#### September 5, 2006

NOTE TO: Steve Garchow

Chief Examiner, Operations Branch

FROM:

Vincent Gaddy, Chief

Operations Branch

Division of Reactor Safety

SUBJECT: EXAMINATION ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned as Chief of the Grand Gulf examination. The operating test has been scheduled to be completed by March 9, 2007. Thank you for contacting the Grand Guld facility to finalize the details of the examination. You are reminded that the RPS/IP system must be maintained to ensure that the examiners and numbers of candidates are accurate. In addition, you are reminded that only qualified examiners are permitted to conduct any part of the examination.

Vincent Gaddy, Chief Operations Branch

Division of Reactor Safety

CHIEF:	Steve Garchow	FACILITY:	Grand Gulf		D	ATE of OPI	ERATING EXAM:	3/5/07
Due Date	Description			Date Com	plete	Initials	Notes	
9/6/06	Exam Administra	ation Date Confir	med (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	7/24/	06	mb		
11/5/06	NRC Staff & Fac	cility Contact Ass	signed (C.1.d; C.2.e)	7/24/	06	Smb		
11/5/06	Facility Contact	Briefed on Secu	rity & Other Req's (C.2.c)	7/24/	06	8m6		
11/5/06	Corporate Notifi	cation Letter (ES	-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	10/1/	06	SME	produced by Chief I	xaminer
12/5/06	Reference Mate	rial Due (if NRC	authored) (C.1.e; C.3.c)	NA		NA		
12/20/06	Integrated Exam	Outlines Due (C	C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	12/20	06	82116		
12/25/06	Outlines Review	/ & NRC Feedba	ck Provided (c.2.h; C.3.e)	12/23	106	Smb		
1/19/07	Draft Exams w/F	References Due	(C.1.e,f,g,h; C.3.d)	1/19	107	8116		
2/3/07	*Peer Reviewer	Completes Revi	ew of Exam on ES-401-9	2/2/	07	Smt	Larson	
2/3/07	*NRC BC Appro	oves Feedback to	Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	2/5/	07	8m6		
2/3/07	*Exams Review	ed w/ Fac. (C.1.h	n;C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	2/7/	07	Smb		
2/3/07	Preliminary App	lications Due (C.	1.l;C.2.g; ES-202)	2/2/	07	Sm 4		
2/8/07	Preliminary App	lications Review	ed (C.1.l;C.2.g)	2/3/	07	Smo		
2/19/07	Final Application	ns Due (C.1.I;C.2	!.i;ES-202)	5/141		Smo		
2/19/07	On-Site Prepara	atory Week to Va	lidate Operating Exam	2/12/	07	Smt		
2/19/07	On-Site Audit (1	0%) of License A	App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	5/22/0	>7	Sms		
2/26/07	Final Appl. OK'e	ed & Waiver Lette	ers Sent (ES-204; C.2.e)	5/14/0	57	8m6		
2/26/07	NRC Supervisor	r Approved Final	Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)	5/14/		Smo		
2/26/07	Exam Approval (ES-201-4) Prep		att-4) and List of Applicants	5/14/	_	8m6	produced by OLA	
2/26/07	Proctor Rules R	eview w/Fac. & \	Written Authorized (C.3.k)	5/14		Smt		
2/26/07	Exam Material to	o Exam Team (C	2.3.i)	3/30/2	7	Sm 6		
3/5/07	Administer Ope	rating Exam On-	Site	5/21-2	24/07	8m6		
3/17/07	Facility Graded	Exam & Comme	nts Received	5/31 - 6		8m6		
3/20/07	NRC Written Ex	am Grading Con	npleted	6/5/0	<u>,</u> 7	Im 6		
3/20/07	Examiners Docu	ument Exam Gra	des on ES Forms	6/5/0	7	Sm 5		
3/30/07	NRC Chief Exar	miner Grading Re	eview Completed	6/5/0	<u>п</u>	8m6		
3/31/07	NRC BC Review	v Completed		1 7 T	07	Ar		
4/4/07	License/Denials	Signed & Repor	t Issued	4/15	<del>,                                    </del>	8m6		
4/4/07	RPS/IP Number	of Examinees U	pdated	3/20	107	8116	print Report-21	
4/19/07	Examination Re	port Issued		7/2/0	7	8m6	produced by Chief	Examiner
4/26/07	Package Closed	d Out						
4/26/07	Chief QA of AD	AMS and SISP re	eview complete					
• Indicates	the due dates are	more conservat	ive than the ES-201-1 requires					

Facility	: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam	mination:	21 Ma	y 2007
Item	Task Description		Initials	
		a	b*	c#
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	gr.	UUI	Smb
W R	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	of-	MU	Smb
I	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	A-	ama	But
T T	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	1	une	
E N		or	Mil	Smb
2. S	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	~	AML	8mb
I M U L	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	<i>p</i>	SHA	SNL
A T O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	-	Mel	Smb
3. W / T	<ul> <li>a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:</li> <li>(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form</li> <li>(3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)</li> </ul>			
	(4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.		Allit	Smb
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:  (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form  (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified  (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations  c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no		Mil College	Smf
4.	items are duplicated on subsequent days.	1		Sul
4.	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.  b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	1	MIL	OMB
G	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	James 1	THE	See 1
E	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.		11111	Com
N E	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	1 1 -	AUJIA.	01115
R		1000	MU	MG
A L	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	i B	Mu	Ruk
a. Autl	Printed Name/Signature  OF MICHAEL K. RASCH Muhal Kash	12/22/	) Date 2007	17770
b. Faci	lity Reviewer(*) MANNIN 1. CHAGE M 1 (6	2/24	67	
	Chief Examiner (#) Stephen GARChow / Pun Jaset 3	1191	<u>~ /</u> 5.7	
	Supervisor AT Gody Cot Stock	3/28	1	
		1	1 '	
Note:#	Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			

Facility: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station	Date	of Exam:	21 M:	ny 2007	Exam l	Level: R	o □ se	o 🛛
							Initial	
						a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers are technically accura	te and applicabl	e to the fac	ility.	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	MUL	Smt
2a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as	available.					43,	G/W.	Sm 6
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance	with Section D.	2.d of ES-4	01			15	91111	Smf
4. The sampling process was random and system 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL	•		· 2 SR	O questions were	repeated from the last	16	Mil	8m6
5. Question duplication from the license screenin that applies) and appears appropriate:  the audit exam was systematically and rando.  the audit exam was completed before the license the examinations were developed independent X the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	mly developed, onse exam was statly, or	or	ed as	indicated below (c	heck the item	4	llu	Smb
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 perce	ent	Bank		Modified	New			
from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and t or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only distribution(s) at right.		1(1%)/	/0	2(3%)/2(8%)	72(96%)/23(92%)	ĸ	Wiid	Smf
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions of written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/2 cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO quatright.	he SRO exam n As support the b	nay nigher		Memory Overall 37%	C/A Overall 63%	k		
			31	(41.3%)/6(24%)	44(58.7%) /19(76%)		flike	Smf
8. References/handouts provided do not give awa	ay answers or a	id in the eli	minat	tion of distractors.		6	AMC	Sm6
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A appropriate for the tier to which they are assi		•		roved examination	outline and is	b	Mpc	Sm f
10. Question psychometric quality and format m	eet the guidelin	es in ES A <sub>l</sub>	ppend	ix B.		19	SIII	Smb
11. The exam contains the required number of o value on the cover sheet.	ne-point, multip	ole choice it	tems;	the total is correct	and agrees with the	19	llu	Smf
		Printed	Name	/ Signature			Da	ıte
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Regional Supervisor	David Stept AUTHO	KGri WINL HEN GA	11/16/1000	/ STE	h flory		2-27 02/2 3/1°	1-07 1967 1107
Note:* The facility reviewer's initials/signature # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Co				=	ns.			

Facility:	GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Examination: 05/21/200	7 – 0	5/25/	2007
	4 OFFICE ALL CONTENTS		Initials	s
	1. GENERAL CRITERIA	а	b*	C#
а.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	premi	9/11	Smb
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	sem	Ma	Mb
C.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s) (see Section D.1.a).	æ	Mil	Sm
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	سسار	THE	Sint
е.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	6 Paris	11111	Some
	2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:			
	<ul> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initialing cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include:         <ul> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	K	JUU	Smb
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk trough outlines (Forms 301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g. item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2	×		Smb
	3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	K	MU	Smt
c. NRC	Printed Name / Signature  or	02	Date 22/28/19/19/19/19/19	207
NOTE:	<ul> <li>* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</li> <li># Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.</li> </ul>			

Facility	r: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam: 05/21/2007 – 05/25	2007 Scenario Numbe	ers: <b>1</b> ,	/ <b>2</b> /	
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials	3
			а	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation ma but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	y be out of service,	d	AUU	SNA
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		-	MU	m6
3.	Each event description consists of  the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)		il	A/W	Smb
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scredible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	scenario without a	4-	9114	Smb
5	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		سعد	1111	Smb
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	obtain complete	k	AJV	Smb
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues a		a	Mil	Smb
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		62	Mil	Smb
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46 (d), any open simula deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure t maintained while running the planned scenarios.	tor performance nat functional fidelity is	2-	2111	Эт
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scer scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	nario. All other	va	11iil	Sout
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-30 along with the simulator scenarios).	1-6 (submit the form	ند	illic	Smb
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	events specified on	u	વિદ્યા	But
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew posi-	ion.	in	Allu	Suf
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	1 2 Actual Attributes			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7 / 7 /	u	MIII	SW
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 1 /	m	Mii	Sme
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 5 2 Smb	2	THE	mo
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 /	2	MIII	Sme
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 1 /	n	Mu	Smi
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 0 /	n	Mu	Smb
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	4 / 1 2 me	ki	Shil	SME

Facility:	Grand	Gulf N	luclea	ar St	ation	Date	of Exa	am: (	5/21/2	007		Operat	ing Tes	t No.:			
Α	E				·			Sc	enarios	S					T		
P P L	V E N		1			2			3			4		T O		M I N	
I C A N	T T Y		CREW DSITION	I		CREW OSITIOI	N		CREW OSITIO			CREW OSITIO	N	T A L		M U M(*	*)
T	P E	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	1	U
D0	RX		2											1	1	1	0
RO	NOR		1		1									2	1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C		3, 4, 5, 6		3, 5, 6, 7		·							8	4	4	2
⊠ SRO-U	MAJ		5, 6 7		8									2	2	2	1
	TS				3, 4, 5, 6									3	0	2	2
	RX				0, 0	2								1	1	1	0
RO	NOR	1				1								2	1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C	3, 4, 5, 6, 8				3, 5, 6, 7								9	4	4	2
SRO-U ⊠	MAJ	7				8								2	2	2	1
	TS	4, 5												2	0	2	2
	RX		2											1	1	1	0
RO	NOR		1		1									2	1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C		3, 4, 5, 6		3, 5, 6, 7									8	4	4	2
⊠ SRO-U	MAJ		7		8									2	2	2	1
	TS				3, 4, 5, 6									3	0	2	2
	RX				-, -	2							- "	1	1	1	0
RO	NOR	1				1								2	1	1	1
SRO-I ⊠	I/C	3, 4, 5, 6, 8	:			3, 5, 6, 7								9	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	7				8								2	2	2	1
	TS	4, 5												2	0	2	2

#### Instructions:

- 1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- 2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: <b>Grand G</b> <b>Station</b>	ulf Nuc	lear		Da	ate of E	xamina	tion	•	21 Ma	y 2007	,	(	Operatii	ng Test	No.:	
					APPLICANTS											
	RO				RO 🗆			RO 🗆			RO 🗆					
	SRO	-I 🛛			SRO	-I 🗆			SRO-I ⊠			SRO-I ⊠				
	SRO	-U П			SRO				SRC	)-U [	7		SRO	-U □		
Competencies		ENAF	RIO		l	ENAF	RIO			CENA	RIC	)		ENAF	RIO	
Competeriolog	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	<del></del>	4
	atc	SS	-		SS	atc			atc	SS			SS	atc		
Interpret/Diagnose	3; 4;	3; 4;			3; 4;	2; 5;			3; 4;	3; 4;			3; 4;	2; 5;		
Events and	5; 6; 7	5; 6; 7; 8			5; 6; 7	6; 7; 8			5; 6; 7	5; 6; 7; 8			5; 6; 7	6; 7; 8		
Conditions Comply With and	1; 2;	ALL			ALL	1; 2;			1; 2;	ALL			ALL	1; 2;		
Use Procedures	3; 4;				,	5; 6;	333		3; 4;				,	5; 6;		
(1)	5; 6; 7					7; 8			5; 6; 7					7; 8		
Operate Control	1; 2;	N/A			N/A	1; 2;			1; 2;	N/A			N/A	1; 2;		
Boards (2)	3; 4; 5; 6;					5; 6; 7; 8			3; 4;					5; 6; 7; 8	100	
	7					7,0			5; 6; 7					7,0		
Communicate and	1; 2;	ALL			ALL	1; 2;			1; 2;	ALL			ALL	1; 2;		STAGE
Interact	3; 4; 5; 6;					5; 6; 7; 8			3; 4; 5; 6;					5; 6; 7; 8		
	7								7							
Demonstrate	N/A	ALL			ALL	N/A			N/A	ALL			ALL	N/A		
Supervisory Ability (3)																
Comply With and	N/A	3; 4;			4; 5	N/A			N/A	3; 4;			4; 5	N/A		
Use Tech Specs		5; 6	71.75							5; 6						
(3)																
Notes: (1) Includes Te	ochnico	l Snooi	fice	tion	oomnlie	anoo fo	ran	DC.	`							
(1) Includes To (2) Optional fo			ııca	uOH	compile	ance 10	an	ПU	<b>,</b>							
(3) Only Applic																

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Note: SROU will be surrogate ATC in scenario 2. A Surrogate operator will perform role of BOP.

Facility: GRAND GULF Date of Exam: 5/21/2007 Exam	Level: R	o□s	RO⊠			
		Initials				
Item Description	а	ь	С			
Clean answer sheets copied before grading	K	M	Smb			
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	4	M	Smb			
Applicants' scores checked for addition errors     (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	H	M	8mb			
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	4	M	Smb			
All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	K	m	8m6			
Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	4	M	8m6			
Printed Name/Signature		C	ate			
a. Grader Kyle Grillis Delle		5.2	+-07			
b. Facility Reviewer(*) MICHAEL K. RASCH Muhal W	Post	5/2	14/2007			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) Stephen M GARChow M Sant 6/19/2						
d. NRC Supervisor (*) AIT. Gody attack	-	ref.	19/200			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations two independent NRC reviews are required.	graded	by the	NRC;			

# 2007 LOT Exam

Form ES-201-3 **Examination Security Agreement** 

#### **Pre-Examination**

as of the date these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of  $\frac{3.2 \cdot 07}{10.000}$ may have been compromised.

### Post-Examination

instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted during the week(s) of  $\frac{3/2/o7}{}$ . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered below and authorized by the NRC.

7	A
DATE NOTE  5.24.07  5.24.207  5.24.207  5.24.207  5.24.207  5.24.207  5.24.207	25-28-2807 24M1 07 29M40 07 2000 (6) 37001 5-27-67
SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE  SIGNATURE (2)  M. A. J. Kas.  S. 24.07  S. 24.24  S	Strain Indie
25.06 27.5.06 27.5.06 27.5.06 10.30196	1 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
SIGNATURE (1) 4.5.6  M. La Xud.  M. M. M. M. L.  I. D. L. M. M. M. L.  I. D. L. M. M. M. L.  I. D. M. M. M. M. L.  I. D. M. M. M. M. L.  I. D. M. M. M. M. M. L.  I. D. M.	South Who
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY  OUS INSTRUCTOR Exam led  Significan Signification Specialist  Extendity Signification OPS  Control Room Signification OPS  Control Room Signification OPS  Control Room Signification OPS  Signification Signification OPS  Reactor Opensor OPS  Signification Signification OPS  Signification Special OPS  Signification Signification OPS  Signification Signification OPS  Reactor Opensor OPS  Signification O	ON Tableta locare merciqued  80/095  80/095  Chiff Marchet
PRINTED NAME  1. Kyle (TV.)   1.5  2. M. K. C. H. Sout  3. M. C. L. C. C. C. M. M. C. C. S. C.	11. JOHN HAW SOAPKS 12. STEPHEN EMINTT 13. Jess Turnage 14. Jerry HOLOWAN 15. Frankland

Page 1 of 2

## 2007 LOT Exam

ES-201-3	
Form	
reement	
urity Ag	
amination Secur	
xamina	
S-201	
ш	

#### Pre-Examination

authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized l acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 3-2-07 as of the enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3-2-61. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically

2) DATE NOTE (2) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (4) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	
Slop SIGNATURE (2)	
(1) DATE 3/15/1	
SIGNATURE (1)	
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY  RO  Simulato Fng  Simulato Engl	
1. Janes Mersyle 2. CARLOS DAWSON T. 3. Kabert Dolland 4. Marty MS Provy 5. DM. Succepted 6.	9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. NOTES:

Page 2 of 2

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 01/01/2007 To 06/30/2007

07:39:18 Page 1 of 4 03/20/2007

Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

# Candidates Type Exam Author Chief Examiner Examiners Assigned	SROI - 3 Prep FFF GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	SROU - 1	SROI-3 Admin FFF GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	SROU - 1 TINDELL, BRIAN W.
Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	02/12/2007 Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2007301	TAC #: X02328 SROU -	05/21/2007 Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2007301	TAC #: X02328 SROU -

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2007 To 06/30/2007

07:39:18 Page 2 of 4 03/20/2007 Region: 4 Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date 02/2007 GG - Grand Gulf

Total for Grand Gulf: 4		Total for 02/2007: 4		Total for Grand Gulf: 4
LSRO - 0		LSRO-0		LSRO-0
SROU - 1		SROU - 1		SROU - 1
SROI - 3		SROI - 3		SROI - 3
RO - 0	02/2007	RO - 0	05/2007 GG - Grand Gulf	RO - 0

Page 3 of 4

07:39:18

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2007 To 06/30/2007

03/20/2007 Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Summary By Site GG - Grand Gulf RO - 0

SROI - 6

LSRO-0 SROU - 2

Total for Grand Gulf: 8

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2007 To 06/30/2007

07:39:18

03/20/2007 Report 21

Page 4 of 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Summary By Region Region 4 RO - 0

SROI - 6

SROU - 2

LSRO-0

Total for Region 4: 8