

8

**From:** David Decker  
**To:** Karen Cotton; Lauren Quinones; Michael Ernstes; Scott Shaeffer; Stephen Alexander; Tim McGinty  
**Date:** 09/18/2007 2:25:27 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Farley AITNRC slides.ppt

Thanks Karen. I only had a couple small comments.

Page 4

- 1) Do we lose anything if we delete the reference to "95001"? One of the staffers does actually have a nuclear engineering background, but even she will probably not know what "95001" means. If it's important to have in the slide, I'd recommend describing in words what "95001" is, rather than using the number.
- 2) We may not need it on the slide, but it will probably help to be ready to explain what the "Mitigating Systems Performance Index" is since the staffers won't know what this is.

Page 5

Again, the info is good, but we will probably have to explain what it means so the staffers will get it.

Page 6

I think it would be good to have a bullet that covers "What are the Next Steps" that would describe when an inspection report will be completed and anything else that NRC will be doing.

Thanks.

David

Page

>>> Karen Cotton 09/18/2007 1:48 PM >>>  
Please provide comments by COB.

Thanks,

Karen

**CC:** Evangelos Marinos; Robert Martin

B-8