



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II
SAM NUNN ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET, SW, SUITE 23T85
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8931

September 26, 2007

Carolina Power & Light Company
ATTN: Mr. Robert J. Duncan II
Vice President - Harris Plant
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
P. O. Box 165, Mail Code: Zone 1
New Hill, NC 27652-0165

SUBJECT: HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT: DISCRIMINATION (OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS CASE NUMBER 2-2006-033)

Dear Mr. Duncan:

Enclosed for your information is the synopsis of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Office of Investigations' (OI) completed report regarding whether a security officer was discriminated against for engaging in protected activity.

OI determined that there was insufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation that the former Security Officer of Securitas Security Services, Inc., was discriminated against for raising an FFD issue. We plan no further action with regard to this matter. Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact us.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Randall A. Musser, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 4
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure: Investigative Synopsis, OI Case No. 2-2006-033

September 26, 2007

Carolina Power & Light Company
ATTN: Mr. Robert J. Duncan II
Vice President - Harris Plant
Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
P. O. Box 165, Mail Code: Zone 1
New Hill, NC 27652-0165

SUBJECT: HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT: DISCRIMINATION (OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS CASE NUMBER 2-2006-033)

Dear Duncan:

Enclosed for your information is the synopsis of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Office of Investigations' (OI) completed report regarding whether a security officer was discriminated against for engaging in protected activity.

OI determined that there was insufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation that the former Security Officer of Securitas Security Services, Inc., was discriminated against for raising an FFD issue. We plan no further action with regard to this matter. Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact us.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Randall A. Musser, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 4
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure: Investigative Synopsis, OI Case No. 2-2006-033

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SENSITIVE NON-SENSITIVE

ADAMS: Yes ACCESSION NUMBER: _____

OFFICE	RII:EICS	RII:DRP	RII:DRP				
SIGNATURE	CFE	RAM	GJW				
NAME	CEvans	RMusser	GWilson				
DATE	09/26/2007	09/26/2007	09/26/2007	9/ /2007	9/ /2007	9/ /2007	9/ /2007
E-MAIL COPY?	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\FileNet\ML072690452.wpd

SYNOPSIS

This investigation was initiated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Office of Investigations (OI), Region II (RII), on August 16, 2006, to determine whether a former Security Officer, Securitas Security Services, Inc. (Securitas), employed at the Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant, was discriminated against for engaging in protected activity. The security officer's protected activity was relative to reporting a fitness-for-duty (FFD) issue to Securitas management on July 1, 2006.

Based on the evidence developed during this investigation, OI:RII did not substantiate that the former Security Officer, Securitas Security Services, Inc., was discriminated against for raising an FFD issue.

~~NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF
FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS, REGION II~~

Official Use Only - OI Investigation Information

Case No. 2-2006-033