TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401

1630 Chestnut Street Tower II

April 17, 1985

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attention: Ms. E. Adensam, Chief

Licensing Branch No. 4

Division of Licensing

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Ms. Adensam:

In the Matter of the Application of

Docket No 50-390

Tennessee Valley Authority

As discussed between TVA and NRC representatives during meetings held April 2, 10, and 12, 1985, TVA committed to propose a technical specification addressing those components assumed to be either (1) deenergized directly or indirectly by an Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) signal, or (2) administratively deenergized during normal operation. Since these components were not included in our submerged components analysis, the NRC Staff believed a formal technical sepcification was needed.

TVA has subsequently analyzed those components assumed in the original analysis to be administratively controlled. Thus, the enclosed proposed technical specification only lists those components for which the circuits are automatically deenergized during an accident. Also enclosed are revised FSAR pages which reflect the additional analysis performed on the administratively controlled components. These changes will be incorporated into the next FSAR amendment (Amendment No. 56).

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please get in touch with D. B. Ellis of my staff at FTS 858-2681 in Chattanooga.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

R. H. Shell

Nuclear Engineer

Sworn to and subscribed before me this /7th day of 1985

Notary Public

My Commission Expires

8-24-88

Enclosures

cc: See page 2

8504230496 850417 PDR ADDCK 05000390 A PDR 3001

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

April 17, 1985

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Enclosures)
Region II
Attn: Dr. J. Nelson Grace, Regional Administrator
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

ENCLOSURE