

APPENDIX A

REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS SELF-ASSESSMENT METRICS

I. PERFORMANCE INDICATOR PROGRAM METRICS

PI-1 Consistent Results Given Same Guidance

Definition: Independently verify PIs using Inspection Procedure (IP) 71151, "PI Verification." Count all PIs that either (a) result in a crossed threshold based on a data correction by the licensee (as noted in the resultant inspection report), or (b) have been determined to be discrepant by the staff in accordance with IP 71150, "Discrepant or Unreported Performance Indicator Data."

Criteria: Expect few occurrences, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: Regions, NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Objective, Predictable, Ensure Safety

PI-2 Questions Regarding Interpretation of PI Guidance

Definition: Quarterly, count the number of frequently asked questions (FAQs).

Criteria: Expect low numbers, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Risk-Informed, Predictable

PI-3 Timely Indication of Declining Safety Performance

Definition: Quarterly, track PIs that cross multiple thresholds (e.g., green to yellow or white to red). Evaluate and characterize these results to allow timely indication of declining performance.

Criteria: Expect few occurrences, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Risk-Informed, Ensure Effectiveness

PI-4 PI Program Provides Insights to Help Ensure Plant Safety

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the PI Program

provides useful insights to help ensure plant safety.

Criteria: Expect a low number of negative comments, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness, Risk-Informed

PI-5 Timely PI Data Reporting and Dissemination

Definition: Within 5 weeks of the end of each calendar quarter, track (count) late PI postings on the NRC's external Web site. Also note the number of late submittals from licensees that did not meet the 21-day timeliness goal.

Criteria: Expect few occurrences, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness, Predictable

PI-6 Stakeholders Perceive Appropriate Overlap Between the PI Program and Inspection Program

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if appropriate overlap exists between the PI program and the inspection program.

Criteria: Expect a low number of negative comments, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Safety, Ensure Openness

PI-7 Clarity of Performance Indicator Guidance

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if NEI 99-02, "Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline," provides clear guidance regarding performance indicators.

Criteria: Expect a low number of negative comments or examples of interpretation issues, with a stable or declining trend in the number of negative comments received.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Ensure Openness, Objective

PI-8 PI Program Identifies Performance Outliers In an Objective and Predictable Manner

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the PI program can effectively identify performance outliers based on risk-informed, objective, and predictable indicators.

Criteria: Expect a low number of negative comments, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Risk-Informed, Objective, Predictable

II. INSPECTION PROGRAM METRICS

IP-1 Inspection Findings Documented In Accordance With Requirements

Definition: Audit inspection reports in relation to program requirements (IMC 0612, “Power Reactor Inspection Reports”) for documenting green findings, greater-than-green findings, and violations. Report the percentage of findings that meet the program requirements.

Criteria: Expect a stable or improving trend in the percentage of findings documented in accordance with program requirements.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Objective, Risk-Informed, Predictable

IP-2 Number of Feedback Forms per Document

Definition: Count the number of feedback forms received for each program document each quarter. Use a histogram to chart the number of documents for which feedback forms were received. **Analyze the trend in number of feedback forms received for individual program documents, taking into account major changes in the documents and feedback forms unrelated to the document itself.**

Criteria: Expect a declining trend in the number of feedback forms received for program documents.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Predictable, Objective

IP-3 Completion of Baseline Inspection Program

Definition: Annual completion of baseline inspection program.

Criteria: Defined as per IMC 2515, "Light-Water Reactor Inspection Program - Operations Phase."

Lead: NRR/DIRS, Regions

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Predictable, Ensure Effectiveness

IP-4 Inspection Reports Are Timely

Definition: Obtain RPS data on the total number of reports issued and the number issued within timeliness goals as stipulated in IMC 0612, "Power Reactor Inspection Reports."

Criteria: Expect 90 percent of inspection reports to be issued within program's timeliness goals.

NOTE: For inspections not conducted by a resident inspector, inspection completion is normally defined as the day of the exit meeting. For resident inspector and integrated inspection reports, inspection completion is normally defined as the last day covered by the inspection report.

Lead: NRR/DIRS, Regions

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness, Predictable

IP-5 Temporary Instructions (TIs) Are Completed Timely

Definition: Audit the time to complete TIs by region. Compare the completion status in RPS to TI requirements. Report by region the number of TIs closed within goals.

Criteria: Expect all TIs to be completed within TI requirements.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Safety, Predictable

IP-6 Public Communication Is Accurate

Definition: Each calendar quarter, sample information on the NRC's external (public) Web site and count the number of times and reasons for regions changing

PIMs or inspection reports (i.e., inaccuracy, new information).

Criteria: Expect few inaccuracies, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS, Regions

Goals Supported: Ensure Openness, Ensure Effectiveness, Understandable

IP-7 Inspection Reports Are Relevant, Useful, and Written in Plain Language |

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the information contained in inspection reports is relevant, useful, and written in plain English.

Criteria: Trend average level of agreement.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Understandable, Ensure Openness

IP-8 Inspection Program Effectiveness and Adequacy in Covering Areas Important to Safety |

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the inspection program adequately covers areas that are important to safety and is effective in identifying and ensuring the prompt correction of performance deficiencies.

Criteria: Trend average level of agreement.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness, Risk-Informed

IP-9 Analysis of Baseline Inspection Procedures |

Definition: Annually, review each baseline inspection procedure to determine its effectiveness and contribution to the overall effectiveness of the baseline inspection program. The objectives of the review are: (1) to determine if changes in scope, frequency, or level of effort are needed based on recent experience, (2) to determine if a change to the estimated hours for completion is needed, (3) to define or change what constitutes minimum completion of each inspectable area, if needed, and (4) to critically evaluate all of the inspectable areas together along with the PI program to ensure that the inspectable areas are adequately monitored for safety performance. In addition, a more detailed review and realignment of inspection resources will be performed at least biennially in accordance with Appendix B to this |

Chapter. The focus of this effort is to adjust existing inspection resources to improve the effectiveness of the inspection program in identifying significant licensee performance deficiencies.

Criteria: None; trend only. Summarize and evaluate the individual inspection procedure reviews and propose program adjustments as necessary to address noted inefficiencies. Provide basis for any meaningful increase or decrease in procedure scope, frequency, or level of effort as a result of the review.

Lead: NRR/DIRS with assistance from regional staff

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Safety

III. SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION PROCESS METRICS

SDP-1 The SDP Results Are Predictable and Repeatable and Focus Stakeholder Attention on Significant Safety Issues

Definition: Annually, audit a representative sample (up to four per region) of inspection findings against the standard criteria set forth in IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," and its appendices. To the extent available, samples should include potentially greater-than-green findings that were presented to the Significance Determination Process/Enforcement Review Panel (SERP). Findings should contain adequate detail to enable an independent auditor to trace through the available documentation and reach the same significance color characterization.

Criteria: The target goal is that at least 90% are determined to be predictable and repeatable. Any SDP outcomes determined to be non-conservative will be evaluated and appropriate programmatic changes will be implemented.

Lead: NRR/DRA

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Risk-Informed, Predictable

SDP-2 SDP Outcomes Are Risk-Informed and Accepted by Stakeholders

Definition: Track the total number of appeals of final SDP results.

Criteria: Expect zero appeals of SDP significance that result in a final determination being overturned across all regions. All successful appeals will be assessed to determine causal factors and to recommend process improvements.

Lead: Regions, NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Risk-Informed, Objective, Predictable

SDP-3 Inspection Staff Is Proficient and Find Value in Using the SDP

Definition: Survey internal stakeholders using specific quantitative survey questions that focus on training, effectiveness, and efficiency.

Criteria: Expect either a stable or an increasingly positive perception of the SDP process over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Understandable, Risk-Informed

SDP-4 The SDP Provides an Objective and Understandable Regulatory Response to Performance Issues

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the SDP results in an objective and understandable regulatory response to performance issues.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception of the SDP over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Objective, Predictable

SDP-5 The Resources (Direct Charges and Support Activities) Expended Are Appropriate

Definition: Track the percentage of total resource expenditures attributed to SDP activities to determine the effort expended by the regions in completing SDP evaluations as a percentage of the total regional direct inspection effort.

Criteria: Total SDP expenditures should not exceed 10 percent of the total regional direct inspection effort (DIE) with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Predictable

SDP-6a Final Significance Determinations Are Timely

Definition: Conduct a quarterly audit of RPS data to identify the total number of inspection items finalized as greater than green that were under review for more than 90 days since:

- (1) the date of initial licensee notification of the preliminary significance in

- an inspection report, or
- (2) the item was otherwise documented in an inspection report as an apparent violation pending completion of a significance determination and not counted in either of the above categories.

Criteria: At least 90% of all SDP results that are counted per the criteria above should be finalized within 90 days. All issues greater than 90 days will be assessed to determine causal factors and to recommend process improvements.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness, Predictable

SDP-6b Final Significance Determinations Are Timely

Definition: Conduct a quarterly audit of issues that were assessed by the Significance Determination Process/Enforcement Review Panel (SERP) to identify the total number of inspection items finalized as green or greater-than-green that were under review for more than 90 days since:

- (1) the date of initial licensee notification of the preliminary significance in an inspection report, otherwise documented in an inspection report as an "AV" pending completion of a significance determination, or
- (2) the date the item was presented to the SERP for review.

Criteria: At least 90% of all SDP results that are counted per the criteria above should be finalized within 90 days on average and 100% in 180 days. All issues greater than 180 days will be assessed to determine causal factors and to recommend process improvements.

NOTE: This metric is being piloted as a potential replacement for the existing SDP timeliness metric.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness, Predictable

SDP-7 SDP Results Are Communicated Accurately to the Public

Definition: Each calendar quarter, track the number of inspection findings that are inaccurately communicated to the public (color of findings is inaccurately reported) by auditing the inspection findings summary information available on the NRC Web. The detailed review will include item type, significance characterization, enforcement action status, and text descriptions of greater-than-green inspection findings prior to release to external stakeholders.

Criteria: The target goal is zero inaccuracies, with a stable or declining trend. All inaccuracies must be addressed.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Openness, Understandable, Ensure Effectiveness

IV. ASSESSMENT PROGRAM METRICS

AS-1 Actions Are Determined by Quantifiable Assessment Inputs (i.e., Pls and SDP Results) and are Commensurate With the Risk of the Issue and Overall Plant Risk

Definition: Audit all assessment-related letters and count the number of deviations from the Action Matrix. Evaluate the causes for these deviations and identify changes to the ROP, if any, to improve the guidance documents.

Criteria: Expect few deviations, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Objective, Risk-Informed, Ensure Openness

AS-2 The Number And Scope of Additional Actions Recommended as a Result of the Agency Action Review Meeting (AARM) Beyond Those Actions Already Taken Are Limited

Definition: Review the results of the Agency Action Review Meeting (AARM).

Criteria: Expect few additional actions, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Predictable, Objective

AS-3 Assessment Program Results (Assessment Reviews, Assessment Letters and Public Meetings) Are Completed in a Timely Manner

Definition: Track the number of instances in which the timeliness goals stipulated in IMC 0305, "Operating Reactor Assessment Program," were not met for: (1) the conduct of quarterly, mid-cycle, and end-of-cycle reviews; (2) the issuance of assessment letters; and (3) the conduct of public meetings.

Criteria: Expect few instances in which timeliness goals were not met, with a stable or declining trend.

Lead: Regions, NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness, Predictable

| **AS-4 The NRC's Response to Performance Issues Is Timely**

Definition: Count the number of days between issuance of an assessment letter discussing an issue of more than very low safety significance and completion of the supplemental inspection (by exit meeting date, not issuance of the inspection report).

Criteria: Expect a stable or declining trend.

Lead: Regions, NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness

| **AS-5 NRC Takes Appropriate Actions To Address Performance Issues**

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the NRC takes appropriate actions to address performance issues for those plants outside the Licensee Response Column of the Action Matrix.

Criteria: Expect stable or improved perception.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness, Understandable

| **AS-6 Assessment Reports Are Relevant, Useful, and Written in Plain Language**

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the information contained in assessment reports is relevant, useful, and written in plain English.

Criteria: Expect stable or improved perception of the relevance, usefulness, and understandability of assessment reports.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness

| **AS-7 Degradations in Plant Performance Are Gradual and Allow Adequate Agency Engagement of the Licensees**

Definition: Track the number of instances each quarter in which plants move more than one column to the right in the Action Matrix (as indicated on the Action Matrix Summary).

Criteria: Expect few instances in which plant performance causes a plant to move

more than one column to the right in the Action Matrix. Provide a qualitative explanation of each instance in which this occurs. Expect a stable or declining trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Risk-Informed, Ensure Safety, Predictable

AS-8 Perceived Effectiveness of Safety Culture Enhancements to ROP |

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the ROP safety culture enhancements help in identifying licensee safety culture weaknesses and focusing licensee and NRC attention appropriately.

Criteria: Expect stable or improved perception over time. Trend average level of agreement.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Safety

V. OVERALL ROP METRICS

O-1 Stakeholders Perceive the ROP To Be Predictable and Objective

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if ROP oversight activities are predictable (i.e., controlled by the process) and reasonably objective (i.e., based on supported facts, rather than relying on subjective judgment).

Criteria: Expect a stable or increasing positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Objective, Predictable, Ensure Effectiveness

O-2 Stakeholders Perceive the ROP To Be Risk-informed

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the ROP is risk-informed, in that actions and outcomes are appropriately graduated on the basis of increased significance.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Risk-Informed, Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness

O-3 Stakeholders Perceive the ROP To Be Understandable

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the ROP is understandable and if the processes, procedures, and products are clear and written in plain English.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Understandable, Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness

O-4 Stakeholders Perceive That the ROP Provides Adequate Regulatory Assurance That Plants Are Operated and Maintained Safely

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the ROP provides adequate regulatory assurance, when combined with other NRC regulatory processes, that plants are being operated and maintained safely.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness

O-5 Stakeholders Perceive the ROP To Be Effective, Efficient, Realistic, and Timely

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking whether the ROP is effective, efficient, realistic, and timely.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Openness

O-6 Stakeholders Perceive That the ROP Ensures Openness

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the ROP ensures openness in the regulatory process.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Openness, Ensure Effectiveness

O-7 Opportunities for Public Participation in the Process

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if there are sufficient opportunities for the public to participate in the process.

Criteria: Expect positive responses or an improving trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Openness, Ensure Effectiveness

O-8 Stakeholders Perceive the NRC To Be Responsive to its Inputs and Comments

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the NRC is responsive to the public's inputs and comments on the ROP.

Criteria: Expect positive responses or an improving trend.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Openness, Ensure Effectiveness

O-9 Stakeholders Perceive That the ROP Is Implemented as Defined

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the ROP has been implemented as defined by program documents.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Predictable, Understandable, Ensure Openness

O-10 Stakeholders Perceive That the ROP Does Not Result in Unintended Consequences

Definition: Survey external and internal stakeholders asking if the ROP results in unintended consequences.

Criteria: Expect stable or increasingly positive perception over time.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness

O-11 Analysis of NRC's Responses to Significant Events

Definition: Review reports from incident investigation teams (IITs) and augmented inspection teams (AITs) to collect lessons learned regarding ROP programmatic deficiencies (i.e., did the baseline inspection program inspect this area? did the SDP accurately characterize resultant findings?). IITs already have the provision to determine NRC program deficiencies. AITs will be reviewed by NRR/DIRS to identify any weaknesses.

Criteria: Expect no major programmatic voids.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness

O-12 Analysis of Inspection Hours and Resource Expenditures

Definition: Annually, collect and analyze resource data (e.g., direct inspection effort, preparation/documentation, plant status hours) for Baseline, Supplemental/Plant-Specific, and Safety Issues Inspections, and other ROP activities.

Criteria:

- (1) Significant deviations are not expected on an annual basis. Explore reasons for any deviations that may be evident.
- (2) Track and trend resource usage for the baseline inspection program and supplemental/plant-specific inspections. Analyze causes for any significant departure from established trend.
- (3) Track and trend resource usage for preparation, documentation, and other ROP activities, and assess the effects on budgeted resources.

NOTE: This metric is intended primarily for tracking and trending resource usage for the ROP. The results are used to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the ROP and to make management and budget decisions. A detailed ROP resource analysis is included in the annual ROP self-assessment Commission paper.

Lead: NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Predictable

O-13 Analysis of Resident Inspector Demographics and Experience

Definition: Annually, collect and analyze data in order to determine the relevant inspection experience of the resident inspector (RI) and senior resident inspector (SRI) population. The following four parameters will be measured and analyzed for both RIs and SRIs to ensure that the NRC maintains a highly qualified resident inspection staff:

- (1) NRC time - the total time the individual has accumulated as an NRC employee.
- (2) Total resident time - the total time the individual has accumulated as an RI or SRI.
- (3) Current site time - the total time the individual has spent as an RI or SRI at the current site.
- (4) Relevant non-NRC experience - the total time the individual has gained relevant nuclear power experience outside of the NRC. Examples of relevant non-NRC experience are operation, engineering, maintenance, or construction experience with commercial nuclear power plants, naval shipyards, Department of Energy facilities, and/or the U.S. Navy nuclear power program.

Criteria: None; trend only. Provide reasons for any meaningful increase or decrease in these resident demographic metrics.

NOTE: This metric is intended primarily for tracking and trending resident inspection experience. The results are used to make any necessary modifications to the RI and/or SRI programs in order to attract and retain highly qualified inspectors to the respective programs. A detailed resident demographic and staffing analysis is included in the annual ROP self-assessment Commission paper.

Lead: NRR/DIRS with assistance from HQ and regional HR staff

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness

O-14 Analysis of Site Staffing

Definition: Annually, collect and analyze data in order to measure the permanent inspector staffing levels at each of the reactor sites for both RIs and SRIs in order to evaluate the agency's ability to provide continuity of regulatory oversight.

Criteria: The criteria is set at 90% program-wide. Any single site that falls below 90% will be individually evaluated. Provide reasons for any meaningful increase or decrease in the inspector staffing level at reactors sites.

NOTE: Inspectors assigned to the site permanently or through a rotation with a minimum duration of 6 weeks shall be counted. Inspectors on 6 week or longer rotational assignments will be identified as such. Inspectors assigned to the site for less than six weeks will not be counted, but should be indicated as such. Additionally, the regions shall indicate sites where permanently assigned resident

or senior resident inspectors are away from the site for an extended period of time (one continuous time period which is greater than 6 weeks). Only inspectors who have attained at least a basic inspector certification status, as defined by Appendix A to Inspection Manual Chapter 1245, shall be counted.

Data will indicate number of days a qualified resident and senior resident inspector are permanently assigned to the site during the year divided by the number of days in the year. Number of days spent on training; meetings away from the site; participation in team inspections; leave; or other temporary duties (e.g. acting for branch chiefs in his/her absence) will not be counted against the metric unless the absence exceed 6 continuous weeks.

Lead: Regions, NRR/DIRS

Goals Supported: Ensure Safety, Ensure Effectiveness

O-15 Analysis of ROP Training and Qualifications

Definition: Annually, evaluate the implementation of IMC 1245, "Qualification Program for the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Programs," particularly as it pertains to ROP implementation.

Criteria: None; trend only. Summarize and evaluate the training accomplished over the previous year and propose program improvements as necessary to address noted concerns.

NOTE: This metric is intended primarily for tracking and trending the effectiveness of the ROP training and qualifications programs. An evaluation of training effectiveness is included in the annual ROP self-assessment Commission paper.

Lead: NRR/DIRS with assistance from regional staff

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Safety

O-16 Analysis of Regulatory Impact

Definition: Annually, collect and analyze licensee feedback and develop a summary of regulatory impact forms that are critical of the ROP.

Criteria: None; trend only. Summarize and evaluate the feedback received and propose program improvements as necessary to address common concerns.

NOTE: This metric is intended primarily for tracking and trending regulatory impact. A detailed regulatory impact summary is included in the annual ROP self-assessment Commission paper.

Lead: NRR/DIRS with assistance from regional staff

Goals Supported: Ensure Effectiveness, Ensure Safety

ATTACHMENT 1

Revision History For IMC 0307, Appendix A

Commitment Tracking Number	Issue Date	Description of Change	Training Needed	Training Completion Date	Comment Resolution Accession Number
N/A	12/12/02	Revised significantly to include a more detailed discussion of the role of inspectable and program area leads, the annual review of the baseline inspection program, and other aspects of the self-assessment program. The specific metrics for these roles were added to Appendix A.	None	N/A	N/A
N/A	12/12/03	Revised to provide greater detail for documenting the results of the annual inspection procedures reviews, and some metrics in Appendix A were modified to better align with the operating plan metrics and other program commitments.	None	N/A	N/A
N/A	01/14/04	Based on a decision at the DRP/DRS counterpart meeting held on December 17-18, 2003, metric IP-5 was revised to change the inspection report timeliness to 45 calendar days for all inspection reports, with exception of reactive inspection reports, which will stay at 30 days.	None	N/A	N/A

N/A	02/20/06	Revised to support the new safety performance measures of the NRC's Strategic Plan, to better define the ROP goals and intended outcomes, and to consolidate and clarify several of the performance metrics. Completed 4 year historical CN search.	None	N/A	ML060110235
N/A	11/28/06	Revised to measure the effectiveness of the safety culture enhancements to the ROP, to clarify expectations regarding the resident demographics and staffing metrics, and to include a discussion of the consolidated response to external survey questions.	None	N/A	
N/A	01/10/08 CN 08-002	Revised to eliminate and consolidate several metrics, to separate Appendix A from the base IMC to serve as a stand-alone document, and to summarize and link to Appendix B on the ROP realignment process.	None	N/A	ML073510410