

23  
**From:** Mark Satorius  
**To:** Duncan, Eric  
**Date:** 04/01/2007 4:07:59 PM  
**Subject:** Fw: Revised FENOC Acknowledgement Letter

Can't believe I did not cc you  
Mark Satorius  
Sent from a Blackberry Device

F-90

**Mail Envelope Properties** (46101F2F.3BE : 1 : 4078)

**Subject:** Fw: Revised FENOC Acknowledgement Letter  
**Creation Date** 04/01/2007 4:07:59 PM  
**From:** Mark Satorius  
**Created By:** MAS@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
ch\_po.CH\_DO  
ERD (Eric Duncan)

**Post Office**

ch\_po.CH\_DO

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	77	04/01/2007 4:07:59 PM
Mail		

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is not eligible for Junk Mail handling  
Message is from an internal sender

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled

**From:** Catherine Haney  
**To:** Satorius, Mark, Satorius, Mark  
**Date:** 04/01/2007 6:25:52 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Revised FENOC Acknowledgement Letter

Thanks will get back with you in the morning

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Satorius  
Cc: Pederson, Cynthia <CDP1@nrc.gov>  
Cc: Grant, Geoffrey <GEG@nrc.gov>  
Cc: Caldwell, James <JLC1@nrc.gov>  
Cc: West, Steven <KSW@nrc.gov>  
To: Bloomer, Tamara <TEB@nrc.gov>  
To: Haney, Catherine <CXH@nrc.gov>  
Cc: Shoop, Undine <USS@nrc.gov>  
Cc: Wengert, Thomas <TJW2@nrc.gov>  
To: Evans, Michele <MGE.kp1\_po.KP\_DO@nrc.gov>

Sent: 3/30/2007 5:41:59 PM

Subject: Revised FENOC Acknowledgement Letter

attached is the final draft for cathy and michele's concurrence. the letter is going into final tonight and i'm requesting your email concurrence on the attached.

for tammy. during the call this afternoon w/ caputo, kane, sheron, boger, carpenter, and burns, steve burns indicated that ogc would want to see the attached, no concurrence. could you pls get it to the right lawyer and coordinate it.

pls note that this letter will be sent to our standard distribution for davis besse, which is our normal practice for issuing correspondence to licensees. recipients include federal, state, and local officials. for undine - i believe this approach is consistent w/ the comm plan. our normal, standard practice w/ no proactive notifications. do you concur (sanity check).

thanks to all.

mark