October 12, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Joseph J. Holonich, Chief HLUR/DWM/NMSS

FROM: Michael J. Bell, Chief /S/ ENGB/DWM/NMSS

SUBJECT: COMPLETION REPORT REVIEW TUBA CITY SITE

As requested by HLUR, we have completed our review of the geotechnical engineering and surface water hydrology aspects of DOE's Completion Report for the referenced site. The attached are the applicable sections to the Completion Report Review document being prepared by HLUR.

This review was performed by Tim Harris and Ted Johnson. If you have any questions, they can be reached at 415-6613 and 415-6658, respectively.

Attachments: As stated

DISTRIB Central *MFeder	<u>UTION</u> :(*w/o File DWM line JSu	<b>att)</b> r/f rmeier	NMSS r/f JPark	ENGB r/f ≄DGillen	<b>*</b> JGreeves TaskLog 95-37
DOCUMEN	T NAME: S:\D	WM\ENGB	<u>\TEH\TUBACTY.</u>	MEM S:\DWM\ENG	B\TEH\TUBACITY.TAB
OFC	ENGB	E	ENGB	I/I ENGB	ENGB
NAME	THarris/eb	9 1	LJohnson	KMcConnell	MBel1
DATE	10/6/95	10	/ 6 /95	P1/0/95	/ / /95
ACNW: Y IG : Y LSS : Y	YES NO YES NO YES NO	X X X X	DFFICIAL RECO	RD COPY after distributio	n: Yes No <u>×</u>

. .

**, '** 

.

#### Attachments

.

#### INPUT TO COMPLETION REPORT REVIEW TUBA CITY - TITLE I

#### 2.2.1 Geotechnical Engineering Review Results

NRC staff reviewed the geotechnical engineering aspects of the Tuba City remedial action to determine whether the remediation was completed in accordance with applicable construction specifications in the RAP, and the RAIP. Items reviewed in the CR included construction operation narratives, as-built drawings, laboratory and field testing data, and quality assurance audits. The review included staff observations and review of records during on-site construction evaluations. As a result of its review, NRC staff noted the following:

- 1. Appropriate tests (gradation) and inspections were performed to ensure that the proper type of material was placed for each element of the construction. Specific elements examined in this review included the subgrade material, contaminated material, and radon barrier. The loose thickness of the lifts was verified periodically to ensure compliance with the specifications. Placement and compaction operations were routinely inspected and tested to verify that the moisture and density requiremen(s were met.
- Documented results of laboratory and field testing indicate that the tests were conducted in accordance with acceptable procedures by trained and qualified personnel.
- 3. The CR shows that the frequency of material testing and inspection comply with frequencies specified in the RAIP, except as noted below.
- 4. As-built drawings indicate that the completed remedial action is consistent with the NRC-approved design.

NRC staff identified several areas wherein the testing did not explicitly meet the requirements of the specifications. Many of these deviations were previously identified by Morris Knudsen-Ferguson (MK-F) in their quality assurance audits. MK-F provided corrective actions which typically consisted of training for non-compliance items. However, the following items were not identified by MK-F:

- Sandcone verifications were not performed for nuclear density testing performed between 12/03/88 and 3/27/89. Approximately 62 nuclear density tests were performed during this period.
- Classification tests were not performed on the radon barrier material between 7/25/89 and 8/28/89. This equates to approximately 21,500 yds. of material which was not tested.

DOE noted that the average testing frequency for each element was met. Considering the number and frequency of tests and consistency of test results, NRC staff concludes that the non-compliance items will not adversely affect the performance of the remedial action.

## APPENDIX B:

•

# DETAILED COMPARISON OF DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS WITH COMPLETED REMEDIAL ACTIONS PERFORMED AT TUBA CITY UMTRA PROJECT SITE

.

.

.

Sit RAP Mat	e: Tuba City, Arizona Feature: Subgrade Contaminated erial	
RAP	Requirements	Verification
1.	Configuration:	1. Configuration:
	A. Areal Extent - Tailings and contaminated materials to be stabilized in place with no excavation, as indicated in RAP Drawing TUB-PS-10-0819	A. Areal extent verified in As-Built Drawing TUB-PS-10-0819 dated 2/26/91
2.	Material - Not applicable	2. Material - Not applicable
3.	Placement:	3. Placement: from Completion Report, App. E.
	A. Material to be stabilized in place shall be compacted to 90% of maximum dry density in accordance with ASTM D698 (Design Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, Part 3.6.B.1).	A. There were 18 failing in-place density tests in 7 areas of the embankment perimeter requiring reworking. After reworking those areas, 140 tests met the density requirement.
4.	Test Frequency:	<ol> <li>Test Frequency: from Completion Report, App. E</li> </ol>
	A. In-place soil density testr - 1 test per 1500 square yards of compacted ground. (Source of spec. unknown.)	A. On average, one test performed per 1276 square yards. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
	<ul> <li>B. At least one test for each isolated area measuring less than 1500 square yards. (Source of spec. unknown.)</li> <li>C. One-point Proctor tests are required for every ten field density tests performed (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>B. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.</li> <li>C. On average, one Proctor test was performed per 5.2 field density tests. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and</li> </ul>

Site: Tuba RAP Featur	City, Arizona e: Contaminated Fill		
RAP Requir	enents	Veri	fication
1. Conf	iguration:	1.	Configuration:
A. cont site area wind exca dept. Draw 0820	Areal Extent: Excavation - aminated material from the including processing s, evaporation ponds, and blown areas to be vated in areas and to hs as indicated on RAP ings TUB-PS-10-0819, - , AND -0821.		A. Excavations verified in As-Built Drawings TUB-PS-10- 0819, -0820, and -0821, dated 2/26/91.
B. contr conso and c Drawi 0824.	Areal Extent: Placement - aminated material to be olidated on tailings pile contoured as shown on RAP ings TUB-PS-10-0823 and -		B. Areal extent and contours verified in As-Built Drawings TUB-PS-10-0823 and -0824, dated 2/26/91.
2. Mater	rial: Not applicable	2.	Material: Not applicable
3. Place	ement:	3.	Placement: from Completion Report, App. E
A. L great achie and n (Desi Part	ift Thickness - Not or than that required to ve required compaction, o greater than 12 inches gn Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, 3.6.B.7).		A. Continuously monitored to ensure that loose lifts did not exceed 12 inches.
B. Co least densit condit achiev moistu Rev. 2	ompaction/Moisture - At 90% of maximum dry ty. Soil to be tioned as required to ve required compaction ure (Design Specs. 02200, 2, Part 3.6.C.1).		B. 21 areas failed and were reworked, 1070 passing tests averaged 95.2%.

ومبا يتاويك

Site: Tuba City, Arizona RAP Feature: Contaminated Fill	
RAP Requirements	Verification
4. Test Frequency:	4. Test Frequency: from Completion Report, App. E
A. In-place soil density and moisture content, a minimum of one test per 1000 cubic yards of contaminated and uncontaminated material placed (Design Specs. 02200. Rev 2, Part 3.6.D.2.a).	A. On average, one test performed per 916 cy placed. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
B. At least 2 density/moisture tests required per day of material placement exceeding 150 cy (Procedure 6.1.4.d of the RAIP, Rev. 3A).	B. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
C. Maximum dry density determinations required at least once per 10,000 cy of material placed (Procedure of the RAIP, Rev. 3A.).	C. On average, one test performed per 8910 cy. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
D. One-point Proctor tests required to ensure the correct maximum dry density value was applied when performing field density tests, at least once per 10 field density tests (Procedure 6.1.7 of RAIP, Rev. 3A).	D. On average, one Proctor test performed per 6.6 density tests. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
E. At least one oven-dried sample is required to verify each 10 microwave-oven moisture determinations (Procedure 6.1.2 of the RAIP, Rev. 3A).	E. On average, one oven-dried test performed per 7.8 microwave determinations. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O

Site: Tuba City, Arizona RAP Feature: Radon Barrier	
RAP Requirements	Verification
1. Configuration:	1. Configuration:
A. Areal Extent - Radon barrier to be placed over all contaminated material (RAP Drawing TUB-PS-10-0825).	A. Areal extent verified in As-Built Drawing TUR-PS- 10-0825, dated 2/26/91.
B. Thickness requirement varies from 3 to 6 feet based upon radiation measurements of contaminated material (RAP Drawing TUB-PS-10-0825).	B. Thickness verified 3 to 6 feet in As-Built Drawing TUB-PS-10-0825, dated 2/26/91.
2. Material:	2. Material: from Completion Report, App. E.
A. Gradation - Minimum of 20% passing No. 200 sieve, no particle larger than 2 inches (Design Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, Part 2.1.D.1.b).	A. A summary of the gradation test results will submitted.
<ul> <li>B. Classification - SC or SM material according to ASTM D2487 (Design Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, Part 2.1.D.1.b).</li> </ul>	questionable material at borrow; 4 failed samples were remixed and tested.
3. Placement:	3. Placement: from Completion Report, App. E
A. Lift Thickness - shall not exceed 9 inches for radon barrier material (Design Specs. 022C), Rev. 2, Part 3.6.B.7).	A. Lift Thickness did not exceed 9 inches.
B. Compaction/Moisture - radon barrier material shall be compacted to at least 100% of maximum dry density per ASTM D698 (Design Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, Part 3.6.C.1).	B. On average, compaction achieved was 101.8% of maximum dry density.

Second second

in the second second

ALC: NOT THE OWNER

 $\mathbf{x}$ 

Site: Tuba City, Arizona RAP Peature: Radon Barrier	
RAP Requirements	Verification
3. Placement: (continued)	3. Placement: (continued)
C. Moisture content shall be maintained within 0 to plus 3% of optimum as determined by ASTM D698 (Design Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, Part 3.6.C.2).	C. Average moisture content was 1.1% above optimum.
4. Test Frequency:	4. Test Frequency: from Completion Report, App. E.
<ul> <li>A. In-place moisture and density - required once per 500 cubic yards of radon material placed (Design Specs. 02200, Rev. 2, Part 3.6.D.2.a).</li> </ul>	A. On average, 1 in-place moisture/density test performed per 451 cy placed. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
B. At least 2 field density and moisture tests required per day of material placed exceeding 150 cy (Procedure 6.1.4.d of the RAIP, Rev. 3A).	B. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
C. Classification/Gradation - required once per 2000 cubic yards of radon material placed. A minimum of one test per day if more than 150 cubic yards of radon barrier are placed (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.1.10).	C. On average, 1 clausi- fication was performed per 1455 cy, and one gradation test was performed per 1263 cy placed. Testing frequency charts show an acceptable average frequency of tests. The specific frequency was not met as noted in the body of the CRR.
D. One-Point Proctor - at least one test for each 10 field density tests (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.1.7).	D. On average, one Proctor verification was performed per 6.0 passing density tests. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.
E. Maximum Density/Optimum Moisture - at least one test for each 15,000 cubic yards radon barrier material placed (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.1.6).	E. On average, one density/ moisture test was performed per 4546 cy placed. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.

•``

ification Test Frequency: (continued) F. On average, one oven-dried comparison was performed per 4.5 microwave and 4.9
Test Frequency: (continued) F. On average, one oven-dried comparison was performed per 4.5 microwave and 4.9
<ul> <li>nuclear-density gauge tests.</li> <li>Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.</li> <li>G. On average, one sand-cone correlation was performed per 4.9 nuclear density measurements. Testing frequency charts show an acceptable average frequency of tests. The specific frequency was not met as noted</li> </ul>
-

•

.

Site: Tuba City, Arizona RAP Feature: Bedding Material	
RAP Requirement	Verification
1. Configuration:	1. Configuration:
A. Areal Extent - bedding to be placed beneath riprap on tailings embankment, aprons, and drainage ditches (RAP Drawing TUB-PS-10-0825).	A. Areal extent verified As-Built Drawing TUB-PS-10-0825.
B. Thickness - 6 inches in all places, with tolerances of 90% to 125% (5.4 to 7.5 inches) (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 3.2.A.2 and 3.2.A.3).	B. 6 inches in all places with a thickness tolerance of 0.1 feet (4.8 to 7.2 inches) (Completion Report, App. E).
C. Elevation - top surface within 0.1 feet of elevations shown on subcontract drawings (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 3.2.A.1).	C. As-built elevations are addressed in Appendix E of the Completion Report.
2. Naterial:	2. Material: from Completion Report, App. E
A. Gradation - See Design Specs. Rev. 2, Part 2.1.D.2.	A. Gradation summary curve verifies that bedding material met the gradation requirement.
B. Durability - Material shall have specific gravity not less than 2.65 (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 2.1.C.3).	B. Average specific gravity value of 2.91, lowest value of 2.89.
C. Durability - Not more than 5% weight loss in 5 sodium sulfate soundness cycles (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 2.1.C.3).	C. Soundness tests resulted in 2.37% average loss, 3.28% maximum loss.
3. Placement:	3. Placement:
A. Nominal 6-inch lifts to be compacted by four passes of 2- to 3-ton vibratory roller (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 3.1.C).	A. The material was compacted as required.
B. Material to be distributed uniformly with minimization of voids (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.3.6).	B. The placement was visually inspected and depth checks were performed.

.

. .

10

- Hanna

A Francisco

1 . State 1.

۰۰.

Site RAP	: Tuba City, Arizona Feature: Bedding Naterial		
RAP	Requirement	Verification	
4.	Test Frequency:	4. Test Frequency: from Completion Report, App. E	
	A. Durability tests ~ a minimum of 4 tests, and a minimum frequency of one test per 10,000 cubic yards of bedding material produced (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.2.1).	A. On average, one test was performed per 5328 cy produced. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.	
	B. Gradation tests - a minimum frequency of one test per 10,000 cubic yards of bedding material produced (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.2.2).	B. On average, one test was performed per 4359 cy produced. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.	
	C. Material blending - samples shall be obtained from the quarry conveyor belt at least once per 5000 cubic yards blended (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.2.5).	C. On average one sample was tested per 592 cy produced. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.	3

. .

Site: Tuba City, Arizona RAP Feature: Rock Cover	
RAP Requirement	Verification
1. Configuration:	1. Configuration:
A. Areal Extent - Rock cover to be placed as shown in RAP Drawings TUB-PS-10-0823 through -0829.	A. Areal extent verified in Drawings TUB-PS-10-0823 through -0829, dated 2/26/91 and 8/28/90.
B. Thickness - Type A Top Embankment 6 inches Side Slopes 12 inches Type B 12 inches Type C 18 inches Type D 24 inches Type E 24 inches Type F 36 inches (BAP Drawings TUR-PS-10-0823)	<ul> <li>B. Daily monitoring during excavation, production, stockpiling, loading, transportation and placement; assured quality control and proper placement. Thicknesses verified in As-Built Drawings TUB-PS-10-0823, -0825, -0827, -0828, and -0829.</li> </ul>
through -0829)	2 Natorial:
A. Gradation - (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 2.1 C.4). Type A -pile top, side slopes, secondary bedding Type B -side slopes and ditches Type C -ditches and aprons Type D -ditches and outlets Type E -ditches Type F -ditches	A. Gradation summary curves verify that all gradation requirements are met (Completion Report, App. E)
<ul> <li>B. Durability - Specific gravity shall exceed 2.65.</li> <li>Sodium sulfate soundness test shall not result in greater than 5% weight loss after 5 cycles. Abrasion testing shall not result in greater than 10% weight loss after 100 revolutions. (Design Specs. 02278, Rev. 2, Part 2.1.C.3).</li> </ul>	B. Durability testing was performed in accordance with the specifications. (Completion Report, App. E)
3. Placement:	3. Placement:
A. Uniform distribution and minimization of voids (RAIP, Rev. 3A, Procedure 6.3.6).	A. Daily inspections of riprap layers were conducted to assure that proper techniques were used to prevent degradation of the material, to ensure distribution was uniform and to minimize voids (Completion Report, App. E).

and the second second

the and the second s

RAP Feature: Rock Cover RAP Requirement	Verification
<ul> <li>4. Test Frequency:</li> <li>A. Gradation and Durability - each type of riprap shall be tested at least 4 times: once upon delivery, and then at least once per 10,000 cubic yards of each type placed. For total volumes less than 30,000 cubic yards for each type, testing shall be performed at approximate thirds, and a test at completion (RAIP Rev. 3A,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Test Frequency:</li> <li>A. Testing frequency charts show acceptable distribution and frequency of tests.</li> </ol>