From: Kimberly Green
To: <dtyner@entergy.com>,"MICHAEL D STROUD" <MSTROUD@entergy.com>
Date: 10/4/2007 3:09:06 PM
Subject: Telecon Summary of 10/2/07 re Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program and Reactor Vessel Neutron Embritt
cc: <IPNonPublicHearingFile@nrc.gov>

Donna and Mike,

Attached is the draft telecon summary of 10/2/07 regarding our discussion of the draft RAIs on reactor vessel surveillance program and reactor vessel neutron embrittlement TLAA. Please look over and notify me of any discrepancies or inaccuracies.

Thanks,
Kim

Hearing Identifier: IndianPointUnits2and3NonPublic
Email Number: 190

Mail Envelope Properties (47669802.HQGWDO01.OWGWPO04.200.2000008.1.15729A.1)

Subject: Telecon Summary of 10/2/07 re Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program and Reactor Vessel Neutron Embritt
Creation Date: 10/4/2007 3:09:06 PM
From: Kimberly Green

Created By: KJG1@nrc.gov

Recipients
<IPNonPublicHearingFile@nrc.gov>
<dtyner@entergy.com>
"MICHAEL D STROUD" <MSTROUD@entergy.com>

Post Office	**Route**
OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01	nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	283	10/4/2007 3:09:06 PM
Telecon Summary 10-2-07.doc	62976	12/17/2007 3:38:42 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None
None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

LICENSEE: Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

FACILITY: Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL HELD ON OCTOBER 2, 2007, BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC., CONCERNING DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING, UNITS 2 AND 3, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff) and representatives of Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. held a telephone conference call on October 2, 2007, to discuss and clarify the staff's draft requests for additional information (D-RAIs) concerning the Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3, license renewal application. The telephone conference call was useful in clarifying the intent of the staff's D-RAIs.

Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the participants and Enclosure 2 contains a listing of the D-RAIs discussed with the applicant, including a brief description on the status of the items.

The applicant had an opportunity to comment on this summary.

Kimberly Green, Safety Project Manager
License Renewal Branch RLRB
Division of License Renewal
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286

Enclosures:
1. List of Participants
2. List of Draft Requests for Additional Information

cc w/encls: See next page

LICENSEE: Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

FACILITY: Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL HELD ON OCTOBER 2, 2007, BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC., CONCERNING DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING, UNITS 2 AND 3, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff) and representatives of Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. held a telephone conference call on October 2, 2007, to discuss and clarify the staff's draft requests for additional information (D-RAIs) concerning the Indian Point Nuclear Generating, Units 2 and 3, license renewal application. The telephone conference call was useful in clarifying the intent of the staff's D-RAIs.

Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the participants and Enclosure 2 contains a listing of the D-RAIs discussed with the applicant, including a brief description on the status of the items.

The applicant had an opportunity to comment on this summary.

Kimberly Green, Safety Project Manager
License Renewal Branch RLRB
Division of License Renewal
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-247 and 50-286

Enclosures:
1. List of Participants
2. List of Draft Requests for Additional Information

cc w/encls: See next page
DISTRIBUTION: See next page
ADAMS Accession No.:
DOCUMENT NAME: G:\ADRO\DLR\RLRB\Green\Indian Point\Telecon Summaries\Telecon Summary 10-2-07.doc

OFFICE	LA:DLR	PM:RLRB:DLR	BC:RLRB:DLR
NAME		KGreen	RAuluck
DATE	/ /	/ /	/ /

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING, UNITS 2 AND 3
LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS
OCTOBER 2, 2007

PARTICIPANTS	AFFILIATIONS
Kim Green	NRC
Barry Elliot	NRC
Lambros Lois	NRC
Mike Stroud	Entergy
Alan Cox	Entergy
Don Fronabarger	Entergy
John Curry	Entergy
Charlie Caputo	Entergy
Donna Tyner	Entergy
Nelson Azevedo	Entergy
Walt Wittich	Entergy
Charlie Jackson	Entergy
Floyd Gumble	Entergy
Bill Spataro	Entergy

Enclosure 1

DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING, UNITS 2 AND 3
LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

OCTOBER 2, 2007

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff) and representatives of Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. held a telephone conference call on October 2, 2007, to discuss and clarify the following draft requests for additional information (D-RAIs) concerning the Indian Point Nuclear Generating (IPNG), Units 2 and 3 license renewal application (LRA).

D-RAI B.1.1.32-1

The Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program is identified as consistent with the program described in NUREG-1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," Section XI.M31, "Reactor Vessel Surveillance" with enhancements. The enhancements are: (1) to withdraw and test a standby capsule to cover the peak reactor vessel fluence that is expected through the end of the period of extended operation and (2) to revise procedures to require that tested and untested specimens from all capsules pulled from the reactor vessel be maintained in storage. Identify the lead factors for each standby capsule, the materials available to be tested in each capsule, and the date for capsule withdrawal to ensure that the fluence of the surveillance capsule will be equal or greater than the peak reactor vessel fluence through the end of the period of extended operation.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 4.2.1-1

The Charpy Upper-Shelf Energy (USE) and Pressurized Thermal Shock (PTS) analyses utilize the neutron fluence at 48 effective full power years (EFPY) to represent the neutron fluence for the reactor vessels at the end of the period of extended operation.

A) What were the EFPY achieved for each unit prior to the last refueling outage? What capacity factors and neutron flux were assumed for each unit from the last refueling outage to the end of the period of extended operation to result in 48 EFPY at the end of the period of extended operation? Explain why these capacity factors and neutron flux values are applicable for determining the neutron fluence for the reactor vessels at the end of the period of extended operation.

B) How will future capacity factors, neutron flux and neutron fluence values be monitored to ensure 48 EFPY values bound the actual conditions of the reactor vessels at the end of the period of extended operation?

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 4.2.2-1

Table 4.2-2 in the License Renewal Application (LRA) indicates that the percentage drop in Charpy USE for plate B2803-3 is 21.3 percent at 48 EFPY. The percentage drop in Charpy USE for plate B2803-3 was determined using its surveillance data, in accordance with Position 2.2 of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.99, Revision 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials." Provide the analysis that was used to determine the percentage drop in Charpy USE for plate B2803-3, include all surveillance data (unirradiated and irradiated Charpy USE and surveillance capsule neutron fluence) and references for the surveillance data.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 4.2.2-2

According to Table 4.2-1 in the LRA, plates B2002-3 and B2003-1 in Indian Point Unit 2 (IP2) are projected to have a Charpy USE of 47.4 ft-lb and 49.8 ft-lb at 48 EFPY, respectively. This table also indicates that the material type was A302-B. Since the Charpy USE values are below 50 ft-lb, Section 4.2.2 indicates that the USE is acceptable in accordance with the Westinghouse 4-loop plant analysis described in WCAP-13587, Revision 1, "Reactor Vessel Upper Shelf Energy Bounding Evaluation For Westinghouse Pressurized Water Reactors." WCAP-13587, Revision 1 was reviewed by the staff in a safety assessment in a letter dated April 21, 1994 to W. H. Rasin (Nuclear Management and Resources Council, NUMARC). This safety assessment indicates that licensees must confirm that the bounding plate used in the report has a lower J-R curve than any beltline material in the vessel. This safety assessment indicates that the A302-B material J-R curve methodology used in WCAP-13587, Revision 1 was unacceptable. However, the A302-B J-R curve methodology in a letter from D. B. Waters (Carolina Power and Light Company) dated December 21, 1993, includes appropriate adjustments for USE and temperature corrections and is acceptable to the staff.

A) Provide the J-R curve for the limiting IP2 plate, using corrections for material type used in the IP-2 reactor vessel, at 48 EFPY. Compare this curve to the J-R applied values in WCAP-13587, Revision 1 to demonstrate the analysis in the WCAP is bounding for the IP2 reactor vessel.

B) Table 3-1 in WCAP-13587, Revision 1 indicates the Westinghouse 4-loop plant J-R applied values are applicable for reactor vessels with a thickness of 8.5 inches and an inner radius of 86.5 inches and subject to Level A, B, C, and D conditions specified in Section 3.0 of the WCAP. Compare the wall thickness and inner radius of the IP-2 reactor vessel at its beltline to the values used in the Westinghouse 4-loop plant analysis. Compare the Level A, B, C, and D conditions specified in Section 3.0 of the WCAP to the Level A, B, C and D conditions for IP2. Explain why the Westinghouse 4-loop plant analysis in WCAP-13587, Revision 1 is applicable to IP2.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 4.2.5-1

A) Table 4.2-3 in the LRA indicates that the ΔRT_{NDT} value caused by irradiation for the intermediate shell axial welds and the lower shell axial welds in IP2 were determined using surveillance data reported in WCAP-15629, Revision 1, "Indian Point Unit 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves for Normal Operation and PTLR Support Documentation." This WCAP has surveillance data from IP2, Indian Point Unit 3 (IP3),

and H.B. Robinson, Unit 2. The IP2 fluences were calculated using approved methodologies (WCAP-15557-R0, "Qualification of the Westinghouse Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence Evaluation Methodology," and WCAP-14040-NP-A, Revision 2, "Methodology Used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Coolddown Limit Curves") that are based on RG 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Netron Fluence," March 2001, (This RG requires the use of ENDF/BVI for determining neutron cross-sections which are included in the BUGLE-96 cross-section file.) In addition, there is excellent agreement between calculated and corresponding measured values. The IP3 capsule analyses also used approved methods and cross sections, thus, they are acceptable. The H.B. Robinson calculations are reported in WCAP-14044, "Westinghouse Surveillance Capsule Neutron Fluence Re-evaluation," that was issued in 1994 (before the issuance of RG 1.190 and the availability of BUGLE-96 and ENDF/BVI). WCAP-15629, Revision 1 indicates that 15% was added to the values reported in WCAP-14044. Explain why 15% was added to the values reported in WCAP-14044. Provide neutron fluence values derived using a methodology that adheres to the guidance in RG 1.190. If the revised analysis results in a change in neutron fluence for the H.B. Robinson, Unit 2 surveillance capsules, provide the ΔRT_{NDT} value caused by irradiation and the RT_{PTS} value for the intermediate shell axial welds and the lower shell axial welds in IP2 and provide the surveillance data analysis required by 10 CFR 50.61(c)(2)(i).

B) Table 4.2-4 in the LRA indicates that the ΔRT_{NDT} caused by irradiation for the lower shell plate B2803-3 in IP-3 was determined using surveillance data reported by the licensee's response to Generic Letter (GL) 92-01, "Reactor Vessel Structural Integrity." This surveillance data was reported in Attachment I to a September 4, 1998, letter from J. Knubel (New York Power Authority). As discussed in RAI 4.2.5-1A, the surveillance data from IP3 is also reported in WCAP-15629, Revision 1. The neutron fluence values for the IP3 surveillance capsule that are reported in WCAP-15629, Revision 1 and in the September 4, 1998, letter have different values. The applicant is requested to revise the PTS analyses using neutron fluence values for the surveillance capsules that are determined using the guidance in RG 1.190 and to provide the surveillance data analysis required by 10 CFR 50.61(c)(2)(I).

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 4.2.5-2

10 CFR 50.61(b)(4) indicates that each pressurized water nuclear power reactor for which the analysis required by PTS rule indicates that if there is no reasonably practicable flux reduction program to prevent the RT_{PTS} value from exceeding the PTS screening criteria based on the neutron fluence at the expiration date of the operating license, the licensee shall submit a safety analysis to determine what, if any, modifications to equipment, systems, and operation are necessary to prevent potential failure of the reactor vessel as a result of postulated PTS events if continued operation beyond the screening criteria is allowed. The analysis must be submitted at least three years before the RTPTS value is projected to exceed the PTS screening criteria.

Section 4.2.5 in the LRA indicates that the RT_{PTS} value for plate B2803-3 in IP3 will exceed the PTS screening criterion. Identify the flux reduction program initiated by the applicant to prevent the RTPTS value for plate B2803-3 in IP3 from exceeding the PTS screening criterion. Based

on the information provided in response to RAI 4.2.5-1(B) and RAI 4.2.1-1, identify when the RTPTS value for plate B2803-3 in IP3 is projected to exceed the PTS screening criterion.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.