

**From:** <RoycePenstinger@aol.com>  
**To:** <Palisadesart@aol.com>, <acer8sac@comcast.net>, <gclary@lohud.com>, <editor@ncnl  
ocal.com>, "Francis Cameron" <FXC@nrc.gov>, <CHAIRMAN@nrc.gov>, "Pao-Tsin Kuo"  
<PTK@nrc.gov>, <IndianPointEIS@nrc.gov>, "Neil Sheehan" <NAS@nrc.gov>, "Richard Barkley"  
<RSB1@nrc.gov>, <deb@nukbusters.org>, <crotonshaw@optonline.net>, <garyfromvermont@ya  
hoo.com>, <indianpointsec@yahoogroups.com>  
**Date:** 9/21/2007 1:00:08 AM  
**Subject:** Why EIS for Indian Point Should Include Terrorist Attack Environmental Costs

Dear Chairman

This is a formal request under the guidelines of 10 CFR 2.206 to have the Environmental Costs of a Terrorist Attack included in the EIS Scoping for the Indian Point Nuclear Reactors. It has wrongfully been the contention of the NRC and the nuclear industry (NEI) that the odds of a terrorist attack on a nuclear reactor site are so remote as to be unworthy of consideration in the EIS Scoping process. As the below shown slide exhibits, another agency of the Federal Government disagrees with you, and your agency. If necessary, I am prepared to offer proof found on OTHER United States Government sites in support of this Formal Petition that show the Federal Government does feel there exists a real chance that terrorists could mount a attack on a nuclear reactor facility.

Is this slide proof that the NRC is lying to us when they say the risk of a Terrorist Attack on a nuclear reactor is so remote as to be not worth consideration in the License Renewal process under the requirements of NEPA? One can assume, that reasonable minds would say it is proof that the NRC is, and has been lying to the public in a wrongful attempt to protect their licensees, and provide them with and easier pathway to License Renewal Application approval. One thing is clear...the slide presents absolute governmentally created PROOF that a terrorist attack on a nuclear site, and the resultant Environmental Costs is worthy of INCLUSION in the EIS Scoping for Indian Point. The CDC slide is absolute proof that our Federal Government believes there is a VERY REAL CHANCE and/or the potential for such and attack on a nuclear reactor site, and thus the Environmental Costs of such a potential attack scenario MUST BE INCLUDED in the EIS Scoping process for Indian Point units IP2 and IP3.

The question is begged, "If, as the NRC claims, said risk scenario is not

worthy of consideration, then why does the Centers For Disease Control consider it at the top of their list of Radiological Terrorist Scenarios in one of their slide presentations?"

In light of this GOVERNMENTAL PROOF, I hereby formally request that the Environmental Costs of a targeted terrorist attack on Indian Point be included in the scoping process for Indian Point. Specifically, I want included in the EIS Scoping process as a part of this 2.206 Petition the environmental cost studies for individual targeted terrorist attacks on individual locations/components at the facility, such as a successful attack on a singular spent fuel pool, or singular reactor, as well as the environmental costs of a targeted terrorist attack on multiple component parts of the facility, such as two spent fuel pools, a spent fuel pool and a reactor, or a successful attack on both reactors, or all three spent fuel pools.

Sherwood Martinelli  
FUSE USA Vice President  
914 734 1955  
351 Dyckman Street  
Peekskill, New York 10566

For those outside the NRC receiving this, please send in similar 2.206 petitions supporting our cause, and see that this Formal 2.206 Petition receives WIDE DISTRIBUTION. We want it emailed out, and posted up anywhere and everywhere we can get it. Every aging reactor community deserves to have the Environmental Costs of a terrorist attack included in the Relicensing EIS Scoping Process. Also, look for the formal launch of our website at [\\_www.fuseusa.org\\_](http://www.fuseusa.org) (<http://www.fuseusa.org>)

[\\_Potential Health Problems from Exposure to Selected Radionuclides - Hanford Health Information Network - WA State Dept. of He..\\_](http://www.doh.wa.gov/hanford/publications/overview/radionuclides.html)  
(<http://www.doh.wa.gov/hanford/publications/overview/radionuclides.html>)

\*\*\*\*\* See what's new at <http://www.aol.com>

**Federal Register Notice:** 72FR45075  
**Comment Number:** 25

**Mail Envelope Properties** (47304C7B.HQGWDO01.OWGWPO04.200.200000E.1.140EA4.1)

**Subject:** Why EIS for Indian Point Should Include Terrorist Attack Environmental Costs  
**Creation Date:** 9/21/2007 1:00:08 AM  
**From:** <RoycePenstinger@aol.com>  
**Created By:** RoycePenstinger@aol.com

**Recipients**

<Palisadesart@aol.com>  
<acer8sac@comcast.net>  
<gclary@lohud.com>  
<editor@ncnlocal.com>  
"Francis Cameron" <FXC@nrc.gov>  
<CHAIRMAN@nrc.gov>  
"Pao-Tsin Kuo" <PTK@nrc.gov>  
<IndianPointEIS@nrc.gov>  
"Neil Sheehan" <NAS@nrc.gov>  
"Richard Barkley" <RSB1@nrc.gov>  
<deb@nukebusters.org>  
<crotonshaw@optonline.net>  
<garyfromvermont@yahoo.com>  
<indianpointsec@yahoogroups.com>

**Post Office**

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

**Files**

	<b>Size</b>
MESSAGE	3831
TEXT.htm	5078
Untitled.jpg	150037
Mime.822	216987

**Date & Time**

9/21/2007 1:00:08 AM
11/6/2007 11:14:03 AM
11/6/2007 11:14:03 AM
11/6/2007 11:14:03 AM

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None  
None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

Dear Chairman

This is a formal request under the guidelines of 10 CFR 2.206 to have the Environmental Costs of a Terrorist Attack included in the EIS Scoping for the Indian Point Nuclear Reactors. It has wrongfully been the contention of the NRC and the nuclear industry (NEI) that the odds of a terrorist attack on a nuclear reactor site are so remote as to be unworthy of consideration in the EIS Scoping process. As the below shown slide exhibits, another agency of the Federal Government disagrees with you, and your agency. If necessary, I am prepared to offer proof found on OTHER United States Government sites in support of this Formal Petition that show the Federal Government does feel there exists a real chance that terrorists could mount an attack on a nuclear reactor facility.

Is this slide proof that the NRC is lying to us when they say the risk of a Terrorist Attack on a nuclear reactor is so remote as to be not worth consideration in the License Renewal process under the requirements of NEPA? One can assume, that reasonable minds would say it is proof that the NRC is, and has been lying to the public in a wrongful attempt to protect their licensees, and provide them with an easier pathway to License Renewal Application approval. One thing is clear...the slide presents absolute governmentally created PROOF that a terrorist attack on a nuclear site, and the resultant Environmental Costs is worthy of INCLUSION in the EIS Scoping for Indian Point. The CDC slide is absolute proof that our Federal Government believes there is a VERY REAL CHANCE and/or the potential for such an attack on a nuclear reactor site, and thus the Environmental Costs of such a potential attack scenario MUST BE INCLUDED in the EIS Scoping process for Indian Point units IP2 and IP3.

The question is begged, "If, as the NRC claims, said risk scenario is not worthy of consideration, then why does the Centers For Disease Control consider it at the top of their list of Radiological Terrorist Scenarios in one of their slide presentations?"

In light of this GOVERNMENTAL PROOF, I hereby formally request that the Environmental Costs of a targeted terrorist attack on Indian Point be included in the scoping process for Indian Point. Specifically, I want included in the EIS Scoping process as a part of this 2.206 Petition the environmental cost studies for individual targeted terrorist attacks on individual locations/components at the facility, such as a successful attack on a singular spent fuel pool, or singular reactor, as well as the environmental costs of a targeted terrorist attack on multiple component parts of the facility, such as two spent fuel pools, a spent fuel pool and a reactor, or a successful attack on both reactors, or all three spent fuel pools.

Sherwood Martinelli  
FUSE USA Vice President  
914 734 1955  
351 Dyckman Street  
Peekskill, New York 10566

For those outside the NRC receiving this, please send in similar 2.206 petitions supporting our cause, and see that this Formal 2.206 Petition receives WIDE DISTRIBUTION. We want it emailed out, and posted up anywhere and everywhere we can get it. Every aging reactor community deserves to have the Environmental Costs of a terrorist attack included in the Relicensing EIS Scoping Process. Also, look for the formal launch of our website at [www.fuseusa.org](http://www.fuseusa.org)

[Potential Health Problems from Exposure to Selected Radionuclides - Hanford Health Information Network - WA State Dept. of He..](#)



---

See what's new at [AOL.com](#) and [Make AOL Your Homepage](#).

# Potential Radiological Terrorist Scenarios

- **Nuclear**

- Targeted attack on nuclear facility
- Improvised nuclear device
- Nuclear weapon



- **Radiological**

- Radiological dispersion device (RDD)  
e.g., “Dirty bomb”

