	Survey U	Init Release Re	ecord	
Design #	EP-WHB-111-6	Revision #	Original	Page 1 of 3
Survey Unit #(s)		W	HB-111-6	
Description	2) EP WHB-111-6 Status Survey Plan 3) Surveys in EP W optimized to measu 3-3 from Survey Re 4) Survey Instruction in accordance with Work Execution Pa document constitute acquisition of surve 5) Instrument efficients	Plum Brook Rea is a Class 1, Groot (FSSP) and Tech (HB-111-6 were re gamma energing equest (SR)-13 were ons for this surve (IAW) the Babcockage (WEP) 05 e "Special Methods by measurements ency determinating P 05-006, these designed	up 3.3 survey un inical Basis Doc performed using les representative as referenced for y unit are incorpock Services Inco- ock Services Inco- ods" and the sur- ons are develop- leterminations a	nit as per the PBRF Final cument (TBD)-06-004. g a scintillation detector of Cs-137. Sample #EF or this decision. porated into and performe corporated (BSI)/LVS-00 structions described in the vey design used in the
	Approval Signat	ures		Date:
FSS/Characterizatio	n Engineer	I. Rufus	R	10-30-07
Technical Rev FSS/Characterizatio		1 and	3	11-6-07
FSS/Characterizatio	n Manager	R. Case	De	11/2/07

Form CS-09/1 Rev 0 FSS Design # EP WHB-111-6 Revision # Original Page 2 of 3

Survey Unit: WHB-111-6

1.0 History/Description

- 1.1 The subject pipe system is an evaporator pit drain line located on the Waste Handling Building (WHB) -5' el.
- 1.2 EP WHB-111-6 consists of 2" diameter piping that is approximately 30 feet in length.

2.0 Survey Design Information

- 2.1 EP WHB-111-6 was surveyed IAW Procedure #BSI/LVS-002.
- 2.2 100% of the 2" ID pipe was accessible for survey. The accessible 2" ID pipe was surveyed by static measurement at one foot increments, for a total of 30 survey measurements.
- 2.3 Surface area for the 2" ID piping is 486 cm² for each foot of piping, corresponding to a total 2" ID piping surface area of 14,593 cm² (1.5 m²) for the entire length of (approximately 30') of 2" piping.

3.0 Survey Unit Measurement Locations/Data

3.1 Pipe interior radiological survey forms are provided in Attachment 2 of this release record.

4.0 Survey Unit Investigations/Results

4.1 None

5.0 Data Assessment Results

- 5.1 Data assessment results are provided in the EP/Buried Pipe (BP) Survey Report provided in Attachment 1.
- 5.2 All measurement results are less than the Derived Concentration Guideline Level (DCGL) for radionuclide specific EP that corresponds to the 1 mrem/yr dose goal established in Table 3-3 of the FSSP.
- 5.3 When implementing the Unity Rule, provided in Section 3.6.3 of the FSSP, and applying the Nuclide Fraction (NF), provided in TBD-06-004, the survey unit that is constituted by EP WHB-111-6 passes FSS.
- 5.4 Background was not subtracted from the survey measurements and the Elevated Measurement Comparison (EMC) was not employed for this survey unit.

FSS Design # EP WHB-111-6	Revision # Original	Page 3 of 3
Survey Unit: WHB-111-6		

5.5 Statistical Summary Table

Statistical Parameter	2" Pipe
Total Number of Survey Measurements	30
Number of Measurements >MDC	30
Number of Measurements Above 50% of DCGL	0
Number of Measurements Above DCGL	0
Mean	0.0272
Median	0.0273
Standard Deviation	0.0053
Maximum	0.0386
Minimum	0.0173

- 6.0 Documentation of evaluations pertaining to compliance with the unrestricted use limit of 25 mrem/yr and dose contributions from Embedded Pipe and radionuclides contributing 10% in aggregate of the total dose for both structural scenarios and soils.
 - 6.1 A review of the survey results has shown that the dose contribution for EP WHB-111-6 to be less than 1 mrem/yr. The dose contribution is estimated to be 0.027 mrem/yr based on the average of the actual gross counts measured.

7.0 Attachments

Attachment 1 - BSI EP/BP Survey Report

Attachment 2 - Pipe Interior Radiological Survey Form

Attachment 3 - DQA Worksheet

Attachment 4 -Disc containing RR for EP WHB-111-6 & Spreadsheet

SECTION 7
ATTACHMENT 1

______ PAGE(S)

W 100						
	len.	M	-	~	A	ı,
m		ь	77	10	и	w
1.0	100	я	o			ъ.

BSI EP/BP SURVEY REPORT

	EP WHB-111-6	Survey Location	Evap Pit -5' el.			
Survey Date	28-Aug-06	2350-1#	189094			
Survey Time	12:51 Detector-Sled #	12:51 Detector-Sled #	Detector-Sled #	238367 / no sled		
Pipe Size	2"	Detector Efficiency	0.00038			
DCGL (dpm/100cm2)	3.79E+06	Pipe Area Incorporated by Detector Efficiency (in cm2)	486			
Pipe Area Incorporated by Survey Data (m²)	1.5	Field BKG (cpm)	35.1			
Routine Survey	Х	Field MDCR (cpm)	23.4			
QA Survey		Nominal MDC (dpm/100cm2)	5,314			
		Survey Measurement Results				
	Total Number of Su	rvey Measurements	30			
Zi	Number of Meas	urements >MDC	30			
1	lumber of Measureme	ents Above 50% DCGL	0			
A SECTION AND SECTION ASSESSMENT	Number of Measure	ments Above DCGL	0			
//\	Me	ean	0.0272			
	Med	dian	0.0273			
	Standard	Deviation	0.0053			
	Maxi	mum	0.0386			
	Mini	mum	0.0173			
Survey Te	chnician(s)	STOCK				
	Survey Unit	Classification	1			
		Piping Group	3.3			
		Distribution Sample	EP 3-3			
	Measured Nuclide					
	Measure	Area Factor/EMC Used				
			No			
	Area Factor		No Pass			
	Area Factor Pass/F	/EMC Used				

RP Engineer | Date

Dal Redall /10-30-07

EP WHB-111-6 2" Pipe TBD 06-004 Group 3.3

Measurement #	gcpm	псрт	Cs-137 activity (total dpm)	Cs-137 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Co-60 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Eu-162 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Eu-154 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Nb-94 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Ag-108m activity (dpm/100cm2)	Unity
1	69	69	181,579	37,328	1,841				-	0.01
2	115	115	302,632	62,214	3,068	-	-	-	-	0.029
3	115	115	302,632	62,214	3,068	2			-	0.029
4	148	148	389,474	80,066	3,948	-	-	•	-	0.03
5	131	131	344,737	70,869	3,495	-	-	~	-	0.03
6	107	107	281,579	57,886	2,854	-): -	(#S)	-	0.02
7	92	92	242,105	49,771	2,454	2	_	_	_	0.02
8	118	118	310,526	63,837	3,148	-		-	-	0.03
9	152	152	400,000	82,230	4,055	-	-	-	-	0.039
10	137	137	360,526	74,115	3,655	-		-	-	0.03
11	123	123	323,684	66,542	3,281	-	-			0.03
12	107	107	281,579	57,886	2,854	-		-		0.02
13	111	111	292,105	60,050	2,961	-	-	-	-	0.028
14	94	94	247,368	50,853	2,508	-	-	-	-	0.024
15	101	101	265,789	54,640	2,694	-	-	-		0.026
16	123	123	323,684	66,542	3,281		-	-	-	0.03
17	109	109	286,842	58,968	2,908	-	-	-	-	0.02
18	94	94	247,368	50,853	2,508	-		-	34 7.	0.024
19	89	89	234,211	48,148	2,374	-	-	-	-	0.023
20	103	103	271,053	55,722	2,748			-		0.026
21	113	113	297,368	61,132	3,015	-	-	-	-	0.029
22	80	80	210,526	43,279	2,134	-			#:	0.020
23	92	92	242,105	49,771	2,454	-		<u></u>	-	0.023
24	85	85	223,684	45,984	2,268	-	•	-	-	0.022
25	94	94	247,368	50,853	2,508	-	-	-	-	0.024
26	121	121	318,421	65,460	3,228	H	-	-	*	0.03
27	129	129	339,474	69,787	3,441	-		-		0.033
28	108	108	284,211	58,427	2,881	. '	-		_	0.02
29	90	90	236,842	48,689	2,401	-		-	-	0.023
30	68	68	178,947	36,787	1,814	-		-	-	0.017

EP WHB-111-6 2" Pipe TBD 06-004 Group 3.3

Measurement #	gcpm	псрт	Cs-137 activity (total dpm)	Cs-137 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Co-60 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Eu-152 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Eu-154 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Nb-94 activity (dpm/100cm2)	Ag-108m activity (dpm/100cm2)	Unity
				WATER CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O					MEAN	0.027
									MEDIAN	0.027
	1								STD DEV	0.005
						1,00			MAX	0.039
									MiN	0.017

Pipe Interior Radiological Survey Form

Date: 8/28/06 Time: 1251
Pipe ID#: WHB-111-6 Pipe Diameter: 2" Access Point Area: EVAP. PIT
Building: WHB Elevation: -5' System: DRAINS
_1
Type of Survey Investigation Characterization Final Survey _ Other
Gross Co60 Cs
Detector ID# / Sled ID# 44-159 # 238367/ NO SLED
Detector Cal Date: 6/21/06 Detector Cal Due Date: 6/21/07
Instrument: 2350-/ Instrument ID #: 189094
Instrument Cal Date: 3/15/06 Instrument Cal Due Date: 3/15/07
From the Daily Pipe Survey Detector Control Form for the Selected Detector
Background Value 35. / cpm
MDCR _{static} 23.4 cpm
Efficiency Factor for Pipe Diameter 0.00038 (from detector efficiency determination)
MDC_{static} 5314 $dpm/$ too cm^2
Is the MDC _{static} acceptable? Yes No (if no, adjust sample count time and recalculate MDCR _{static})
Comments: INITIAL SURVEY EP3-3 COMPLETE

Technician Signature

Pipe Interior Radiological Survey

Position #	Feet into Pipe from Opening	Count Time (min)	Gross Counts	Gross cpm	Net cpm	dpm/100cm ²
1	1	1	69	69	na	n/a
2	Z	1	115	115	1	1
3	3		115	112		
4	4		148	148		
5	5	g	13 /	131		
6	6		167	107		
7 -	7		92	92		
8	8		118	118		
9	9		152	152		
10	10	V	137	137		1

Package Page 1 of 3



Pipe Interior Radiological Survey Form (Continuation Form)

Date: 8/28/06
Pipe ID#: WHB-111-6 Pipe Diameter: 2" Access Point Area: EVAR PIT
Building: WHB Elevation: -.5' System: DRAINS

Position #	Feet into Pipe from Opening	Count Time (min)	Gross Counts	Gross cpm	Net cpm	dpm/100cm ²
11	11	1	123	123	nla	nea
12	12	1	107	107	1	1
13	13		111	1)[
14	14		94	94		¥ 1
15	15		101	101		
16	16		123	123		
17	17		109	109		
18	18		94	94		
19	19		94 89	5-0		
20	20		103	103		
21	21		113	103		
22	22		80	80		
23	23		92 85 94	97.		
24	24		85	92 85 94		
25	25		94	94		
26	24		121	121		
27	27	1	129	129		
28	28	1	108	108		
29	29		90	90		
30	30	V	68	68	1	1
			0 0	- 0		
		11-1				
		- 8///				
			N 1			
			+ //			-
			10			
		1				-
				1		-
				++		-
			· ·	/)		-
			+			
						1
_/						-

Package Page Z of 3



SECTION 7
ATTACHMENT 3
______ PAGE(S)

				DQA Check	Sheet				
	Design #	WHB-111-6	Revision #	Original					
s	urvey Unit #	×		,	WHB-111-6	1100 25 150 3023			
			Prel	liminary Da	ta Review`				
	Answers to	the following qu	estions should Release		ocumented in the Survey Unit	Yes	No	N/A	
1.	Have surveys	Have surveys been performed in accordance with survey instructions in the Survey Design?							
2.		Is the instrumentation MDC for structure static measurements below the DCGL _W for Class 1 and 2 survey units, or below 0.5 DCGL _W for Class 3 survey units?							
3.	Is the instrume	entation MDC for en	nbedded/buried p	iping static m	easurements below the DCGLw?	Х			
4.	Was the instru embedded/bur static measure			х					
5.	Was the instru	mentation MDC for	volumetric meas	urements and	smear analysis < 10% DCGL _W ?			х	
6.	Were the MDC used to perform	х							
7.	Were the surve media being si	х							
8.	Were "Special	X							
9.	Is the data set design, which	x							
			Gr	aphical Dat	a Review				
1.	Has a posting	plot been created?						Х	
2.	Has a histogra	m (or other frequen	cy plot) been cre	ated?				Х	
3.	Have other gra	aphical data tools b	en created to as	sist in analyzi	ng the data?			Х	
				Data Anal	ysis		, > 1		
1.	Are all sample	measurements bel	ow the DCGL _W (Class 1 & 2),	or 0.5 DCGL _W (Class 3)?	х			
2.	Is the mean of	the sample data <	DCGL _W ?			Х			
3.					, is the average activity in each 0.5 DCGL _W (Class 3)?			х	
4.	is the result of the Elevated Measurements Test < 1.0?							Х	
5.	Is the result of	the statistical test (S+ for Sign Test	or W _r for WR	S Test) ≥ the critical value?			Х	
Cor	mments:								
F	SS/Characteriza	ation Engineer (prin	Vsign) Dole	Rando	11/ Brol Paylarl	Date	10-3	30-07	
F	SS/ Characteriza	ation Manager (prin		R. Case	MARIL	Date	111	7/07	

Form CS-09/2 Rev 0

SECTION 7 ATTACHMENT 4 1 DISC