EDO Principal Correspondence Control

DUE: / / EDO CONTROL: G20070646 FROM: DOC DT: 09/12/07 FINAL REPLY: Sherwood Martinelli Peekskill, New York TO: Chairman Klein FOR SIGNATURE OF : ** GRN ** CRC NO: 07-0611 DESC: ROUTING: Indian Point (EDATS: SECY-2007-0345) Reyes Virgilio Kane Ash Ordaz Burns DATE: 09/18/07 Collins, RI Cyr, OGC ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT: NRR Dyer SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

For Appropriate Action.

v



EDATS Number: SECY-2007-0345

General Information

- Assigned To: NRR
- Other Assignees:
- Subject: Indian Point
- Description:
- **CC Routing:** Region I; OGC
- ADAMS Accession Numbers Incoming: NONE

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20070646, LTR-07-0611 Related Task: File Routing: EDATS

Process Information

Action Type: Appropriate Action

Signature Level: No Signature Required OEDO Concurrence: NO OCM Concurrence: NO

OCA Concurrence: NO

Special Instructions: For Appropriate Action.

Document Information

Originator Name: Sherwood Martinelli

Originating Organization: Citizens

Addressee: Chairman Klein, et al.,

Incoming Task Received: E-mail

Date of Incoming: 9/12/2007 Document Received by SECY Date: 9/17/2007 Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Priority: Medium

Staff Initiated: NO

Recurring Item: NO

Roadmap Item: NO

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

Priority: Medium Sensitivity: None Urgency: NO

OEDO Due Date: NONE SECY Due Date: NONE

Response/Package: NONE

Source: SECY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Sep 17, 2007 09:03

PAPER NUMBER:	LTR-07-0611	LOGGING DATE: 09/13/2007
ACTION OFFICE:	EDO	
AUTHOR:	Sherwood Martinelli	
AUTHOR: AFFILIATION:	NY	
ADDRESSEE:	Dale Klein	
SUBJECT:	Exemptions to license for Indian Pt 2 and 3	3
ACTION:	Appropriate	
DISTRIBUTION:	Chairman, Comrs, OGC	
LETTER DATE:	09/12/2007	
ACKNOWLEDGED	No	
SPECIAL HANDLING:	Made publicly available in ADAMS via EI	DYD/DYC
NOTES:		
FILE LOCATION:	ADAMS	
DATE DUE:	DATE S	SIGNED:

EDO --G20070646

From:	<roycepenstinger@aol.com></roycepenstinger@aol.com>	
To:	<ptk@nrc.gov>, <fxc@nrc.gov>, <rsb1@nrc.gov>, <chairman@nrc.gov></chairman@nrc.gov></rsb1@nrc.gov></fxc@nrc.gov></ptk@nrc.gov>	
Date:	09/12/2007 9:51 PM	
Subject:	Exemptions to License for IP2 and IP3	
CC:	<palisadesart@aol.com></palisadesart@aol.com>	

Dear Pao-Tsin Kuo:

STATES AND AND AND ADDRESS

According to my read of the 10CFR54 Rules and Regulations, and other sections of the code and NUREG guidance, it is my understanding that Entergy is required to provide as a part of the LRA a full and complete listing of all exemptions they wish to have carried over into the new superceding license should their application for license renewal be approved by the NRC, complete with and analysis or justification for exemption carry over.

Further research, and discussions lead me to believe that exemption can be interpreted to include in exemptions any/all relief provided to the licensee through Generic Letters, Requests for Relief which are granted by the NRC, and exemptions granted through other regulatory processes. Further, either the licensee and/or Entergy or both should have and easily attainable list of these exemptions, as they would be a part of the GDC and/or CLB. (See 54.3)

We have made other requests for the entire CLB which your staff has remained mute on, despite our right to have access to documents necessary for a full review of the LRA as affected stakeholder citizens. Since the CLB (which includes exemptions) is required to be a part of the LRA, we restate our request to be provided same (Current Licensing Basis) in and expedient fashion. If the NRC wants to claim the CLB is a living ever changing document or set of documents, please identify with specificity all documents, Generic Letters and other minutia that comprise the CLB for Indian Point 2 and Indian Point 3, so that we can locate and read said documents on ADAMS (If certain documents comprising the CLB are not currently on ADAMS, we formally request their addition to ADAMS as a part of the documents necessary for adequate citizen review of the LRA for IP2 and IP3. Additionally, since the NRC should have a simple chronological list of the exemptions granted to their licensees (as would be necessary for tracking of the CLB and for enforcement capability), we respectfully request the complete list of exemptions/relief that has been granted to IP2 and IP3 from the filing of their original applications through too today. We further request a full and complete list of the exemptions with analysis that IP2 or IP3 are requesting be carried over into their new superceding license should their LRA after review be approved. (10CFR54)

Lastly, it is our understanding that Entergy has submitted some recent amendments to their license renewal application which we have not been notified about. We respectfully request that both Susan Shapiro and I receive copies of these license renewal application amendments as soon as they are docketed, and be given 60 days to review same, and submit contentions on them. Further, this is to be considered as and ongoing request. Whenever new amendments are submitted to the NRC by Entergy, ENO, Entergy Nuclear Northeast, IP2 LLC or IP2 LLC or other parties for the license renewal application in question, we want immediate copies of the amendment submittals, and a 60 day period in which to review same, and submit contentions germane to the amendments. Further, we request copies of any LRA changes that take shape as a result of staff review, including proposed and or agreed upon future commitments too be entered into the new Superceding license, so that we can adequately have a chance to review and submit comments and or contentions on this new information that changes the LRA. Further, we formally request that all amendments or changes as they come in be published in the Federal Registry for comment and review to preserve the publics right to be fully involved in the LRA process.

Finally, we request that Susan Shapiro President of FUSE USA (<u>palisadesart@aol.com</u>) Sherwood Martinelli Vice President of FUSE USA (<u>roycepenstinger@aol.com</u>) be placed on all service lists to which Entergy belongs, or that generate documents related to or affecting Entergy and/or the Indian Point site. This request is germane to the LRA now in process at the NRC...as example, we reference Entergy's recent request for license transfers in the name of company reorganization. Such license transfers in the name of corporate house cleaning could have a dramatic effect on the LRA and provide us with additional contentions on the grounds of newly available and/or changed information/status.

Respectfully Submitted

Sherwood Martinelli Vice President FUSE USA 351 Dyckman Peekskill, New York 10566 914 734 1955

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage.

Mail Envelope Properties (46E89734.06C : 5 : 57452)

Subject:	Exemptions to License for IP2 and IP3
Creation Date	Wed, Sep 12, 2007 9:49 PM
From:	< <u>RoycePenstinger@aol.com</u> >

Created By: <u>RoycePenstinger@aol.com</u>

Recipients

nrc.gov OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 CHAIRMAN

nrc.gov

kp1_po.KP_DO RSB1 (Richard Barkley)

nrc.gov

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01 FXC (Francis Cameron)

nrc.gov OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

PTK (Pao-Tsin Kuo)

aol.com Palisadesart CC

Post Office

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 kp1_po.KP_DO OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01 OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

Route	
nrc.gov	
nrc.gov	
nrc.gov	
nrc.gov	
aol.com	

Files	Size	Date &
MESSAGE	4749	Wedne
TEXT.htm	5936	
Mime.822	12470	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No

Date & Time

Wednesday, September 12, 2007 9:49 PM

Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator Junk List is not enabled Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled Block List is not enabled