

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 10/31/07

EDO CONTROL: G20070671
DOC DT: 09/21/07
FINAL REPLY:

Sherwood Martinelli
FUSE USA

TO:

Chairman Klein

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 07-0644

Dyer, NRR

DESC:

2.206 - Indian Point/Environmental Impact
Statement (EIS) Including Terrorist Attack
Environmental Costs (EDATS: SECY-2007-0377)

ROUTING:

Reyes
Virgilio
Kane
Ash
Ordaz
Burns
Zimmerman, NSIR
Cyr, OGC
Jones, OGC
Mensah, NRR

DATE: 09/26/07


ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRR

Dyer

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:



EDATS Number: SECY-2007-0377

Source: SECY

General Information

Assigned To: NRR

OEDO Due Date: 10/31/2007 5:00 PM

Other Assignees:

SECY Due Date: NONE

Subject: 2.206 - Indian Point/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Including Terrorist Attack Environmental Costs

Description:

CC Routing: NSIR; OGC

ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming: NONE

Response/Package: NONE

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20070671, 2.206

Staff Initiated: NO

Related Task:

Recurring Item: NO

File Routing: EDATS

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

Roadmap Item: NO

Process Information

Action Type: Letter

Priority: Medium

Signature Level: NRR

Sensitivity: None

Urgency: NO

OEDO Concurrence: NO

OCM Concurrence: NO

OCA Concurrence: NO

Special Instructions:

Document Information

Originator Name: Sherwood Martinelli

Date of Incoming: 9/21/2007

Originating Organization: FUSE USA

Document Received by SECY Date: 9/26/2007

Addressee: Chairman Klein

Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Incoming Task Received: E-mail

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Sep 26, 2007 14:26

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-07-0644 **LOGGING DATE:** 09/25/2007
ACTION OFFICE: EDO
AUTHOR: Sherwood Martinelli
AFFILIATION: NY
ADDRESSEE: Dale Klein
SUBJECT: Why EIS for Indian Point should include terrorist attack environmental costs
ACTION: Appropriate
DISTRIBUTION: Chairman, Comrs, OGC
LETTER DATE: 09/21/2007
ACKNOWLEDGED: No
SPECIAL HANDLING: Made publicly available in ADAMS via EDO/DPC
NOTES:
FILE LOCATION: ADAMS
DATE DUE: **DATE SIGNED:**

EDO --G20070671

CHAIRMAN - Why EIS for Indian Point Should Include Terrorist Attack Environmental Costs

From: <RoycePenstinger@aol.com>
To: <acer8sac@comcast.net>, <deb@nukebusters.org>, <garyfromvermont@yahoo.com>, <Palisadesart@aol.com>, <chairman@nrc.gov>, <rsb1@nrc.gov>, <nas@nrc.gov>, <fxc@nrc.gov>, <gclary@lohud.com>, <indianpointsec@yahoogroups.com>, <indianpointeis@nrc.gov>, <ptk@nrc.gov>, <editor@ncnlocal.com>, <crotonshaw@optonline.net>
Date: 09/21/2007 1:00 AM
Subject: Why EIS for Indian Point Should Include Terrorist Attack Environmental Costs

Dear Chairman

This is a formal request under the guidelines of 10 CFR 2.206 to have the Environmental Costs of a Terrorist Attack included in the EIS Scoping for the Indian Point Nuclear Reactors. It has wrongfully been the contention of the NRC and the nuclear industry (NEI) that the odds of a terrorist attack on a nuclear reactor site are so remote as to be unworthy of consideration in the EIS Scoping process. As the below shown slide exhibits, another agency of the Federal Government disagrees with you, and your agency. If necessary, I am prepared to offer proof found on OTHER United States Government sites in support of this Formal Petition that show the Federal Government does feel there exists a real chance that terrorists could mount a attack on a nuclear reactor facility.

Is this slide proof that the NRC is lying to us when they say the risk of a Terrorist Attack on a nuclear reactor is so remote as to be not worth consideration in the License Renewal process under the requirements of NEPA? One can assume, that reasonable minds would say it is proof that the NRC is, and has been lying to the public in a wrongful attempt to protect their licensees, and provide them with and easier pathway to License Renewal Application approval. One thing is clear...the slide presents absolute governmentally created PROOF that a terrorist attack on a nuclear site, and the resultant Environmental Costs is worthy of INCLUSION in the EIS Scoping for Indian Point. The CDC slide is absolute proof that our Federal Government believes there is a VERY REAL CHANCE and/or the potential for such and attack on a nuclear reactor site, and thus the Environmental Costs of such a potential attack scenario MUST BE INCLUDED in the EIS Scoping process for Indian Point units IP2 and IP3.

The question is begged, "If, as the NRC claims, said risk scenario is not worthy of consideration, then why does the Centers For Disease Control consider it at the top of their list of Radiological Terrorist Scenarios in one of their slide presentations?"

In light of this GOVERNMENTAL PROOF, I hereby formally request that the Environmental Costs of a targeted terrorist attack on Indian Point be included in the scoping process for Indian Point. Specifically, I want included in the EIS Scoping process as a part of this 2.206 Petition the environmental cost studies for individual targeted terrorist attacks on individual locations/components at the facility, such as a successful attack on a singular spent fuel pool, or singular reactor, as well as the environmental costs of a targeted terrorist attack on multiple component parts of the facility, such as two spent fuel pools, a spent fuel pool and a reactor, or a successful attack on both reactors, or all three spent fuel pools.

Sherwood Martinelli
 FUSE USA Vice President
 914 734 1955
 351 Dyckman Street
 Peekskill, New York 10566

For those outside the NRC receiving this, please send in similar 2.206 petitions supporting our cause, and see that this Formal 2.206 Petition receives WIDE DISTRIBUTION. We want it emailed out, and posted up anywhere and everywhere we can get it. Every aging reactor community deserves to have the Environmental Costs of a terrorist attack included in the Relicensing EIS Scoping Process. Also, look for the formal launch of our website at www.fuseusa.org

Potential Health Problems from Exposure to Selected Radionuclides - Hanford Health Information Network - WA State Dept. of He..

Potential Radiological Terrorist Scenarios



•Nuclear

- Targeted attack on nuclear facility
- Improvised nuclear device
- Nuclear weapon



•Radiological

- Radiological dispersion device (RDD);
e.g., "Dirty bomb"



See what's new at AOL.com and [Make AOL Your Homepage](#).

Mail Envelope Properties (46F34FF3.FAE : 14 : 40878)

Subject: Why EIS for Indian Point Should Include Terrorist Attack
Environmental Costs
Creation Date Fri, Sep 21, 2007 1:00 AM
From: <RoycePenstinger@aol.com>
Created By: RoycePenstinger@aol.com

Recipients

nrc.gov

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
PTK (Pao-Tsin Kuo)

nrc.gov

TWGWPO03.HQGWDO01
IndianPointEIS

nrc.gov

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
FXC (Francis Cameron)

nrc.gov

kp1_po.KP_DO
NAS (Neil Sheehan)
RSB1 (Richard Barkley)

nrc.gov

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
CHAIRMANoptonline.net
crotonshawncnlocal.com
editoryahogroups.com
indianpointseclohud.com
gclary

aol.com

Palisadesart

yahoo.com
garyfromvermont

nukebusters.org
deb

comcast.net
acer8sac

Post Office

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO03.HQGWDO01
OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
kp1_po.KP_DO
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
optonline.net
ncnlocal.com
yahogroups.com
lohud.com
aol.com
yahoo.com
nukebusters.org
comcast.net

Files

MESSAGE	3764
TEXT.htm	5078
Untitled.jpg	150037
Mime.822	216987

Date & Time

Friday, September 21, 2007 1:00 AM

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None

Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User

Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator

Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled

Block List is not enabled