



10000
Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Spring City, Tennessee 37381

William J. Museler
Senior Vice President
Tennessee Valley Authority

JAN 21 1993

CDR-50-390/85-56
CDR-50-391/85-32

10 CFR 50.55(e)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of the Application of)
Tennessee Valley Authority)

Docket Nos. 50-390
50-391

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) UNITS 1 AND 2 - Q-LIST CONFORMANCE TO NUCLEAR
QUALITY ASSURANCE MANUAL (NQAM) REQUIREMENTS - CDR-50-390/85-56 AND
CDR-50-391/85-32 - SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

The purpose of this letter is to clarify the method of identifying Class 1E valves in the Q-List as described in the subject 10 CFR 50.55(e) report. The clarification makes the commitment consistent with Q-List changes resulting from the subsequent implementation of the Q-List Corrective Action Program (CAP).

The subject deficiency was initially reported to NRC-Region II Inspector Al Ignatonis on October 24, 1985, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55(e) as Nonconformance Report (NCR) W-269-P, Revision 1. A final report was submitted on March 3, 1986, and it was revised and resubmitted September 17, 1988. NCR W-269-P has since been superseded by Significant Corrective Action Report (SCAR) NCRW269PSCA which has been completed.

In the revised final report, the commitment was made to provide a consistent means of identifying Class 1E valves in the Q-List (i.e., enter a numeral 4 under the "SPEC REQ" column of the list). The commitment was completed as stated.

290038

1527
1/0

JAN 21 1992

Subsequent to the resolution of NCR-W-269P, TVA initiated the Q-List CAP because of inconsistencies found during this review. It was also concluded that a new Q-List would be developed under this Q-List CAP effort. In development of the new Q-List, changes were made to alleviate difficulties in interpreting the data fields.

The new Q-List now classifies electrical components as to their classification by a 1E field indicating a yes or no. Other fields provide other quality-related program classifications such as seismic category, QA status, safety classification, etc.

In conclusion, the previous commitment was completed as stated; however, the approach taken on the new Q-List was to alleviate difficulties in interpreting the data fields by providing clearer classifications.

If there are any questions, please telephone P. L. Pace at (615) 365-1824.

Very truly yours,



William J. Museler

cc: INPO Record Center
1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NRC Resident Inspector
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
P.O. Box 700
Spring City, Tennessee 37381

Mr. P. S. Tam, Senior Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint, North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Mr. B. A. Wilson, Project Chief
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323