REGION I NMSS LICENSEE EVENT REPORT-

Docket No. 030 -06046 MLER-RI-89 - 072 1. ACTION CONTROL DATA LICENSEE GENERAL ELECTRIC GMPANY - GE SENDSPACE Event Date I-LRST QUARTER 1989 Report Date 6-19-89 11. REPORTING REQUIREMENT [] 10 CFR 20.402 - theft or loss [] 10 CFR 35.33 Therapeutic Misadministration [] 10 CFR 20.403(a)(b) [] 10 CFR 35.33 Diagnostic Misadministration overexposure/release 10 CFR 20.405 - 30 day report []-License Condition [] Other III. REGION I RESPONSE [] Immediate Site Inspection Date Inspector [] Special Inspection Date Inspector [] Telephone Inquiry Date Inspector Licensee Representative and Title [] PN [] Daily Report Information entered - Region 1 log and Outstanding Items List Review at next routine inspection IV. REPORT EVALUATION Description of Event [] Corrective Actions [] Calculation Adequate Levels of R/M involved [] Cause of Event [] Letter to Licensee requesting additional information Date 8/18/8 Reviewed by: V. SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR COMMENTS Information in this record was deleted

in accordance with the Freedom of Information

Act, exemptions 6

P. OPRIETARY INFORMATION

NOTICE

THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN "PROPRIETARY INFORMATION" AND SHOULD BE HANDLED AS NRC "OFFICIAL USE ONLY" INFORMATION! IT SHOULD NOT BE DISCUSSED OR MADE AVAILABLE TO ANY PERSON MOT REQUIRING SUCH INFORMATION IN THE CONDUCT OFFICIAL BUSINESS AND SHOULD BE STORED, TRANSFERRED, AND DISPOSED OF BY EACH RECLIPIENT IN A MANNER WHICH WILL ASSURE THAT ITS CONTENTS ARE NOT MADE AVAILABLE TO UNAUTHORIZED PERSONS.



GE Aerospace

June 19, 1989

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Radiation Safety & Safeguards Region 1 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406 License No. 37-02006-05

Docket No. 030-06046

MLER-8 9-082

Gentlemen:

On May 25, 1989, we were notified by telephone call from R.S. Landauer Inc. that one of our first quarter 1989 TLD visitor's badges indicated the following radiation exposure:

Deep 190 mr/hr Shallow 1360 mr/hr Beta 1170 mr/hr.

Our records indicated that this badge was issued to a GE employee on 1/3/89. Mr. $(^{(b)(6)})$ is not a regular radiation dosimeter badge user and during an interview me indicated that he only used this badge for a few days in early January. Mr. $(^{(b)(6)})$ was issued the badge to permit him to access a room containing a Triotech krypton-85 parts leak detection system. However, Mr. $(^{(b)(6)})$ work did not involve the Triotech system in any way. In fact, this system has been out of service for several years. The equipment Mr. $(^{(b)(6)})$ was operating was an electric shock test device located 15 feet 9 inches from the leak test system. The highest level of radiation measured at the surface of the krypton-85 leak test equipment was 0.4 mr/hr and the radiation at the shock test station was essentially backgrkound (0.01 - 0.02 mr/hr).

Ex 6

Pressure guage readings indicate that no krypton gas was lost from the leak detector during this time frame. No automatic radiation alarms were recorded and the exhaust ventilation system has been functioning without interruption.

A second employee assigned to work with Mr during this time period had a minimal exposure reading for his dosimeter badge.

It is my considered opinion that the radiation dose indicated on the badge in question is not representative of any dose actually received by Mr.

These is a possibility that this badge was improperly stored on or near the leak detection equipment for the balance of the quarter but

89090 170 89061 REG LI030 37 0200 05 CDC

17:14 OZ KOR 58.

DESIGNATED ORIGINAL

Cartified By Elllus

"CONTAINS INFORMATION PROTECTED BY THE PRIVATE ANTI-

Return Original to Region I



General Flectric Company P.O. Box 8555, Philadelphia, PA 19101

June 19, 1989

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Radiation Safety & Safeguards Region 1 475 Allendale Road

King of Prussia, PA 19406

License No. 37-02006-03

Döcket No. <u>030-06046</u>

MLER-8 9-082

Gentlemen:

On May 25, 1989, we were notified by telephone call from R.S. Landauer Inc. that one of our first quarter 1989 TLD visitor's badges indicated the following radiation exposure:

Deep 190 mr/hr Shallow 1360 mr/hr Beta 1170 mr/hr.

Our records indicated that this badge was issued to a GE employee $\int_{0}^{(6)}$ on 1/3/89 Mr. $_{0}^{(6)}$ is not a regular radiation dosimeter badge user and during an interview he indicated that he only used this badge for a few days in early January. Mr. (b)(6) was issued the badge to permit him to access a room containing a Triotech krypton-85 parts leak detection system. However, Mr. $^{(b)(G)}$ work did not involve the Triotech system work did not involve the Triotech system in any way. In fact, this system has been out of service for several years. The equipment Mr. (b)(6) was operating was an electric shock test device located 15 feet 9 inches from the leak test system. The highest level of radiation measured at the surface of the krypton-85 leak test equipment was 0.4 mr/hr and the radiation at the shock test station was essentially backgrkound (0.01 - 0.02 mr/hr).

Pressure quage readings indicate that no krypton gas was lost from the leak detector during this time frame. No automatic radiation alarms were recorded and the exhaust ventilation system has been functioning without interruption.

during this time period A second employee assigned to work with Mr. had a minimal exposure reading for his dosimeter badge.

It is my considered opinion that the radiation dose indicated on the badge <u>in question</u> is not representative of any dose actually received by Mr. These is a possibility that this badge was improperly stored on or near the leak detection equipment for the balance of the quarter but

TA: 19 05 NUL

RECEIVED-REGION I

Return Original to Region I

June 19, 1989 Page 2

this has not been confirmed. A thorough investigation is currently under way and I will issue a final report as soon as it is complete.

Sincerely,

aw Kobylinski by a.B.C.
Alfred W. Kobylinski

Radiation Safety Officer

AWK/ezb

cc: C. B. Chilton

S. J. Mucha, M.D.

(b)(6) M Starpberg