NRC FORM (4-95)	366				U.S. NU	AR R	EGULA	TORY CO	MMISS	ION	PROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/38				0104											
		. 11	CF	NSFF	EVENT RE	POR		R)			INFC	ORM	ATION CO	EN PER RESPON	ISE TO CO UEDT: 50	MPLY WI	TH THIS MANDATORY REPORTED LESSONS OCESS AND FED BACK									
					e for require			-		· .	TO THE	IND IN	USTRY. F	ORWARD COMM	IENTS REC S MANAG	ARDING E	SURDEN EDTIMATE TO ANCH (T-6 F33), U.S.									
		'			racters for e						TO	۰TH	HE PAPERN	VORK REDUCTI	ON PROJ	ECT (315	DC 20555-0001, AND 0-0104), OFFICE OF									
FACILITY NAM	IF (1)												EMENT AN	D BUDGET, WAS	HINGTON,	DC 20603										
												AC.	INUMBER	(2)			PAGE (3)									
Watts B	Bar Nu	uclear	Pla	nt - Un	it 1	<u> </u>							05	5000390			1 OF 8									
TITLE (4)														······												
Reactor	Trip	due to	0	peratio	n of a Gene	erato	r Prote	ective F	Relav							,										
EVENT					ER NUMBER			1	ORT DAT	re (7)	Į			OTHER FACIL	ITIES IN	VOLVED	(8)									
MONTH (DAY	YEAR	Y	EAR	SEQUENTIAL ' NUMBER		VISION IMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACI	FACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER										
								l	·	·	54.0						05000									
04	20	97	6	37	010		00	05	20	97	FACI		LITY NAME			YNAME		YNAME		YNAME		YNAME		ME		NUMBER
OPERATI								<u>ll</u>				NTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or				05000										
	I									QUIREN		_			one or n											
MODE (S		1		20.220				20.2203				-+	50.73(a)			50.	73(a)(2)(viii)									
POWER	-			20.220				20.2203					50.73(a)			50.	73(a)(2)(x)									
LEVEL (1	0)	100		20.220	3(a)(2)(i)			20.2203	(a)(3)(ii)				50.73(a)	(2)(iii)		73.	71									
				20.220	3(a)(2)(ii)			20.2203	(a)(4)	· ·		хI	50.73(a)	(2)(iv)		ОТ⊦	OTHER									
N 2022				20.220	3(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)	(2)(v)		Specify in	n Abstract below									
				20.220	3(a)(2)(iv)		!	50.36(c)(2)				50.73(a)	(2)(vii)		or in NRC	Form 366A									
						LIC	ENSEE	CONTA	CT FOR	THIS LE	R (12	2)														
NAME												TEI	LEPHONE N	UMBER (Include A	Area Code)											
			Jer	ry Bush	nell, Licen	sing	Engin	eer						(423	8)-365-	8048										
				COMPL	ETE ONE LINE	E FOR	EACH	COMPO	NENT FA	AILURE I	DESC	RI	BED IN T	HIS REPORT		,										
CAUSE	SYS	STEM	СС	MPONENT	MANUFACTU	RER	REPORT	ABLE TO RDS		CAU	SE		SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFA	CTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS									
	1							·				t			<u> </u>		•									
				<u></u>								╀╴		· · ·	<u> </u>											
	1	l	SUI	PPLEMEN	ITAL REPORT	EXPE	ECTED	(14)	<u></u>	L		$\frac{1}{1}$	EXP	ECTED	L I month	DAY	YEAR									
		Section	۱V,	Correcti	ve Actions				NO			1		AISSION	<u> </u>	<u> </u>										
(If yes,					MISSION DA					<u> </u>	•		DAT	TE (15)	10	31	97									
ABSTRACT	. (Limi	t to 140)0 s	spaces, i	e., approxima	ately 1	15 singl	e-spaced	l typewr	ritten lin	es) (16	5)													

At 0125 EDT on April 20, 1997, the WBN Unit 1 main generator pneumatic circuit breaker (PCB) opened initiating a turbine trip and a subsequent automatic reactor trip. Investigations found that the turbine trip was initiated by operation of the generator backup relay, relay 121GB. Operation of this relay results in the opening of the main generator PCB and closure of the steam supply to the turbine. Meggar testing was performed to establish a possible cause for the operation of the protective relay. For the A phase main transformer high side potential device (PD) circuit, a low meggar reading was found. Additional troubleshooting found evidence that the phase A capacitance tap connector from the 500KV bushing to the PD had experienced arcing. Moisture was also found in the bushing well and the lubricant around the bushing well was blackened indicating a fault on the A phase capacitance tap connector. Once this problem was found, the capacitance tap bushing area was cleaned and new lubricant was applied. In addition, the exposed surfaces of the connector were sealed with an RTV sealant. Resistance tests were subsequently performed with the readings found to be normal. Due to the problems found on the A phase, the B and C phase capacitance tap connectors were inspected even though the PD circuits meggar tested satisfactorily. No problems were found on the B phase. C phase was found to have lost a portion of its lubricant, and the remaining lubricant was blackened but no significant burn odor was noted. The same type of repairs performed on the A phase were made to both the B and the C phases.

NRC FORM 366 (4-95)

S

NRC FORM	366A
(4-95)	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)		PAGE (3)
-	05000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	2	OF	8
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97	010	00			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. PLANT CONDITIONS:

On April 20, 1997, at 0125 EDT, the plant was in Mode 1, Reactor Coolant System (RCS) (EIIS AB) average temperature was 587.9° F, RCS pressure was 2236 psig.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. <u>Event</u>

At 0125 EDT on April 20, 1997, the WBN Unit 1 main generator pneumatic circuit breaker (PCB) opened initiating annunciator window 75-C, "Electrical Trouble." The opening of the breaker generated a turbine trip and subsequent automatic reactor trip. Plant equipment functioned as designed in response to the trip and all rods fully inserted. The auxiliary feedwater (AFW) system operated as designed to maintain feedwater flow to the steam generators. Operations personnel performed as required to control the transient and to stabilize the plant in Mode 3. A four hour report to the NRC was made at 0232 EDT in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72 by the Operations Shift Manager.

The turbine trip was initiated by operation of the generator backup relay, relay 121GB. This relay is connected to a current transformer (CT) and a potential device (PD) on the high voltage bushing side of the 500 KV main transformers and provides backup protection for the generator and the transformer. The operation of the 121GB relay will cause the operation of auxiliary relay 186GB after a time delay of 10 cycles. Operation of the 186GB relay results in opening of the main generator PCB, closure of the steam supply to the turbine, and the performance of additional actions.

Problem Evaluation Report (PER) WBPER970409 was initiated to document this event in the TVA Corrective Action Program.

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event

There were no structures, components, or systems inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	DRY COMMISSION
LICENSEE EVENT TEXT CONT	•	R)	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
`	05000	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION	3 OF 8
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97 010 00	t" 140 - 14 - 140 -
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT (continued)			

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences

Time (EDT)	Major Occurrence
01:25:53.501	The generator 1 backup and transformer 1 feeder differential relay operates (relay 121GB).
01:25:53.501	Turbine trip due to electrical trouble.
01:25:53.601	The 500 KV PCB operates.
01:25:53.774	Reactor trip breaker B and bypass breaker B operate.
01:25:53.781	Reactor trip breaker A and bypass breaker A operate.
01:25	Licensed unit operators enter emergency procedure E-0, "Reactor Trip or Safety Injection."
01:26	The auxiliary feedwater (AFW) system starts.
01:28	Licensed unit operators transition from emergency procedure E-0 to ES-0.1, "Reactor Trip Response."
01:29	Licensed unit operators take manual control of AFW to limit cooldown.
21:00	TVA's Transmission Power Supply (TPS) organization finds moisture in the A phase bushing for the potential device which feeds the 121GB relay. Evidence of a potential fault included low resistance, arcing, and blackening of the lubricant.

NRC	FORM	366A
(4-95)	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	> DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)		PAGE (3)
	05000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	4	OF	8
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97 -	010	00			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT (continued)

D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

No other systems or secondary functions were affected by this event.

E. <u>Method of Discovery</u>

The event was monitored through control room indication by operations personnel as it occurred.

F. Operator Actions

Operations personnel responded correctly in accordance with Emergency Procedure E-0, "Reactor Trip or Safety Injection," and transitioned as required into procedure ES-0.1, "Reactor Trip Response." Operators took manual control of auxiliary feedwater (AFW) level to minimize temperature reduction. Members of the operations staff also took measures to assemble a response team to investigate the cause of the plant trip.

A member of the operations training staff was observing the on-shift operations crew at the time of the event and provided an overview of the of the crew's performance during this transient. In general, he determined crew performance was satisfactory. The response of the operating crew was consistent with that of a training exercise conducted during simulator training. The unit supervisor promptly implemented emergency operating instruction E-O, "Reactor Trip or Safety Injection." The shift technical advisor obtained a backup set of procedures and maintained an overview. The shift manager maintained oversight of the operating crew and plant responses. A formal crew briefing was conducted on the transition from E-O to ES-0.1, "Reactor Trip Response." All crew members provided plant status input during the briefing. The three unit operators on shift at this time were not normally assigned to this crew, yet functioned well as a crew. The training observer identified that the operators took quick action to avoid unnecessary overcooling of the reactor coolant system per ES-0.1.

G. Automatic and manual safety system responses

The AFW system operated as required. Neither the steam generator power operated relief valves, the pressurizer power operated relief valves, nor the pressurizer safety valves were required to operate.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)			U.S. NUCLEAR	R REGULAT	ORY CON	MISSIO
LICENSEE EVI TEXT CO	ENT REPORT (LE	ER)				•
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET			(6)	PAG	ie (3)
	05000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	5 0	F 8
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97	010	00		
IEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366, III. CAUSE OF EVENT	4/ (17)					
The cause of the trip was determined to be a fau of moisture intrusion into the bushing housing. T phase A capacitance tap connector from the 500 (PD) had experienced arcing. Moisture was also bushing was blackened indicating a fault on the 4	hrough investigation KV main transforr found in the bushin	on, evid mer bus ng and	dence was fo shing to the the lubricant	ound that potential t around t	: the device	lt

bushing was blackened indicating a fault on the A phase capacitance tap connector. This was established as the principal cause of the trip after evaluation of the operational characteristics of the 121GB relay and other generator protective relays. Measures which will be taken to determine the exact cause of the moisture intrusion are described in Section V, Corrective Actions.

IV. ANALYSIS OF EVENT - ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

There was no safety significance related to the plant trip that occurred on April 20, 1997. The plant responded as designed to the initiating condition. In general, this event was less challenging than and bounded by the event described in the Section 15.2.7, "Loss of External Electrical Load and/or Turbine Trip" of the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). The following plant conditions are bounded by the event described in the FSAR:

- 1. Reactor power was less than the FSAR (102%).
- 2. The anticipatory reactor trip occurred on turbine trip versus the reactor protection system trip setpoint.
- 3. Reactor control was in automatic versus manual in the FSAR.

4. The steam dump valves operated as designed. The FSAR does not take credit for their use.

Since the reactor trip occurred as designed from a turbine trip and since station power was not lost during the event, the plant response remained within the FSAR boundary analysis. The pressurizer power operated relief valves and safety valves were not required to limit Reactor Coolant System (RCS) pressure. Similarly, the steam dumps and auxiliary feedwater (AFW) system operated as required so that steam generator power operated relief was not required. RCS pressure and loop average temperatures decreased during the transient rather than increasing as predicted by conservative FSAR assumptions. These differences between the FSAR and the plant event are associated with the conservatism of the FSAR analysis and the benign nature of the actual plant event which was quickly brought to a stable condition.

VRC FORM 3	366A				U.S. NUCLEA	R REGULAT	ORY	COMMI	ssio
4-95)		LICENSEE EVEN	r report (le	ER)					
	<u></u>	TEXT CON	TINUATION						•
		FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUMBER	(6)		PAGE (3)
			05000	YÉAR	SEQUENTIAL 'NUMBER	REVISION	6	OF	8
Watts Ba	ar Nuclea	ar Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97 -	- 010	00			
		required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (<u> </u>	<u>,</u>			Ш_,		
V. CO	RRECTIN	/E ACTIONS							
А.	Imme	diate Corrective Actions							
	Trip o Respo Memb	ations personnel responded correctly in a or Safety Injection," and transitioned as re- onse." Operators took manual control of pers of the operations staff also took mea- ause of the plant trip.	equired into pro AFW level to m	cedure iinimize	ES-0.1, "Re e temperatur	actor Trip e reductio	o on.		-
В.	Corre	ctive Actions to Prevent Recurrence							
	of the applic resista (> 99 intrus order	orrective measures implemented to address e capacitance tap bushing area and the ap ation of a room temperature vulcanizing ance tests were performed after the repa 99 meg ohms). Since the application of t ion is limited to the Unit 1 and Unit 2 ma 97-06722-00 was written to inspect and former and the spare transformer.	oplication of new (RTV) sealant o irs with the reac the connector ty in transformer a	w lubri n expo dings t /pe tha and the	cant along v osed surfaces aken at this at experience e spare trans	vith the s. Addition time bein ad the mo former, v	onal Ig no Iistur Vork	ormal e	
		ional measures were defined to ensure pr s type of problem. These measures inclu		s estab	blished to pre	event recu	urrer	ice	
		The preventive maintenance (PM) history provisions implemented or revised to esta replacement of the bushing connectors.		-					
		The manufacturer of the 500 KV transfo intrusion problems have been experience or at other utilities. If problems have occ the manufacturer to assess the problems necessary from the assessment of the m actions will be developed and a supplem to NRC.	d with the bush curred, appropri that have beer anufacturers in	ning co iate inf n enco format	onnectors in ormation wil untered. If c ion, addition	other app I be obtai letermine al correct	licat ined d :ive	ions from	
		An evaluation to establish the potential f in switchyard equipment will be perform maintenance instructions will be initiated moisture intrusion.	ed. Based on tl	he resu	ults of this e	valuation,	pre	ventiv	e .

.

.

					,		
NRC FORM 366A (4-95)			U.S. NUCLEA	R REGULAT	ORY	COMMI	SSION
LICENSEE EVEN	<mark>f report (le</mark> Tinuation	R)					
	DOCKET			(6)	T		(<u>2)</u>
FACILITY NAME (1)	05000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	⋕	PAGE (
	05000	┠───┴	NUMBER	·	7	OF	8
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97	010	00			2 <u></u>
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)	(17)						
	•						
B. <u>Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence (con</u>	tinued)						
	_						
 As a measure to establish the source of system test practices and spray nozzle of changes are required to test methods or actions be required, the scope of the cha 390/97010 discussed in Item 2 above. 	onfiguration will nozzle configura	l be eva ation.	aluated to d Should addi	letermine tional cor	if an recti	iy	
Implementation of the incomplete corrective a October 31, 1997.	ctions listed abo	ve will	occur by				
VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION							
A. Failed Components							
1. Safety Train Inoperability							
The cause for the moisture intrusion into the operation of the generator protective defined in Section V, Corrective Actions this event are not safety-related.	relay is being in	nvestig	ated as part	of the m	easu	ires	
2. <u>Component/System Failure Information</u>							
a. Method of Discovery of Each Com	ponent or System	m Failu	ire;		•		
Refer to Item 1, Safety Train Inope	rability, above.						
b. Failure Mode, Mechanism, and Effe	ect of Each Faile	ed Com	ponent:				
Refer to Item 1, Safety Train Inope	erability, above.						
c. Root Cause of Failure:							
Refer to Item 1, Safety Train Inope	erability, above.						
d. For Failed Components With Multin Functions Affected:	ble Functions, Li	st of S	ystems or S	Secondary	,		
Refer to Item 1, Safety Train Inope	erability, above.	,					
e. Manufacturer and Model Number of	of Each Failed Co	ompon	ent:				
Refer to Item 1, Safety Train Inope	erability, above.						

		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSI
- LICENSEE EV	VENT REPORT (LE	ER)
	CONTINUATION	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)
	05000	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION 8 OF
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000390	97 010 00
XT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 360	6A) (17)	
VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (continued)		
B. <u>Previous Similar Events</u>		
For Watte Per Nuclear Plant, no sugarte ein	allan ka kha awamka d	
For Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, no events sim previously reported under 10 CFR 50.72 o		lescribed in this report have been
VII. COMMITMENTS		
		are tabulated in Section V
The actions committed to be implemented in res Corrective Actions.	ponse to this event	
	ponse to this event	