

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA REGION II 37401
ATLANTA, GEORGIA
5000 Chestnut Street, Room 1077, II

79 APR 27 1979
79 APR 30 A 8:36

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II - Suite 3100
101 Marietta Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 - NRC REGION II LETTER
RII:PKV 50-390/79-12, 50-391/79-09 - INSPECTION REPORT - RESPONSE
TO DEFICIENCY

The subject letter dated April 4, 1979, cited TVA with one deficiency.
Enclosed is our response to that deficiency.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please get in touch
with M. R. Wisenburg at FTS 854-2581.

Very truly yours,



J. E. Gilleland
Assistant Manager of Power

Enclosure

cc: Mr. John G. Davis, Acting Director (Enclosure)
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

7906200186

OFFICIAL COPY.

190139

ENCLOSURE

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2
RESPONSE TO DEFICIENCY 390/79-12-01 AND 391/79-09-01

Deficiency

As required by Criterion XVII of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50, and as implemented by the FSAR paragraph 17.1A.17, sufficient records shall be maintained to furnish evidence of activities affecting quality. TVA procedure WBNP-QCP-1.8, Rev. 2 - "Quality Assurance Records" requires QA records corrections to be made by marking through the error with one line, inserting the correction, and initiating and dating the correction.

Contrary to the above, on March 15, 1979, five welder qualification records contained paint over corrections without initials and date, and one record did not contain an approval signature.

Corrective Action and Results Achieved

The record requiring an approval signature has been signed. In addition to those records that were identified during the site inspection, we have reviewed all other welder qualification records and have found several other paint over corrections. It is our position that the corrections that were made to the records were not significant since these corrections were typographical in nature and the parameters being corrected remained the same for a given welder qualification. We agree that this could be a more serious matter under other circumstances.

Action Taken to Prevent Recurrence

All employees involved in the documentation of Quality Assurance activities have been reinstructed in the proper method to be used when correcting documentation errors. Employees involved in the preparation of welder performance qualification records and those involved in the review and acceptance of these records have been given emphatic instructions in the proper method to be used in correcting documentation errors.

Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved

We are now in full compliance.