

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401

5N 157B Lookout Place

SEP 19 1988

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of the) Docket Nos. 50-390
Tennessee Valley Authority) 50-391

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) UNITS 1 AND 2 - REGION II INSPECTION REPORT
50-390, -391/86-24 - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO VIOLATION 50-390,
-391/86-24-01 PART 3

TVA's previous correspondence to NRC dated September 16, 1987 concerning the subject violation submitted a copy of a quality notice dated September 14, 1987 revising the Nuclear Quality Assurance Manual (NQAM) Part I, Section 2.16. This quality notice (Revision 0) contained information defining the term "in-process" deficiencies.

Revision 0 of this quality notice was not implemented. Instead, Revision 3 to this quality notice dated December 15, 1987 was issued superseding implementation of the quality notice addressing "in-process" deficiencies. The reason for this delay was to schedule a meeting between TVA and NRC to ensure that TVA had a complete understanding of the issue. The meeting was held at TVA and the resolution appeared in Revision 4 to Part I, Section 2.16 of the NQAM dated May 16, 1988. Revision 4 to the NQAM, Part I, Section 2.16 requires that: a) items discovered during in-process work activities shall be documented on a Condition Adverse to Quality Report (CAQR) when (1) a repair or accept-as-is disposition is required; (2) extensive, complex, or abnormal rework is involved; or (3) a common mode failure is created or identified; or b) conditions adverse to quality which do not meet the requirements for a CAQR are required to be documented in one of the administrative control programs which requires the CAQ to be corrected, tracked, and trended. This requirement applies regardless of whether or not the item involved is included within the scope of the work controlling document.

Before November 15, 1987, WBN revised their implementing procedure to require that "in-process" deficiencies would be documented, corrected, and trended for the purpose of identifying any adverse conditions. The document which reflected this change and corrected the original cause of the violation at WBN was WBN-Construction Engineering Procedure 1.60 Revision 0, which superseded WBN-Quality Control Instruction 1.60 Revision 1.

8809220236 880919
PDR ADOCK 05000390
Q PIC

IE01
110

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission


SEP 19 1998

To our knowledge, neither TVA nor NRC documented this situation in further correspondence. The purpose of this letter is to ensure that adequate documentation of the resolution of this issue is on file. TVA considers this issue closed.

If there are any questions, please telephone F. L. Ginn at 615/751-7667.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY


R. Gridley, Manager
Nuclear Licensing and
Regulatory Affairs

cc: Ms. S. C. Black, Assistant Director
for Projects
TVA Projects Division
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint, North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Mr. F. R. McCoy, Assistant Director
for Inspection Programs
TVA Projects Division
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Watts Bar Resident Inspector
Watts Bar Nuclear Plant
P.O. Box 700
Spring City, Tennessee 37381