

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

6/14/96
DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR

NR 5

FROM:

SUE J. SMITH *SJS*
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF AGENCY LIAISON

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL OF WHITE HOUSE BULK MAIL AND
RECENT CHANGES IN PROCEDURES FOR HANDLING
OF THE BULK MAIL

Thank you for your continued hard work in ensuring responses to the Presidential letters and inquiries forwarded to your agency. The volume of mail that President and Mrs. Clinton receive still remains unprecedented.

As stated in my February 14, 1996 memorandum, we are continuing to refine our procedures and have instituted a change regarding bulk mail. It is no longer necessary for you to return this mail with a copy of your response.

Please keep the original incoming letter along with a copy of any written or telephone response at your agency. Return any misreferrals to me at the following address:

Ms. Sue J. Smith
Director, Office of Agency Liaison
Room 6, OEOB
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20502

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 202/456-7486.

9607180075 960715
PDR ADOCK 05000390
H PDR

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

5/17/94
DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR:

DOE 16

FROM:

SUE J. SMITH 
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF AGENCY LIAISON

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL OF CASEWORK IN BULK

An unprecedented number of individuals still write the President and the First Lady for help. I know that this has meant a far greater volume of mail for your agency than ever before. I appreciate your continuing cooperation in our efforts to be as responsive as possible.

The attached letters have not received a White House Staff response. I am forwarding this correspondence to your agency for any appropriate action.

Please return the original incoming letter, along with a copy of any written or telephone response, to me at the address below. I also would appreciate your sending a copy of your agency's log of the names and addresses of these individuals. Any misreferrals should be returned to my office. If you have questions you can reach me at 456-7486.

Sue J. Smith
Director, Office of Agency Liaison
Room 6, OEOB
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Again, thank you for your continuing help.



Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

MAY 29 1996

NRC

Ms. Sue J. Smith
Director, Office of Agency Liaison
Room 6, OEOB
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Ms. Smith:

Enclosed is a letter which was incorrectly forwarded to the Department of Energy by your office. Due to the subject matter, this correspondence should be forwarded to:

Mr. William M. Beecher
Director
Office of Public Affairs
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

If you have any questions, please call me on 202-586-5078.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Sheila L. Brooks".

Sheila L. Brooks
Work Group Leader
Correspondence and Records Management
Office of the Executive Secretariat

Enclosure



~~agency interest~~
~~nuclear plants~~

800

Sarah Devereaux
26098 Dumont Rd
Hemet, Ca
92544

President Clinton
c/o White House
Washington, D.C.
20500

February 9, 1996

Mr. Clinton,

As part of an assignment I am required to write to an elected official. After much deliberation I reluctantly chose you. I say reluctantly because you, the President of the United States of America, will never actually receive this letter. So, to whom it may concern, I am inquiring about the recent approval of US nuclear plants. I don't quite understand why it would take 23 years to complete the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant. What sort of "delay-prone" designs has the nuclear industry been working with for the past quarter of a century? I know that General Electric built Japan a power plant in 1/5 of the time it took the Unit One reactor to get up and running. But I can't say running since the reactor is, as of yet, unable to operate to full commercial capacity. Why is it that the Watts Bar Nuclear Plant is the last reactor that US utility plans to build? Surely it's not the horrendous \$6.8 billion dollar cost!? Who pays for such extravagant expenditures? My final question is: Who on earth created such an efficient design? I don't plan on hearing back from you or anyone, for that matter, soon. Any response would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you,