

WCAP-13301  
Revision 1

EVALUATION OF PRESSURIZED THERMAL SHOCK  
FOR WATTS BAR UNIT 2

J. M. Chicots  
P. L. Strauch

December 1992

Work Performed Under Shop Order WQEP-2352

Prepared by Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
for Tennessee Valley Authority

Approved by: T. A. Meyer  
T. A. Meyer, Manager

Structural Reliability & Plant Life Optimization

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION  
Nuclear and Advanced Technology Division  
P.O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230-0355

© 1992 Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
All Rights Reserved

## PREFACE

This report was revised to include a description of the methodology used in the calculation of the design basis fast neutron fluence used in the RT<sub>PTS</sub> evaluation.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Preface	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iii
1. Introduction	1
2. Pressurized Thermal Shock	2
3. Method for Calculation of $RT_{pTS}$	3
4. Verification of Plant-Specific Material Properties	5
5. Neutron Fluence Values	7
6. Determination of $RT_{pTS}$ Values for All Beltline Region Materials	9
7. Conclusions	11
8. References	12

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Watts Bar Unit 2 Reactor Vessel Beltline Region Material Properties	7
2.	Neutron Exposure Projections at Key Locations on the Watts Bar Unit 2 Pressure Vessel Clad/Base Metal Interface for 32 and 48 EFPY	8
3.	RT <sub>pTS</sub> Values for Watts Bar Unit 2 for 32 EFPY	10
4.	RT <sub>pTS</sub> Values for Watts Bar Unit 2 for 48 EFPY	10

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.	Identification and Location of Beltline Region Material for the Watts Bar Unit 2 Reactor Vessel	6
2.	RT <sub>pTS</sub> versus Fluence Curves for Watts Bar Unit 2 Limiting Material - Circumferential Seam Weld	11

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A limiting condition on reactor vessel integrity known as pressurized thermal shock (PTS) may occur during a severe system transient such as a loss-of-coolant-accident (LOCA) or a steam line break. Such transients may challenge the integrity of a reactor vessel under the following conditions:

- severe overcooling of the inside surface of the vessel wall followed by high repressurization;
- significant degradation of vessel material toughness caused by radiation embrittlement; and
- the presence of a critical-size defect in the vessel wall.

Fracture mechanics analysis can be used to evaluate reactor vessel integrity under severe transient conditions.

In 1985 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a formal ruling on pressurized thermal shock. It established screening criteria on pressurized water reactor (PWR) vessel embrittlement as measured by the nil-ductility reference temperature, termed  $RT_{PTS}$ <sup>[1]</sup>.  $RT_{PTS}$  screening values were set for beltline axial welds, forgings and plates and for beltline circumferential weld seams for end-of-license life. The screening criteria were determined using conservative fracture mechanics analysis techniques. All PWR vessels in the United States have been required to evaluate vessel embrittlement in accordance with these criteria through end-of-license life. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has amended its regulations for light water nuclear power plants to change the procedure for calculating radiation embrittlement. The revised PTS Rule was published in the Federal Register, May 15, 1991 with an effective date of June 14, 1991<sup>[2]</sup>. This amendment makes the procedure for calculating  $RT_{PTS}$  values consistent with the methods given in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2<sup>[3]</sup>.

The purpose of this report is to determine the  $RT_{PTS}$  values for the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel and address the revised Pressurized Thermal Shock (PTS) Rule. Section 2 discusses the Rule and its requirements. Section 3 provides the methodology for calculating  $RT_{PTS}$ . Section 4 provides the reactor vessel beltline region material properties for the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel. The neutron fluence values used in this analysis are presented in Section 5. The results of the  $RT_{PTS}$  calculations are presented in Section 6. The conclusions and references for the PTS evaluation follow in Sections 7 and 8, respectively.

## 2. PRESSURIZED THERMAL SHOCK

The PTS Rule requires that the PTS submittal be updated whenever there are changes in core loadings, surveillance measurements or other information that indicates a significant change in projected values.

The Rule outlines regulations to address the potential for PTS events on pressurized water reactor (PWR) vessels in nuclear power plants that are operated with a license from the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC). PTS events have been shown from operating experience to be transients that result in a rapid and severe cooldown in the primary system coincident with a high or increasing primary system pressure. The PTS concern arises if one of these transients acts on the beltline region of a reactor vessel where a reduced fracture resistance exists because of neutron irradiation. Such an event may produce the propagation of flaws postulated to exist near the inner wall surface, thereby potentially affecting the integrity of the vessel.

The Rule establishes the following requirements for all domestic, operating PWRs:

- \* All plants must submit projected values of  $RT_{PTS}$  for reactor vessel beltline materials by giving values for time of submittal, the expiration date of the operating license, and the projected expiration date if a change in the operating license or renewal has been requested. This assessment must be submitted within six months after the effective date of this Rule if the value of  $RT_{PTS}$  for any material is projected to

exceed the screening criteria. Otherwise, it must be submitted with the next update of the pressure-temperature limits, or the next reactor vessel surveillance capsule report, or within 5 years from the effective date of this Rule change, whichever comes first. These values must be calculated based on the methodology specified in this rule. The submittal must include the following:

- 1) the bases for the projection (including any assumptions regarding core loading patterns),
- 2) copper and nickel content and fluence values used in the calculations for each beltline material. (If these values differ from those previously submitted to the NRC, justification must be provided.)

- \* The  $RT_{PTS}$  (measure of fracture resistance) Screening Criteria for the reactor vessel beltline region is

270°F for plates, forgings, axial welds  
300°F for circumferential weld materials

- \* The following equations must be used to calculate the  $RT_{PTS}$  values for each weld, plate or forging in the reactor vessel beltline.

$$\text{Equation 1: } RT_{PTS} = I + M + \Delta RT_{PTS}$$

$$\text{Equation 2: } \Delta RT_{PTS} = (CF)f^{(0.28-0.10 \log f)}$$

- \* All values of  $RT_{PTS}$  must be verified to be bounding values for the specific reactor vessel. In doing this each plant should consider plant-specific information that could affect the level of embrittlement.
- \* Plant-specific PTS safety analyses are required before a plant is within 3 years of reaching the Screening Criteria, including analyses of alternatives to minimize the PTS concern.
- \* NRC approval for operation beyond the Screening Criteria is required.

### 3. METHOD FOR CALCULATION OF $RT_{PTS}$

In the PTS Rule, the NRC Staff has selected a conservative and uniform method for determining plant-specific values of  $RT_{PTS}$  at a given time.

For the purpose of comparison with the Screening Criteria, the value of  $RT_{PTS}$  for the reactor vessel must be calculated for each weld and plate or forging in the beltline region as given below.

$$RT_{PTS} = I + M + \Delta RT_{PTS}, \text{ where } \Delta RT_{PTS} = (CF)f^{(0.28-0.10 \log f)}$$

I = Initial reference temperature ( $RT_{NDT}$ ) of the unirradiated material

M = Margin to be added to cover uncertainties in the values of initial  $RT_{NDT}$ , copper and nickel contents, fluence and calculational procedures. M = 66°F for welds and 48°F for base metal if generic values of I are used.

M = 56°F for welds and 34°F for base metal if measured values of I are used.

f = Neutron fluence,  $n/cm^2$  ( $E > 1\text{MeV}$  at the clad/base metal interface), divided by  $10^{19}$

CF = Chemistry factor from tables<sup>[2]</sup> for welds and for base metal (plates and forgings). If plant-specific surveillance data has been deemed credible per Reg. Guide 1.99, Rev. 2, it may be considered in the calculation of the chemistry factor.

#### 4. VERIFICATION OF PLANT-SPECIFIC MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Before performing the pressurized thermal shock evaluation, a review of the latest plant-specific material properties was performed.

The beltline region is defined by the PTS Rule<sup>[2]</sup> to be "the region of the reactor vessel (shell material including welds, heat affected zones and plates or forgings) that directly surrounds the effective height of the active core and adjacent regions of the reactor vessel that are predicted to experience sufficient neutron irradiation damage to be considered in the selection of the most limiting material with regard to radiation damage." Figure 1 identifies and indicates the location of all beltline region materials for the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel.

Material property values were derived from vessel fabrication material certifications. Fast neutron irradiation-induced changes in the tension, fracture and impact properties of reactor vessel materials are largely dependent on chemical composition, particularly in the copper concentration. The variability in irradiation-induced property changes, which exists in general, is compounded by the variability of copper concentration with the weldments.

A summary of the pertinent chemical and mechanical properties of the beltline region plate and weld materials of the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel are given in Table 1. All of the initial  $RT_{NDT}$  values (I-RTNDT) are also presented in Table 1.




Figure 1. Identification and Location of Beltline Region Material for the Watts Bar Unit 2 Reactor Vessel

TABLE 1  
WATTS BAR UNIT 2 REACTOR VESSEL  
BELTLINE REGION MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Material Description	CU (%)	NI (%)	I-RTNDT (°F)
Intermediate Shell Forging 05, ASTM A508 CL 2, Heat No. 527828	0.05	0.78	14
Lower Shell Forging 04, ASTM A508 CL2, Heat No. 528658	0.05	0.81	5
Circumferential Weld, W05 Heat No. 895075	0.05	0.70	-50

#### 5. NEUTRON FLUENCE VALUES

The calculated fast neutron fluence ( $E > 1$  MeV) at the inner surface of the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel is shown in Table 2. These values were projected based upon design basis fluence values.

The design basis neutron transport calculations for Watts Bar Units 1 and 2 were carried out in  $R, \theta$  geometry using the DOT two-dimensional discrete ordinates code<sup>[6]</sup> and the SAILOR cross-section library<sup>[7]</sup>. The Sailor library is a 67 group coupled neutron-gamma ray ENDFB-IV based data set produced specifically for light water reactor applications. In these analyses, anisotropic scattering was treated with a  $P_3$  expansion of the cross-sections and the angular discretization was modeled with an  $S_8$  order of angular quadrature. The design basis forward calculation was normalized to a core midplane power density characteristic of operation at the stretch thermal power rating of 3565 MWt.

The spatial core power distribution used in the design basis calculation was derived from a statistical sampling of long term operation of

Westinghouse 4-Loop plants with an intent toward maximizing the power generation on the core periphery; and, hence, also conservatively estimating the fast neutron fluence at the reactor vessel. Inherent in the development of this design basis power distribution was the use of an out-in fuel management strategy; i.e., fresh fuel continually loaded on the core periphery. Furthermore, for the peripheral fuel assemblies, the  $2\sigma$  uncertainty derived from the statistical evaluation of plant to plant and cycle to cycle variations in peripheral power was added to the nominal peripheral power density to further assure the conservatism in the analysis. In the computation of the maximum exposure at the reactor vessel inner radius, an axial peaking factor of 1.2 was also applied to the core power distribution to scale to the midplane elevation.

Due to the use of the bounding power distribution described in the preceding paragraph, the results of the design basis calculation establish conservative fast neutron exposure projections for reactors of the Watts Bar design operating at 3565 MWt. Since it is unlikely that actual reactor operation would result in the implementation of a power distribution at the nominal +  $2\sigma$  level for a large number of fuel cycles; and, further, because of the widespread implementation of low leakage fuel management strategies, the future fuel cycle specific evaluations performed during the course of actual plant operation should result in exposure rates well below these conservative design basis predictions. The true best estimate neutron exposure of the Watts Bar pressure vessels will be developed and monitored through the implementation of the Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program with the onset of plant operation.

TABLE 2  
NEUTRON EXPOSURE PROJECTIONS\* AT KEY LOCATIONS ON THE WATTS BAR UNIT 2  
PRESSURE VESSEL CLAD/BASE METAL INTERFACE FOR 32 AND 48 EFPY

EFPY	0°	15°	25°	35°	45°
32	1.89	2.81	3.18	2.59	2.97
48	2.84	4.22	4.77	3.89	4.46

\*Fluence  $\times 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> (E>1.0 MeV)

## 6. DETERMINATION OF $RT_{PTS}$ VALUES FOR ALL BELTLINE REGION MATERIALS

Using the prescribed PTS Rule methodology,  $RT_{PTS}$  values were generated for all beltline region materials of the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel as a function of 32 EFPY and 48 EFPY fluence values.

The PTS Rule requires that each plant assess the  $RT_{PTS}$  values based on plant specific surveillance capsule data under certain conditions. These conditions are:

- Plant specific surveillance data has been deemed credible as defined in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, and
- $RT_{PTS}$  values change significantly. (Changes to  $RT_{PTS}$  values are considered significant if the value determined with  $RT_{PTS}$  equations (1) and (2), or that using capsule data, or both, exceed the screening criteria prior to the expiration of the operating license, including any renewed term, if applicable, for the plant.)

The use of surveillance capsule data does not apply for Watts Bar Unit 2 since surveillance capsule data is not available at this time.

Tables 3 and 4 provide a summary of the  $RT_{PTS}$  values for all beltline region materials for 32 EFPY and 48 EFPY, respectively, using the PTS Rule.

TABLE 3  
RT<sub>PTS</sub> VALUES FOR WATTS BAR UNIT 2 FOR 32 EFPY

Material	$\Delta RT_{NDT} (^{\circ}F)$ (CF x FF*)		Initial $RT_{NDT}$ ( $^{\circ}F$ )	Margin ( $^{\circ}F$ )	= $RT_{PTS}$ ( $^{\circ}F$ )
Intermediate Shell	31	1.30	14	34	88
Lower Shell	31	1.30	5	34	79
Circumferential Weld Seam	68	1.30	-50	56	95

\* Fluence factor based upon peak inner surface neutron fluence of  $3.18 \times 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>.

TABLE 4  
RT<sub>PTS</sub> VALUES FOR WATTS BAR UNIT 2 FOR 48 EFPY

Material	$\Delta RT_{NDT} (^{\circ}F)$ (CF x FF*)		Initial $RT_{NDT}$ ( $^{\circ}F$ )	Margin ( $^{\circ}F$ )	= $RT_{PTS}$ ( $^{\circ}F$ )
Intermediate Shell	31	1.39	14	34	91
Lower Shell	31	1.39	5	34	82
Circumferential Weld Seam	68	1.39	-50	56	101

\* Fluence factor based upon peak inner surface neutron fluence of  $4.77 \times 10^{19}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, all the  $RT_{PTS}$  values remain below the NRC screening values for PTS using the projected fluence values for both 32 EFPY and 48 EFPY. A plot of the  $RT_{PTS}$  values versus the fluence are shown in Figure 2 for the most limiting material, the circumferential seam weld, in the Watts Bar Unit 2 reactor vessel beltline region.




Figure 2.  $RT_{PTS}$  versus Fluence Curves for Watts Bar Unit 2  
Limiting Material - Circumferential Seam Weld.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] 10CFR Part 50.61, "Analysis of Potential Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," July 23, 1985.
- [2] 10CFR Part 50.61, "Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal Shock Events," May 15, 1991. (PTS Rule)
- [3] Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials," U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May 1988.
- [4] Watts Bar Final Safety Analysis Report, Section 5.2.
- [5] WCAP-9455, "TVA Watts Bar Unit No. 2, Reactor Vessel Radiation Surveillance Program," March 1979. (Westinghouse Class 3)
- [6] Soltesz, R. G., et. al., "Nuclear Rocket Shielding Methods, Modification, Updating, and Input Data Preparation - Volume 5 - Two Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Transport Technique", WANL-PR-(LL)-034, August 1970.
- [7] SAILOR RSIC DATA LIBRARY COLLECTION DLC-76, "Coupled Self-Shielded, 47 Neutron, 20 Gamma Ray, P<sub>3</sub>, Cross Section Library for Light Water Reactors.