OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Oct 01, 2007 16:31

PAPER NUMBER:	LTR-07-0668
LOGGING DATE:	10/01/2007
ACTION OFFICE:	EDO

To: Sheron, RES

cys: EDO
DEDMRS
DEDR
DEDIA
AO

AUTHOR:	Po Kee Wong
AFFILIATION:	MD
ADDRESSEE:	Multiple Addressees
SUBJECT:	Important information for Supreme Court cases 06-1705 and 07-209
ACTION:	Appropriate
DISTRIBUTION:	Chairman, Comrs ....encls to: EDO
LETTER DATE:	09/28/2007
ACKNOWLEDGED	No
SPECIAL HANDLING:	Made publicly available in ADAMS via EDO/DPC
NOTES:	
FILE LOCATION:	ADAMS
DATE DUE:	**DATE SIGNED:**

CHAIRMAN - Important information for Supreme Court Cases 06-1705 and 07-209 for your open review and evaluation

From: "Po Kee Wong" <pokwong@verizon.net>

To: <suprectbriefs@usdoj.gov>, <chairman@nrc.gov>, <MFL@nrc.gov>, <BWS@nrc.gov>, <abement@nsf.gov>, <jon.dudas@uspto.gov>, <Chuong.Ngo@uspto.gov>, <Chun-I.Chiang@pentagon.af.mil>, <fengd@utdallas.edu>, <fns@foxnews.com>, <fong_thomas@hotmail.com>, <Fenty@NBC4.com>, "'FOIA-Central'" <FOIA-CENTRAL@hq.doe.gov>, <foia.liaison@whs.mil>, <foia@gsfc.nasa.gov>, <foia@arc.nasa.gov>, <foia@nsf.gov>, <foia@nmo.jpl.nasa.gov>, <foia@msfc.nasa.gov>, <fesi@fesi.org.uk>, "'Mitchelson, Mary'" <Mary.Mitchelson@ed.gov>, <meesee.phua@longandfoster.com>, "'Morris, Alexander'" <Alexander.Morris@hq.doe.gov>, <mcontomp@boston.k12.ma.us>, <mintenlee@yahoo.com>, <mlee@nsf.gov>, <meesee.phua@longandfoster.com>, <Mayor@dc.gov>, <Michael.Sohlman@nobel.se>, <Michelle.Rhee@dc.gov>, <chensiung@aol.com>, "'Caspari, Mary L'" <mcaspari@utdallas.edu>, <chin8673@yahoo.com>, <comments@mclaughlin.com>, <ChihHongChen@aol.com>, <conley_m@jud.state.ma.us>, <papapizza@comcast.net>, <pao@cua.edu>, <esia9@buaa.edu.cn>, <fesi@fesi.org.uk>, <rtchu@yahoo.com>, "'Rahul'" <rahulshandilya@yahoo.com>, "'Robinson, Kellie N. (HQ-NB000)'" <krobins1@nasa.gov>, "'Rotella, Robert F. (HQ-MA000)'" <Robert.F.Rotella@nasa.gov>, <ronald.lai@mms.gov>, <richard.shih@lmco.com>, <rstutman@btu.org>, <richard@mathforum.org>, <rsaa@kva.se>, <albertychang@yahoo.com>, <adam.wong@fcps.edu>, <ahwu@aol.com>, <aliilik@gmail.com>, <anisohedral@yahoo.com>, <AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov>, "'Peter Chow'" <a617@yahoo.com>, <em50000@email.ncku.edu.tw>, <emmyhsia2003@yahoo.com>, <em50920@email.ncku.edu.tw>, <domrosa@snet.net>, "'NCKU DC'" <nckudc@yahoo.com>, <nate_yen@post.harvard.edu>, <shousun@yahoo.com>, <szu_h@yahoo.com>, <shiuhwen@hotmail.com>, "'simon Tam'" <simonfctam@yahoo.com.hk>, <SJCReporter@sjc.state.ma.us>, <SJCCommClerk@sjc.state.ma.us>, <sjc1@nrc.gov>, <sam2@nrc.gov>, <Jerry_D_Weast@mcpsmd.org>, <janice.chang@montgomerycountymd.gov>, <jinwu@mail.ncku.edu.tw>, <jlin@aciindustries.com>

Date: 09/28/2007 9:42:21 AM

Subject: Important information for Supreme Court Cases 06-1705 and 07-209 for your open review and evaluation

CC: "'Po Kee Wong'" <pokwong@verizon.net>, <adam.wong@fcps.edu>

Dear Solicitor General Clement ET AL:

Please simply just use a TI 83 Calculator that is prevailingly used in all high schools in USA and follow the instruction that I have provided to teach Kevin ET AL (several junior and senior high school students in Montgomery School District) and read the contents of this e-mail then you know why the uniqueness of representation of a function is so important to the case 06-1075 and why the judges have been making mistakes in their judgment in the past 13 years.
Their impacts can further be read from opening the 4 attachments in this E-mail.

From: Po Kee Wong [mailto:pokwong@verizon.net] **Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2007 10:21 AM
To: 'Fenty@NBC4.com'
Cc: 'pokwong@verizon.net'
Subject: FW: Acknowledgement of receipt to your response to my request for PI/PD Report of my previous proposals with relevance tofu: Congratulation to your school district's 8 to 11 graders for their understanding the concept of "High Power Functions"

Dear NBC4 Media in Washington D.C.:

Thank you for providing your E-mail address shown in this morning news reports such that I can forward this E-mail to you for Mayor Fenty to take the appropriate and concrete action for education of Mathematics and Science in the metropolitan areas of Washington D.C.

From: Po Kee Wong [mailto:pokwong@verizon.net] **Sent:** Saturday, July 28, 2007 7:15 AM

To: ljensen@nsf.gov; abement@nsf.gov; MJP1@nrc.gov; Chairman@nrc.gov; MFL@nrc.gov; BWS@nrc.gov; FOIA-Central; mms-notifier@hq.doe.gov; Robinson, Kellie N. (HQ-NB000); Hollingsworth, Judi A. (MSFC-CS20); foia@nmo.jpl.nasa.gov; Fahy, Gerard; Mitchelson, Mary; Shepherd, Shelley; NightlyViewerMail@nbcuni.com
Cc: Nightly@NBC.com; Thinktank@pbs.org; fns@foxnews.com; yourcomments@foxnews.com; Evening@cbsnews.com; Onlineda@newshour.org; foia.liaison@whs.mil; FTA.FOIA@dot.gov; nasafoia@nasa.gov; foia@arc.nasa.gov; foia@gsfc.nasa.gov; FOIA-Central; Po Kee Wong; Adam Wong; dr.tamsimon@gmail.com; Kykwong@cs.hku.hk; Amorypkw@netvigator.com; tomchen@rogers.com
Subject: Acknowledgement of receipt to your response to my request for PI/PD Report of my previous proposals with relevance tofu: Congratulation to your school district's 8 to 11 graders for their understanding the concept of "High Power Functions"

Dear FOIA Officers who have responded to the subject matter and to Mr. Brian Williams of NBC:

Thank you for your responses by E-mail and/or by hard copy letter and by telephone calls about the subject matter. In return, please use a Texas Instrument Calculator TI-83 and/or TI-83 Plus to solve the following problems and please also open these E-mail attachments of my technical communication with NRC staffs Dr. Brian Sheron and Ms. Maple Lee about a very simple check of the NRC computer codes.
In doing so, I believe that will provide you the insights for education of your own children now in colleges and in high schools everywhere in the entire United States of America.
I look forward to receiving the reports from you soon such that I can send them back in time to the U.S. Supreme Court for two petition cases.

Your time and effort spent on the subject matter is gratefully appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Wong, Po Kee, Pro Se Petitioner to Supreme Court Cases shown in the attachments
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-2344 USA
Tel: 301-585-3453
pokwong@verizon.net

Forwarding the following messages with 3 attachments in this E-mail:

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: kevin_tyan@yahoo.com ; etyan1993@yahoo.com ; vtyan88@yahoo.com ; jenjen944@yahoo.com ; Jackiechiu@yahoo.com ; q2q3q4q5q62000@yahoo.com ; Amorypkw@netvigator.com ; dr.tamsimon@gmail.com
Cc: Dr. Kenneth Wong ; daiz_zy@yahoo.com ; Po Kee Wong ; Adam Wong
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 8:58 PM
Subject: Fw: Congratulation to your school district's 8 to 11 graders for their understanding the concept of "High Power Functions"

To: Kevin ET AL:

I would like to teach you 5 youngsters to learn how to actually use the TI Calculators to solve the equations of "High Power Functions" step by step with supports from your guardians and parents.

Step I:
Open your TI calculators and push the [WINDOW] button and type the followings:

Xmin=0
Xmax=2
Xscl=1
Ymin= - 3000

Ymax=5000
Yscl=1
Xres=1

Step II:
Push the [Y=] button and type the following for equations Y1;Y2;Y3;Y4.....etc. I will show you how to calculate x= ? from the equation Y1 =(2x)^(3x)^(4x) - 3000 = 0:
High light the equal sign [= } of Y1 by pushing the button [ENTER] This means that you have entered the Y1 equation for plotting the curve of equation Y1.

Step III :

Push the button [GRAPH] , then you will see the calculator is graphing the Y1 function.

Step IV:

Push the button [TRACE] and start repeatedly pushing the [ARROW] button pointing to the right hand side until you see from the screen

x=.9787234 y= - 746.1961 that is the last negative number below the x-axis (means y=0)

Step V.:

Push the same button [TRACE] and [ARROW] button again, you see from the screen

x=1 y=1096

Step VI:
push the [ARROW] button backward to the point at step IV. at x= .9787234 y= - 746.1961

Step VII :
Push buttons [2nd] [CALC] it will show you a list for calculations: Choose number 2: ZERO and click the button [ENTER]

now the screen will ask you
Left Bound?
x=.9787234 y= - 746.1961 please click the button [ENTER]

Step VIII : Push the right [ARROW] button
the screen will show and ask
Right Bound?
x=1 y=1096 please click the button [ENTER]

Step IX :

Now the screen will ask
Guess ?
x=1 y=1096 please click the button [ENTER] again

Step X :

Now the screen will show you
Zero
x=.98901472 y=0

Repeat the same steps to calculate the zeros of Y2; Y3;Y4.....etc.

Next time when all of you have a chance to meet at grand- mother Po Tien Wong,s house, Jackie should bring the TI 83 Pus calculator that Adam gave you two years ago. I will use it to show you how to do all those problems in only 5 minutes that all of you can learn how to solve the problems addressed to your school Superintendent Dr. Weast as shown below !!!

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: Jerry_D_Weast@mcpsmd.org ; kevin_tyan@yahoo.com ; jenjen944@yahoo.com ; etyan1993@yahoo.com ; Jackiechiu@yahoo.com
Cc: Mayor@dc.gov ; Michelle.Rhee@dc.gov ; vtyan88@yahoo.com ; PSUNOI@wmconnect.com ; Rahul Shandilya ; rtchu@yahoo.com ; Simon Tam ; Siu_Kee_Chan@yahoo.com ; Wong, Adam ; mcontomp@boston.k12.ma.us ; rstutman@btu.org ; JED2@nrc.gov ; BWS@nrc.gov ; MFL@nrc.gov ; gcc@nrc.gov ; Chairman@nrc.gov ; mark.lee@hq.nasa.gov ; abement@nsf.gov ; Ly4010@sina.com ; zw206@yahoo.com ; fns@foxnews.com ; 老姜 ; sjc1@nrc.gov ; albert chang ; JED1@nrc.gov ; ChihHongChen@aol.com ; cmrmerrifield@nrc.gov ; Alexis.Livanos@ngc.com ; Adamyschan@rogers.com ; anisohedral@yahoo.com ; aliilik@gmail.com ; Amorypkw@netvigator.com ; AHH@nrc.gov ; akenndy@hq.nasa.gov ; conley_m@jud.state.ma.us ; jon.dudas@uspto.gov ; john.Natoli@cityofboston.gov ; Juliana.Rice@state.ma.us ; JDM@nrc.gov ; jag@nrc.gov ; Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.gov ; John.Whealan@USPTO.gov ; jmcdonough@boston.k12.ma.us ; jane.lewis@sjc.state.ma.us ; sam2@nrc.gov ; SJCReporter@sjc.state.ma.us ; SJCCommClerk@sjc.state.ma.us ; alh1@nrc.gov ; avc@nrc.gov ; Anthony.Steinmeyer@usdoj.gov ; bsm1@nrc.gov ; bill@massretirees.com ; Chun-I.Chiang@pentagon.af.mil ; CHL@nrc.gov ; cmrmcgaffigan@nrc.gov ; Chuong.Ngo@uspto.gov ; dbm@nrc.gov ; emckenna615@comcast.net ; Emily.C.Spadoni@usdoj.gov ; fxe@nrc.gov ; gmh@nrc.gov ; gbj@nrc.gov ; havis ; hkc@nrc.gov ; horbalyj@cafc.uscourts.gov ; information@massretirees.com ; info@tmce.org ; info@tmce-symposium.org ; JEL@NRC.gov ; mft@shore.net ; nICKlAW@AOL.COM ; NEWS@MASSRETIREES.COM ; NEWSPAPER@MFTEDUCATOR.ORG ; PKH@NRC.GOV ; PTK@NRC.GOV ; PBL@NRC.GOV ; RWB1@NRC.GOV ; WALSH_T@JUD.STATE.MA.US ; ZHANGHC7@963.NET ; president@whitehouse.gov ; Vice.president@whitehouse ; AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov ; mnl@nrc.gov ; mac3@nrc.gov ; rpz@nrc.GOV ; WFB@NRC.GOV
Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2007 7:30 PM
Subject: Re: Congratulation to your school district's 8 to 11 graders for their understanding the concept of " High Power Functions"

Dear Dr. Weast and your students in Montgomery County and concerned educators ET AL in Metropolitan Washington DC Areas:

Being forwarded to you all in the attachment of this E-mail contain information of my recent discussions with Dr. Brian W. Sheron and Ms. Mabel F. Lee both of NRC to find all the other properties of a Triangle ABC if the three altitudes of the Triangle ABC are given as Ha=5; Hb=6 : Hc=7 in order to compare the computer codes now running in NRC to solve the same problem by other method in order to compare the accuracy by comparisons of numerical values obtained from two different methods.

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: Jerry_D_Weast@mcpsmd.org ; kevin_tyan@yahoo.com ; jenjen944@yahoo.com ; etyan1993@yahoo.com ; Jackiechiu@yahoo.com
Cc: Mayor@dc.gov ; Michelle.Rhee@dc.gov ; vtyan88@yahoo.com ; PSUNOI@wmconnect.com ; Rahul Shandilya ; rtchu@yahoo.com ; Simon Tam ; Siu_Kee_Chan@yahoo.com ; Wong, Adam ; mcontomp@boston.k12.ma.us ; rstutman@btu.org ; JED2@nrc.gov ; BWS@nrc.gov ; MFL@nrc.gov ; gcc@nrc.gov ; Chairman@nrc.gov ; mark.lee@hq.nasa.gov ; abement@nsf.gov ; Ly4010@sina.com ; zw206@yahoo.com ; fns@foxnews.com ; 老姜 ; sjc1@nrc.gov ; albert chang ; JED1@nrc.gov ; ChihHongChen@aol.com ; cmrmerrifield@nrc.gov ; Alexis.Livanos@ngc.com ; Adamyschan@rogers.com ; anisohedral@yahoo.com ; aliilik@gmail.com ; Amorypkw@netvigator.com ; AHH@nrc.gov ; akenndy@hq.nasa.gov ; conley_m@jud.state.ma.us ; jon.dudas@uspto.gov ; john.Natoli@cityofboston.gov ; Juliana.Rice@state.ma.us ; JDM@nrc.gov ; jag@nrc.gov ; Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.gov ; John.Whealan@USPTO.gov ; jmcdonough@boston.k12.ma.us ; jane.lewis@sjc.state.ma.us ; sam2@nrc.gov ; SJCReporter@sjc.state.ma.us ; SJCCommClerk@sjc.state.ma.us ; alh1@nrc.gov ; avc@nrc.gov ; Anthony.Steinmeyer@usdoj.gov ; bsm1@nrc.gov ; bill@massretirees.com ; Chun-I.Chiang@pentagon.af.mil ; CHL@nrc.gov ; cmrmcgaffigan@nrc.gov ; Chuong.Ngo@uspto.gov ; dbm@nrc.gov ; emckenna615@comcast.net ; Emily.C.Spadoni@usdoj.gov ; fxe@nrc.gov ; gmh@nrc.gov ; gbj@nrc.gov ; havis ; hkc@nrc.gov ; horbalyj@cafc.uscourts.gov ; information@massretirees.com ; info@tmce.org ; info@tmce-symposium.org ; JEL@NRC.gov ; mft@shore.net ; nICKlAW@AOL.COM ; NEWS@MASSRETIREES.COM ; NEWSPAPER@MFTEDUCATOR.ORG ; PKH@NRC.GOV ; PTK@NRC.GOV ; PBL@NRC.GOV ; RWB1@NRC.GOV ; WALSH_T@JUD.STATE.MA.US ; ZHANGHC7@963.NET ; Po Kee Wong ; president@whitehouse.gov ;

Vice.president@whitehouse ; AmericanVoices@mail.house.gov ; mnl@nrc.gov ; mac3@nrc.gov ; rpz@nrc.GOV ; WFB@NRC.GOV
Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 4:42 PM
Subject: Re: Congratulation to your school district's 8 to 11 graders for their understanding the concept of " High Power Functions"

Dear Dr. Weast and your students and all other educators ET AL:

Please allow me to elaborate a little bit more on the subject matter of how to input the " High Power Functions" correctly with explanations of why the UNIQUENESS of a GIVEN FUNCTION is so important.

First, according to all text books now being used in the entire USA for Algebra II. All students should be taught to understand in Algebra II about the definition of " RELATION" and that of " FUNCTION" of a given equation of two variables namely (x,y). This can best be explained by a practical example of a given equation as shown in the following:

x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 =1 Equation (1) which can be resolved y in terms of x as

y = b (1- x^2/a^2) ^ (1/2) Equation (2) which is the upper portion of the ellipse

y= - b (1-x^2/ a^2) ^ (1/2) Equation (3) which is the lower portion of the ellipse

For each given value of x, the y values can be uniquely defined in either Equation (2) /or in Equation (3). By definition, therefore. Equation (1) is a "RELATION of x with y" while Equation (2) and (3) each is a " FUNCTION of x with y"

Pursuant to my previous illustrations as shown in the followings to have convinced Kevin;Jenny;Evelyn and Jackie to understand the basic concept of what is a " High Power Function" now we can use a TI Calculator to solve Equations involved with the " High Power Functions"

The following two Calculators with their Identification Numbers are used :

(A) TI 83 Identification Number : 33608885 I-0898J Assembled in ROC, Taiwan

(B) TI 83 Plus Silver Edition, Identification Number: 1294V00478 I-10038 Assembled and Made in Taiwan

Open the above two calculators (A); (B) and use the following Window to solve the problems of " High Power Functions"

Window:
Xmin=0 ;Xmax=2 ;Xscl=1; Ymin=-3000
Ymax= 5000; Yscl=1; Xres = 1

Y1=(2x)^(3x)^(4x) - 3000 =0 x=0.98901472

Y2=(2x)^(4x)^(3x) - 3000 = 0 x=0.98901472

Y3 = (2x)^(12x^2) - 3000 = 0 x =0. 98901472

It is clear that even though Y1;Y2 and Y3 all look different in representation but they end up with the same solution because the operation of the function is started from the bottom upward that is the cause of the multiple representation of the same function. Y1 and Y2 look like " High Power Function " of SECOND ORDER but it is the actually defined from Y3 as of FIRST ORDER

Y4 = (4x)^(3x)^(2x) - 3000 = 0 x =0.98609525

Y5 = (4x)^(2x)^(3x) -3000 = 0 x =0.98609525

Y6 =(4x)^(6x^2) -3000 = 0 x = 0.98609525

It is also very clear that even though Y4; Y5 and Y6 all look different but they also end up with the same solution because the

operation of the function is started from the bottom upward that is the cause of the multiple representation of the same function. Y4 and Y5 look like " High Power Function " of SECOND ORDER but it is actually defined from Y6 as of FIRST ORDER

Y7 = (2x)^((3x)^(4x)) - 3000 =0 x = 0.80332448 Y7= 2.7 (10) ^(-9) close to zero

Y8 = (2x)^((4x)^(3x)) - 3000 = 0 x = 0.80478357 Y8 = 0

Y9 = (4x)^((3x)^(2x)) - 3000 = 0 x = 0.909224 Y9 = 0

Y10 = (4x)^((2x)^(3x)) - 3000 = 0 x = 0.94334689 Y10 = 1.3(10)^(-9) close to zero

Here Y7;Y8;Y9 and Y10 are actual '" High Power Functions" of SECOND ORDER,Therefore, each equation has its own unique solution !!!

I hope that all these explanations may satisfy your curiosity of about the meaning of " High Power Function " which is nothing more than just a simple problem of what your children should have already learned in their Algebra II classes in the entire USA high school districts.

If you have any problems about the subject, please feel free to contact me again. If you are also interested in what your children have learned from their Geometry in their schools, please ask them to solve the problem that I had given to Dr. Brian W.Sheron and Ms. Mabel F. Lee both of NRC to find all the other properties of a Triangle ABC if the three altitudes of the Triangle ABC are given as Ha=5; Hb=6; Hc=7 in order to compare the computer codes now running in NRC to solve the same problem for the comparison of numerical values.

Your time and effort spent in reading and examining this E-mail of submission to you may be mutually beneficial to all of us who care about our children now attending at schools in USA at all levels.

Sincerely yours,

Po Kee Wong, Ph.D.
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-2344 USA
Tel;301-585-3453
pokwong@verizon.net

Please also review the previous message that was sent to some of you as shown in the followings:

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: Jerry_D_Weast@mcpsmd.org ; kevin_tyan@yahoo.com ; jenjen944@yahoo.com ; etyan1993@yahoo.com ; Jackiechiu@yahoo.com
Cc: Mayor@dc.gov ; Michelle.Rhee@dc.gov ; vtyan88@yahoo.com ; PSUNOI@wmconnect.com ; Rahul Shandilya ; rtchu@yahoo.com ; Simon Tam ; Siu_Kee_Chan@yahoo.com ; Po Kee Wong ; Wong, Adam ; mcontomp@boston.k12.ma.us ; rstutman@btu.org ; JED2@nrc.gov ; BWS@nrc.gov ; MFL@nrc.gov ; gcc@nrc.gov ; Chairman@nrc.gov ; mark.lee@hq.nasa.gov ; abement@nsf.gov ; Ly4010@sina.com ; zw206@yahoo.com ; fns@foxnews.com ; 老姜 ; sjc1@nrc.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 28, 2007 12:42 AM
Subject: Congratulation to your school disctrict's 8 to 11 graders for their understanding the concept of " High Power Functions"

Dear Dr. Weast ET AL:

I am very glad to have a chance to have spoken to Kevin Tyan (8th grader from Pyle W.Middle School);Jenny Chiu (8th grader from William H. Farquhar Middle School); Evelyn Tyan (9th. grader from Walt Whitman High School);Jackie Chiu (11th grader from James Hubert Blake High School) all in the Montgomery School District. We use a TI 83 Plus Calculator to discuss the basic concepts of " High Power Function" and explain to them what was wrong about and what should be done to improve the imputes of the " High Power Functions". The following is to inform you that how I can make them to understand the

concept:

Given: (A) Integers number 2; 3; 4;
(B) A pair of Mathematical symbol parentheses ( )
(C) A mathematical symbol ^ to be used to define the meaning of " High Power Functions"

Define: The High Power Function of First Order in the following symbolic operations from the givens as shown in the followings::

2^3 = 2x2x2 = 8 ; 3^4=3x3x3x3=81

; 4^3=4x4x4=64 ; 3^2=3x3=9

Please note that we do not need to use the given (B) to define the High Power Function of First Order !!!

The given (B) is specifically used to define the High Power Functions of the Higher Orders (Second;third fourth....etc...to infinite)

Now you can pick any company's calculators(for example TI 83 Plus Calculator now prevailingly used in all American high schools) and IBM and other main Frame computers..in the world. and do the following calculation problems with and/or without using the Mathematical Symbolic Parentheses ( ):

(1) Calculate the following problems without using Parentheses ( )

(a) 2^3^4=4096
(b) 2^4^3=4096
(c) 4^3^2=4096
(d) 4^2^3=4096

(2) Calculate the above problems using Parentheses ( )

(e) (2^3)^4=4096
(f) (2^4)^3=4096
(g) (4^3)^2=4096
(h) (4^2)^3=4096

It is obvious from the end result of the calculations representations (a);(b);(c);(d);(e);(f);(g) and (h) are mixed up without a uniquely defined ONE VALUE FOR ONLY ONE SYMBOLIC REPRESENTATION !!!!

(3) Calculate all the above problems with parentheses ( ) again from the top downward:

(i) 2^(3^4) =2^81=2.417851639E24
(j) 2^(4^3) =2^64=1.844674407E19
(k) 4^(3^2)=4^9=262144
(l) 4^(2^3)=4^8=65536

Now please compare the final values of 4 problems in (2): (e);(f);(g); (h) and those in (3): (i); (j);(k);(l). The latter provide the UNIQUELY DEFINED VALUES FOR EACH SYMBOLIC REPRESENTATION OF THE HIGH POWER FUNCTION
If you have the time, please simply use a TI-83 Plus Calculator to check over all the problems that I have already published and presented at MAA Meeting in New England when I was teaching in the Boston public School more than 13 years ago.
The paper had been sent to you before, and I will forward to you again. All the problems had been already published and had been very well understood by the average mathematicians. The problems are the conflicts between the judgment from judges against all the mathematicians in the world !!! That explains why I must fight this even it last for 13 years !!!. The 8th graders understand the fundamental concept in a matter of minutes, why it takes so long for all the others to understand such a very simple idea of ONE VALUE FOR ONLY ONE SYMBOLIC REPRESENTATION ?

Very truly yours,

黄 宝 琦
Wong, Po Kee Ph.D.
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-2344 USA
Tel:301-585-3453
pokwong@verizon.net

CHAIRMAN - EmergingIssues:" Digital Instrumentation and Control Design and Reliability" & Re:Emailing: *comp-cod*

From: "Mabel Lee" <MFL@nrc.gov>
To: "Matthew McConnell" <MXM4@nrc.gov>, "Patrick Hiland" <PLH@nrc.gov>, "Po Kee Wong" <pokwong@verizon.net>
Date: 02/14/2007 12:03:17 PM
Subject: EmergingIssues:" Digital Instrumentation and Control Design and Reliability" & Re:Emailing: comp-cod
CC: "Brian Sheron" <BWS@nrc.gov>, "Daniel Kimble" <DEK@nrc.gov>, <DEK2@nrc.gov>, "David Skeen" <DLS@nrc.gov>, "Jim Dyer" <JED2@nrc.gov>, "Kevin Johnson" <KDJ@nrc.gov>, "Vicki Bolling" <VMB@nrc.gov>

Note to Po Kee Wong:

Please refer to Brian Sheron's email to you regarding this subject. Although you have communicated extensively with Dr. Sheron on this subject; I must reiterate that NRC does not intend to communicate on this subject further, particularly in reference to the Regulatory Information Conference.

You do not owe me additional information.

Regards,

Mabel Lee, Director
Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
USNRC

>>> "Po Kee Wong" <pokwong@verizon.net> 02/14/2007 11:36 AM >>>
?
Dear Ms. Lee and NRC colleagues ET AL:

With reference to my communication with Ms. Mabel F. Lee, the subject matters are linked together and that I owe Ms. Lee answers to the very specific simple high school mathematics problem such that we can compare the numerical values with the NRC computer codes:

Using a 1996 TI 83 Calculator ID: 3360885 I-0898J, all the questions asked in the problem can be obtained from the following answers provided for comparison with those independently obtained from the NRC Mainframe computers:

Answers:

(1) Ta=5.020890995 Tb=6.297805152 Tc=7.188898852

(2) Ma=5.061325394 Mb=6.502261858 Mc=7.233692532

(3) R=4.327894686

(4) r=1.962616822

(5) r(a)=9.130434764 r(b)=5.67367567 r(c)=4.468085103

(6) Denote the Centers of Ex-circles as I(a), I(b) and I(c) each point on the angle bisectors of interior angle A; interior angle B and interior angle C respectively

then :

Segment AI(a)=14.18947453 Segment BI(b)=12.2551884 Segment CI(c)=11.74479173

(7) and (9) are the same question. Denote I as the Center of the In-circle I (Intersection of all three interior angle bisectors) then,

Segment AI=3.050073969

(8)Denote H as the Ortho-center of the triangle ABC, then

Segment AH=1.487971421 Segment BH=4.94271009 Segment CH=6.150321088

(10) Denote O as the Center of the Circum-circle of Triangle ABC, then:

AO=BO=CO=R =4.327894686

(11) Denote M as the Centroid of the Triangle ABC, then:

AM=3.374216929 BM=4.334841239 CM=4.822461688

(12) a=8.526935599 b=7.105779667 c=6.090668286

Please double check over the numerical data that we obtain separately and independently. I may have made typing errors and/or calculation errors in some of those numerical data being shown above.

I look forward to hearing from you that you are willing to accommodate me for presentations of papers in your Sessions

Very ruly yours,

Wong, Po Kee ???

Po Kee Wong, Ph.D. 2007 Registrant ID: No.:999
Pokwong@verizon.net

---:

----- Original Message -----

From: Po Kee Wong
To: Brian Sheron
Cc: Mabel Lee ; DEK@nrc.gov ; JED2@nrc.gov ; KDJ@nrc.gov ; Po Kee Wong
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2007 1:22 AM
Subject: Fw: Emailing: comp-codes

Dear Dr. Sheron:

Being forwarded to you is the 2nd of 4 communications with Ms. Lee to identify the current NRC computer codes from opening the attached link in this E-mail.

Please use your current computer programs to solve a very simple "' High School Mathematics " problem and compare with the numbers from mine with yours before we should even go further from here. Please note that we may require the accuracy of the numbers to be smaller than the so-called Nano-range and why not look for (10)^(-50) range/or for (10)^ (-100000) range (assuming our current computer and calculators are perfectly designed to handle that).

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: Mabel Lee
Cc: Po Kee Wong ; pokwong@rcn.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2007 9:07 AM
Subject: Emailing: comp-codes

Dear Ms. Lee:

The information that I just send in my 2nd E-mail to you has direct impacts to your own NRC computer Codes as shown in the following website:

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:
Shortcut to: http://www.nrc.gov/what-we-do/regulatory/research/comp-codes.html

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Please check with NIST mathematicians and yours at NRC to do a very simple mathematical calculation as shown in the followings:

Given: The 3 altitudes of a Triangle ABC (Vertices name A,B,C) Ha=5, Hb=6 Hc=7

Find: the following quantities of the Triangle ABC with accuracy to infinite decimal places !!! (assuming all current computers and calculators are perfectly designed to do that)

(1) The lengths of 3 Angle bisectors of the Triangle ABC :Ta=?;Tb=?;and Tc=?.
(2) The 3 medians of the Triangle ABC: Ma=?;Mb=?;Mc=?
(3) The radius of the Circum-circle of the Triangle ABC: R=?
(4) The radius of the In-circle of the Triangle ABC: r=?
(5) The 3 radii of the Ex-circles of the Triangle ABC: r(a)=?; r(b)=? r(c)=?
(6) How to locate the 3 Centers of the Ex-circles of the Triangle ABC?
(7) How to locate the Center of the In-circle of the Triangle ABC?
(8) How to locate the Ortho-center of the Triangle ABC?
(9) How to locate the In-center of the Triangle ABC?
(10) How to locate the Center of the Circum- Circle of the Triangle?
(11) How to locate the Centroid of the Triangle ABC?
(12) What are the lengths of 3 sides of the Triangle ABC: a=? b=? and c=?

Is it fair to ask the above questions from our computer scientists and engineers who do computer codes development for NIST and NRC? If you put this as a questionnaire to ask all the RIC2007 participants , both you and I would like to know the answers from the participants!!!

Very truly yours,

Wong, Po Kee ?????

WONG, PO KEE
Tel:301-585-3453
pokwong@verizon.net

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

William K. Suter
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

June 26, 2007

Mr. Po Kee Wong
2413 Spencer Rd.
Silver Spring, MD 20910-2344

Re: In re Po Kee Wong, Petitioner
No. 06-1705

Dear Mr. Wong:

The petition for a writ of mandamus in the above entitled case was filed on June 22, 2007 and placed on the docket June 26, 2007 as No. 06-1705.

Forms are enclosed for notifying opposing counsel that the case was docketed.

Sincerely,

William K. Suter, Clerk

by Erik Fossum

Erik A. Fossum
Case Analyst

Enclosures

2006-1324

In The

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PO KEE WONG, Pro Se – PETITIONER

VS

USPTO/BPAI Solicitor-RESPONDENT

PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT IN RE PO KEE WONG FOR CASE 03-1322 (SERIAL NO.08/980,657) ACCORDING TO RULE 20.3.(a) FOR A PETITION SEEKING A WRIT OF PROHIBITION AND MANDAMUS

PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

Submitted by
PO KEE WONG, Pro Se-PETITIONER
2413 Spencer Road, Silver, Maryland 20910-2344
Tel: 301-585-3453; e-MAIL:
POKWONG@VERIZON.NET

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

I. In a patent application case when the examiner makes an initial error of judgment, should the judges of subsequent courts, who rule the case confirmatively with one and the other, be allowed to abuse the Supreme Court Rule 10 – (a) in order to cover up the initial mistakes and to avoid for an exercise of the U.S. Federal Supreme Court's supervisory power?

II. Should all U.S. government officials be given the power to rule against a case that may be construed **in violation of U.S.C. 18 Section 2071?**

III. According to **U.S.C. 1251**, should the U.S. Supreme Court allow anyone in the U.S. Government and/ or anyone else in the world to rule against the **absolute truth of mathematics?**

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

The only parties to the proceedings are those listed in the caption of the case.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
QUESTION PRESENTED.....i.
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS..... ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....ii
OPINIONS BELOW.....1
JURISDICTION.....1
RELEVANT DOCUMENTS FILED..... 1
REASONS GRANTING THE PETITION.....2
TYPE OF RELIEF BEING SOUGHT 4
CONCLUSION.....4
APENDICES.....1a to 14a
CERTIFICATEF SERVICE.....15a

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

According to the U.S. Supreme Court Rule No. 20. Pro Se PETITIONER Po Kee Wong respectfully prays that an extraordinary writ issue to review the judgment below:

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the United States Court of Appeals appears at Appendices page 1a to page 2a.

JURISDICTION

The ORDER by the CAFC about case 2006-1324 (Serial No. 08/980,657) was issued on June 27, 2006. The ORDER was immediately appealed in time by Pro Se Petitioner Po Kee Wong to U.S.Supreme Court started from July 21, 2006 and continued with repeated appeals to Chief Justice John G. Roberts through U.S. Supreme Court Rules No.22 with imputes from the Executive Branch of the U.S. governmental organizations and now continued the appeal by U.S. Supreme Court Rule No. 20

RELEVANT DOCUMENTS FILED

(1) Library of Congress Registration number TX 6-162-487 dated July 22, 2004:**U.S SUPREME COURT CASE NUMBER 03-1277 ON PETITION FOR REHAERING FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT- IN RE PO KEE WONG FOR CASE 03-1322(SERIAL NUMBER 08/980,657) that was also filed at the Clerk's Office.**

(2) Library of Congress Registration number TX 6-162-488 dated July 22, 2004: **U.S SUPREME COURT CASE NUMBER 03-1227 ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT – RE PO KEE WONG FOR CASE 03-1322 (SERIAL NUMBER 08/980,657) that was also filed at the Clerk's Office.**

REASONS FOR GRANTING AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT

The U.S. Supreme Court should grant this petition for an Extraordinary Writ based on the following reasons in answering the Questions Presented as enumerated in the followings as reasons I.; II. and III ACCORDING TO THE Supreme Court Rules 14.1.(a);20 and 22 respectively and with the reasons having been submitted to the court and published in Library of Congress Documents (1) and (2) enumerated again in the followings :

I. THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT BECAUSE THE USPTO EXAMINER HAD MADE AN INITIAL ERROR OF JUDGMENT OF THIS CASE. WHILE EACH ONE OF THE SUBSEQUENT COURTS SHOULD HAVE RULED INDEPEDENTLY AND STAYED AWAY FROM THE INITIALLY MADE MISTAKES. HOWEVER, SINCE THEY HAD CHOSEN TO AGREE WITH ONE AND THE OTHER AND THEREFORE, THE SUPREME COURT SHOULD STEP IN TO EXAMINE AND TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE LOWER COURTS HAVE ABUSED THE SUPREME COURT RULE 10-(a) IN ORDER TO COVER UP THE INITIAL MISTAKES SUCH THAT THEY CAN AVOID FOR AN EXERCISE OF THE U.S. FEDERAL SUPREME COURT'S SUPERVISORY POWER.

II. THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT BECAUSE THE CASE HAS BEEN EXHUSTED WITH ALL THE COURT'S PREVIOUS APPELLATE JURISDICTIONS AS EVIDENCED BY THE REASONS LISTED IN THE PETITION FOR REHEARING IN Case No. 03-1227 AND THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR CASE No. 03-1322. THEREFORE, ACCORDING TO SUPREME COURT RULE 20 AND ACCORDING TO U.S.C. 18 SECTIONS 2071, THIS COURT SHOULD EXAMINE THIS CASE TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY U.S. GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO HAVE BEEN INVOLED IN THIS CASE MAY BE CONSTRUED THE VIOLATION.

III. THE ABSOLUTE TRUTH OF MATHEMATICS HAS BEEN CONFIRMED AND OBSERVED AS A GENERAL LAW OF NATURE BY ALL PEOPLE WORLDWIDE IN THOUSANDS OF YEARS AGO AND EVEN UP TO NOW. WHILE ALL OTHER BRANCHES OF SCIENCES AND ENGINEERINGS MAY BE CHANGED WITH TIME IN HISTORY EXCEPT THAT OF THE ABSOULTE THRUTH OF MATHEMATICS.
IF THE JUDICIAL LAWS CHOOSE TO VIOLATE THIS GENERAL LAW OF NATURE, THEN ALL THE RULINGS BY JUDGES IN THE COURTS OF JUDICIAL LAWS WILL COMPLETELY FALL APARTS WITHOUT ANY ORDERS IN ALL THE COURTS WORLDWIDE AT ALL.
IT IS MY PERSONAL OPINION THAT NO ONE ON EARTH SHOULD BE GIVEN THE POWER TO RULE AGAINST THE ABSOLUTE TRUTH OF MATHEMATICS.

ACCORDING TO U.S.C 1251, THE U.S. SUPREME SHOULD GRANT THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT NOT TO ALLOW ANYONE IN THE U.S GOVERNMENT AND/OR ANYONE ELSE IN THE WORLD TO RULE AGAINST **THE ABSOLUTE TRUTH OF MATHEMATICS.**

TYPE OF RELIEF BEING SOUGHT

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT SHOULD GRANT THIS EXTRAORDINARY WRIT ACCORDING TO THE ABOVE REASONS I; II AND III TO ISSUE AN ORDER TO THE SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT TO INSTRUCT USPTO TO COMPLETE THE ISSUANCE AND ALLOWANCE OF THE PATENT APPLICATION NUMBER 08/980,657.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above reasons I; II; III; and the type of relief being sought, the U.S. Federal Supreme Court should grant this PETITION FOR AN EXTRAORDINARY WRIT ACCORDING TO THE Supreme court Rule 20.3.(a) to grant and complete the issuance and allowance of the U.S. Patent Application Number 08/980,657.

Respectfully submitted by,

Po Kee Wong, Pro Se Petitioner
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 209102344 USA
Tel: 301-585-3453 E-mail: pokwong@verizon.net

APPENDICES

NOTE: Pursuant to Feed Cir. R. 47.6, this order is not citable as precedent. It is a public order.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2006-1324
(Serial No. 08/980,657)

IN RE PO KEE WONG

ON MOTION

Before MICHEL, Chief Judge, LINN and DYK, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM.

ORDER

The Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office moves to waive the requirements of Fed. Cir.R. 27(f) and to dismiss Po Kee Wong's appeal for lack of jurisdiction. Wong responds.

Wong applied for a patent on a "Uniquely-Corrected Systems and Method to Compute High Power Functions." The Board of Patent and Trademark Appeals affirmed the rejection of the sole claim of the patent. This court affirmed the rejection. In re Wong, 2003 WL 22439880 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

The Patent and Trademark Office issued a notice of abandonment in 2004. In 2005, Wong filed a petition to revive the application. The Commissioner for patents denied the petition on July 19, 2005. Wong filed a notice of appeal on February 14, 2006, seeking review by this court of the Commissioner's denial of his petition.

The Director argues that we do not have jurisdiction over the appeal from the Commissioner's denial of the petition. We agree. Morganroth v. Quigg, 885 F. 2d 843,846 (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("the Commissioner's denial of a petition to revive a patent application is subject to review in the district court," pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. $$ 701 et seq.). In his response to the motion to dismiss, Wong does not dispute the jurisdictional challenge but instead appears to argue the merits of his case.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The motion to waive the requirements of Fed. Cir. R. 27(f) is granted

(2) The motion to dismiss is granted.

(3) Each side shall bear its own costs.

FOR THE COURT

JUN 27 2006 Signature

Date **Jan Horbaly**, Clerk

cc: Po Kee Wong
John M. Whealan, Esq.

ISSUED AS A MANDATE JUN 27 2006

The appeals, submitted to and received by the Clerk's Office in U.S. Supreme Court to examine the ORDER in details, are enumerated in time as shown in the followings: (1) April 17, 2007; (2) March 27, 2007; (3) November 08, 2006.

The contents of the appeals addressed to Honorable Chief Justice John G. Roberts are summarized in the Appeal (1) April 17, 2007 with letters of imputes from the Executive Branches of U.S. Government typed in the subsequent pages in this APPENDICES.

Contents of Appeal (1) April 17, 2007:

Dear Honorable Chief Justice Roberts:

According to the book entitled **RULES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, ADOPTED MARCH 14, 2005; EFFECTIVE MAY 2, 2005, FROM PAGE 22 TO PAGE 23, Rule 20-1**, I am pleading to you to grant me the writ in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction, that exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and that adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court.

Attached with this letter of pleading include the following documents for your consideration:

(1) 1 copy of the March 27, 2007 letter from William K. Suter, Clerk of the Court and signed by Erik Fossum. 1 page.
(2) 1 copy of my most recent pleading document submitted to you on March 21, 2007 and had been received by the Office of the Clerk with a stamp dated on March 27, 2007. 20 pages.

Respectfully submitted by,
Signature signed
Po Kee Wong, Pro Se Petitioner for Supreme Court Case No. 2006-1324
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-2344 USA
Tel: 301-585-3453
E-mail: pokwong@verizon.net

March 27, 2007 letter from Erik Fossum:

RE: Po Kee Wong v. USPTO/BPAI

Dear Mr. Wong:

In reply to your letter or submission, received March 27, 2007, I regret to inform you that the Court is unable to assist you in the matter you present.

Under Article III of the Constitution, the jurisdiction of this Court extends only to the consideration of cases or controversies properly brought before it from lower courts in accordance with federal law and filed pursuant to the Rules of this Court. The Court does not give advice or assistance or answer legal questions on the basis of correspondence.

Your papers are herewith returned.

Sincerely,
William K. Suter, Clerk
By:
Signature signed
Erik Fossum
(202) 479-3392

Contents of Appeal (2) March 27, 2007 letter:

Dear Honorable Chief Justice Roberts:

Pursuant to my March 20, 2007 telephonic conversations

(1) with Mr. Michael Sherry at (571-272-8800 of USPTO as indicated by the FEB 20, 2007 letter) and
(2) with Mr. Erik Fossum (202)-479-3392 in Supreme Clerk, William K. Suter's Office

The following documents (A);(B) and (C) are submitted to you for your consideration to take the appropriate action to end this 13 years old case:

(A) contains:

1. One page February 20, 2007 letter from Mindy B. Fleisher, Chief of Staff from U.S. PTO.
2. USPTO Primary Examiner of Art Unit: 2124 Mr. Chuong D Ngo's signed letter quoted " This communication is to inform applicant that the notice of abandonment mailed on Macrh 18, 2004 has been removed from the file record "one page.
3. Five pages of my previous Supreme Court Documents of Appeals to you as dated received by the Office of the Clerk with a seal dated on November 08, 2006. Total seven pages of documents of (A).

(B) contains:

1. One page December 20, 2006 letter from Mindy B. Fleisher, Chief of Staff from Office of the Commissioner for patents.

2. Three pages of documents from Marguerite A. Murer, Special Assistant to the President and Director of Presidential Correspondence of the White House.
3. Three pages of documents from Erik Fossum from the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

(C) contains:

Five pages of my technical communications with two Chairmen of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and their technical staff members about the correctness and the corrections that should be done in relevance to the patent application number 08/980, 657

Respectfully submitted by,
Signature signed
Po Kee Wong, Pro Se Petitioner for case No. : 2006-1324
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-2344
USA
Tel: 301-585-3453
E mail: pokwong@verizon.net

FEB 20 2007 letter from Mindy B. Fleisher of USPTO:

Dear Mr. Wong

Thank you for your recent correspondence to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Mr. Jon Dudas. Your letter has been reffered to this Office of the Commissioner for Patents for response.

Your communication again refers to your patent application, serial number 08/980,657 and specifically requests that immediate action taken to end the prosecution of this 13-year-old application.

As explained to you in previous office letters this application was finally rejected by the examiner. The rejection was affirmed by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (BPAI). A request for rehearing before the BPAI was denied. The application was appealed to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC), which affirmed the decision of the BPAI. A request for rehearing before the CAFC was denied, and an appeal to the Supreme Court was also denied. Thus, all avenues of appeal have been exhausted, and the application is abandoned.

Most recently, you filed a petition for revival of the application on June 2, 2005, to which the USPTO responded on July 19, 2005. As clearly stated in our response to your petition, the USPTO lacks jurisdiction in this case to grant your petition. Jurisdiction of this case passed from the USPTO upon you filing an appeal to the CAFC.

I hope this information will be useful to you. Please feel free to contact Michael Sherry at (571) 272-8800 if you have any further questions specific to this letter.

Sincerely,
Signature signed

Mindy B. Fleisher
Chief of Staff
Office of the Commissioner for Patents

Contents of Appeal (3) November 08, 2006:

Dear Honorable Chief Justice Roberts:

I am pleading to you to examine the following two documents being sent to you according to the Supreme Court Rule number 22 such that not to allow CAFC and the USPTO/BPAI to abuse the U.S. Supreme Court Rule number 10 such that they can USE THEIR GIVEN POWER to rule against the ABSOLUTE TRUTH OF MATHEMATICS.

In particular, all their rulings may possibly be considered with intentional and/ or unintentional violation of U.S.C. 18 Section 2071 according to all documents of evidences having been submitted to the Supreme Court in the past few years. All those documents of evidences with imputes from the agencies of the Executive Branch of our U.S. government have also been openly published by the U.S. Library of Congress.

Your time and effort spent to issue your own judicial opinion on the submitted questions about this case will be gratefully appreciated by all judicial scholars and by all qualified mathematicians and physicists and scientists worldwide.

The following two documents are included in this submission to you:

(1) 2 pages of my September 23, 2006 12:36 AM E-mail of communication with Thomas L. Stoll, Associate Solicitor of USPTO.

(2) 13 pages of my September 6, 2006 APPEAL TO YOU which have been blocked and never delivered to you.

This submission will be sent to you by U.S. Postal Mail with restriction signed by you personally to prove that you have received this submission under Certified Mail Receipt number 7006-0100-0006-8263-8067.

All the mathematicians and scientists in the world and I are looking forward to hear and read from your opinion of ruling of this case.

Respectfully submitted by,
Signature signed
Po Kee Wong, Pro Se Petitioner of No. 2006-1324
2413 Spencer Road, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-2344
USA
Tel: 301-585-3453
E-mail: pokwong@verizon.net

October 31, 2005 letter from Marguerite A. Murer:

Dear Po Kee Wong:

On behalf of President George W. Bush, thank you for your letter.
The White House is sending your inquiry to the Department of Commerce. This agency has the expertise to address your concerns. They will respond directly to you as promptly as possible.
The president sends his best wishes.
Sincerely
Signature signed
Marguerite A. Murer
Special Assistant to the President and Director of Presidential Correspondence

---

November 15, 2005 letter from Marguerite A. Murer:

Dear Dr. Wong:

On behalf of President Bush, thank you for your correspondence regarding the appointment of a new Associate Justice to the Supreme Court. The president appreciates hearing your views.

Judge Samuel A. Alito, Jr., has served on the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit for the past 15 years. He now has more prior judicial experience than any Supreme Court nominee in more than 70 years. He has participated in thousands of appeals and authored hundreds of opinions. In the performance of his duties, Jude Alito has gained the respect of his colleagues and attorneys for his brilliant legal mind, measured judicial temperament, and decency.

Jude Alito's long career in public service has given him an extraordinary breadth of experience on a wide range of difficult and complex legal issues, and President Bush was pleased to nominate Judge Alito to succeed justice Sandra Day O'Connor. As a Justice Department official, Federal prosecutor, and judge, he has shown a mastery of the law, a deep commitment to justice and equality, and tremendous integrity. Judge Alito understands that judges must strictly interpret the Constitution and not legislate from the bench. As the President said, his scholarly, fair-minded, and principled approach to the law will serve our Nation well in the Supreme Court.

Judge Alito has devoted his professional life to advancing justice and equality. Early in his career, he worked as an Assistant United States Attorney, handling criminal and civil matters, and argued numerous cases in the United States Courts of Appeals. As Assistant to the Solicitor General, Judge Alito argued 12 cases before the Supreme Court, and in the Justice Department's Office of Legal Counsel, he provided constitutional advice for the President and the Executive Branch. In 1987, he was appointed by President Ronald Reagan as the United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey, one of our country's largest Federal districts. There, he gained a reputation for being both tough and fair while prosecuting white-collar and environmental crimes, violations of civil rights, drug trafficking, and organized crime.

Judge Alito possesses excellent legal training and exemplary judicial qualifications. He is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate of Princeton University. He attended Yale Law School, where he served as editor of the Yale Law Journal. He clerked for Judge Leonard Garth on the Third Circuit Court of Appeals.

In 1987, the senate confirmed Judge Alito as the United States Attorney for the District on New Jersey by unanimous consent. In 1990, the Senate confirmed Judge Alito for the United States Court of Appeals, once more by unanimous consent. President Bush believes the Senate will again be impressed by Judge Alito's distinguished record and personal character, and he urges an up or down vote on this important nomination.

For more information on Judge Alito and the nomination process, you may visit the White House website at www.whitehouse.gov/infocus/judicialnominees. Thank you again for writing. Best wishes.

Sincerely,
Signature signed
Marguerite A. Murer
Special Assistant to the President and Director of Presidential Correspondence

---

May 27, 2005 letter from Gregory C. Cwalina of NRC:

Dear Dr. Wong:

This letter is in response to the email you sent to Dr. Brian Sheon of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on April 22, 2005. your email provided "...topics relevant to NEW NUCLEAR SFATEY STANDARD COMPUTER CODE DEVELOPMENT...in response to the request by Dr. Sheron's March 22, 2005 letter."

Dr. Sheron's March 22, 2005 letter provided an assessment of documents that you provided during the 2005 NRC Regulatory Information Conference. You were informed that members of the NRC staff looked through the documents you provided and were unable to find any information in them that supports your claim that computer analysis codes for nuclear power plant safety calculations are in error. Dr. Sheron's letter stated that NRC analysis methods, as your own calculations show, do not take into account the mathematical fact that exponentiation forms a non-commutative algebra. The March 22, 2005 letter concluded that NRC safety analysis calculations, at least with respect to exponentiation, are correct.

Dr. Sheron's letter stated that the NRC will not pursue this matter further unless you identify specific safety concerns associated with the nuclear power reactors the NRC regulates. The information provided in your April 22, 2005, email does not provide specific safety concerns. Therefore, the NRC will not take any further action regarding your email. Unless you provide specific information in the future, the NRC will not respond to any further request for review of your documents.

Sincerely,

Signature signed
Gregory C. Cwalina, Senior Allegations Coordinator
Plant Support Branch, Division of Inspection Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

---

March 22, 2005 letter from Dr. Brian W. Sheron of NRC:

Dear Dr. Wong:

On Wednesday, March 9, 2005, at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) Regulatory Information Conference, you handed me several documents which you implied showed that computer codes used to analyze nuclear plant performance were inaccurate.

I and several members of my staff have looked through the documents you provided and have been unable to find any information in them that supports your claim that computer analysis codes for nuclear power plant safety calculations are in error. In fact, my staff has reviewed the mathematical formulas presented in your paper and found that that the exponentiation operator, which is at the heart

of your paper, forms a non-commutative algebra over a field. Whether the field is real or complex is irrelevant. In order for the proof of your paper to hold true, the operation must commute (i.e., the ordering of the operation does not change the result). Our analysis methods, as your own calculations show, do take into account the mathematical fact that exponentiation forms a non-commutative algebra. Therefore, you can be assured that our safety analysis calculations, at least with respect to exponentiation, are correct.

I appreciate your interest in nuclear safety. However, unless you identify specific safety concerns associated with the nuclear power reactors the NRC regulates, we do not intend to pursue this matter further. If you have a specific nuclear safety concern, please visit our website at http://www.nrc.gov/what-we-do/regulatory/allegations/safety-concern.html, email allegations@nrc.gov, or call NRC's Toll-Free Safety Hotline at (800) 695-7403

Sincerely,
Signature signed
Brian W. Sheron, Associate Director for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

According to the Supreme Court Rule 29.4.(a) and 29. 5.(a), I hereby certify that on June 21 , 2007 I , Po Kee Wong, the Pro Se petitioner, caused the following copies of the booklets of **PETITION FOR AN EXTRAODINARY WRIT OF CERTIORARI for the case 2006-1324 to the following parties by U.S. Postal Service:**

40 copies to:
William K. Suter, Clerk, Supreme Court Office of the Clerk
1 First Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20543
Tel: 202-479-3011 and 202-479-3392 (Erik Fossum) .Fax: 202-479-3230

2 copies to:
Solicitor General, Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Room 5614
Washington DC 20530-0001
Tel: 202-514-2217 fax: 202-514-3648

1 copy to:
Jan Horbaly, Clerk/Circuit Executive
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
717 Madison Place, N.W. Washington DC 20539
Tel: 202-633-6550 Fax: 202-633-9623

1 copy to:
John M. Whealan; Thomas L. Stoll; Joseph G. Piccolo
Office of the Solicitor
P.O. Box:15667 Arlington, Virginia 22215
Tel: 571-272-9035 Fax: 571-273-0373; 703-305-1324

Proceedings of the TMCE 2008, April 21–25, 2008, Izmir, Turkey, Edited by I. Horváth and Z. Rusák

IMPACTS FROM NEW SOLUTIONS OF OLD PROBLEMS IN MATHEMATICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES

WONG,PO KEE (黃寶琦)
Systems Research Company
pokwong@verizon.net

WONG, ADAM (黃君禮)
WONG, ANITA (黃君慧)
Systems Research Company

ABSTRACT

IMPACTS FROM NEW SOLUTIONS OF OLD PROBLEMS IN MATHEMATICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES

ABSTRACT

Submitted to

Seventh International Symposium on Tools and Methods of Competitive Engineering
TMCE 2008 Ankara Secretariat Dr. Bugra Koku
Middle East Technical University, Turkey E-mail: info@tmce.org

On the Theme of

Collaboration or Competition between East and West
Invited to propose tutorials & to submit the following technical papers:
By
Po Kee Wong, Ph.D.(黃 寶 琦)

SYSTEMS RESEARCH COMPANY, USA

E-mail:pokwong@verizon.net

In response to the **Call-for-Papers** from TMCE 2008, eight papers are being submitted to all participants of our colleagues worldwide for open review and evaluation and to assess their impacts and values in mathematical and experimental sciences with their applications in **Tools and Methods of Competitive Engineering**:

(1) IMECE 2001/T&S-23408 paper, 7 pages with partial section translation in Chinese.
(2) IMECE 2003-43540 paper, 3 pages.
(3) IMECE 2003-43536 paper, 5 pages.
(4) IAC-02-J.P.02 paper , 7 pages
(5) IMECE 2003-43586 paper, 3 pages.
(6) ICONE 13 -50509 paper, 8 pages
(7) Explanations of a popular geometry problem to satisfy 2 million students in Turkey.
(8) New solutions of a few old geometry and algebra problems with using calculators.

The above papers (1) to (6) together with this abstract had been submitted electronically: to Z.rusak@tudeft.nl;info@tmce.org;info@tmce-symposium.org;defalt@ConfMaster.net

KEYWORDS

TRAJECTORY SOLID ANGLE,

WONG'S ANGLES,

NEW STATISTICAL MECHANICS,

NEW SCATTERING CROSSECTIONS,

NEW HYDROGEN MODEL,

THREE DIMENSIONAL STREAM FUNCTIONS,

VISCO-ELASTO-DYANMAICS,

NEW NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS COMPUTER CODES DEVELOPMENT,

THREE DIMENSIONAL GEAR BOX DESIGN,

HIGH POWER FUNCTIONS,

DIFFICULT GEOMETRY AND TRIGONOMETRY PROBLEMS

1. INTRODUCTION

As indicated from the ABSTRACT, on the themes of this TMCE 2008, subject number (7) "Explanations of a popular geometry problem to satisfy 2 million students in Turkey" and subject number (8) "New solutions of a few old geometry and algebra problems with using calculators." are chosen here for the presentations.

Subject number (7) can be obtained from the following Weblink by pressing the key "CTRL + Click to follow link": They are open detailed discussions with Ali Ilik of Turkey and John Berglund of USA and with many others in the Mathforum run by Drexel University in USA.

http://www.google.com/search?q=+site:mathforum.org+Po+Kee+Wong+Angles&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&filter=0

Go to the 2nd second tap of the above Weblink and open the number 5th

Math Forum Discussions

Po Kee Wong Posts: 23 Registered: 12/6/04 ... The **Angle** BDC=x expressed in terms of **Angle** A and **Angle** B After applying the Law of Sine in Trigonometry with ...
mathforum.org/kb/thread.jspa?threadID=1180024&messageID=3872682 - 23k - Cached - Similar pages

7/24/05	What is your opinion???	Ali ilik
7/25/05	Re: What is your opinion???	John Berglund
7/25/05	Re: What is your opinion???	Ali ilik
8/2/05	Re: What is your opinion???	Po Kee Wong
8/3/05	Re: What is your opinion???	Po Kee Wong
8/2/05	Re: What is your opinion???	Po Kee Wong

It is my opinion that Po Kee Wong's 8/3/05

Discussion provides the complete answers to Ali Ilik's

Question on "What is your opinion???"

Subject number (8) is an expansion of subject number (2) from real numbers to cover for complex numbers. According to my April 29, 2007 8:02 PM E-mail communication with Dr. Bugra Koku,

(info@tmce.org), paper No. (8) shows the importance of having obtained the closed-formed mathematical solutions of problems and then proceed the numerical evaluation of the solved problems . For examples:

(A) Given the 3 altitudes of a Triangle ABC as Ha=5; Hb=6; Hc=7, how to find all the other unknown properties of the Triangle ABC from the three givens of the Triangle ABC?

(B) Given square root of (-1) as the unit imaginary number; Z1=X1 + iY1; Z2=X2+iY2 where X1; X2;Y1;Y2 are real numbers to be determined from solving the following two simultaneous equations:

ArcSin (Z1 + Z2) = (i^i) ^i Equation (1)

ArcSin (Z1 – Z2) = i^(i^i) Equation (2)

Both problems (8)-(A) and (8)-(B) had been submitted to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in March 2007 for consideration of review and evaluation and for presentation with challenge to review the accuracy of NRC's computer codes for safety analyses. The complete communication about the problem with USNRC can be obtained from:

Note to Po Kee Wong:

Please refer to Brian Sheron's email to you regarding this subject. Although you have communicated extensively with Dr. Sheron on this subject; I must reiterate that NRC does not intend to communicate on this subject further, particularly in reference to the Regulatory Information Conference.

You do not owe me additional information.

Regards,

Mabel Lee, Director
Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
USNRC

>>> "Po Kee Wong" <pokwong@verizon.net> 02/14/2007 11:36 AM >>>
?
Dear Ms. Lee and NRC colleagues ET AL:

With reference to my communication with Ms. Mabel F. Lee, the subject matters are linked together and that I owe Ms. Lee answers to the very specific simple high school mathematics problem such that we can compare the numerical values with the NRC computer codes:

Using a 1996 TI 83 Calculator ID: 3360885 I-0898J, all the questions asked in the problem can be obtained from the following answers provided for comparison with those independently obtained from the NRC Mainframe computers:

Answers:

(1) Ta=5.020890995 Tb=6.297805152
Tc=7.188898852

(2)
Ma=5.061325394 Mb=6.502261858
Mc=7.233692532

(3) R=4.327894686

(4) r=1.962616822

(5) r(a)=9.130434764 r(b)=5.67367567
r(c)=4.468085103

(6) Denote the Centers of Ex-circles as I(a), I(b) and I(c) each point on the angle bisectors of interior angle A; interior angle B and interior angle C respectively

then :

Segment
AI(a)=14.18947453 Segment BI(b)=12.25
51884 Segment CI(c)=11.74479173

(7) and (9) are the same question. Denote I as the Center of the In-circle I (Intersection of all three interior angle bisectors) then,

Segment AI=3.050073969

(8)Denote H as the Ortho-center of the triangle ABC, then

Segment AH=1.487971421
Segment BH=4.94271009
Segment CH=6.150321088

(10) Denote O as the Center of the Circum-circle of Triangle ABC, then:

AO=BO=CO=R =4.327894686

(11) Denote M as the Centroid of the Triangle ABC, then:

AM=3.374216929 BM=4.334841239
CM=4.822461688

(12) a=8.526935599 b=7.105779667
c=6.090668286

Please double check over the numerical data that we obtain separately and independently. I may have made typing errors and/or calculation errors in some of those numerical data being shown above.

I look forward to hearing from you that you are willing to accommodate me for presentations of papers in your Sessions

Very truly yours,

Wong, Po Kee *黃寶琦*

Po Kee Wong, Ph.D. 2007 Registrant ID: No.:999
Pokwong@verizon.net

---:

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: Brian Sheron
Cc: Mabel Lee ; DEK@nrc.gov ; JED2@nrc.gov ; KDJ@nrc.gov ; Po Kee Wong
Sent: Wednesday, January 31, 2007 1:22 AM
Subject: Fw: Emailing: comp-codes

Dear Dr. Sheron:

Being forwarded to you is the 2nd of 4 communications with Ms. Lee to identify the current NRC computer codes from opening the attached link in this E-mail.

Please use your current computer programs to solve a very simple "' High School Mathematics " problem and compare with the numbers from mine with yours before we should even go further from here. Please note that we may require the accuracy of the numbers to be smaller than the so-called Nano-range and why not look for (10)^(-50) range/or for (10)^ (-100000) range (assuming our current computer and calculators are perfectly designed to handle that).

----- Original Message -----
From: Po Kee Wong
To: Mabel Lee
Cc: Po Kee Wong ; pokwong@rcn.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2007 9:07 AM
Subject: Emailing: comp-codes

Dear Ms. Lee:

The information that I just send in my 2nd E-mail to you has direct impacts to your own NRC computer Codes as shown in the following website:

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:
Shortcut to: http://www.nrc.gov/what-we-do/regulatory/research/comp-codes.html

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Please check with NIST mathematicians and yours at NRC to do a very simple mathematical calculation as shown in the followings:

Given: The 3 altitudes of a Triangle ABC (Vertices name A,B,C) Ha=5, Hb=6 Hc=7

Find: the following quantities of the Triangle ABC with accuracy to infinite decimal places !!! (assuming all current computers and calculators are perfectly designed to do that)

(1) The lengths of 3 Angle bisectors of the Triangle ABC :Ta=?;Tb=?;and Tc=?.
(2) The 3 medians of the Triangle ABC: Ma=?;Mb=?;Mc=?
(3) The radius of the Circum-circle of the Triangle ABC: R=?
(4) The radius of the In-circle of the Triangle ABC: r=?
(5) The 3 radii of the Ex-circles of the Triangle ABC: r(a)=?; r(b)=? r(c)=?
(6) How to locate the 3 Centers of the Ex-circles of the Triangle ABC?
(7) How to locate the Center of the In-circle of the Triangle ABC?
(8) How to locate the Ortho-center of the Triangle ABC?
(9) How to locate the In-center of the Triangle ABC?
(10) How to locate the Center of the Circum- Circle of the Triangle?
(11) How to locate the Centroid of the Triangle ABC?
(12) What are the lengths of 3 sides of the Triangle ABC: a=? b=? and c=?

Is it fair to ask the above questions from our computer scientists and engineers who do computer codes development for NIST and NRC? If you put this as a questionnaire to ask all the RIC2007 participants , both you and I would like to know the answers from the participants!!!

Very truly yours,

Wong, Po Kee

黃　寶　琦

WONG, PO KEE
Tel:301-585-3453
pokwong@verizon.net

From: Brian Sheron
To: Po Kee Wong
Date: Tue, Jan 30, 2007 1:12 PM
Subject: Re: NRC Regulatory Information Conference

Dr. Wong,

Ms. Lee is the director of my Program Management and development staff. She is not engaged in technical work and is not involved with scientific computer programs.

The NRC's computer programs have been extensively peer-reviewed and and validated against a wide variety of experimental data. As we have repeatedly asked you in the past, if you believe there are errors in the NRC's computer codes, we encourage you to identify those errors to us. Otherwise, we do not intend to discuss this issue with you further.

>>> "Po Kee Wong" <pokwong@verizon.net> 01/30/2007 7:41 AM >>>
Dear Ms. Lee:

Thank you for your E-mail in response to mine addressed to Dr. Klein, Chairman of NRC and to Dr. Brian Sheron, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

Instead of making our arguments by words written in English, we should use the computers and calculators to solve many of the very simple and very well defined specific mathematical ,engineering and scientific problems to compare the numerical numbers that you can obtain from your computer codes and to compare with that from mine. If we have obtained deferent numerical numbers from all these well defined simple problems, then for sure that one of us must be wrong!!! To start the comparisons with a very complicated computer code for engineering problems will only add more confusions for the correct judgment of which one is good.

For these reasons, I would like to advise NRC to learn and to understand the technical and scientific contents of my proprietarily owned U.S. patents number 5,084,232(Trajectory Solid Angle);5,848,377 (Wong's Angles) ;6,430,516 (High Speed Rotating Shafts and Nuclear fuel Pin Design) . Please note that all these proprietarily owned patents were generated from my own previous review and evaluation of nuclear power plants in USA and come up with the new solutions. Please try to read the introduction of all those patents. I am forwarding the formation in my next E-mail to you.

I will also call you at your number 301-415-7595 to simplify any of the mis-understanding of the problems.

Very truly yours,

Wong, Po Kee 黃寶琦

Po Kee Wong
Tel:301-585-3453
pokwong@verizon.net

In response to your message shown in the followings:

----- Original Message -----
From: Mabel Lee
To: pokwong@verizon.net
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2007 5:19 PM
Subject: NRC Regulatory Information Conference

Dr. Wong:

Your emails to Chairman Klein, Chairman, U. S. NRC and to Dr. Brian Sheron, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research has been forwarded to me for response. Based on a brief discussion with Dr. Sheron, I understand that you have been in contact with the NRC over the years and that the NRC has responded to your submittals and has also provided you with a forum for presentation at a Regulatory Information Conference (RIC) several years ago. Moreover, the staff has previously reviewed your paper and provided you with the areas where we disagreed with your conclusions. Although, we have repeatedly asked you if you were aware of any specific errors in the computer codes used by either the NRC or any of its licensees, and if so, to identify them to us, you have not identified any to date. I understand that you would like to make a presentation at this year's RIC. The Agenda for the RIC has already been set and we cannot provide you with a forum to present your paper.

Mabel Lee, Director
Program Management Policy Development and Analysis Staff
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
USNRC

CC: Mabel Lee; pokwong@rcn.com

The principal and the general solutions of the problem (8)-(B) for simultaneous equations of (1) and (2) are:

Z1mn =
(m+n)(pi/2) + (1/2)(-1)^m (Sin(.1835902246) Cosh (.9830028636))
+
i (-(-1)^n Sinh(1) +(-1)^m Cos(.1835902246)Sinh(.9830028634))

Z2mn =
(n-m)(pi/2) –(1/2) (-1)^m Sin(.1835902246) Cosh(.9830028636)
+
i (-(-1) ^n Sinh(1)-(-1)^m Cos(.1835902246) Sinh(.9830028634))

Where n and m are integers in the ranges of

Negative infinite < n < positive infinite

Negative infinite < m < positive infinite

When n=0 and m=0, Z100 and Z200 are called the principal solution of the simultaneous equations (1) and (2).

Z100=.1390498169-.045371242 i

Z200= -.1390498169-2.305031146 i

In summary, the general solutions of Z1mn and Z2mn are:

Case 1:

m=Even integers n=Even integers

Z1mn= (m+ n) pi/2 +.1390498169-.045371242 i

Z2mn = (n-m) pi/2 -.1390498169-2.305031146 i

Case 2:

m=Odd integers n= Even integers

Z1mn = (m + n) pi/2-.1390498169-2.305031146 i

Z2mn = (n- m) pi/2+.1390498169-.045371242 i

Case 3:

m=Even integers n=Odd integers

Z1mn = (m+n) pi/2 + .1390498169+2.305031146 i

Z2mn =(n-m) pi/2 -.1390498169+.045371242 i

Case 4:

m=Odd integers n=Odd integers

Z1mn = (m+n) pi/2-.1390498169+.045371242 i

Z2mn = (n-m) pi/2 +.1390498169+2.305031146 i

2. CONCLUSION

As can be read and seen from the INTRODUCTION of the three looks- like very- simple geometry; trigonometry and algebra problems (7); (8)-(A) and (8)-(B), their correct solutions have never been obtained before until now. Our colleagues must be alerted that there are REAL IMPACTS OF NEW SOLUTIONS OF MANY OLD PROBLEMS IN MATHEMATICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES waiting for us to discover them.

Mail Envelope Properties (46FD04B0.8A8 : 10 : 2216)

Subject: Important information for Supreme Court Cases 06-1705 and 07-209 for your open review and evaluation
Creation Date Fri, Sep 28, 2007 9:40 AM
From: "Po Kee Wong" <pokwong@verizon.net>

Created By: pokwong@verizon.net

Recipients
nrc.gov
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
BWS (Brian Sheron)

nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
MFL (Mabel Lee)
SAM2 (Stephen Mcguire)

nrc.gov
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
CHAIRMAN

nrc.gov
kp1_po.KP_DO
SJC1 (Samuel Collins)

fcps.edu
adam.wong CC

aciindustries.com
jlin

mail.ncku.edu.tw
jinwu

montgomerycountymd.gov
janice.chang

mcpsmd.org
Jerry_D_Weast

sjc.state.ma.us
SJCCommClerk

SJCReporter

yahoo.com.hk
simonfctam ('simon Tam')

hotmail.com
shiuhwen
fong_thomas

yahoo.com
szu_h
shousun
nckudc ('NCKU DC')
emmyhsia2003
a617 ('Peter Chow')
anisohedral
albertychang
rahulshandilya ('Rahul')
rtchu
chin8673
mintenlee

post.harvard.edu
nate_yen

snet.net
domrosa

email.ncku.edu.tw
em50920
em50000

mail.house.gov
AmericanVoices

gmail.com
aliilik

aol.com
ahwu
ChihHongChen
chensiung

kva.se
rsaa

mathforum.org
richard

btu.org
rstutman

lmco.com
richard.shih

mms.gov
ronald.lai

nasa.gov
Robert.F.Rotella (Robert F. (HQ-MA000)' 'Rotella)
krobins1 (Kellie N. (HQ-NB000)' 'Robinson)

fesi.org.uk
fesi

buaa.edu.cn
esia9

cua.edu
pao

comcast.net
papapizza

jud.state.ma.us
conley_m

mclaughlin.com
comments

utdallas.edu
mcaspari (Mary L' 'Caspari)
fengd

dc.gov
Michelle.Rhee
Mayor

nobel.se
Michael.Sohlman

longandfoster.com
meesee.phua

nsf.gov
mlee
foia
abement

boston.k12.ma.us
mcontomp

hq.doe.gov
Alexander.Morris (Alexander' 'Morris)
FOIA-CENTRAL ('FOIA-Central')

ed.gov
Mary.Mitchelson (Mary' 'Mitchelson)

msfc.nasa.gov
foia

nmo.jpl.nasa.gov
foia

arc.nasa.gov
foia

gsfc.nasa.gov
foia

whs.mil
foia.liaison

NBC4.com
Fenty

foxnews.com
fns

pentagon.af.mil
Chun-I.Chiang

uspto.gov
Chuong.Ngo

jon.dudas

usdoj.gov
suprectbriefs

Post Office	Route
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01	nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01	nrc.gov
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01	nrc.gov
kp1_po.KP_DO	nrc.gov
	fcps.edu
	aciindustries.com
	mail.ncku.edu.tw
	montgomerycountymd.gov
	mcpsmd.org
	sjc.state.ma.us
	yahoo.com.hk
	hotmail.com
	yahoo.com
	post.harvard.edu
	snet.net
	email.ncku.edu.tw
	mail.house.gov
	gmail.com
	aol.com
	kva.se
	mathforum.org
	btu.org
	lmco.com
	mms.gov
	nasa.gov
	fesi.org.uk
	buaa.edu.cn
	cua.edu
	comcast.net
	jud.state.ma.us
	mclaughlin.com
	utdallas.edu
	dc.gov
	nobel.se
	longandfoster.com
	nsf.gov
	boston.k12.ma.us
	hq.doe.gov

ed.gov
msfc.nasa.gov
nmo.jpl.nasa.gov
arc.nasa.gov
gsfc.nasa.gov
whs.mil
NBC4.com
foxnews.com
pentagon.af.mil
uspto.gov
usdoj.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	22412	Friday, September 28, 2007 9:40 AM
TEXT.htm	95990	
Mail		
06-1705 Supreme Court Petition.doc		828928
2006.doc(Final).doc	144384	
tmce_2008_template.doc-7.doc	162304	
Mime.822	1716605	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled