to continuing deformation).

A detailed finite element analysis is performed to verify that shakedown occurs when the surge nozzle is exposed to the IOSurge Transient along with the applicable external loads.

### E.2.1 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL FOR ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS

Since the plastic behavior of the material is time dependent, the external loads have to be applied directly to the FE model along with the thermal transient and pressure loads. The 2-D axisymmetric ANSYS FE model does not have 2-D elements for non-symmetric external loads application in the elastic-plastic analysis, therefore the 2-D model built in ANSYS WORKBENCH 11.0 was expanded into 180° symmetric 3-D model to perform the elastic-plastic analysis, as shown in Figure E-1.

The boundary conditions and the surface loading are similar to 2-D FE model (see Section 4.4) except symmetry boundary condition at the front face of the 180° FE model. The symmetry boundary condition is modeled by setting zero displacement normal to the plane of symmetry (UZ = 0). The FE model is meshed using the structural element SOLID186 (3-D 20-Node Structural Solid Element). This element is converted to the thermal element SOLID90 (3-D 20-Node Thermal Solid Element) for the thermal analysis.

**Figure E-1 3-D Finite Element Model**

The 3-D FE model including the elastic material properties obtained from Reference 13.15 is same as the 2-D FE model and is documented in the output file( ).

For the following elastic-plastic structural analysis, the plastic material properties have to be added at the critical locations. The elastic-plastic material definition is documented in the output file( ).

### E.2.2 DESIGN CONDITION ANALYSIS

To verify the correct behavior of the model as well as the correct settings of the boundary conditions, the structural run with Design pressure [ ] and Design temperature [ ] was performed and compared with the results of 2-D analysis (see Figure 6-2, Section 6). The stress intensity contour plot for the 3-D model under the Design Conditions is shown in Figure E-2. This Design Condition run is documented in the output file: [ ].

**Figure E-2 Stress Intensity Contours for 3-D Design Pressure Run**

### E.2.3 THERMAL TRANSIENT ANALYSIS FOR IOSURGE TRANSIENT

The thermal loads and boundary conditions as described and specified in detail in Section 7 and Section D.2 were applied to the 3-D finite element model. Note that only transient IOSurge are evaluated in the elastic-plastic analysis. The thermal run of this transient is documented in the output file:{ }.

The thermal results were evaluated by examining the magnitude of temperature differences between key locations of the model. The approximately same node locations as shown in Figure 7-1 were selected for the thermal gradient determination. The output file documenting these nodal temperatures and temperature gradients:{ }. Based on the results documented in this file and temperature and temperature gradient plots (Figure E-3), it has been confirmed that 3-D model gives the same results as 2-D model. These corresponding results provide other verification between the 2-D and 3-D model behavior.

**Figure E-3 Temperature and Temperature Gradients for IOSurge Transient**

## E.2.4 ELASTIC-PLASTIC MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Since the total SI ranges are below the $3S_m$ limit at locations in the surge nozzle material, the nozzle (and head) is still modeled with elastic material properties as before. Part of the pipe, remote from the weld overlay area, is also modeled with elastic material properties. The true

Multilinear Kinematic Hardening (KINH) material model was used. KINH options use the Besseling model, also called the sub-layer or overlay model, so that the Bauschinger effect is included. The ANSYS options of KINH allow to define enough stress-strain curves (total 40, 8 used) as well as the number of points per curve (total 20, 16 used). Note that only part of the surge nozzle assembly subjected to plastic deformation is modeled with elastic-plastic material properties, as shown in Figure E-4.

**Figure E-4 Elastic-Plastic Region of the Model**

Table E-1 lists the data for the stress-strain curves for the materials at various temperatures given by Reference 13.2. Table E-2 tabulates the first strain values of the curve based on the Young's modulus taken from Reference 13.15.

**Table E-1 Elastic-Plastic Material Properties of**

| Strain [-] | Stress [psi] | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 700°F | 600°F | 500°F | 400°F | 300°F | 200°F | 100°F | 70°F |
| | | | | | | | | |

**Table E-2 First Strain Values for Table E-1**

| Temperature | 700°F | 600°F | 500°F | 400°F | 300°F | 200°F | 100 °F | 70°F |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strain | | | | | | | | |

Table E-3 lists three sets of Young's modulus, coefficients of thermal expansion and data of the stress-strain curves for per Reference 13.3.

**Table E-3 Elastic-Plastic Material Properties of**

| Temperature [°F] | 75°F | | 620°F | | 980°F | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| E [psi] | | | | | | |
| α [$10^{-6}$ in/in-°F] | | | | | | |
| | Strain [-] | Stress [psi] | Strain [-] | Stress [psi] | Strain [-] | Stress [psi] |
| | | | | | | |

## E.2.5 EXTERNAL LOADS

External loads defined at the end of the safe end are transformed to the end of the modeled pipe as shown in Figure E-5. The transformed local loads are listed in Table E-4.

**Figure E-5 External Loads Coordinate System**

The following formulae are used in transforming the loads into the local coordinate system:

$F_{xL} = F_x$

$F_{yL} = F_y$

$F_{zL} = F_z$

$M_{xL} = M_x - F_z \cdot L$

$M_{yL} = M_y$

$M_{zL} = M_z + F_x \cdot L$

where:

L = 10.9 in. is taken from the ANSYS FE model

The loads applied at the safe end are obtained from Reference 13.8 with proper transformation of coordinate systems and directions. The Dead Weight loadings are conservatively taken from Attachment 11 of Reference 13.8. The Thermal loadings under normal operation condition are taken from the UNSTR Condition in Attachment 9, page 7, of the same reference. The

The enveloped loads are considered as the corresponding component forces and moments to the maximum resultant moment applied at the end of pipe among all stratification cases.

The resulting loads applied to the FE model are shown in Figure E-6. These local loads are applied to the model via surface-based constraints. The forces and moments are applied on a pilot node. This pilot node is the only target segment (TARGE170 element) on the target surface side. It is the master node of the MPC (multipoint constraint approach) equations. The bottom pipe cross-section area is the contact surface and contains the contact elements (CONTA174). The nodes of these elements are the slave nodes of the MPC equations. Options of these contact elements are for a force-distributed surface constraint. Since the FE model contains symmetry boundary conditions, the torsional moment, shear force in the "z" direction and bending moment in the "x" direction are not applicable. All three moments are then conservatively summed by SRSS as the bending moment acting in the "–z" direction as follows:

Shear force applied at the pilot node in the +x direction: $F_{shear} = \sqrt{F_{xL}^2 + F_{zL}^2}$

Bending moment applied at the pilot node in the –z direction: $M_{bending} = \sqrt{M_{xL}^2 + M_{yL}^2 + M_{zL}^2}$

**Table E-4 External Loads**

| Loads (absolute values) | $F_{xL}$ [lbs] | $F_{yL}$ [lbs] | $F_{zL}$ [lbs] | $M_{xL}$ [in-lbs] | $M_{yL}$ [in-lbs] | $M_{zL}$ [in-lbs] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dead Weight | | | | | | |
| Thermal | | | | | | |
| Stratification | | | | | | |

Since only half of the geometry is modeled with symmetry condition, the above loads are divided by 2 when input in ANSYS.

**Figure E-6 External Loads at Pilot Node**

The external loads are not constant during the evaluated transient time points. The evaluated transient time points along with the applied external loads are listed in Table E-5. Note that OBE is typically assumed to occur during the normal operating conditions at full power and therefore is not considered in these transients, which do not contain such as conditions. The Stratification (STR) loads are not applied at the time period during the Insurge/Outsurge. Dead Weight (DW) and Thermal (TH) loads are applied to all time points. The applied external loads and load combination is documented in the following iput file: .

**Table E-5 Time Points of Interest for 'IOSurge' Structural Elastic-Plastic Analysis**

| Load Case | Time [hr] | Comment | External Load Combination |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.0001 | | DW+TH+STR |
| 2 | 0.0500 | | DW+TH+STR |
| 3 | 0.050653 | | DW+TH |
| 4 | 0.0667 | | DW+TH |
| 5 | 0.08413 | | DW+TH |
| 6 | 0.09663 | | DW+TH |
| 7 | 0.2 | | DW+TH |
| 8 | 0.3167 | | DW+TH |
| 9 | 0.3333 | | DW+TH |
| 10 | 0.35 | | DW+TH |
| 11 | 0.40 | | DW+TH |
| 12 | 0.5 | | DW+TH+STR |
| 13 | 0.783333 | | DW+TH+STR |
| 14 | 1.0000 | | DW+TH+STR |

## E.2.6 RESULTS OF THE ELASTIC-PLASTIC ANALYSIS

The shakedown of a structure occurs if, after a few cycles of load application, ratcheting ceases. In order to inspect the shakedown of the surge nozzle, the new elastic-plastic IOSurge Transient was analyzed for 10 cycles in the structural runs. The stress analyses are performed for each cycle with time points of interest listed in Table E-5. Selected time points include time points corresponding to the critical parts of IOSurge Transient, maximum thermal gradients, and other intermediate time points. The number of time points in one cycle is adequate to ensure that the elastic-plastic analysis results are accurate and to ensure numerical stability in the model. The nodal temperature at the particular time point is read into the structural model directly from the result file of the thermal analysis. The FE model of the surge nozzle is then loaded by the internal pressure and external loads. The ANSYS output file documenting the structural run is:

[ ]

**Figure E-7 Nodes for Post-Processing of Elastic-Plastic Analysis**

The figure below is extracted from Figure 9-1 for 2-D elastic analysis in the main document, and the nodes corresponding to the same locations are selected in the 3-D elastic-plastic analysis, as illustrated in Figure E-7. During the post-processing, the time histories of total strains are investigated at four locations. Two selected nodes are at the locations of the inside node of Path SEL_Wola and outside node of Path Sleeve. Nodes 180° from these two locations are also selected to ensure the worst conditions are captured due to the applied external loads.

**Figure E-7 (continued) Nodes for Post-Processing of Elastic-Plastic Analysis**

The maximum principal total strain ranges of each calculated cycle are listed in Table E-6. The principal total strain range calculation follows the same approach prescribed in NB-3216.2 of Reference 13.1 for total stress ranges. The total strain $\varepsilon_{ij}^{(t)}$ is the summation of elastic $\varepsilon_{ij}^{(e)}$ and plastic $\varepsilon_{ij}^{(p)}$ strains: $\varepsilon_{ij}^{(t)} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{(e)} + \varepsilon_{ij}^{(p)}$. Let $\varepsilon_1$ be the algebraically largest and $\varepsilon_3$ the algebraically smallest strain ($\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \geq \varepsilon_3$), the numerically (absolute) maximum principal strain is defined by $\varepsilon_{max} = \max(|\varepsilon_1|, |\varepsilon_3|)$. The maximum total principal strain range is then $\Delta\varepsilon^t_{max} = \max(|\Delta\varepsilon_1|, |\Delta\varepsilon_3|)$, where the range is taken between the components of the total strain. The principal strain range is calculated "after" taking the strain component range. The post-processing is documented in the output files: [ ] and the output files containing the calculated strain ranges for the selected nodes are the following:

[ ]

**Table E-6 Maximum Principal Total Strain Ranges**

| Strain Ranges for IOSurge Transient | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cycle | Node # 12507 | Node # 18610 | Node # 18719 | Node # 18575 |
| 1 | [ ] | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |

A review of the strain time history indicates shakedown occurs at all investigated locations. Typical curves of the displacement and strain components versus time (node #12507 and #18575) are shown in Figure E-8 through Figure E-11. Stability of the structural response can be observed from these figures. The file documenting these printed outputs is: [ ]

The UZ, $\varepsilon_{yz}$, and $\varepsilon_{xz}$ components are not shown since all selected nodes are located on the plane of symmetry where UZ displacement in the horizontal direction (see Figure E-6) is equal to zero and shear strains are relatively small in comparison with normal strains ($\varepsilon_x$, $\varepsilon_y$, and $\varepsilon_z$).

**Figure E-8 Strain Plots for Node #12507 and IOSurge Transient**

**Figure E-9 Displacement Plots for Node #12507 and IOSurge Transient**

**Figure E-10 Strain Plots for Node #18575 and IOSurge Transient**

**Figure E-11 Displacement Plots for Node #18575 and IOSurge Transient**

NB-3228.4(c): In evaluating stresses for comparison with fatigue allowable, the numerically maximum principal total strain range shall be multiplied by one-half the modulus of elasticity of the material (Young's modulus) at the mean value of the temperature of the cycle. Since the internal cycles of the IOSurge Transient is excluded in the previous elastic analysis of inside node of Path SEL_Wola and outside node of Path Sleeve, the principal stress intensity ranges calculated from the total strain ranges in the elastic-plastic analysis shall be additionally considered for fatigue evaluation at these locations. In order to obtain the principal stress intensity ranges, the principal total strain ranges shall be multiplied by the factor $E_t \cdot (E_c/E_t) = E_c$, where $E_t$ is the Young's modulus at the mean temperature of the cycle and $E_c$ is the referenced Young's modulus of the fatigue curve ($28.3 \cdot 10^6$ psi for high alloy steel per Reference 13.1). The highest stress intensity ranges at the selected nodes within the 10 loading cycles during the elastic-plastic analysis are obtained and reviewed, and Table E-7 summarizes the maximum strain and stress results.

**Table E-7 Maximum Principal Strain Ranges and Calculated SI Ranges**

| Node # | Maximum Strain Range from Selected Nodes (Table E-6, Path SEL_Wola, Inside) | SI Range [ksi] |
|---|---|---|
| 12507 | [ ] | [ ] |
| 18719 | | |
| **Node #** | **Maximum Strain Range from Selected Nodes (Table E-6, Sleeve, outside)** | **SI Range [ksi]** |
| 18610 | [ ] | [ ] |
| 18575 | | |

The maximum SI Ranges of [ ] are used in the fatigue usage calculation in Table E-8 and E-9. Since IOSurge Transient is critical only when it is ranging within itself, the number of sub-cycles accounted for in the elastic-plastic analysis is [ ] as discussed in Table D-1. The remaining [ ] can range with other transients and are accounted for in the elastic analysis in Appendix D.

### Table E-8 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation for Safe End

| EVALUATION TITLE: North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - SEL_Wola (Inside) |
|---|

### Table E-9 Fatigue Usage Factor Calculation for Thermal Sleeve

| EVALUATION TITLE: North Anna - PZR Surge Nozzle Weld Overlay Analysis - Sleeve (outside) |
|---|

See Table 10-1 in the main body of the calculation for summary of the total fatigue usage factors for all evaluated materials using elastic or elastic-plastics analysis.

## E.3 SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

The finite element analyses documented in this report were performed using ANSYS v11.0 software (References 13.17 and 13.18). The suitability and accuracy of use of ANSYS v11.0 was verified by performing the following verification runs (Table E-10).

**Table E-10 Software Verification Files**

## E.4 COMPUTER OUTPUT FILES

**Table E-11 Computer Output and Input Files**