RAS 14/87

### Official Transcript of Proceedings

### **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

DOCKETED USNRC

Title:

Crow Butte Resources, Inc.

(In Situ Leach Facility)

December 20, 2007 (2:51pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket Number:

40-8943

Location:

Teleconference

Date:

Tuesday, December 18, 2007

Work Order No.: NRC-1934

Pages 1-52

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

Τ.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
. 3	+ + + + +
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL
5	+ + + +
6	:
7	IN THE MATTER OF:
8	CROW BUTTE RESOURCES, INC. : Docket No.
9	: 40-8943
10	(In Situ Leach Facility) :
11	:
12	
13	Tuesday, December 18, 2007
14	Teleconference
15	
16	The above entitled matter convened,
17	pursuant to notice, at 1:25 p.m.
18	·
19	
20	BEFORE:
21	ANN MARSHALL YOUNG, Chair, Administrative Judge
22	RICHARD E. COLE, Administrative Judge
23	FRED W. OLIVER, Administrative Judge
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	On Behalf of the Applicant, Wachovia Resources:
3	MARK McGUIRE, ESQ.
4	605 South 14 <sup>th</sup> Street
5	Suite 100
6	Lincoln, Nebraska 68508
7	
8	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
9	ANDREA Z. JONES, ESQ.
10	MOLLY LEE BARKMAN, ESQ.
11	MARCIA FINEMAN, ESQ.
12	Office of the General Counsel
13	Mail Stop 15 D21
14	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
15	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
16	(301) 415-2246 (Ms. Jones)
17	(301) 415-1117 (Ms. Barkman)
18	
19	STEPHEN COHEN
20	Project Manager
21	Mail Stop 7 E18
22	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
23	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
24	(301) 415-7182
25	

T	On Behalf of the Petitioners, Thomas Cook, Individual;
2	Slim Buttes Agricultural Development Corporation; and
3	Western Nebraska Resources Council:
4	DAVID FRANKEL, ESQ.
5	P.O. Box 3014
6	Pine Ridge, South Dakota 57770
7	
8	On Behalf of the Petitioners, Debra White Plume and
9	Owe Aku:
10	BRUCE ELLISON, ESQ.
1,1	P.O. Box 2508
12	Rapid City, South Dakota 57709
13	
14	Also Present:
15	JOE AMERICAN HORSE
16	BUFFALO BRUCE
17	THOMAS COOK
18	MARCIA FINEMAN
19	JACOB FROHMAN
20	LARRY TEAHON
21	JOHANNA THIBAULT
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u> </u>	F-K-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-5
2	(1:35 p.m.)
3	JUDGE YOUNG: So I think we can go on the
4	record. I'm going to start by introducing myself and
.5	the Licensing Board and the Law Firm.
6	My name is Ann Marshall Young, no E on the
7	Ann. I'm the chair of the Licensing Board. I have
8	with me Judges Richard F. Cole and Fred W. Oliver. I
9	think I got those middle initials correct. We also
10	have Johanna Thibault, J-O-H-A-N-N-A T-H-I-B-A-U-L-T.
. 11	And let me just start with Mr. McGuire.
12	Why don't you tell us your name, and the spelling of
13	your name. And who else may be listening in or with
14	you on behalf of the Applicant.
15	MR. MCGUIRE: True. My name is Mark, M-A-
16	R-K, McGuire, M-C capital G-U-I-R-E, appearing on
17	behalf of Wachovia Resources. Listening is Mr. Larry
18	Teahon, T-E-A-H-O-N,
19	JUDGE YOUNG: T as in Tom?
20	MR. MCGUIRE: T as in Tango, yes, or
21	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
22	MR. MCGUIRE: Tom. E as in Echo, A
23	Alpha, H-O-N as in November.
24	JUDGE YOUNG: All right. And then before
25	we get to the petitioners and their counsel, Ms.

1	Jones, could you introduce yourself and anyone who's
2.	with you?
<sup>-</sup> 3	MS. JONES: Yes, I am Andrea Jones, counsel
4	for the NRC Staff. And I have Marcia Fineman, who is
5	also co-counsel for the NRC Staff. I have Mr. Stephen
6	Cohen, and it's Cohen, C-O-H-E-N, who is the assigned
7	project manager in this particular case. And I have
8	with me also Ms. Molly Barkman, who is also an
9	attorney within the Office of the General Counsel, who
10	will be also assisting.
11	MR. MCGUIRE: How do you spell Molly's last
12	name?
13	MS. JONES: B-A-R-K-M-A-N.
14	MR. MCGUIRE: Okay, thank you.
15	MS. JONES: Sure.
16	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, that completes the list
17	of Staff people?
18	MS. JONES: That's correct.
19	JUDGE YOUNG: All right. Now, moving to
20	the petitioners, we have Mr. Bruce Ellison, and Mr.
21	Frankel. Is it David Frankel?
22	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, David Frankel.
23	JUDGE YOUNG: All right. Let's take you
24	one by one. And if you could tell us who you
25	represent.
1	1

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

. 1	I know Mr. Cook is here. I'll be
2	interested to know whether Mr. Cook will be appearing
3	on his own behalf with regard to the petition he filed
4	individually, or whether either Mr. Ellison or Mr.
<sub>.</sub> 5	Frankel will be representing him also in addition to
6	the group.
7	And also, Ms. Plume White is not here.
8	And I believe that was indicated earlier in that she
. 9	would not be joining us.
10	MR. ELLISON: It's White Plume, Judge.
11	JUDGE YOUNG: White Plume, I'm sorry, I
12	keep getting that wrong. Mr. Ellison, in the filings
13	that Ms. White Plume made, she referred to you, I
14	believe, as her attorney.
15	MR. ELLISON: I will be the attorney for
16	Owe Aku,
17	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, not
18	MR. ELLISON: which is her organization.
19	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, but not for her
20	individually.
21	MR. ELLISON: Well, and for her
22	individually, if she
23	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
24	MR. ELLISON: acceptable.
25	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. And for her
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

individually. MR. ELLISON: Yes, Ma'am. 2 3 JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. Well then why don't 4 you introduce yourself and specify more clearly than 5 I have who all you represent. MR. ELLISON: Yes, Judge. My name is Bruce 6 7 Ellison, E-L-L-I-S-O-N, I'm an attorney from Rapid 8 City, South Dakota, and I have entered an appearance 9 on behalf of Owe Aku, O-W-E-A-K-U, and Debra White 10 Plume. JUDGE YOUNG: Mr. Frankel, why don't you do 11 12 the same. Indicate who all you are representing, and 13 then let us know whether you will be representing Mr. Cook, individually as well as the organization. 14 15 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Judge, thank you. 16 will be representing Mr. Cook individually, as well as Slim Buttes Ag Development Corp, and Western Nebraska 17 18 Resources Council. 19 My name is David Frankel, F-R-A-N-K-E-L. I'm admitted in California and the 8th and 9th Courts 20 21 of Appeal, and Federal District Court, Northern District of California. 22 23 And I've just filed my notice 24 appearance, I had some email and computer problems,

but it should be on everyone's email by now.

25

1	apologize for not getting it in prior to the call.
2	JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you. Pardon me, if I
. 3	cough from time to time, I'll try not to.
4	For the court reporter, this is Judge
5	Young. I'll probably be sort of leading the
6	discussion, but if you're not sure whether it's me or
7	not, feel free to interrupt me or anyone else if
8	you're not sure who we are, or if you need the
9	spelling of a word.
10	Just sort of by way of introduction also,
11	to the process. Since we have counsel for all parties
12	present, we will expect just counsel to be speaking.
13	Anyone else is welcome to listen, but the lawyers are
14	those who are there to speak for the parties, so we
15	will ask them to do all the speaking on behalf of
16	them. And if you need to confer and go on mute for a
17	moment, just let us know you're doing that.
18	Also, I assume that Mr. Frankel and Mr.
19	Ellison have received both our orders of December 12th
20	and 13 <sup>th</sup> , and May I assume that?
21	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Judge.
22	MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce Ellison. Yes,
23	Judge, although I just really received everything over
24	the last day or so
25	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.

MR. ELLISON: -- because I've just recently become involved with Owe Aku and Ms. White Plume on this matter.

JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, well make sure you do read those carefully. We're going to talk about some of the things more specifically today. At the end of the conference call we'll ask if there are any other questions or any other issues that we haven't gone over that any participant would like to bring up. And we will also be providing possibly some additional case law that we'd like the parties to look at. But otherwise, I would say, for starters, in terms of preparing for oral argument, those orders give you some information that you'll want to look at.

I guess I should note for the record that we do have two of the original petitions, that from the High Plains Community Development Corporation, and from the Chadron Native American Center. Both of those have been withdrawn, so we now have five parties before us, Ms. White Plume and Mr. Cook individually, and then the Slim Buttes Agricultural Development Corporation Western Nebraska Resources Council, and Owe Aku -- Is that the correct pronunciation?

MR. ELLISON: Yes, Ma'am.

JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. Is my understanding on

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

the situation as I just described it correct? 1 2 MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce 3 correct. MR. FRANKEL: Yes, judge. 5 MS. JONES: Yes, that's correct. JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you very much. 6 The 7 next issue on our agenda would be to address the 8 schedule. As everyone is probably aware, we're sort of moving fairly rapidly in this proceeding. 9 10 Board did not get involved until a little bit later than we normally would, and I know that counsel for 11 the petitioners are just recently involved. 12 13 we'll take that into account as much as is appropriate 14 in setting the schedule. 15 But we do need to address a couple of 16 specific things. First of all, with regard to Ms. 17 White Plume's request for an extension, I believe she 18 made reference in that to the answer or response filed 19 by Crow Butte, I don't know that she mentioned 20 specifically the NRC Staff's response. I think we 21 recognize that there may have been some difficulty 22 getting these documents or for the petitioners 23 receiving these documents. 24 What we'd like to know is what you have, and when you received it, so that we can look at a reasonable time 25

period to allow petitioners to file their replies. So, Mr. Frankel and Mr. Ellis, whichever, or you would like to -- Why don't we go to Mr. Ellis first, since it was Ms. White Plume who requested the extension, although I think we'll be amenable to setting the time line for all the petitioners for the same deadline.

MR. ELLISON: Your Honor, this is Bruce Ellison. Yes, on behalf of both, or in response to both the Crowe Butte and the NRC, in terms of a response, I just had a really major hearing in court yesterday that I had been preparing on, and I really did not even get a chance to pull off of email these documents until some on Sunday, and some on Monday, and I'm just beginning to go through them. And I apologize for this being this late, but I couldn't help that with scheduling.

And one of the things I'd like to request, I know Ms. White Plume requested an extension for the 20<sup>th</sup>, and I believe that on the Crow Butte response, and I believe that Mr. Frankel has had some discussions with Mr. McGuire, and I've not yet had the pleasure of, or the opportunity to do that yet. Quite frankly, I really look at a response in two days from today as not being realistic. If other than some kind of a hastily prepared document, which I don't know

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

11.

that would be good for anyone. Plus in addition we do 2 need to provide some affidavits, and it will take 3 longer than two days, even till the end of this week, to get that. 5 So what I would like to request on behalf 6 of Ms. White Plume and Owe Aku, would be if we could 7 have some time into next week in order to give a response, it would still be way in advance of the 8 9 hearing. Because I do understand the January 9th date is going to be moved, and I would need it to be moved 10 11 as well. JUDGE YOUNG: Actually, let's move for a 12 13 second to the date for oral argument. Staff counsel filed a request to move that to January 10th, and I 14 believe she had conferred with everyone except Ms. 15 White Plume. Is that right, Ms. Jones? 16 Did I get that right? 17 18 MS. JONES: Yes, that is correct. attempted to contact her several times. I think there 19 20 was some, I think we sort of played phone tag, Judge, 21 and unfortunately by the time we filed our motion --22 JUDGE YOUNG: That's okay. MS. JONES: -- we hadn't really discussed 23 24 it with her. We were trying to meet your deadline to 25 make sure that we put in our request --

. 1	JUDGE TOUNG: That S all right, that S all
2	right. I really wasn't asking for an explanation of
<sup>-</sup> 3	that.
4	MS. JONES: Okay.
5	JUDGE YOUNG: Basically, what I'm trying to
. 6	get to is whether everyone is in agreement to the
7	January 10 <sup>th</sup> date for oral argument.
8	MR. ELLISON: Your Honor, this is Bruce
9	Ellison. On behalf of Ms. White Plume, I am scheduled
10	to be working on a Federal case in Portland, Oregon
11	during that time period. And I had understood that
12	January 17th was a possible alternative date, and I
13	would respectfully request that it be on the 17th
14	rather than the 10 <sup>th</sup> .
15	JUDGE YOUNG: I don't know that I don't
16 -	believe we had offered that date, but we can certainly
17	talk about that.
18	MR. ELLISON: All right, I'm still catching
19	up on correspondence, so thank you for advising me of
20	that.
21	MR. COHEN: I believe the Staff had asked
22	for the $10^{th}$ , $11^{th}$ , or $17^{th}$ .
23	JUDGE YOUNG: The Staff had asked for that,
24	okay. Let me just break for one second, I'm going to
25	put you on mute.
	NEAL D. ODGGG

1	We just conferred briefly about our
2.	schedules. Let me just ask, would everyone be
3	available if we were to set this to start on the 16 <sup>th</sup> ,
. 4	and run over to the 17 <sup>th</sup> as necessary?
5	MR. MCGUIRE: This is Mark McGuire. Yes,
. 6	that would be fine.
7	MS. JONES: This is Andrea Jones for NRC
8	Staff, I think those dates would be fine here, Judge.
9	MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel, for
10	cook, WNRC, and Slim Buttes Ag Dev, and those dates
11	are fine.
12	MR. ELLISON: Yes, Judge, I'm Bruce
13	Ellison, I had a visit about the 17 <sup>th</sup> , the 16 <sup>th</sup> would
14	be fine as well.
15	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. At this point I'm not
16	sure we'll need both dates, but sometimes it's good to
17 :	allow the possibility of running over. We have talked
18	with the people at the What's the name of the
19	court? Dawes County?
20	MS. THIBAULT: Dawes County District Court.
21	JUDGE YOUNG: And we were thinking of
22	MS. THIBAULT: In Chadron.
23	JUDGE YOUNG: In Chadron. We were thinking
24	about doing the oral argument in the courthouse in
25	Chadron. We had gotten authorization to use it on the

10th and going late. We'll need to recheck on that, but if everyone's available on the 16th and 17th, let's 2 go ahead and schedule it for then. If we can't use 3 the courthouse, we'll find someone, someplace else. 4 5 Before we move on from that, though, let me just ask. With six contentions and standing and 6 7 several different parties, it might be wise to 8 schedule a second day in case we don't finish on the 9 first day. Do any of the counsels have any insights 10 or opinions or requests with regard to that? MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel. 11 12 think that, we really respect everyone's time and energy going into this, and from our perspective, if 13 14 we can schedule backup dates, then I think it would 15 just honor all those efforts. By the time everyone 16 gets to that place and has all their focus on it, it 17 would just seem to me to be worthwhile to finish the process -- Or if we end early, we leave early. 18 19 that would be our perspective, Your Honor. 20 JUDGE YOUNG: Anyone else have anything to 21 offer in the way of opinion, request, insights? MR. MCGUIRE: This is Mark McGuire. 22 think you're talking about starting on the 16th, 23 24 that's fine. It'd probably make some sense to have on 25 hold the 17th, although I sort of thing we ought to be

able to finish in one day, but might as well have a 2 backup. JUDGE YOUNG: Right, and if we can stay 3 late, that would give us some leeway as well. Okay, 4 well then let's schedule it for the 16th, and then if 5 necessary go on onto the 17th. And we will get out an 6 7 order memorializing that in the next few days, this 8 Because the Licensing Board, just to let you week. 9 know our schedules, will not be in the office next 10 week, so we need to try to take care of things this week if possible, and then we can get back with you 11 12 the following week if anything else comes. 13 Hello, have we got someone new on? MR. AMERICAN HORSE: Yes, my name is Joe 14 American Horse. Your Honor, my name is Joe American 15 16 Horse. 17 JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, and you're listening in 18 on the conference? 19 MR. AMERICAN HORSE: Yes, I am. 20 JUDGE YOUNG: Very well, thank you. MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, if I could take 21 22 a moment to let Joe know you're admonishing at the 23 beginning of this call to speak through lawyers. JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, and this is Mr. 24 25 Frankel, or Mr?

MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel. Joe?
MR. AMERICAN HORSE: Yes.

MR. FRANKEL: The Judge has asked those people who are represented by lawyers, including yourself, listen on this call but speak through attorneys. If you have something that you feel you need to say, since we're not able to talk, we're not in the same place, then, you know, raise it if you feel that it is imperative, but let's -- The Judge has requested that we talk through the lawyers.

MR. AMERICAN HORSE: I understand that.

JUDGE YOUNG: That's just to make things go more smoothly. We follow certain legal processes that are both traditional legal processes and processes set out in the NRC rules regarding adjudication, and that generally makes things go more smoothly. When we're all together, in Chadron, counsel and you'll be together and if you need to have the lawyer say something in particular, that's more easy to do in that context.

Okay, then moving back, since we're all okay on this date, I think the Board would like to receive the replies early enough so that we can have a chance to study them before the oral argument. And your request, Mr. Ellison, for sometime next week, I

T	think would be line for us. Mr. Frankel, I indicated
2	earlier that we would be willing to set the same time
3	line for all petitioners.
4	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Your Honor, that would
5	be fine for us.
6	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, so let's say by Friday,
7	December 28? Would that work for everyone?
8	MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce Ellison, yes
9	Ma'am.
10	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
11	MR. FRANKEL: This is Dave Frankel, yes
12	Ma'am.
13	JUDGE YOUNG: Now in preparing those
14	replies I do encourage you both, Mr. Frankel and Mr.
15	Ellis to Ellison, I'm sorry. To read the orders
16	that we've issued and the case law that we cited
17	because that provides a lot of the guidelines on some
18	of the special procedures and NRC proceedings that may
19	be unlike other legal proceedings that you've been
20	involved in. And you need to be aware of those.
21	/de/; Thank you, Your Honor.
22	JUDGE YOUNG: And not just with regard to
23	the processes, but to the standards for admission of
24	contentions, the standards for standing, and so forth.
25	What the first thing that the Board is

1	required to do is to rule on standing and
2	admissibility of contention, and I think you really do
3	need to look at that case law to get an idea of the
4	considerations that the Board will be required to
5.	follow in making our ruling. Any questions on that?
6	MR. ELLISON: No, Ma'am, I do thank you for
7	your advice on that.
.8	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
9	MR. FRANKEL: No questions here, Ma'am. I
10	do have a question separately though. This is David
11	Frankel speaking.
12	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
13	MR. FRANKEL: So I understand correctly,
14	Friday, December 28 <sup>th</sup> , are our replies to Crow's brief
15	due? Meaning, our replies to CBR and our reply to
16	NRC, is that correct?
17	JUDGE YOUNG: That's with my thought. Now,
18 .	I realize we set that on a Friday, and I don't know
19	whether it would help NRC Staff counsel or Crow Butte
20	counsel that much to have it at the end of Friday. If
21	you want over the weekend we could probably add that.
22	MR. ELLISON: Until January.
23	MR. FRANKEL: That would be great, Your
24	Honor.
25	MR. ELLISON: It would be great, Your
1 1 2 3 4	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	Honor. To January 3 <sup>rd</sup> ?
2 -	JUDGE YOUNG: Does either Staff counsel or
3	Crow Butte counsel have any objection to that?
4	MS. JONES: Well, I believe we may be
5	running into a holiday issue. If we prolong it beyond
6	the weekend. I would, you know, prefer, Judge, if it
7	would be set on a Friday.
8	JUDGE YOUNG: So you could that
9	MS. JONES: Exactly. It's considering that
10	I have another proceeding that I'm preparing for, it
11	would really help me a lot if I could have it set for
12	Friday, December 28 <sup>th</sup> .
13	JUDGE YOUNG: But that makes a difference,
14	and certainly we'll take that into consideration as
15	well. Mr. Frankel and Mr. Ellison, would you be okay
16	then with the end of Friday?
17	MR. ELLISON: If it can't be the following
18	Monday because of other counsel's problems, I would be
19	fine with the 28 <sup>th</sup> and would appreciate the additional
20	time. From tomorrow.
21	JUDGE YOUNG: And Mr. Frankel?
22	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, this is David Frankel.
23	We're happy to have it be on Friday and give Staff
24	counsel, you know, time to review it. So it works for
25 i	us.

May I ask, Your Honor, are we expecting 1 two separate briefs, one to reply to CBR, one to reply 2 to the NRC, or would it be to anyone's convenience for 3 us to file a combined brief? We would only do that if 4 5 it would please the convenience of the court for us to 6 do that. 7 JUDGE YOUNG: I don't have any strong 8 preference. MR. MCGUIRE: This is Mark McGuire. 9 10 think it would be cleaner to do it separately. 11 MR. FRANKEL: Okay. 12 JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. Just one more issue on 13 the December 28th time line. This case does not fall under the NRC's new electronic filing rule. However, 14 15 it's very helpful to, on the deadline date, 16 actually file something electronically as well as through the other means that have been specified in 17 our order of December 12th, I think it was the one 18 19 that we spelled that out in. Now we can say that 20 every time there's a deadline, it would be required to 21 be filed by close of business, say 5 o'clock Eastern Time on that date, or by midnight on that date. 22 23 Ms. Jones, let me just ask you, since you 24 are going to be working on this apparently over that 25 weekend, would it make any difference to you, on the

_	replies, and ill heddire I will able you one bame enting
2	too, to receive them by close of business as opposed
3	to midnight?
4	MS. JONES: I would prefer close of
5	business.
6	MR. MCGUIRE: And I would as well, if I
7	may.
8	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, then let's say close of
9	business, because that I want to try to take into
10	account everyone's needs here, and I think that would
11	be fair.
12	MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce Ellison. If I
13	may make a query, we are in numerous time zones. The
14	close of
15	MR. MCGUIRE: Just about all of them.
16	(Laughter)
17	MR. ELLISON: So my question is, is it our
18	own respective end of day, 5 p.m. on Friday, or are we
19	going to set a standard time for all of us to follow?
20	JUDGE YOUNG: That would probably be good
21	to set a standard time. Let me hear suggestions.
22	MS. JONES: I would obviously prefer
23	Eastern Standard Time.
24	JUDGE YOUNG: Could you share with me, each
25	person, which time zone you are in? Because I figured
	NEAL P. CPOSS

(202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	maybe two others besides ours. Mr. Frankel and Mr.
2	Ellis, what times zones are you in?
3	MR. ELLISON: Your Honor this is Bruce
4	Ellison, and I believe Mr. McGuire, you are in
5	Nebraska, as I recall.
6	MR. MCGUIRE: Correct.
7	MR. ELLISON: Are you on Mountain Standard
8	Time, sir?
9	MR. MCGUIRE: Central.
10	JUDGE YOUNG: Oh, central, okay.
11	MR. ELLISON: Central. Okay. Well then we
12	do have three time zones.
13	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.
14	MR. ELLISON: I'm on Mountain Standard
15	Time, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, and Mr. Frankel?
17	MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel, I'm
18	actually on Hawaii time.
19	(Laughter)
20	MR. MCGUIRE: Well, too bad for you.
21	MR. FRANKEL: It's raining here, if that
22	will get me any It's five hours earlier than
23	Eastern Time, so I have to make quite an early morning
24	to make a 5 p.m. Eastern I'll accept whatever is
25	good for all the parties. But even a couple extra

1	hours on this end makes a difference to me. But
2	again, whatever works for the majority of parties is
3	workable for us.
4	JUDGE YOUNG: So you're permanently on
5	Hawaii time, you're not just there temporarily?
6	MR. FRANKEL: Right, we live We have a
7	raw piece of land that we're working on here and
8	living out on the land, so, you know, yes Ma'am.
9	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, just for balancing
10	these all out, okay. Mr. Ellison is on Mountain, Mr.
11	McGuire's on Central, and Mr. Frankel is on Hawaiian.
12	Would 1 p.m. Eastern Time make that a reasonable, sort
13	of, splitting the baby
14	MR. FRANKEL: No, Ma'am, that's 8am Hawaii
L5	time.
16	JUDGE YOUNG: Oh, I'm sorry, I want to go
17	the other way. Okay. Other way. So let's say 8 p.m.
18	Eastern Time, how does that sound to the people out
19	there?
20	MR. FRANKEL: That'd be great to us, Ma'am.
21	MR. ELLISON: Which would be 6 p.m.
22	Mountain Standard Time, which would be wonderful,
23	thank you.
24	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, and Mr. McGuire and Ms.
25	Jones, could you live with 8 p.m.?

1	MR. MCGUIRE: Sure.
2	MS. JONES: Yes, yes I can.
3	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, so let's set 8 p.m.
4	Eastern Time for the standard deadline whenever we set
5	a date. And that would be the deadline to have it
6	sent electronically, and then just so long as you mail
7	it that same day, or send it by delivery service or
8	whatever. Anything else?
9	MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor?
10	JUDGE YOUNG: Yes?
11	MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel. All
12	this is great, we can comply with all of this. One
13	thing on the follow-up mailing. I know that some of
14	us are in rural places. Will you accept our best
15	efforts for same day or immediate next day mailing?
16	JUDGE YOUNG: Any objections to that?
.17	MR. MCGUIRE: No.
18	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. Well, all right, then
19	that sounds good, I'll try to remember to incorporate
20	that in our order.
21	Okay, I think that we've addressed
22	everything on the schedule at this point. Have I left
23	anything out? Can anyone think of anything else?
24	MS. JONES: I'm sorry, Judge, I missed your
25	question.

23

24

25

YOUNG: schedule, have JUDGE On overlooked anything? Does anyone have any other issues relating to the schedule?

MS. JONES: Oh. No.

JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. All right. Moving on, the next thing that I'd like to just mention with the and that is 10 CFR parties, participants, Section 2.316 allows for consolidation of party. And for those of you who don't have it in front of you, what it says is that on motion or on its or his own initiative, the commission or the presiding officer -we are the presiding officer in this case -- may order any parties in a proceeding who have substantially the same interests that may be affected by the proceeding and who raise substantially the same questions, to consolidate their presentation of evidence, cross examination, briefs, proposed citings of fact, and conclusions of law and argument.

We may not order any consolidation that would prejudice the rights of any party. The consolidation may be for all purposes of the proceeding, all of the issues with respect to any one or more issues.

We are thinking that with regard to the oral argument, that it would make sense to allow for

### **NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS**

separate argument by Mr. Frankel and Mr. Ellison on standing, because the issues there may be slightly different. But that on the contention admissibility question, that it might be helpful to consolidate those arguments, since from our examination it looks as though the contentions of all the different parties are essentially identical.

And I guess I would ask at this point, Mr. Frankel and Mr. Ellison, do you anticipate any different argument? Would it on the other hand be even helpful to you to sort of divide up your arguments on the six different contentions, so that one of you might present the argument on three, and the other on the other three, and obviously you could sit together and confer with each other, but just to make the arguments proceed a little bit more smoothly and avoid repetition.

Would you have any objection, or think that it would be in any way unfair to ask that you consolidate your argument on the contention?

MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, this is David Frankel. I think it would be helpful. We would not object in principle, assuming that we would not be faced with loss of time. We would I think --

JUDGE YOUNG: I'm sorry, I didn't get the

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

last thing you said. Assuming that you would not be? MR. FRANKEL: Facing a loss of time. Of the number of minutes that we might be allocated to argue on these issues or present, I should say. And so if the court can work it out for us so that we're not prejudiced in that way, we're amenable to working with Mr. Ellison to allocate responsibilities and make a more cohesive presentation. MR. ELLISON: Your Honor this is Bruce And I would agree with Mr. Frankel. Ellison. know there may be some situations on a particular issue that it might be necessary for either Mr. Frankel or I, depending on the other doing the argument at the moment, to add something that might be best by that particular counsel on behalf of a party, rather than to pass a note. But as a general concept, and with the idea that we would clearly try and make it, certainly no duplication, try and work together, I think we can. Mr. Frankel and I have worked together before. JUDGE YOUNG: Great, okay. would make things go more smoothly, and I think obviously we can also be flexible in terms of if anything comes up that one or the other of you wants to add, that that would be permissible.

1

2

ż

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it

1	Now, in this case, Mr. McGuire, I may be
2	assuming incorrectly, but nevertheless I assumed to a
3	certain extent that you have not been involved in NRC
4	proceedings like this before?
5	MR. MCGUIRE: That would be correct.
6	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. Oftentimes it happens
7	that there is some overlap between the arguments of
8	the Staff and the Applicant.
9	MR. MCGUIRE: Right.
10	JUDGE YOUNG: And so it might be that the
11	Staff and Applicant counsel could get together and
12	discuss how you're going to present your argument as
13	well to avoid duplication and repetition of any
14	issues.
15	MR. MCGUIRE: Certainly.
16	JUDGE YOUNG: And since at this point
17	basically we have four counsel, it would seem fair to
18	allow the same amount of time to the combination of
19	the two petitioners' counsel and the combination of
20	the Staff and Applicant counsel. Does that sound fair
21	to everyone?
22	MS. JONES: Yes, that's fine, Judge.
23	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
24	MR. MCGUIRE: This is Mark, yes that would
25	be fine.
}	

1	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
2	MR. ELLISON: Bruce Ellison, yes, Your
3	Honor.
4	MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel, yes,
5	Your Honor.
6	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. We will try before the
7.	January 16 <sup>th</sup> At some point closer to January 16h
8	we'll be issuing an order setting out the location,
9	the specific time, and so forth.
10	And we'll set out some guidelines for the
11	amount of time for each argument, just give you a
12	preview that probably the Board will have questions,
13	a fair number of questions as we go, so we wouldn't
14	hold that time against you. And my general approach,
15	I think Judge Cole, who I've worked with before, and
16	probably Judge Oliver as well, is to be flexible but
17	try to keep things moving and avoid duplication and
18	repetition.
19	Just going to make a couple notes for
20	myself.
21	Okay, the next thing on the agenda then
22	Anything else?
23	JUDGE COLE: Just one comment. I'm looking
24	at the size of the contentions A through F.
25	JUDGE YOUNG: This is Judge Cole speaking,
- 1	1

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

by the way.

JUDGE COLE: Certainly one or two of those contentions, particularly one of them, is going to require considerably more time to discuss than the others, so we will take that into account when we allocate time for each contention. Thanks, Judge.

JUDGE YOUNG: Yes. Good point. And if anyone has any particular requests on that, just file those as soon as possible. We'll take those into account when we set that out. Yes, that's an excellent point.

Okay, one other thing in terms of how we're looking at the issues of standing and contention admissibility. The petitions that have been filed, unlike some other petitions that we get, information that may be relevant to one contention or another or to standings and the contention, is not necessarily set forth under precise headings that would --

In other words, there may be things that are relevant to standing that are found in some of the contention arguments, at least in our preliminary study of those documents. And so I guess we would advise all parties that, that's how we are looking at it, and we may have questions during oral argument on issues that may come out in parts of the petition that

1	are not strictly speaking under the heading of a
2	particular contention or standing.
3	So we would like to have you be prepared
4	on that approach. Does that make sense to everyone,
5	and do you sort of follow what I'm saying here?
6	MS. JONES: Yes I do, Judge. This is
7	Andrea Jones.
8	MR. MCGUIRE: This is Mark McGuire, yes, I
9	do.
10	MR. ELLISON: Bruce Ellison yes, Your
11	Honor.
12	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. So
13	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel yes, Your
14	Honor.
15	JUDGE YOUNG; So when we get to oral
16	argument, it would be helpful if, when you're making
17	your argument, to the extent that you're relying on
18	information that may be in another part of the
19	petition, or under another heading, just say on the
20	record, to the extent that you can. It would be very
21	helpful to us to have you point us to those parts,
22	those pages of the petition that are relevant.
23	And I say petition, there are a number of
24	petitions. It would also, I think, be helpful if the
25	two of you and your clients could get together and

1 designate, not on the issue of standing, we understand there are differences there, 2 but designate one 3 document that we could sort of use as a reference document in hearing your arguments. 5 would be helpful as well to everyone. 6 Any comments on that? 7 MR. ELLISON: Just so I understand, Your 8 Honor, this is Bruce Ellison. This is also going to 9 be my first NRC hearing. And when you say a reference 10 document, can I understand a little bit better exactly 11 what you mean? 12 JUDGE YOUNG: All I mean is simply that we 13 have now before us, five petitions but they, except for standing in some instances, the petitions look to 14 15 be pretty much identical. I'm not sure the page 16 numbering is exactly the same. But if you could get 17 together and say, rather than have us looking at five documents, just choose one of them --18 19 MR. ELLISON: I see. 20 JUDGE YOUNG: -- to refer to. So that we 21 can, you know, everyone can be agreed on which 22 document we'll refer to in argument and in any order, 23 any written filings. Does that make sense to 24 everyone? 25 MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel, Your

Honor, it does make sense. There may -- I'm not sure if any one of my clients intentions includes all of the others, because, you know, we have some that include Native American concerns, and some don't.

I am able to contribute with Bruce to prepare a reference document, although it may be that we need to take the most complete one of petitions, and in addition to that, excerpts from the others, to make sure that nothing gets left out. we can do it in the spirit of that, I would be happy to, you know, work on that and submit something that if it's acceptable could be used as a reference document for everyone. So that we don't have these kind of, you know, reference problems.

JUDGE YOUNG: I guess I wasn't speaking of creating a new one, but the two of you and all your clients getting together and choosing one of the five for us to use as a reference document. And then, obviously, if there's things related -- and this is just on the contention, mind you, not the standing, because we recognize those might be different. But it just seems to us that it might be helpful to be looking at one document, rather than five.

MR. FRANKEL: I'm going to have to look at that, Your Honor, but we would like to, keeping in

### **NEAL R. GROSS**

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

+	we don't want to drop anyone.
2	JUDGE YOUNG: Exactly.
3	MR. FRANKEL: Okay.
4	JUDGE YOUNG: Exactly. We don't want you
5	to drop anything out, and if you can do it by saying,
6	well we'll choose this one, and paragraphs X-Y-Z from
7.	other ones.
8	It seems like that might be helpful also
9	to the Applicant and Staff to be able to do that.
10	MS. JONES: Yes, it would Judge. When do
11	you think we would be able to receive that
12	information?
13	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, that's my question
14	exactly. To have it somewhat in advance.
15	MS. JONES: So that we're prepared.
16	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, so that we can look at
17	the right
18	MR. ELLISON: Can we get a sense of the
19	hearing, Mark?
20	JUDGE YOUNG: I was going to suggest that
21	you provide that with the replies.
22	MS. JONES: Okay, that would be fine. That
23	would be great.
24	MR. ELLISON: We can do that Your Honor.
25	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, great.
	NEAL R. GROSS

MR. FRANKEL: This is David Frankel. We'll then cite to that document in with our replies, so we .2 can all be on the same page, if that's --3 MR. MCGUIRE: Excellent. 5 JUDGE YOUNG: That would be, that would 6 make things --7 MR. MCGUIRE: Yes. 8 JUDGE YOUNG: Very good, thank you very 9 much. Okay, let's see. With regard to just a couple 10 other more legal issues. We wanted to just touch on 11 three things. One of the unusual things about this 12 proceeding is that unlike some other NRC adjudication proceedings, in which there are often extensive rules 13 14 defining what the standards are for, and the substandards for, for example granting the requested 15 16 license amendment, or for handling all sorts of subissues that must be met in order obtain the requested 17 18 license amendment, there really aren't any regulations 19 to my knowledge, and I'll ask Staff counsel to correct 20 me if I'm wrong, but there really aren't many NRC 21 regulations that spell this out. 22 I believe it may have been Staff who 23 directed us to 10 CFR Part 40 Appendix A. 24 MS. JONES: That is correct, Judge.

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

JUDGE YOUNG: But other than that, we're

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

not aware of any standard as would exist in some other proceedings. So we wanted to just throw out, for the 2 3 parties to look at and be prepared to address, first, standards for the grant of a license amendment. 4 Generally -- excuse me while I find my 5 10 CFR Section 50.40 addresses 6 7 standards for licenses and construction permits 8 generally. And you might want to look at that with 9 regard to the standards that we'll be required to 10 apply in this proceeding. If there're any others, if maybe Staff 11 12 counsel could enlighten us, that might be helpful. Do 13 you know of any others that would -- There are various 14 guidance documents, we're aware of that. 15 MS. JONES: That is correct. 16 JUDGE YOUNG: However, guidance documents are guidance. 17 18 MS. JONES: That's correct, yes. 19 JUDGE YOUNG: They are not law, and they're not binding, and we can consider them persuasive, but 20 21 I think it would be helpful if everyone's sort of on 22 the same page in terms of the standards that are 23 governing here. 24 Ms. Jones, are you aware of any others, besides that broad, the common standards for licenses 25

1	and I think Part 50 deals with domestic licensing
2	of production and utilization facilities.
3	MS. JONES: Right. Well there's also
4	Chapter, excuse me, 10 CFR Part 51.
5	JUDGE YOUNG: Part 51.
6	MS. JONES: That deals with the
7	environmental issues under NIPA.
8	JUDGE YOUNG: Right.
9	MS. JONES: And under that particular
10	chapter, I believe 51.45, and I believe that is cited
11	in our response, where the Applicant is required to
12	comply with certain, there's certain requirements that
13	they're required to submit in their environmental
14	report. So that's another.
15	And we'll have to Let me confer with
16	the project manager, just to make sure I'm clear
17	before I say anything. Would that be okay?
18	JUDGE YOUNG: Sure.
19	MS. JONES: Okay, hold on a second. Okay,
20	yes Judge, I'm back.
21	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
22	MS. JONES: I think also to take into
23	consideration, and I believe we also mentioned this in
24	our response, but the license condition in the
25	original license would also have a bearing on these
t	

1 .	proceedings, because this is, obviously it's an
2	amendment. And so some of those conditions are also
3	going to govern.
. 4	JUDGE COLE: I'm sorry, could you repeat
5	that again, please?
6	MS. JONES: Some of the conditions in the
7	original license will also govern, and I believe that
8	is mentioned in our response.
9	JUDGE COLE: Okay, thank you.
10	JUDGE YOUNG: Just a question on that. I
11	assume that the application is on the NRC website. Do
12	we have a copy of the license on the website, or is
13	MS. JONES: Yes, there is. Would you like
14	for me to get the ADAMS number?
15	JUDGE YOUNG: Yes, and also for both
16	application and licenses, that would be helpful.
17	MS. JONES: Okay, for the application and
18	the license. Okay, I can get that
19	JUDGE YOUNG: You can provide it to us
20	afterwards if you like.
21	MS. JONES: Okay, all right. I'll do that.
22	JUDGE COLE: By an email, that would be
23	wonderful.
24	MS. JONES: Okay.
25	JUDGE COLE: Thank you.
	NEAL R. GROSS

- 1	
1	MS. JONES: No problem.
2.	MR. FRANKEL: Thank you.
3	MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you.
4	MS. JONES: You're welcome.
5	JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you. Anything else on
6	the general standards for granting a license amendment
7	in a case like this? Okay, then
8	MS. JONES: That's pretty much it, as far
.9	as I can see, Judge.
10	JUDGE YOUNG: All right, thank you.
11	MS. JONES: You're welcome.
12	JUDGE YOUNG: If anything else comes up, if
13	anyone becomes aware of anything else, provide it as
14	soon as possible. We're not aware of anything else.
15	Then just next, briefly, on the issue of
16	whether or not to grant the request for Subpart G,
17	proceeding as of Subpart G, the new rules became
18	effective in I think it was January or February of
19	2004. We're aware that in one case that has been
20	discussed, and that's the Vermont Yankee case, found
21	that 60 NRC 686, a 2004 decision, it's LBP-04-31, and
22	Is that on the NRC website? I know that most of
23	the reporters are, but not that recent. Is there an
24	ADAMS number for that?
25	MS. JONES: I'm not sure, but I could look

.1 into it. 2 JUDGE YOUNG: Okay. 3 MS. JONES: It should be, Judge. But I can 4 look into it, I can provide that information as well. 5 JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you very much. 6 then, we're not aware of anything else. If you're 7 aware of any other cases relating to that, that you might provide, if you could provide those as well. 8 9 MS. JONES: Okay. 10 JUDGE YOUNG: Okay and then just, the final 11 issue that we wanted to mention is, on the issue of 12 discretionary standing, I'm just going to ask Ms. 13 Thibault to give you a site to a commissioner's 14 decision on discretionary standing that's clearly 15 recent, that I think all parties will want to look at 16 before the oral argument. Go ahead. 17 MS. THIBAULT: This is Johanna Thibault. 18 The ADAMS Siemaszko case, which was an enforcement 19 case that was decided in Summer of 2006, the 20 commission entire opinion discussing had an 21 discretionary standing. The cite on that 22 63 NRC 708, and that's in 2006. 23 MR. MCGUIRE: Excuse me, this is Mark. Would you say that again? Sixty-three? 24 25 MS. THIBAULT: Sixty-three --

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MR. MCGUIRE: Yes.
2	MS. THIBAULT: NRC 708.
3	MR. MCGUIRE: Seven zero eight.
4	MS. THIBAULT: Yes, and it's
5	MR. MCGUIRE: Thank you.
6	MS. THIBAULT: Siemaszko. S-I-E-M-A-S-Z-
7	K-O.
8	MR. MCGUIRE: I wouldn't have come close.
9	Thank you.
10	MS. THIBAULT: I just wanted to ask, if
11	anybody, if any of the parties, since this is your
12	first NRC case, have trouble finding these documents
13	online, please let us know. I've been trying to make
14	sure everyone can find them, and Once you get use
15	to the system, it can be relatively easy to, but if
16	you're having trouble, please let me know.
17	MR. MCGUIRE: Okay, thank you.
18	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, that's the end of our
19	list for today's agenda. Do any of you have any other
20	issues that you'd like to raise?
21	MS. JONES: There is one thing that I would
22	like to, I'd just like to mention.
23	JUDGE YOUNG: This is Ms. Jones?
24	MS. JONES: Yes. Judge, we received and
25	there's been a lot of emails, so forgive me if I
	NEAL R. GROSS

missed it, but we just received a notice of appearance from Mr. Ellis, but I believe that it only was for 2 3 Owe Aku, but Mr. Ellis tells us today that he's also 4 entering an appearance for Ms. Debra White Plume or Plume White, and so I didn't see that notice, that 5 written notice of appearance. 6 7 MR. ELLISON: And you know, that would be correct, because I really was not aware until this 8 9 call that Ms. White Plume was filing as an individual 10 as well. MS. JONES: Okay. 11 MR. ELLISON: And if I may be permitted by 12 the parties to either file an amended or a separate 13 14 request to represent Ms. White Plume separately, I 15 would be happy to do that. 16 JUDGE YOUNG: I think that would be good, 17 and also Mr. Frankel, when -- I don't know, we haven't 18 looked at your notice of appearance, but if you have 19 not addressed your representation of Mr. Cook, could 20 you in addition file any new notice of appearance that 21 you might need to, to define who all you represent? 22 MR. FRANKEL: It's already done, you're 23 honor. 24 JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you. 25 MR. ELLISON: Your Honor this is Bruce

1 Ellison again. Would it be all right if I just simply filed an amended one? I mean, I could do either way -2 3 JUDGE YOUNG: Sure. 4 MR. ELLISON: -- because the -- and then .5 combine both of them together. 6 7 JUDGE YOUNG: Sure, that's fine. MR. ELLISON: Because the address and other 8 9 information regarding Ms. White Plume and Owe Aku 10 would be the same. JUDGE YOUNG: That's fine. 11 Any other 12 issues that anybody would like to take up while we're 13 all together on the phone? 14 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Your Honor this is David 15 We, in reading the NRC's response, and I 16 I notice some questions also in the 17 Applicant's response, that request specific names and 18 addresses of individuals and things like that. We're 19 collecting that information. I just want to make sure 20 that we have the court's permission to submit those 21 affidavits and supplemental information with our 22 reply, and that it won't be viewed as any form of 23 abuse of the process that we have. We're trying to 2.4 respond fully, and we don't want to be under confusion

that that's not the court's expectation.

1	JUDGE YOUNG: Let me just ask Ms. Jones and
2 .	Mr. McGuire if you have any objection to that. Also
3	there's a recent case in which I was involved, in
4	which we addressed the issue of curing any defects in
5	standing, and I think that was the Sheron Harris case.
6	I think that's the case it was. In any event, Ms.
7	Jones, do you have any objection to that?
8	MS. JONES: Can he restate that? Exactly
9	what is he proposing? I'm sorry.
10	JUDGE YOUNG: Filing an affidavit. Go
11	ahead, Mr. Ellison.
12	MR. ELLISON: No, it's Mr. Frankel.
13	JUDGE YOUNG: Mr. Frankel, I'm sorry.
14	MR. FRANKEL: You have it right, Judge.
15	Filing affidavits that provide the specific names and
16	addresses that were asked for in the NRC's response
17	and I believe also in the Applicant's response.
18	MS. JONES: Do you mean for the individuals
19	that you're going to, you're offering to establish
2.0	standing, is that what you're referring to?
21	MR. FRANKEL: Individuals that in my case
22	are involved with Western Nebraska Resources Council,
23	that, you know, what can I say, they live there,
24	they're part of Western Nebraska Resources Council,
25	but you don't know their names and addresses because

we didn't provide them in the petition. 1 2 MS. JONES: I have no problem with that. 3 MR. MCGUIRE: If it's just identification -4 MS. JONES: Yes, if it's just --5 б MR. MCGUIRE: I'm sorry, Ms. Jones, 7 didn't mean to cut you off there. 8 MS. JONES: No, go ahead, go ahead. 9 MR. MCGUIRE: Yes, if it's just identifying that Joe Smith lives in such and such place, that's 10 11. If it goes beyond that, argumentative or fine. 12 alleging damages or whatever, then I would have a 13 problem with that. 14 MS. JONES: Yes. 15 MR. FRANKEL: I don't envision that it 16 would be argumentative. It would be plain statements that, you know, Jane and John Smith's address, that 17 18 they lived at that address for such and such time, 19 that they, you know, drink the water there, breathe the air there, just those basic facts that they're, 20 you know, asserting as being part of this membership 21 22 organization, Western Nebraska Resources Council. 23 MR. MCGUIRE: If it's just they live there 24 drink the water, fine. 25 characterizing the water then I'd have a problem. If

1	that's a good way to sum my position.
2	JUDGE YOUNG: Go ahead and file whatever
3.	you're going to file, and then if you want, we can set
4	a deadline for further objections to that Ms.
5	Jones, go ahead?
6	MS. JONES: I'm sorry, Judge, I didn't mean
7	to interrupt. I was going to suggest that. It's
8	difficult for me to respond to it without seeing it.
9 .	And I would prefer to have an opportunity to examine
10	it.
11	JUDGE YOUNG: How soon can you file those,
12	Mr. Frankel. And Mr. Ellison, did you want to file
13.	some as well?
14	MR. ELLISON: Yes, we may very well, if we
15	may. And could we have until, say, the same briefing
16	date?
17	JUDGE YOUNG: December 28th?
18	MR. ELLISON: Yes, Ma'am.
19	JUDGE YOUNG: And then any response to
20	those by Ms. Jones and Mr. McGuire, a week after that?
21	Which would be January 4 <sup>th</sup> .
22 ·	MR. MCGUIRE: Sure.
23	MS. JONES: That's fine.
24	MR. MCGUIRE: That's fine.
25	JUDGE YOUNG: And in the meantime, I will
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	try to find I think it was the Susquehanna
2	MS. JONES: Yes.
3	JUDGE YOUNG: License Renewal proceeding
4	in which we discussed briefly hearing defects and
5	standings. In any event, if that is correct, I'll
6	provide that citation in our order following up on
7	this telephone conference. For all parties' benefit.
8	Any other matters that anyone wants to
9	raise at this point?
10	Hearing only silence, I'm going to assume
1.1	not.
12	MS. JONES: Oh, I'm sorry, excuse me,
13	Judge. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. I did
14	have one last item.
15	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
16	MS. JONES: We talked about consolidation.
17	JUDGE YOUNG: Right.
18	MS. JONES: I don't think I got the follow-
19	up to that.
20	JUDGE YOUNG: Oh, okay. I guess it would
21	be, it might be good to set a time to have all the
22	petitioners on the one hand and the NRC Staff on the
23	other, to indicate which things you think would be
24	appropriate for consolidated argument on, and which
25	things you think would not be appropriate.

1	MS. JONES: Okay.
2	JUDGE YOUNG: And it would be helpful to
3.	have that probably in the near future, so that
4	Probably sometime next week, I guess, maybe December
5 .	28 <sup>th</sup> , for everyone? Is that a good time for everyone?
6	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Your Honor.
7	MR. MCGUIRE: Sure.
8	MR. ELLISON: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: Yes, that's fine.
10	MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, David Frankel
11	here. So that I understand correctly, this is along
12	the general lines that you outlined concerning having,
13	not consolidating on the standing issues, but
14	consolidating on the contentions so that we were not
15	duplicative.
16	JUDGE YOUNG: Right.
17	MR. MCGUIRE: Correct.
18	MS. JONES: Okay.
19	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay, and if you'd rather
20	have early the next week, that'd be fine too, but just
21	in time for us to take those into consideration and
22	setting out the time lines for arguments and so forth.
23	So unless anyone says anything different, we'll just
24	say December 28 <sup>th</sup> for that.

Okay, anything else?

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Well, we appreciate all of your
2	participation here today. And as I say we're going to
3	try to get an order out, just confirming the things
4	we've discussed today, by the end of this week.
5	We will not be available next week. When
6	I say we, I mean the Licensing Board. And so if you
7.	need to raise anything, you'll probably need to raise
8	it the beginning of the following week. Or actually
9	the middle of the following week. I think we're going
10	to be getting back into the office on January 3rd.
11	So if there's nothing else, thank you all.
12	And before we hang up, Court Reporter, do you need
13	anything? Any further spellings?
14	/cr/: Only, you mentioned a Hanna Harrison case, or
15	Heron Harrison.
16	JUDGE YOUNG: Oh, Sheron
17	/cr/: Sheron.
18	JUDGE YOUNG: Harris.
19	/cr/: Harris.
20	JUDGE YOUNG: I said Susquehanna the last
21	time, it was Sheron Harris I think meant to say.
22	/cr/: Sheron Harris, okay.
23	JUDGE YOUNG: They both started with an S.
24	S-H-E-A-R-O-N H-A-R-R-I-S, and in my order I'll
25	provide the cite to that.

1 /cr/: Okay, thank you.
2 MR. MCGUI

MR. MCGUIRE: Judge, this is really dropping to the mundane. This is Mark McGuire. If you wind up with hitting a conflict with the Dawes County District Court courtroom, or is scheduled, I would tell you that at Chadron State College, it's located there in Chadron, they have a student center that has really good rooms for hearings. We had one out there on a legislative issue this Summer, and I just alerted you to that so there is a alternative in case the courtroom's full out there.

JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you, we appreciate that very much. And in my opinion, we can't get too mundane if it helps us move things along more effectively. So --

MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, this is David Frankel, might I just, since I'm not in the same room with my clients that are on the phone, can I just ask them if they felt that there was anything that was not covered. I'm just asking Tom Cook, Buffalo Bruce, and Joe American Horse. Gentlemen, is there anything that you feel I should address that has not been addressed?

MR. COOK: No, I think everything's been covered.

MR. BRUCE: This is Bruce, I'm comfortable.

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	MR. AMERICAN HORSE: Joe American Horse,
2	I'm agreeable.
3	JUDGE YOUNG: Okay.
4	MR. FRANKEL: Thank you, everyone.
5	JUDGE YOUNG: Thank you all.
6	MS. JONES: Thank you, Judge.
7	(Whereupon at 3:02 p.m. the
8	proceeding in the above-
9	entitled matter was adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	-
22	
23	
24	

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: Crow Butte Resources, Inc.

Docket Number:

40-8943

Location:

Teleconference

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

micheel Kochen

Michael Kocher Official Reporter Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.