TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401 5N 157B Lookout Place

SEP 16 1987

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) - UNITS 1 AND 2 - REGION II INSPECTION REPORT WBRD-50-390/86-24 - SUBMITTAL OF NUCLEAR QUALITY ASSURANCE MANUAL (NQAM), PART I. SECTION 2.16 REVISION

Enclosed is a quality notice revising the NQAM, Part I, Section 2.16. This submittal fulfills our commitment to submit the NQAM revision, which we made in our revised response dated July 29, 1987, to violation 1, part 3 of the subject NRC inspection report.

If there are any questions, please telephone E. G. McKeown at (615) 751-4888.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

R. L. Gridley, Director Nuclear Safety and Licensing

Enclosure

cc (Enclosure):

Mr. Gary G. Zech, Assistant Director Regional Inspections Division of TVA Projects Office of Special Projects U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta St., NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. J. A. Zwolinski, Assistant Director for Projects Division of TVA Projects Office of Special Projects U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 4350 East-West Highway EWW 322 Bethesda, Maryland 20814

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Watts Bar Resident Inspector P.O. Box 700 Spring City, Tennessee 37381

8709220420 870916 PDR ADDCK 05000390 Q PDR

I ED!

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

DEM: LVP: EGM: EMC

cc (Enclosure):

RIMS, MR 4N 72A-C

- C. E. Ayers, LP 6N 25D-C
- E. S. Christenbury, Ell B33 C-K
- W. H. Hannum, BR 1N 76B-C
- T. A. Ippolito, TVA Bethesda Licensing Office
- N. C. Kazanas, LP 4N 45A-C (2)
- J. A. Kirkebo, W12 A12 C-K
- J. A. McDonald, ONP, Watts Bar
- G. R. Mullee, BR 5S 318A-C
- D. R. Nichols, BR 5S 100A-C
- R. A. Pedde, 101 PMO Bldg., ONP, Watts Bar
- M. J. Ray, LP 5N 105B-C
- G. L. Rogers, LP 6N 38A-C
- G. Toto, ONP, Watts Bar
- D. L. Williams, W10 B85 C-K

QUALITY NOTICE

NQAM, PART I SECTION 2.16 REVISION O

| SUBJECT QUALITY | NOTICE - IN-PROCESS DEFIGIENCIES |
|------------------|---|
| APPLICABILITY | ALL TVA NUCLEAR PLANTS |
| EXPIRATION DATE | Until superseded by procedure revision. |
| ORIGINALLY ISSUE | D TO REVISION 3 OF NOAM, PART I, SECTION 2.16 |
| · • | |
| | PREPARED Compe |
| • | REVIEWED Mar Cluce |
| | CHIEF, QUALITY SYSTEMS BRANCH |
| | DIRECTOR OF NUCLEAR QUALITY ASSURANCE |
| | DATE 9/14/87 |

Title: QUALITY NOTICE - IN-PROCESS DEFICIENCIES

Part I No.Sec. 2.16

Rev. ___0

REVISION LOG

REVISION DATE DESCRIPTION

O 09/14/87 Added a new

Added a new paragraph 2.2.10 that says in-process deficiencies as defined in paragraph 4.13 are not under the scope of this procedure.

Added a new paragraph 4.13 to provide a definition for in-process deficiencies. Reason: Response to NRC Notice of Violation A3 (Inspection Report Nos. 50-390/86-24 and 50-391/86-24) (Reference: NRC Notice of Violation to S. A. White, dated 2/12/87 [L44 870220 051]).

QUALITY NOTICE - IN-PROCESS DEFICIENCIES

Part I No. 2.16

Rev. ___0

1.0 REASON

Title:

This Quality Notice is issued to define the term "in-process deficiency." This is in response to a commitment made to the NRC on July 29, 1987 (reference L44 870729 802).

2.0 APPLICABILITY

This quality notice applies to Office of Nuclear Power (ONP) organizations and to onsite non-ONP service organizations such as Power Stores and Power Service Organization in their support of site activities. These requirements do not apply to the offsite activities of such non-ONP service organizations.

3.0 REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1 Add a new paragraph 2.2.10 as follows:
 - 2.2.10 In-process deficiencies (as defined in paragraph 4.13) need not be reported in accordance with this procedure as long as they are documented, corrected, and trended.
- 3.2 Add a new paragraph 4.13 as follows (paragraph 4.12 defines "Plant Design Basis" and is addressed by Quality Notice issued on 8/7/87):
- 4.13 <u>In-Process Deficiencies</u> Deficiencies found during a work activity which are limited to the following:
 - 4.13.1 Conditions which are within the scope of the work control document being implemented, and
 - 4.13.2 The condition relates to items or activities that have not yet been inspected and accepted, <u>and</u>
 - 4.13.3 The applicable work control document makes provision for the final inspection of the affected items or activities such that any corrective measures will be fully verified, and
 - 4.13.4 The condition is to be corrected to fully meet original requirements using the work control document, and
 - 4.13.5 The condition resulted from craftsman error and not from material failure, incorrect procedure requirements, unqualified tools, or other causes not under the direct control of the craftsman.