

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II 101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

OCT 3 0 1986

In Reply Refer To: RII:JAM 50-390/80-21 50-391/80-15

Tennessee Valley Authority
ATTN: H. G. Parris
Manager of Power
500A Chestnut Street Tower II
Chattanooga, TN 37401

## Gentlemen:

This refers to your letter of September 11, 1980, informing us of steps you have taken to correct the items of noncompliance concerning activities under NRC Construction Permit Nos. CPPR-91 and CPPR-92 brought to your attention in our letter of August 14, 1980. We have reviewed your response and note the following inadequacies.

Your response to noncompliance item C (390/80-21-01) of our previous letter states the actions taken by the Division of Construction to prevent recurrence. It does not address actions taken by the Division of Nuclear Power to prevent recurrence.

Your response to noncompliance item E (390/80-21-03) appears to acknowledge the item of noncompliance by stating that Design Information Request (DIR) E-001 was not followed. As corrective action you state that DIR E-41 was processed and approved by Engineering Design (EN DES) stating that the method of cable termination in question is an acceptable practice at Watts Bar. If TVA has determined this method of cable termination to be acceptable for future use, then the appropriate site procedures must be modified to reflect this fact.

Your response to noncompliance item D (390/80-21-05, 391/80-15-01) does not state any corrective action taken to insure that EN DES clearly specifies to Division of Construction the system design pressure to be used to determine hydrostatic test pressure. The failure to clearly specify system design pressure appears to be a major contributing factor in this item of noncompliance.

Additionally as a result of our meeting on October 23, 1980 at the TVA Corporate offices, we understand that your response will provide additional information of corrective actions you are taking to enhance management controls to prevent further items of noncompliance.

It is our understanding that in a telephone conversation of October 3, 1980 between Mr. D. Lambert of TVA and Mr. J. McDonald of this office, it was agreed that TVA would supply supplemental information to your response of September 11. Accordingly, within 20 days of your receipt of this letter, please provide to this office the agreed upon supplemental response to items C, E, and D.

We will examine your corrective actions and plans during subsequent inspections.

Sincerely,

A C Dana

Richard C. Lewis, Acting Chief Reactor Operations & Nuclear Support Branch

cc: C. C. Mason, Acting Plant Superintendent

J. E. Wilkins, Project Manager

J. F. Cox, Supervisor, Nuclear Licensing Section

D. P. Ormsby, Project Engineer