#### **TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY**

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401 400 Chestnut Street Tower II

August 20, 1981

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Ms. E. Adensam, Acting Chief Licensing Branch No. 4 Division of Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dear Ms. Adensam:

In the Matter of the Application of Tennessee Valley Authority ) Docket Nos. 50-390 50-391

By letter dated February 12, 1981 from S. A. Varga, TVA was requested to provide information concerning the use of nonreinforced concrete masonry walls at Watts Bar Nuclear Plant. Information on this subject was provided by my letter to A. Schwencer dated April 20, 1981. The schedule provided in my April 20, 1981 letter was revised in my letter to you dated June 1, 1981.

The enclosed information is forwarded for your review. We expect to provide additional information concerning nonreinforced concrete masonry walls by January 15, 1982.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

L. M. Mills. Manager Nuclear Regulation and Safety

Sworn to and subscribed before me day of // this 1981.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires 9-5.

Enclosure



1/1 Aperture Dist SEND DRAMINGS to:

#### ENCLOSURE

### WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST ON CATEGORY I MASONRY WALLS EMPLOYED BY PLANTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (CP) OR OPERATING LICENSE REVIEW

TVA's initial response to the subject information request concerned only reinforced masonry walls at Watts Bar Nuclear Plant (WBN). Since the initial response, TVA has expanded the investigation on the block walls twofold. First, the information request has been expanded to address all unreinforced masonry walls in category I structures. Secondly, the loading concerns expressed in NRC Bulletin 80-11 (impact loads, pressurization loads, etc.) are being assessed in the design of the unreinforced masonry block walls.

1. Are there any concrete masonry walls being used in any of the category I structures of your plant? If the answer is "no" to this question there is no need to answer the following questions.

There are three types of unreinforced masonry walls being used in category I structures at WBN. They are mortared hollow core block, unmortared solid concrete block, and mortared solid concrete block. These walls are located as follows:

Auxiliary Building: elev 676, 692, 713

Condensate Demineralizer Waste Evaporator Building: elev 733.5

Control Building: elev 755

. Reactor Building: elev 702.8, 716

2. Indicate the loads and load combinations to which the walls were designed to resist. If load factors other than 1 have been employed, please indicate their magnitudes.

The unreinforced masonry walls were originally designed to function as either radiation shield walls, partition walls, or to provide access openings for enclosed equipment. These walls were not intended to serve any structural functions and thus were not designed to withstand any load or load combinations. An Evaluation Criteria, WBN DC-20-30, "Evaluation of Unreinforced Masonry Walls Constructed from Solid Concrete Blocks" (appendix A), was prepared for use in determining the structural capacity of the walls and their potential impact on nearby safety-related systems. The unreinforced solid block walls are being evaluated for the loads and load combinations as defined in section 3.0 of the above cited criteria. No factors other than 1 have been employed on the design loadings.

The mortared hollow core block walls have been reevaluated. They are located in areas where their failure would not damage safety-related equipment.

3. In addition to complying with the applicable requirements of the Standard Review Plan, sections 3.5, 3.7, and 3.8, is there any other code, such as the "Uniform Building Code" or the "Building Code Requirements for Concrete Masonry Structures" (proposed by the American Concrete Institute) which was or is being used to guide the design of these walls? Please identify and discuss any exceptions or deviations from the Standard Review Plan requirements or the aforementioned codes.

The structural evaluation of the unreinforced walls is being completed in accordance with the previously referenced criteria which complies with the applicable requirements of sections 3.5, 3.7, and 3.8 of the Standard Review Plan. Where applicable in section 3.0 of appendix A, certain American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) standards and American Concrete Institute (ACI) code 531-79, "Building Code Requirements for Concrete Masonry Structures," and standards were used in determining the allowable stresses.

4. Indicate the method that you used to calculate the dynamic forces in masonry walls due to earthquake, that is, whether it is a code's method such as Uniform Building Code or a dynamic analysis. Identify the code and its effective date if the code's method has been used. Indicate the input motion if a dynamic analysis has been performed.

The dynamic forces acting on the unreinforced masonry walls were determined by one of two methods depending on whether the wall joints were mortared or unmortared (section 3.2.3 of appendix A). For walls having mortared joints, the dynamic forces were determined by classical dynamic analyses techniques as discussed in <u>Introduction to Structural</u> <u>Dynamics</u> by J. M. Biggs. Each building containing block walls had been seismically analyzed according to section 3.7 of the WBN Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), and a report containing acceleration response spectra for the various floor elevations was available for each building. TVA's method of analyzing unmortared block walls is presently being reevaluated. We will include this method of analysis for unmortared block walls in our final response to this information request.

5. How were the masonry walls and the piping/equipment supports attached to them designed? Provide enough numerical examples including details of reinforcement and attachments to illustrate the methods and procedures used to analyze and design the walls and the anchors needed for supporting piping/equipment (as applicable). The unreinforced masonry walls are being analyzed for dead, live, seismic, tornado, pressure, jet impingement, pipe whip, missile, and flood loads in accordance with sections 3.2, 3.3, and 4.0 of appendix A. Restraints are being designed where necessary and will be installed after the walls have been constructed. Sample calculations of the structural capacity of the walls and design of the wall restraints completed to date are shown in appendix B.

No piping/equipment supports have been authorized for installation on the unreinforced block walls at WBN. Section 3.2.2 of appendix A states, "Attachments to the unreinforced masonry walls shall not be allowed."

6. Provide plan and elevation views of the plant structures showing the location of all masonry walls for your facility.

Locations (plan and elevation) of all unreinforced masonry walls in the category I structures at WBN are shown on the attached construction drawings as listed below:

> 41N366-1 R8 41N366-2 R5 41N368-1 R8 41N368-2 R2 41N368-3 R2 41N368-4 R4 41N368-5 R2 41N370-1 R4 41N370-2 R1

41N370-3 R3 41N370-6 R1 41W391-7 R3 41W732-2 R1 41W732-4 R1 48N943 R4 46W402 R24 46W404 R7

021196.05



# APPENDIX A TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Division of Engineering Design



PLANT: \_\_\_\_\_ WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT

Design Criteria For

EVALUATION OF UNREINFORCED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLOCKS

Design Criteria No: WBN DC-20-30

Issue Date: \_

TVA 10566 (DED-9-74)

		·····	1		1	
	· Revision RO	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5
	Dot#AY 2 1 1981					
Prepared	R.E. Jula J. L. Buck					
Supervised	N. S. Midwell					
Reviewed	Hilling					
Submitted E	La Othaliz				· · ·	
Approved	Damet					
Reviewed NSSS Vendor	-					

Thermal Power Engineering Branches				Thermal Power Design Project						Architectural, Hydro, & Special Projects Engineering & Design Branches																	
M	EB	[t	EEB		CEE	3	Project		Project		Project		. Project		, Project		R	A	A	ס	ME	kD	CI	E&D	EE	&D	Rev
R	A	A R A R A		Α		- Manager				RNCC	<u></u> R	A	R	Α	R	Α	R	A	ision								
				K	17	Van	M	D	<u> </u>	D	C	D			<u> </u>												
		-		NI!	P	<u>n34</u>	<u> </u>	<u>A</u>	R	A	R	<u>A</u>															
	 			JA		-RE				-	ilDil	REAL															
				_1/40	14	RUA				·	WOL	WDL															
	 			EV1	i/ .	RJ-H					18K	1.4.1			· .												
	. 			R.B.	N	1SB-1				ļ	AS	AJ									][						
				NE	5	nes															]						
						RD																					
				_									 		<u> </u>			-									
		<u> </u>													· .												
																					] .						
														•							77						
																					0						
																					eno						
																					les						
																					revi						
																					ew,						
																					1						
																1 a 4											
		N	EU -																								
3	A	R	A	· R		A	[														>						
1	1.1			1				!- 													b						
JP	CND	··																			eno						
	lou														;						1es						
	1417/																				app						
Ĭ																					1043						
					- -			•													a						
		·																									
			·····												·												
				}					<u>-</u>																		

.

ે કે દીધે મુખ્ય તેવું પુરુષ કે મુખ્ય મુ આ ગુજરાત મુખ્ય મુખ

•

# EVALUATION OF UNREINFOR MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED

1

WBN-DC-V-1.1.1.1

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

						٠.							•									Pa	<u>ge</u>
1.0	SCOP	E	• • • •	••	••	•	•••	•	•••	•	٠	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	÷ .	1
2.0	PURP	OSE		•	••	•	•••	•	•••	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•		• •.	٠	•	•		1
3.0	EVAL	UATION	BASIS .	•••		•		•	•••	•		• •	• •	•	•	•			•		•		1
	3.1	Materi	als	•••	•••	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •				• .		•	•	•	•		1
	~	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1.1\\ 3.1.2 \end{array}$	<u>Concret</u> Mortar	<u>e B</u> ] • •	Locks	5.	•••	•	 	•	•	•••	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	1
	3.2	Loads	• • • •	•••	•••	•	••	•	•••	•	•	.• . •	•		•	• •	•	. •	.•				2
		3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6	Dead Lo Live Lo Seismic Pipe Br Tornado Flood L	eds ads Loa eak Loa oads	()) (L) ids ( Load ids ( ; (F)	(E, Is ( (W,	E') (P_,	<u>Ч</u>	• • • • • •	Y N		• • • • • •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	• • • •	• • • •	•		2 2 7 7 7
•	3.3	Load C	ombinati	ons	•••	• •	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	••		•	•	•	•		7
•		3.3.1 3.3.2	Service Extreme	Loa Env	ds. Viror	umer	ital	. ai	nd	Abr	ior	 mal	L	oad	s	•••	•	•	•	•	•		7 7
	3.4	Allowal	ble Stre	sses	<u>.</u> .	• . •	• •	• •	••	•	•	•••	•	•	•.	• •	•	•	•	•	•		8
	· .	3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3 3.4.4 3.4.5 3.4.6 3.4.7	Compres Axial S Flexure Shear. Tensile Limitat Combine	sive tres  Str ions d St	e Str ss  ress of 	seng 	ess.	es.	· · ·	• • • •	- - - -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • •	• • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • •	•	• • • •	1	8 8 9 9 9 9
4.0	ANALY	ISIS .	• • • •	•••	• •	•••	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	` <b>D</b>	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	. 1	0
	4.1 4.2	Mortare Unmorta	ed Block ared Blo	Wal ck W	ls. Valls	•••	•	•••	• •	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	1	0
5.0	REFEF	RENCES	••••	• •	••		•	• •	•	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•••	٩	•	•	•	•	1	D

EVALUATION OF UNREWFORCED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCT FROM SOLID CONCRET

#### 1.0 SCOPE

This evaluation criteria shall apply to the evaluation of unreinforced masonry walls constructed from solid concrete masonry units. The criteria addresses both mortared and unmortared joint conditions and gives specific details where differences occur.

WBN-DC-V-1.1.

#### 2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this criteria is to establish a guide to gather and evaluate information in regard to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) "Information Request on Category I Masonry Walls" (NEB 800514 255) and NRC IE Bulletin 80-11. By using this criteria and the data gathered by a field survey, each unreinforced masonry wall shall be evaluated for its effect upon safety-related equipment should that wall fail. If the field survey indicated that a wall would not damage any safety-related equipment by its failure, no further action will be necessary for that wall. However, if the field survey indicated that a wall could damage safetyrelated equipment by its failure, the wall shall be evaluated for its structural ability to withstand combinations of the following: dead loads, impact or compartmental pressurization loads such as missile, pipe whip, pipe break, jet impingement, or tornado depressurization, flooding, and seismic loads described herein. However, for pipe break, unless the safety-related equipment is required following that specific break, no protection is necessary. If the evaluation determines that a wall can withstand the design loads, no further action will be required for that wall. However, if the evaluation indicates that a wall could not withstand any of the design loads, corrective action shall be taken to prevent damage to any safety-related equipment. This may be accomplished by designing and installing a restraint mechanism which will prevent the wall from failing or by designing and installing a barrier to protect the safety-related equipment from failure of the wall. If a restraint system is required, its design including anchorage shall conform

### 3.0 EVALUATION BASIS

### 3.1 Materials

### 3.1.1 Concrete Blocks

The solid concrete masonry units shall be conservatively assumed to conform to the requirements of American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) "Solid Load-Bearing Masonry Units," Designation C145-71, Grade S-II, unless records are available to substantiate that the masonry units conform to the requirements of the higher grades.

### 3.1.2 Mortar

The mortar shall be conservatively assumed to conform to the requirements of ASTM "Mortar for Unit Masonry," Designation

SP714

EVALUATION OF UNREINFO ED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLOCKS

WEN-DC-V-1.1.1.1

C270, type N, unless records are available to substantiate that the mortar used conformed to the requirements for types S or M.

### 3.2 Loads

1.

### 3.2.1 Dead Loads (D)

Dead loads or their related internal moments and n forces. The dead load shall be based on the density of the solid masonry units being 135 pounds per cubic foot (lb/ft<sup>3</sup>).

### 3.2.2 Live Loads (L)

Attachments to the unreinforced masonry walls shall L not be allowed. In the event that attachments are presently being utilized on the walls, corrective action must be taken to ensure their removal and relocation.

### 3.2.3 Seismic Load (E')

- Loads generated by the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) E'

The seismic analysis will consider two types of block walls: unreinforced, mortared walls as discussed in section 3.2.3.1, and unreinforced, unmortared block walls as discussed in section 3.2.3.2.

### 3.2.3.1 Mortared Block Walls

-2-

Unreinforced, mortared block walls shall be dynamically analyzed on a case-by-case basis as necessary. Parametric studies or "worst case" walls may be utilized for analysis purposes as desired. Unless it can be verified that the top block is structurally restrained or adequately mortared, the wall shall be analyzed as a simple cantilever. Otherwise, the wall shall be analyzed as a propped cantilever.

3.2.3.1.1 Walls Analyzed As a Simple Cantilever

In a typical analysis, a unit width of wall shall be assumed to act as a cantilever. The following steps shall be followed to determine dynamic loads:

Step 1. The natural frequency of the wall shall be calculated as follows:

TVA 10535 (EN DES

EVALUATION OF UNREINFOR D MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLO

1 Summers

. (

### WBN-DC-V-1:1.1.1

$$f_{1} = \frac{(0.597)^{2}\pi}{2L^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{m}} , n=1$$

$$f_{n} = \frac{(n-\frac{1}{2})^{2}\pi}{2L^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{m}} , n>1$$

#### where,

n = Mode number

f = Frequency, Hertz (Hz)

- m = Mass per unit length of wall for unit width  $(lb-sec^2/in^2)$
- $E = 1,000,000 \ lb/in^2$
- I = Moment of inertia of unit width of wall  $(in^4)$
- L = Height of wall (in)

All frequencies ≦ 33 Hz shall be calculated and retained. In the vertical direction, the wall will exhibit rigid body behavior and a frequency  $\geq$  33 Hz is assumed.

Step 2. Each frequency calculated in Step 1 shall be broadened by ±10 percent. Using the 2 percent damping floor response spectrum curve from the appropriate published Civil Engineering Branch (CEB) Report, a horizontal acceleration value corresponding to 0.9 f, 1.0 f, and 1.1 f for each calculated mode  $(n = 1, 2, 3, ...) \leq 33$  Hz, shall be determined. The largest of the three accelerations determined for each mode shall be retained for use in Step 3. The vertical acceleration shall be determined from the structural response acceleration (ZPA) curve contained in the appropriate report.

Step 3. The retained horizontal acceleration for each mode from Step 2 shall be combined using the square-root-of-the-sum-of-thesquares-method (SRSS) as follows:

$$a_r = \gamma a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots a_n^2$$

where,

a = maximum modal horizontal acceleration for the n mode.

a = SRSS acceleration

-3-

EVALUATION OF UNREINFOLED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED

WBN-DC-V-1.1.1.1

Step 4. The calculated acceleration a , shall be multiplied by the deadweight of the wall and applied as a uniform static load in the direction normal to the wall. A vertical load shall be determined by multiplying the vertical acceleration determined in Step 2 by the weight of the unit width of the wall. The seismic stress  $(\sigma_{\rm p})$  in the wall is given by:

 $\sigma_{\rm E} = \left( \frac{\rm P}{\rm A} + \frac{\rm Mc}{\rm I} \right)$ 

where Mc/I is the bending stress due to the horizontal acceleration and P/A is the axial stress due to the vertical acceleration. Since the earthquake is cyclic in nature, the calculated forces are assumed to act in either direction. Section 3.4.7 of this criteria must be met in the combined stress state.

Step 5. If, from Step 4, it is determined that restraints are required to prevent failure of a wall, the wall restraints shall be designed for the loads produced by the accelerations calculated in Steps 2 and First, select the structural shape and size restraint to be used and assume a 4-foot initial spacing. Then multiply the weight of the restraint plus the weight of the block wall (tributary width) by the acceleration given in Step 3 and apply these forces as a uniform load to the restraint. Designs that result in an unrealistically large restraint or closely spaced restraints may be coordinated with CEB personnel for further analysis on a case-by-case basis. Unless otherwise justified, restraints shall be placed on both sides of a wall.

<u>Step 6</u>. In lieu of performing a detailed dynamic evaluation, a factor of 1.5 times the peak horizontal acceleration value of the appropriate 2 percent damping floor response spectrum curve may be used for the horizontal accelerations of the wall. The vertical acceleration will be as

### EVALUATION OF UNREID RCED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCT FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLOCKS

-22 A

### WBN-DC-V-1.1.1.

defined in Step 2. Steps 4 and 5 shall then be performed to design the restraint. If Step 6 results in an unrealistically large restraint or closely spaced restraints the detailed dynamic evaluation shall be performed.

## 3.2.3.1.2 Walls Modeled As a Propped Cantilever Beam.

In a typical analysis, a unit width of the wall shall be assumed to act as a propped cantilever. The following steps shall be followed to determine dynamic loads.

Step 1. The frequency of the wall shall be calculated as follows:

$$f_n = \frac{(n + \frac{1}{2})^2 \pi}{2L^2} - \sqrt{\frac{EI}{\pi}}$$

where the parameters are defined in Step 1 of section 3.2.3.1.1. All frequencies  $\leq$  33 Hertz (Hz) shall be calculated and retained. In the vertical direction, the wall will exhibit rigid body behavior and a frequency > 33 Hz is assumed.

Step 2 through Step 6 will be the same as. those given in section 3.2.3.1.1.

### 3.2.3.2 Unmortared Block Walls

CURRENTLY

-5-

UNDER

RE EVALUATION



·EVALUATION OF UNREINFORCED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLOCKS

in

WBN-DC-V-1:1.1.1

### 3.2.4 Pipe Break Lozds (P, Y, Y)

P<sub>a</sub> - Pressure equivalent static load within or across a compartment generated by the postulated break, and including an appropriate dynamic load factor to account for the dynamic nature of the load.

Jet impingement equivalent static load on a structure generated by the postulated break, and including an appropriate dynamic load factor to account for the dynamic nature of the load.

- Missile impact load on a structure generated on or during the postulated break, as from pipe whipping and including an appropriate dynamic load factor to account for the dynamic nature of the load.
- 3.2.5 Tornado Loads (W\_)

Y.j

Ym

Wt - Loads generated by the design tornado specified for the plant. Tornado loads on the masonry walls are due to tornado-created differential pressure.

3.2.6 Flood Loads (F)

- F Flooding equivalent static load on a structure generated by compartment flooding.
- 3.3 Load Combinations

Unreinforced concrete block walls shall be evaluated as defined in section 3.2. The horizontal and vertical loads used in the design of the wall shall be applied in combinations as prescribed in the following sections.

### 3.3.1 Service Loads

For loads encountered during normal plant startup, operation, and shutdown, the following load combination shall be con-sidered:

(1) S = D

### 3.3.2 Extreme Environmental and Abnormal Loads

For extreme environmental and abnormal loads due to the safe shutdown earthquake, flood, tornado, or high energy pipe break

TV& 10535 (EN DES-7-77)

WBN-DC-V-1.1.1.1

accident, the following load combinations shall be considered:

(2) S = D + E'(3)  $S = D + P + Y + Y_{m}$ (4)  $S = D + W^{a} - J + W_{m}$ (5)  $S = D + F^{t}$ 

In load combination (3) the maximum values of P, Y, and Y should be used unless a time history analysis is performed to justify otherwise.

In the above load combinations, S is the required section strength based on the working stress design method and the allowable stresses defined in section 3.4.

### 3.4 Allowable Stresses

Allowable stresses shall be as given below for load combination (1) of section 3.3.1. These values may be increased 33 percent for load cases (2) through (5) provided the increased values do not exceed the stated maximums.

### 3.4.1 <u>Compressive</u> Strength

- 3.4.1.1 For walls with mortared joints, the compressive strength of the masonry wall, f ', shall be taken as 700 pounds per square inch (lb/in<sup>2</sup>) for an assumed compressive strength of the masonry units of 1000 lb/in<sup>2</sup> and Type N mortar. If records are available, as stated in section 3.1, a higher value of f ' may be used as determined from table 4.3 of American Concrete Institute (ACI) "Building Code Requirements for Concrete Masonry Structures" ACI 531-79.
- 3.4.1.2 For walls with unmortared joints, the compressive flexural strength of the masonry wall, f ', shall be taken as the compressive strength of the masonry units (3000 lb/in<sup>2</sup>) unless records are available to substantiate a higher value. For unmortared block walls, f ' shall be substituted for f ' of the alternate design method.

### 3.4.2 Axial Stress

The allowable compressive stress due to axial loading on the wall shall not exceed

 $F_a = 0.225 f_m' [1 - (h/40t)^3]$  but  $\leq 1000 \ lb/in^2$ ,

where h (effective height) and t (nominal thickness) are as defined in section 9.4.7 and 9.4.8 of ACI 531-79.

EVALUATION OF UNREI ORCED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCT FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLOCKS

3.4.3 Flexure

Linitational

The allowable flexural compressive stress shall be

3.4.3.1  $F_m = 0.33 f_m$  but  $\leq 1200 \text{ lb/in}^2$  for mortared walls.

3.4.3.2  $F_m = 0.45 f_m'$  but  $\leq 1350 \text{ lb/in}^2$  for unmortared walls.

3.4.4 Shear

The allowable shear stress for solid concrete blocks with mortared joints shall be

$$v_m = 1.1 \sqrt{f_m'}$$
 but  $\leq 50 \text{ lb/in}^2$ .

The allowable shear stress for solid blocks with unmortared joints shall be

 $v_{m} \leq f_{s}$ 

where f is the static friction. The coefficient of friction shall be taken as 0.7.  $f_s = 0.7 \times normal$  force.

3.4.5 Tensile Stress

The allowable tensile stress in mortared joints due to bending shall be

 $\begin{array}{l} F_t = 1.0 \ \sqrt{m_o} & \leq 40 \ \mathrm{lb/in^2} \ \mathrm{normal} \ \mathrm{to} \ \mathrm{the} \ \mathrm{bed} \ \mathrm{joints}, \\ F_t = 1.5 \ \sqrt{m_o} & \leq 80 \ \mathrm{lb/in^2} \ \mathrm{parallel} \ \mathrm{to} \ \mathrm{the} \ \mathrm{bed} \ \mathrm{joints} \ \mathrm{in} \\ \mathrm{running} \ \mathrm{bond}. \end{array}$ 

where  $m_0$  is the compressive strength of the mortar.

- 3.4.6 Limitations of Stresses
  - 3.4.6.1 Neither the tensile strength nor the shear strength of the mortar shall be considered in the analysis of vertical continuous joints.
  - 3.4.6.2 The tensile strength of the mortar shall not be considered in the analysis of the bed joint on the top of the masonry wall.
  - 3.4.6.3 If construction inspection records conforming in general to the requirements outlined in section 4.5.2 of ACI 531-79 are not available, the allowable stresses in compression shall be reduced by one-third and the allowable stresses in tension and shear reduced by one-half.

-9-

FROM SOLID CONCRETE BLOCKS

WBN-DC-V-1.1.1.1

### 3.4.7 <u>Combined Stress</u>

For combined stresses due to bending and axial loads, the following shall be met:

$$\frac{f}{F_{a}} + \frac{f}{F_{m}} \leq 1.0$$

where f is the calculated axial compressive stress in masonry and f is the calculated flexural compressive stress in masonry.

#### 4.0 ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Mortared Block Walls

Masonry walls with mortared joints may be analyzed as a propped cantilever if adequate bond exists between the top block and the supporting structure, or if restraints are added at the top of the wall. Otherwise, the walls shall be analyzed as a cantilever beam. If the calculated stresses exceed the allowable stresses using the Working Stress Design Method of ACI 531-79, the walls shall be restrained. Restraints shall be analyzed as either a simple beam or a plate hinged on four sides if all four sides are restrained.

For multi-wythe walls which are subjected to seismic loads, the wythes shall be assumed to act independently of each other unless they are connected by ties or other mechanical means. Composite action of two or more wythes should not be assumed unless an analysis of the mechanical ties connecting the wythes is performed and the ties are deemed sufficient to assure the wythes act together. For pipe break, tornado, missile, or flood loads (loading in one direction), the loads shall be assumed to act on the external wythe and the load distributed through each successive wythe if there is no air space between the wythes. If an air space exists between the wythes, the external wythe shall be assumed to carry the total load.

#### 4.2 Unmortared Walls

Masonry walls with unmortared joints shall be analyzed as a cantilever beam using stability analysis. Where restraints are required, the portion of the wall between the restraints shall be analyzed as a simple beam.

For multi-wythe walls subjected to seismic loads, the wythes shall be assumed to act independently. Externally applied loads in one direction such as pressure loads may be distributed equally to the wythes and each wythe analyzed individually for multi-wythe walls without an air space between the wythes.

The evaluation of unmortared walls shall be as follows:

and the second

### Seismic Evaluation (Reversible Loading)

The walls shall be evaluated for all forces as shown in figure 4.0-1(b), where

- = Axial force applied to top face of block P (including vertical seismic effects)
- W = Weight of individual block (including vertical seismic effects)
- ν. = Shear force on top face of block
- $v^1$ = Shear force on bottom face of block
- $f^2$ = Static frictional force at top face of block ( $\mu$  = 0.7) f<sup>s1</sup> s2 = Static frictional force at bottom face of block
  - $(\mu = 0.7)$

Ν

- = Normal vertical force at bottom face of block
- = Distance of normal force (N) from front face of block ď
- = Applied moment due to external loads Μ

L = Span between lateral supports

such that the moment formulated by the normal force N, and its moment arm d, will resist the moments which result from P, W, V<sub>1</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>, f<sub>1</sub>, f<sub>2</sub>, and M when moments are summed about point A (see figure 4.0-1(b)) while the normal force N, remains within the plane of the wythe (d < width of a single block).

The compressive stress on the bottom of the block caused by the normal force N, shall be evaluated as shown in figure 4.0-1(c) to ensure the stress involved does not exceed the allowable stress given in Section 3.4.3, that is

 $f_{c} < F_{m}$ 

Pipe Break, Missile, Tornado, and Flooding Evaluation (Loading in One Direction)

The walls shall be evaluated for all forces as shown on figure 4.0-2(b) where

Р	=	Axial force applied to top face of block
W	Ξ	Weight of the individual block
f	=	Static frictional force at top face of block $(u = 0.7)^{-1}$
f	=	Static frictional force at bottom face of $(u = 0.7)$
N°2.	=	Normal vertical force at bottom face of block
L	=	Span between lateral supports
wor	Z =	Equivalent uniform static load or concentrated load
		, with an appropriate dynamic load factor
Z	=	Equivalent point load

= Equivalent point load

'EVALUATION OF UNREINFORCE MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED

WBN-DC-V-1.1.1.1

Pt = Equivalent axial load due to multi-wythe width Wt = Equivalent weight of block within the span length due to multi-wythe width.

such that the static frictional forces (f and f ) shall not be exceeded (see figure 4.0-2(b)) and the overturning moment does not exceed its internal resisting moment (see figure 4.0-2(c)).

#### 5.0 REFERENCES

- 5.1 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) "Solid Load-Bearing Concrete Masonry Units," Designation C145-71.
- 5.2 ASTM "Mortar for Unit Masonry," Designation C270.
- 5.3 American Concrete Institute (ACI) "Building Code Requirements for Concrete Masonry Structures," ACI 531-79.
- 5.4 Introduction to Structural Dynamics, John M. Biggs, 1964, Chapter 4.
- 5.5 Design Criteria for Miscellaneous Steel Components for Seismic Class I Structures, WB-DC-20-21.

(a).



ANALYSIS OF SOLID SHIELD BLOCK WALLS FIGURE 4.0-1



FIGURE 4.0-2

SHEET NUMMER BUILDING MBNP EVALVATION OF UNSEINFORCED MASONIRY WALLS COMPUTED PATE 7/22/3 CHECKED TH PENTILIDATE APPEND IX B PRPOSE P CALCULATION FOR ONE (1) UNREINFORCED MASONIRY HALL SUBJECTED TO LOADS : LOAD CONTENNATIONS GIVEN BELOW. REFERENCES 1. DELETED 2. MEMO FRONT CARNETT TO CANTRELL, 4/10/31, CEB 51 Oflo 00. 3. SEISMIK ANALYSIS OF AUX-CONTROL BLDG, 6/17/74 4. MEMO FROM RAULSTON TO BARNETT, 3/30/81, NEB '810330 263 5. EN DES-NEB CALCULATIONS, TI-ECS-11 6. WBN-DC-V-1.1.1., 5/1/21, "EVALUATION OF UNREINFORCED MASONRY WALLS CONSTRUCTED FRONT SOLID CONCRETE 7. ASTM 6270-73 8 THA CIVIL DESIGN STANDARD DS-26.1, CONCRETE ANGINAGES LOADS : LOAD COMBINATIONS (FROM REF. 6; SECT. 33) 1. J=D: 2. S = D + E' (REF. 3) 1 Nor YET AVAILAGE, 3.  $5 = D + P_q(R=F, 4) + Y_j(R=2) + Y_m$ 4.  $5 = D + W_q(R=F_5)$ PROZABLY NO MISSLE Longs 5. 5= D+K Nor YET AVAILABLE

SHEET Z AVELLARY BUILDING MBNP EVILLATION OF UNREINFORCED MASONRY WALLS COMPUTED FRI DATE 1/22/8 CHECKED 122 MATERIAL : PROPERTIES CONCRETE BLOCK 6" × 8" × 12" SOLID CMU V = 135 pcfMORTAR TYPE N 8 = 135 pcf M. = 750 psi, FROM REF. 7 ANALYSIS / DESIGN PROCEDURE -WALL 8.64 HIGH × 3.17 WIDE × 42 THICK (7-6" WITHES) OF DWG, NOT 41N/363-1 (PLAN) & 41N/368-3 (ELEV., SELT 83-BI SHALL BE USED FOR THE SAMPLE CALCULATIONS. -ASSUMPTIONS STALL BE GIVEN AS ANALYSIS PROCEEDS. - BY INSPECTION LOAD CONDS. 2,3, 4 WILL CONTROL - NO LOADS ARE EXERTED FROM ABOVE MASONRY WALLS BY RENF. CONC. STRUCTURE.

SHEET 3 OF AUTHILARY BUILD VG. WBINP EVILLATION OF UNREINFORCED MASOVRY MALLS COMPUTED FRIL DATE 7/72/2 CHECKED PIC DATE 7/-ANALYSIS OF LOADING CONDITIONS 3.17' 42 " EL. 700.64 EL. 692.0 ASSIMPTIONS WALL ACTS AS A PROPRED CANTILEVER THAT IS FIXED AT THE BOTTOM AND PINNED AT THE TOP (REFL, 3. +. 6.2) EACH WITHE RETS IN DEPENDENTLY " VERTICAL JOINTS TO EACH SIDE OF WALL ARE NEWLETED (REEL, 3.4.6.1) \* IN FLEXURE, LOADS DUE TO DEFERENTIAL PRESSURES ( 11, LA & P) TO DE PERSONED TO ERCH 10/1-1==

Ę

AUKILIARY BUI PING WPNP EVALUATION OF UNREINFORCED MAJONRY WALLS COMPUTED FALL DATE 7/22/31 CHECKED 12 \_DATE 7/22 LOAD COND. #3 J= D+ R FROM REF. 4 (WALL A4-5 OF TABLE 1) PEAK DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE = 0.27 psi FOR I WITHE, Pa=(0.27)(144 112)/7 WITHES = 5.55 pst FOR I STRIP OF WALL, UNIFORM LOAD, W = 5.55 ppf. LOAD COND, #4 S= D+ MA FROM REF. 5 (ALROSS NODE 114:108) MAKIMUM Ap = 0.342 psi FOR 1 WITHE, M4 = (0.342)(14.4 = )/TWITHES = 7.04 pst FOR I STRIP OF WALL, UNIFORM LOAD, WW = 7.04 pst -

SHEET S AUKILIARY BUIC TION OF UNREINFORCED · WALLS COMPUTED PKL DATE 7/22/3 F.B LOAD COND #2 5 = D + E'FROM REF. 6 , 3.2.3.1.2 STEP 1. CALL NATURAL FREQUENCY OF WALL (USE I' STRIP) fn =  $\frac{(n + 1/4)^2}{71^2}$  /  $\frac{EI}{17}$  w/ VARIABLES DEFINED IN REF.  $f_{1} = \frac{(1 + 1/4)^{2}}{(2)(8.64^{+} \times 12^{+})^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(1,000,000 \pm 12)}{(135 \text{ pcf})(.5^{+}\chi_{1}^{-})} \frac{(1,000,000 \pm 12)}{(135 \text{ pcf})(.5^{+}\chi_{1}^{-})}} \sqrt{(32.2^{++}_{\text{scc}})(12^{++}\chi_{1}^{-}\chi_{1}^{-})}$ = 17.8 (1.25)<sup>2</sup> = 27.8 Hz f₂ = 17.8(2+1/4)² = 90.1 Hz > 33 Hz : Dovi USE >f = f = 27.8 Hz ---±10% STEP 2. BROADEN FREQUENCIESA: DETERMINE MAK ALLELERATION 0.97,= 0.9(27.8) = 25.02 Hz PERIOD, T.= 0.9F. = 0.040 SEC. 1.17 = 1.1 (27.8) = 30.58 Hz PERIOD, T. = 1.17 = 0.033 SEC. FROM REF. 3, FIG. 30 (2% DAMPING) Q, = Q (FOR 0.033 = T = 0.040) = . 135 g × 2 (FRE SSE) = S.ET g = FROM REF. 3, FIG 64 (2% DAMPING) VERTICAL ALLEL., 9, = Z + 0.09, = 0.189

AUXULIARY BUNDING MBNP VATIONTOF UNREINFORCED MASONRY YOULS COMPUTED PATE 7/22/3 CHECKED 123 DATE STEP 3. USE a'S FOR EACH MODE FROM STEP 2: COMBINE USING SQUARE-ROOT OF THE SUM-OF. THE - SQUARES - METHOD (SESS) 9, = 5R55 ACELERATION = 19,2 + 92 + .... 9,2 SINCE ONLY MODE 1 APPLIES  $Q_r = Q_i = 0.27g$ FOR I' STRIP OF WALL, UNIFORTA LOAD, W, = (0.27) (135) .5) = 18.23 PDT STEP 4. CALL MAX STRESSES : COMPARE TO ALLOWABLE STRESSES. SINCE WE, > W, > WB, LOAD COND. # 2 CONTROLS W= 18.23 pp + W, PPf  $-\mu = (135_{pct})(.5')(1')(1 \neq q_{v})$ = 67.5 (1 ± 0.18) P, ppt = 55.35 ppf => 77.65 ppf Mmay VI = Just MMAX = 102 MAXIMUM STRESSES WILL OCCUR AT BATTOM END OF WALL.

AUXILIARY B LDING WENP 17701 OF UNREINFORCED COMPUTED FRL DATE 7/22/21 CHECKED MATE DATE NOTE. FOLLOWING CALCS BASED ON I STRIP OF WALL MAY AKIAL STRESS to tg = p - 1 A = (79.65)(8.64)/(6")(12") = 9.56 p31 AL MABLE AKIAL STRESS, FA REF 6, 3.4.2  $F_q = 0.225 \text{ fm} \left[ 1 - (h/40_f)^3 \right] \le 1000 \text{ ps} (ASSUME K = 1.0)$ fin = 700 psi - REF. 6, 3.4.1.1 : Fq = 0.225(700) [1 - (8.64'/(+0)(.5')) ] × 2/3 × 1/3 - REF. 6, 3.4.6.3 = 128.7 ps1 > 9.56 ps1 OK 4 MAX FLEXURAL COMPRESSIVE STRESS, Fm  $f = \frac{M_{mAY}}{5}$  $5 = \frac{I}{c} = \frac{bh^2}{6} = \frac{(12'')(0'')^2}{1} = 72 m^3$  $=\frac{(10.23)(0.64)^{2}(12)/3}{72}$ = 28.35

AUXILIARY BUDDING WBNP VATION OF UNREINFORCED Y WALLS COMPUTED P.L. DATE 7/22/21 CHECKED RUS DA ALLOWABLE FLEXURAL COMPRESSION STRESS, F., REF., 3.4.3 Fm = 0.33 fm = 1200 psi - REF 6, 3.4 = 0.33 (700) × 1/3 × 2/3 + REF 6, 34.63 = 205.3psi > 28.35 psi OK ~ J-REF. 6, 3.4.7 CHELK COMB. FLEK + AXIAX COMP => FA + Fm = 9.56 + 28.35 = 0.21 < MAK SHEAR STRESS, V  $U_{in} = \frac{5\omega L}{8A} = \frac{(5)(B.23)(8.64)}{(8)(6)(12)} = 1.37 \text{ ps}_{1}.$ ALLOWARDLE SHEAR STRESS, Vm REF. 6, 3.4.4  $V_m = 1.1 \sqrt{fm} \leq 50 psi$ = 1.1 1700 × 1/3 × 1/2 - REF. 6, 3.4.6.3 = 19.40 psi > 1.37 psi OK -MAX FLEXURAL TENSION STRESS, F  $f_{i} = \frac{M_{i}}{5} - \frac{P_{i}}{1}$  $= \frac{(19.23)(3.64)^{2}(12)/3}{72} - \frac{(55.35)(3.64)}{(6)(12)}$ = 28.35 - 6.1.4 = 21.71 psi

SHEET 9 OF AUXILIARY BUILLING 1 MENP EVALIATION OF UNREINFORCED MASONRY MALLS COMPUTED PRIL DATE 7/22/31 CHECKED PRIL DATE 7/22/31 ALLOWIABLE FLEXURAL TENSILE STRESS, FT REF 6, 3.4.5 FT= 1.0/100 = 40 psi Mo = 750 psi, FROM REF. 7 = 1.0 \ 750 x 1/3 x 1/2 - REF. 6, 3.4.6.3 = 18.26 psi < 21.71 psi No GOOD - RESTRAINT REOD-STEP 6. DESIGN OF RESTRAINT オリ # ANC, TYPE R 3-5" (WM-7-75) A - A

AUXILIARY BUILDING UNREINI IRY WALLS MASON COMPUTED TKL DATE 7/22/31 CHECKED PAR DATE 7/22/3 TRY RESTRAINT AT MID-HEIGHT OF WALL ASSUMPTION: MID-HALF OF MALL ACTS ON RESTRAINT W/ ACCELEBATION OF 0.27 g. .: TRIBUTARY AREA 15 4.32 HIGH BY 3.42 WIDE W, #1, 7 131 ASSUME W ACTS HERE FOR 3'-5" = 41" AT SIMIPLICITY. W = (0.27g)(135pcf)(3.5')(1') = 127.6ppf $M = \frac{\omega P_{13}^{2}}{3} = (127.6)(41)^{2}/(12)(8) = 2234.3^{11} = 2.23^{11} = 2.23^{11}$ Fi = 0.9 Fy = 0.9(36) = 32.4 KSI  $S_{REQD} = \frac{M}{F_{t}} = 2.23 /_{32.4} = 0.07 \text{ IN}^3$ TRY P 34"THICK X 3" WIDE 5 = (3)(3))/L = 0.28 OK I= 5C = (28)(3) = 0.105 CHECK DEFLECTION, A  $A = \frac{5 \omega \lambda^4}{2.84 ET} \frac{(5)(.1276)(41)^4}{364(27100)(.155)(12)} = 0.13'' = \frac{1}{1315} \text{ or}$ USE 12 74" × 3" × 2-8" 2 REDID : R "/4" + 3" + 0-6" 2 PEDID

SHEET // AUXILIARY BU DING ATION OF UNREINFORCED COMPUTED PKL DATE 1/22/31 SOMRY WALLS CHECKED BILL DATE 7/32/21 CHELK ANCHOR REP'S MAK SHEAR = 127.6 APP x 3.42 × 1/2 = 218.2 \* (AT END WHERE LONG. WALL IS PERPENDICULAR TO MAS, WALL) MAY TENSION TO DETERMINE MAK TENSION SOME PRYING ACTION MUST BE CONSIDERED. IT WILL BE CONSERVATIVELY ASSUMED THAT FOR DETERMINING THIS TENSION THE RESTRAINT WILL BE FIXED AT THE END IN QUESTION (END WHERE CONC. WALL IS FLUSH OF MASONRY WALL) AND PINNED AT THE OTHER END. <u>W=127.6 77</u> <u>41"</u>  $M = \frac{\omega l^2}{5} = \frac{(127.0)(41)^2}{(12)(5)} = 2234.3'' = 2.23''k'$  $V = \frac{5w!}{8} = \frac{(5)(127.4)(41)}{(12)(8)} = 272.5^{\#} = 0.27^{\#}$ 2.23<sup>11</sup>k 0<sup>27</sup>k [T G ANCLOR

SHEET 12 NUXILIARY BODING EVALVATION OF UNREINFORCED TASOMPY WALLS COMPUTED PKI DATE 7/22/3 CHECKED Rills DAVE 7/2/31 (= EM = 0; EANC. = 0; 2L - 2.23 = 0  $C = 1.115^{k} = \frac{1}{12} = \frac{2}{(2)(1.115)} = 0.240^{k_{51}} c_{K}$ 2Fy=0; T-C-.27 =0 T=1.115 + .27 = 1.385 K TRY 12\$ WEDGE BOLT FROM REF. 8, TABLE III  $To = 3.35^{k} \times 1.385^{k} \text{ or } \left\{ Factore D \ Loads \\ V_{0} = 3.20^{k} \quad 70.22^{k} \quad or \right\}$ USE 1/2 & WEDGE BOLTS =