

October 10, 2007

MEMORANDUM TO: Luis A. Reyes
Executive Director for Operations

FROM: Renée Pedersen, Differing Professional Opinions
Program Manager /ra/
Office of Enforcement

SUBJECT: DIFFERING PROFESSIONAL OPINION APPEAL INVOLVING
MANAGEMENT POLICY ON LICENSING NEW FUEL CYCLE
FACILITIES (DPO-2006-005)

The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of an appeal that was submitted to me on August 29, 2007, for Differing Professional Opinion (DPO)-2006-005. The DPO raises concerns that management's policy for licensing new fuel cycle facilities (addressed in an August 4, 2006 memorandum) is inconsistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 70 and the Standard Review Plan (NUREG-1520).

The employees' DPO appeal raises concerns about the DPO Decision that was issued by Michael Weber, Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) on July 24, 2007. In accordance with the guidance in Handbook Section E.1.(b) of Management Directive (MD) 10.159, "The NRC Differing Professional Opinions Program," on September 6, 2007, I asked Michael Weber to provide a written statement of views on the contested issues for your consideration.

In accordance with MD 10.159 Handbook Section E.2, I am forwarding the DPO appeal package to you for your review and decision. The DPO appeal package includes the DPO appeal, Michael Weber's written statement of views, and the core documents from the DPO case file. You are responsible for reviewing the DPO appeal and providing the individual with a decision normally within 30 - 60 calendar days of the receiving the DPO appeal package. I am also forwarding both the DPO and DPO appeal milestones and timeliness goals for this case.

In addition, you are also responsible for subsequently including a summary of your decision in the Weekly Information Report.

As an administrative note, because DPO documents have specific handling instructions based on the MD guidance, I am forwarding guidance to your staff that they should follow when putting your DPO appeal decision in ADAMS. After you have issued your decision, I will consult with the submitter and take action to disposition the DPO records associated with this case in accordance with the MD guidance.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (301) 415-2741 or email DPOPM@nrc.gov.

cc: M. Virgilio, DEDMRT
V. Ordaz, AO

October 10, 2007

MEMORANDUM TO: Luis A. Reyes
 Executive Director for Operations

FROM: Renée Pedersen, Differing Professional Opinions
 Program Manager /ra/
 Office of Enforcement

SUBJECT: DIFFERING PROFESSIONAL OPINION APPEAL INVOLVING
 MANAGEMENT POLICY ON LICENSING NEW FUEL CYCLE
 FACILITIES (DPO-2006-005)

The purpose of this memorandum is to advise you of an appeal that was submitted to me on August 29, 2007, for Differing Professional Opinion (DPO)-2006-005. The DPO raises concerns that management's policy for licensing new fuel cycle facilities (addressed in an August 4, 2006 memorandum) is inconsistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 70 and the Standard Review Plan (NUREG-1520).

The employees' DPO appeal raises concerns about the DPO Decision that was issued by Michael Weber, Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) on July 24, 2007. In accordance with the guidance in Handbook Section E.1.(b) of Management Directive (MD) 10.159, "The NRC Differing Professional Opinions Program," on September 6, 2007, I asked Michael Weber to provide a written statement of views on the contested issues for your consideration.

In accordance with MD 10.159 Handbook Section E.2, I am forwarding the DPO appeal package to you for your review and decision. The DPO appeal package includes the DPO appeal, Michael Weber's written statement of views, and the core documents from the DPO case file. You are responsible for reviewing the DPO appeal and providing the individual with a decision normally within 30 - 60 calendar days of the receiving the DPO appeal package. I am also forwarding both the DPO and DPO appeal milestones and timeliness goals for this case.

In addition, you are also responsible for subsequently including a summary of your decision in the Weekly Information Report.

As an administrative note, because DPO documents have specific handling instructions based on the MD guidance, I am forwarding guidance to your staff that they should follow when putting your DPO appeal decision in ADAMS. After you have issued your decision, I will consult with the submitter and take action to disposition the DPO records associated with this case in accordance with the MD guidance.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (301) 415-2741 or email DPOPM@nrc.gov.

cc: M. Virgilio, DEDMRT
 V. Ordaz, AO

ML072820408

DISTRIBUTION:

M. Weber, NMSS T. Bergman, NRO M. Zabler, OGC M. Waterman, RES
 DPO-2006-005 file DPO Day File ADAMS, Non-Public, Limited NRC viewer rights=DPO Staff

OFFICE	DPOPM:OE	DD:OE
NAME	R. Pedersen	S. Magruder
DATE	10/ 9 /2007	10/ 10 /2007

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY