

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Marquette County Road Commission 1610 North 2 nd Street Ishpeming, MI 49849	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532
---	---

REPORT 2007-001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-31712	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-26188-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION October 11, 2007
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer		Oct 11, 2007

Docket File Information
**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**



1. LICENSEE Marquette County Road Commission REPORT 2007-001	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III
---	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-31712	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26188-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION October 11, 2007
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07
--	--

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Kurt Taavola	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 906 486-4491
---------------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: October 2012

Field _____

Temporary Job Site _____

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a county road commission department that employs three individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler 3440 moisture density gauge, for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs three authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a locked and labeled room in the licensee's facility located at Ishpeming, Michigan.

Performance Observations

At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results as noted in the licensee's survey records.