TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37401

5N 157B Lookout Place

AUG 16 1988

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

In the Matter of the Application of) Docket Nos. 50-390 Tennessee Valley Authority) 50-391

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) - REGION II INSPECTION REPORT NOS. 50-390/88-01 AND 50-391/88-01 - SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION 390, 391/88-01-01, FAILURE TO FOLLOW PROCEDURES

Enclosure 1 is our supplemental response to violation 390, 391/88-01-01, as committed to in our June 9, 1988 response. Clarification of changes to the conditions adverse to quality (CAQ) program are provided. This information replaces our June 9, 1988 response for corrective steps taken to avoid further violations. Enclosure 2 provides a list of our commitments.

If there are any questions, please telephone G. R. Ashley at (615) 365-8527.

Very truly yours,

TENNESPEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

R. Gridley, Manager Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Affairs

Enclosures cc: See page 2

IEO!

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

cc (Enclosure):

Hs. S. C. Black, Assistant Director for Projects
TVA Projects Division
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
One White Flint, North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Haryland 20852

Hr. F. R. McCoy, Assistant Director for Inspection Programs
TVA Projects Division
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Watts Bar Resident Inspector P.O. Box 700 Spring City, Tennessee 37381

ENCLOSURE 1

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT (WBN) UNITS 1 AND 2 TVA RESPONSE TO VIOLATION 390, 391/88-01-01

REFERENCE: NRC Inspection Report Nos. 50-390/88-01 and 50-391/88-01 and TVA's June 9, 1988 response

Violation 390, 391/88-01-01, "Failure to Follow Procedures"

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, as implemented by TVA's Quality Assurance (QA) Topical Report, TVA-TR75-1A, Rev. 9, Sections 17.1.5 and 17.2.5, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings", requires that activities affecting quality be accomplished in accordance with instructions, procedures, or drawings.

QA Topical Report Table 17E-1 states that the Nuclear Quality Assurance Manual (NQAM) delineates responsibilities, requirements, and commitments for the QA Program during design and construction.

NQAM, Part I, Section 2.16, Rev. 3, "Corrective Action", Paragraph 10.5, requires that these condition adverse to quality reports (CAQRs) classified as "potentially generic" be reviewed by the potentially affected organization within 70 calendar days from the origination date of the CAQR.

Contrary to the above, CAQRS SQP [SQN] 871347, SQP 871003, SQP 871066, SQP 871743, SQP [SQT] 871304, and BFP 871056 were classified as potentially affecting Watts Bar and did not receive generic review within 70 calendar days from the origination date of the CAQR.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II) and applies to Units 1 and 2.

Supplemental Response - Corrective Steps Taken to Avoid Further Violation

The Nuclear Quality Assurance Manual (NQAM), Part I, section 2.16, revision 4, and Part I, section 2.16.4, revision 0 (including a quality notice), included changes to the CAQR program covered in the subject violation. These changes and how they relate to the NQAM, Part I, section 2.16, revision 3, are discussed below:

 Failure to follow timeframes shall be evaluated on a trend basis to determine if an adverse trend associated with timeliness exists. If confirmed, a CAQR shall be initiated and processed. Revision 3 was not clear in addressing missed timeframes.

- 2. Within 10 days of receipt a review is performed to determine if CAQRS potentially affect other organizations. This review is performed by Nuclear Engineering-Engineering Assurance for CAQRS which have a Nuclear Engineering (NE) organization as the responsible organization or by Nuclear Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (NLRA) for CAQRS which have a responsible organization other than an NE organization. Revision 3, required the review within 40 days of the CAQR origination date.
- 3. Within 30 days of receipt, the CAQ coordinator shall forward a single coordinated response to NE-EA or NLRA. Revison 3 required the CAQ coordinator to respond within 70 days of the CAQR origination date.
- 4. When a generic review is late and not progressing satisfactorily, the CAQR shall be escalated. Revision 3 did not require escalation of late generic reviews.
- 5. The Senior Vice President of Nuclear Power or Vice President of Nuclear Quality Assurance (NQA) may grant changes to the timeframe requirements upon written request from the site director of a plant with a construction permit. Revision 3 did not make such a provision. The provision remains in the NQAM for the Vice President of NQA to waive the escalation process when holds or delays resulting from corporate-approved policy or changes in work scope occur.

The changes to the CAQR program will be implemented by September 1, 1988.

ENCLOSURE 2

LIST OF COMMITMENTS

The changes to the CAQR program will be implemented by September 1, 1988.