MC 0609 Training

A. Summary of Changes

1

- 1. Revised the Counting Rule
- 2. Added Usage Rules
- B. Phase 2 PWR Example Problem
 - 1. Division I EDG is out of service for 25 days
 - 2. No credit for operator recovery
- C. SDP Self Study
 - 1. Break into small groups (2-3 people per group)
 - 2. Solve examples
 - 3. Each person record answers on the website
 - 4. Rudy is available for questions at (24618)

Troy is available for questions at (24575)

| | Counting Rule Worksheet | Counting Rule Worksheet | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Step | Instructions | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (1) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 9. | (1) | | | | |
| (2) | Divide the result of Step (1) by 3 and round down. | (2) | | | | |
| (3) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 8. | (3) | | | | |
| (4) | Add the result of Step (3) to the result of Step (2). | (4) | | | | |
| (5) | Divide the result of Step (4) by 3 and round down. | (5) | | | | |
| (6) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 7. | (6) | | | | |
| (7) | Add the result of Step (6) to the result of Step (5). | (7) | | | | |
| (8) | Divide the result of Step (7) by 3 and round down. | (8) | | | | |
| (9) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 6. | (9) | | | | |
| (10) | Add the result of Step (9) to the result of Step (8). | (10) | | | | |
| (11) | Divide the result of Step (10) by 3 and round down. | (11) | | | | |
| (12) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 5. | (12) | | | | |
| (13) | Add the result of Step (12) to the result of Step (11). | (13) | | | | |
| (14) | Divide the result of Step (13) by 3 and round down. | (14) | | | | |
| (15) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 4. | (15) | | | | |
| (16) | Add the result of Step (15) to the result of Step (14). | (16) | | | | |
| If the result of Step 16 is greater than zero, then the risk significance of the inspection finding is of high safety significance (RED). If the result of Step 13 is greater than zero, then the risk significance of the inspection finding is at least of substantial safety significance (YELLOW). If the result of Step 10 is greater than zero, then the risk significance of the inspection finding is at least of low to moderate safety significance (WHITE). If the result of Steps 10, 13, and 16 are zero, then the risk significance of the inspection finding is of very low safety significance (GREEN). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 6 - Counting Rule Worksheet

1

Attachment 2

Site Specific Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook Usage Rules

| СС | NTENTS | na an a |
|----|-----------|--|
| 1. | Determini | ng Initiating Event Likelihood |
| | 1.1 | Exposure Time |
| | 1.2 | Inspection Finding (Not Involving a Support System) |
| | | that Increases the Likelihood of an Initiating Event A2-2 |
| | 1.3 | Inspection Finding (Normally Cross-tied Support System) |
| | | that Increases the Likelihood of an Initiating Event A2-3 |
| | 1.4 | Inspection Finding (Normally Running Components of a |
| | | Split Train Support System) that Increases the Likelihood |
| | | of an Initiating Event and the Impact on Mitigating System |
| | | Capability Can Be Explicitly Determined A2-4 |
| | 1.5 | Inspection Finding (Normally Standby Components of a |
| | | Split I rain Support System) that increases the Likelinood |
| | | or an initiating Event and the impact on Mitigating System |
| | 16 | Lapability Can be Explicitly Determined |
| | 1.0 | Inspection Findings Involving Energency Dieser Generators A2-5 |
| 2 | Determini | ng Remaining Mitigation Capability A2-7 |
| | 2.1 | Inspection Finding that Degrades Mitigation Capability and |
| | | Does Not Reduce Remaining Mitigation Capability Credit to a |
| | | Value Less Than Full Mitigation Credit A2-7 |
| | 2.2 | Inspection Finding (Normally Split Train Support System) |
| | | that Does Not Increase the Likelihood of an Initiating Event |
| | | and the Impact on Mitigating Systems Can Be Explicitly |
| | | Determined A2-8 |
| | 2.3 | Inspection Findings Involving a Loss of Redundancy of |
| | • • | Equipment |
| | 2.4 | Inspection Findings Involving Equipment that Impacts |
| • | <u>.</u> | Operator Action Credit |
| 3. | Character | Izing the Risk Significance of Inspection Findings |
| | 3.1 | Counting Bule A2-10 |
| | 3.Z | Counting Rule A2-10 |

| | | Rema | ining Mitigation | Capability Cree | dit (with Examp | les) | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--------|
| | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Initiating Event Likelihood | 2 Multi-Train Systems OR 1 Train + 1 Multi-Train System + Recovery of Failed Train | 1 Train + 1 Multi-Train System OR 1 Multi-Train System + 1 Automatic Steam- Driven (ASD) Train + Recovery of Failed Train | 2 Diverse Trains OR 1 Multi-Train System + Recovery of Failed Train | 1 Train + Recovery of Failed Train OR 1 Multi-Train System | 1 Train OR 1 Automatic Steam-Driven (ASD) Train + Recovery of Failed Train | Recovery of Failed Train OR 1 Automatic Steam-Driven (ASD) Train | None |
| 1 | Green | White | Yellow | Red | Red | Red | Red |
| 2 | Green | Green | White | Yellow | Red | Red | Red |
| 3 | Green | Green | Green | White | Yellow | Red | Red |
| 4 | Green | Green | Green | Green | White | Yellow | Red |
| 5 | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | White | Yellow |
| 6 | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | White |
| 7 | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green |
| 8 | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green | Green |

| Table 4 - Risk Significance | Estimation | Matrix |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|
|------------------------------------|------------|--------|

• ,

.

| Reference/Title (LER #, Inspection Report #, etc): | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Deficiency (concise statement clearly statin | g the deficient licensee performance): | | | | |
| Factual Description of Identified Condition (statement of failures included): | of <u>facts</u> known about the finding, without hypothetical | | | | |
| System(s) and train(s) degraded by identified condition: | | | | | |
| Licensing Basis Function of System(s) or Train(s) (as appli | icable): | | | | |
| Other Safety Function of System(s) or Train(s) (as applical | ble): | | | | |
| Maintenance Rule category (check one): risk-sigr | nificantnon-risk-significant | | | | |
| Time that identified condition existed or is assumed to have | e existed: | | | | |
| Functions and Cornerstones degraded as a result of the | nis identified condition (check ✓) | | | | |
| INITIATING EVENT CORNERSTO | NE | | | | |
| Transient initiator contributor (e.g., reactor/turbine trip, loss offsite power) | | | | | |
| Primary or Secondary system main steam/feedwater_pipe | n LOCA initiator contributor (e.g., RCS or e degradations and leaks) | | | | |
| MITIGATION SYSTEMS CORNERSTONE | BARRIERS CORNERSTONE | | | | |
| Core Decay Heat Removal Degraded | RCS LOCA Mitigation Boundary Degraded | | | | |
| Initial Injection Heat Removal Degraded | (e.g., PORV block valve, PTS issue) | | | | |
| Primary (e.g., Safety Inj) | Containment Barrier Degraded | | | | |
| Low Pressure | Reactor Containment Degraded | | | | |
| High Pressure | Actual Breach or Bypass | | | | |
| Secondary - PWR only (e.g., AFW) | Heat Removal, Hydrogen or Pressure Control Degraded | | | | |
| Y Long Term Heat Removal Degraded (e.g., ECCS sump recirculation, suppression pool cooling) | Control Room, Aux Bldg, or Spent Fuel Bldg Barrier Degraded | | | | |
| Reactivity Control Degraded | Fuel Cladding Barrier Degraded | | | | |
| Fire/Flood/Seismic/Weather Protection Degraded | of 3 | | | | |

| SDP PHASE 1 SCREENING WORKSHEET FOR IE, MS, and B CORNERSTONES Check the appropriate boxes 🖌 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| If the finding is assumed to degrade: 1. fire protection defense in depth (DID), detection, suppression, barriers, fire brigade. STOP. Go to IMC 0609, Appendix F 2. the safety of a shutdown reactor. STOP. Go to IMC 0609, Appendix G 3. the safety of an operating reactor, identify the degraded areas: 4. Initiating Event # Mitigation Systems <u>RCS Barrier</u> Fuel Barrier Containment Barriers 4. Two or more of the above areas degraded 5. If only one of the above areas is degraded, continue only in the appropriate column below. | | | | | | | |
| Initiating Event 1. Does the finding contribute to the likelihood of a Primary or Secondary system LOCA initiator? □ If YES→Stop. Go to Phase 2 □ If YES→Stop. Go to Phase 2 □ If NO, continue 2. Does the finding contribute to both the likelihood of a reactor trip AND the likelihood that mitigation equipment or functions will not be available? □ If YES→Stop. Go to Phase 2 □ If YES→Stop. Go to Phase 2 □ If YES→Stop. Go to Phase 2 □ If NO, continue 3. Does the finding increase the likelihood of a fire or internal/external flood? □ If YES → Use the IPEEE or other existing plant-specific analyses to identify core damage scenarios of concern and factors that increase the frequency. Provide this input for Phase 3 analysis. □ If NO, screen as Green | Mitigation Systems Is the finding a design or qualification deficiency confirmed not to result in loss of function per GL 91-18 (rev 1)? If YES → screen as Green If NO, continue Does the finding represent an actual loss of safety function of a System? If YES → Stop. Go to Phase 2 If NO, continue Does the finding represent an actual loss of safety function of a single Train, for > its Tech Spec Allowed Outage Time? If YES → Stop. Go to Phase 2 If NO, continue Does the finding represent an actual loss of safety function of a single Train, for > its Tech Spec Allowed Outage Time? If YES → Stop. Go to Phase 2 If NO, continue Does the finding represent an actual loss of safety function of one or more non-Tech Spec Trains of equipment designated as risk-significant per 10CFR50.65, for >24 hrs? If YES → Stop. Go to Phase 2 If NO, continue Does the finding screen as potentially risk significant due to a seismic, fire, flooding, or severe weather initiating event, using the criteria on page 3 of this Worksheet? If YES → Use the IPEEE or other existing plant-specific analyses to identify core damage scenarios of concern and provide this input for Phase 3 analysis. | RCS Barrier or Fuel Barrier 1. RCS Barrier Stop. Go to Phase 2 2. Fuel Barrier screen as Green | Containment Barriers 1. Does the finding <u>only</u> represent a degradation of the radiological barrier function provided for the control room, or auxiliary building, or spent fuel pool, or SBGT system (BWR)? ☐ If YES → screen as Green ☐ If NO, continue 2. Does the finding represent a degradation of the barrier function of the control room against smoke or a toxic atmosphere? ☐ If YES → Stop. Go to Phase 3 ☐ If NO, continue 3. Does the finding represent an actual open pathway in the physical integrity of reactor containment or an actual open pathway in the physical integrity of reactor containment or an actual reduction of the atmospheric pressure control function of the reactor containment? ☐ If YES → Stop. Go to Appendix H of IMC 0609 ☐ If NO, screen as Green Page 2 of 3 | | | | |

1

1

1

1

1

1

ļ

Ę

| SDP PHASE 1 SCREENING WORKSHEET FOR IE, MS, and B CORNERSTONES |
|--|
| Seismic, Fire, Flooding, and Severe Weather Screening Criteria |
| Does the finding involve the loss or degradation of equipment or function specifically designed to mitigate a seismic, flooding, or severe weather initiating event (e.g., seismic snubbers, flooding barriers, tornado doors)? (Equipment and functions for the mitigation or suppression of fire initiating events, such as thermal wrap or sprinkler systems, should be evaluated using IMC 0609 Appendix F and are not evaluated here) |
| □If YES → continue to question 2 □If NO → skip to question 3 |
| 2. If the equipment or safety function is assumed to be completely failed or unavailable, are ANY of the following three statements TRUE? The loss of this equipment or function by itself, during the external initiating event it was intended to mitigate |
| a) would cause a plant trip or any of the Initiating Events used by Phase 2 for the plant in question; |
| b) would degrade two or more Trains of a multi-train safety system or function; |
| c) would degrade one or more Trains of a system that supports a safety system or function. |
| □If YES → the finding is potentially risk significant due to external initiating event core damage sequences - return to page 2 of this Worksheet □If NO, screen as Green |
| 3. Does the finding involve the total loss of any safety function, identified by the licensee through a PRA, IPEEE, or similar analysis, that contributes to external event initiated core damage accident sequences (i.e., initiated by a seismic, fire, flooding, or severe weather event)? |
| □If YES → the finding is potentially risk significant due to external initiating event core damage sequences - return to page 2 of this Worksheet □If NO, screen as Green |
| Result of Phase 1 screening process: |
| □ Screen as Green □ Go to Phase 2 □ Go to Phase 3 |
| Important Assumptions (as applicable): |
| |
| |
| |
| Page 3 of 3 |

Fage 3

| Affected Systems | Major Components | Support Systems | Initiating Event Scenarios |
|--|--|---|--|
| Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) | Auxiliary Feedwater Three MDPs ^(1,2) (AFW) | | All except MLOCA, LLOCA |
| | One TDP ⁽²⁾ | DC, ESFAS | All except MLOCA, LLOCA, SGTR, MSLB |
| | Feedwater isolation valves for MDPs | 480V | SGTR, MSLB |
| | Feedwater isolation valve for TDP | DC | |
| Chemical and Volume Control System (CVCS) | Two centrifugal charging pumps (CCP) ⁽³⁾ , 160 gpm @ 2575 psi | 4.16-kV, 480V, DC, CCW, room cooling ⁽³⁾ | SGTR, ATWS |
| | Two boric acid transfer pumps ⁽³⁾ | | ATWS |
| Component Cooling Water System (CCW) | Three trains, each with one pump | 4.16-kV, DC, ECW, ESFAS | LCCW |
| Electric Power System | Three Class 1E 4.16-kV buses | EAB HVAC, DC | All |
| | Three Standby Diesel Generators | DC, ESFAS, ECW | LOOP, LEAC |
| | Three trains of Class 1E 480V load centers and motor control centers | 4.16kV, DC, EAB HVAC | All |
| | Class 1E vital 120V AC (4 trains) | DC, 480V, EAB HVAC | All |

Table 2 Initiators and System Dependency for Generic PWR Nuclear Power Plant

| Affected Systems | Major Components | Support Systems | Initiating Event Scenarios | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Four Class 1E 125V DC distribution buses, each supplied by two chargers and one battery. The duration of the batteries is 6 to 8 hours. | 480V, EAB HVAC | All | | |
| Engineered Safeguards Features Actuation System (ESFAS) | Three actuation trains, each with a load sequencer | 120V vital AC, DC | All | | |
| Essential Cooling Water System (ECWS) | Three trains, each with one pump | 4.16-kV, 480V (for MOVs), DC, ESFAS | All | | |
| High Head Safety Injection (HHSI) System | Three pumps (800 gpm @1275 psi, shutoff head = 1650 psid) | 4.16-kV, 480V, DC, ESFAS, SI pump room cooling ⁽⁸⁾ | All except LLOCA, ATWS, LODC | | |
| Instrument Air (IA) | Two IA compressors (per unit). Back up is two station air compressors | Offsite power, BOP diesel ⁽⁵⁾ | LOIA | | |
| Low Head Safety Injection (LHSI) System | Three pumps | 4.16-kV, 480V, DC, ESFAS, SI pump room cooling ⁽⁸⁾ | All except ATWS, LCCW, LODC | | |
| Main Steam Isolation System | For each steam generator: one MSIV [FW isolation and Control Valves ⁽¹⁰⁾] | Offsite power and IA, DC, ESFAS | SGTR, MSLB | | |
| | For each steam generator: one PORV | 480V, DC, 120V vital AC | All except LLOCA, and MLOCA | | |
| | For each steam generator: five safety relief valves | None | TPCS, LOOP, ATWS, LEAC | | |
| Primary Relief System | Two PORVs | DC ⁽⁹⁾ | TPCS, SLOCA, SORV, LOOP, SGTR, ATWS, MSLB, LEAC | | |
| | Two block valves | 480V ⁽⁹⁾ | SORV | | |

ť

r I

.

| Affected Systems | Major Components | Support Systems | Initiating Event Scenarios |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Pressurizer normal spray | DC (for valves). RCPs 1A and 1D for two spray valves, IA | SGTR |
| | Pressurizer auxiliary spray | DC (for valve), CVCS (CCP) flow, IA | |
| | Three safety relief valves | None | ATWS |
| Reactor Containment Fan Coolers (RCFCs) | Three trains, each with two cooler units | 480V, DC, ESFAS, CCW | TPCS, SLOCA, SORV, MLOCA, LLOCA, LOOP, SGTR, MSLB, LEAC |
| Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Cooling (RCP) | Seals and thermal barriers of four Reactor Coolant Pumps (RCP) | CCW, CVCS. The success criteria is 1 / 3 CCW pumps to the RCP thermal barriers (1 multi- train system) or 1 / 2 centrifugal charging pumps for RCP seal injection (1 multi-train system) | SLOCA |
| Residual Heat Removal System (RHRS) | Three trains; pumps, valves, and heat exchangers | 480V, DC, CCW | TPCS, SLOCA, SORV, MLOCA, LLOCA, LOOP, SGTR, MSLB, LEAC |
| SI Pump Room Cooling ⁽⁸⁾ | Supplemental coolers and three manual valves in the chilled water line | 480V, ECW | TPCS, SLOCA, SORV, MLOCA, LLOCA, LOOP, SGTR, MSLB, LEAC |

•

÷

J.

.

Notes:

- 1. The licensee indicated that no support system for room cooling is required for MDAFW pumps. It therefore referred to as dedicated room cooling.
- 2. The licensee indicated that no support system for cooling these components is required, e.g. turbine driven AFW pump room.
- 3. The CCPs need room cooling as indicated in the table. Emergency boration and use of the boric acid transfer pumps are not credited in the IPE. We consider that they are part of the CVCS.
- 4.) Each of the three standby diesel generators per unit has individual fuel oil storage tanks with enough fuel for seven days. These tanks are mounted above the diesel generator bays. The fuel oil is gravity fed to the individual engine driven booster pump and to the standby booster pump.
- 5. The BOP diesel generator supplies power to instrument air compressor 12 and the auxiliary cooling water pump. On loss of offsite power, operator action is necessary to restore these components to operation.
- 6. MSIVs close on loss of instrument air after loss of offsite power.
- 7. The steam generator PORVs are hydraulically actuated. The hydraulic system stores energy in an accumulator which is pressurized by a pump powered from Class 1E 480V power. The PORVs are modeled by the licensee as failing to operate on loss of AC power.
- 8. Loss of pump room cooling is of concern if more than one train is running. Since in SI all trains will be actuated, room cooling is assumed to be needed.
- 9. PORV and the associated Block valve are fed from the same division of DC and AC respectively.
- 10. FW isolation and control valves fail closed on loss of power.

| Row | Approximate Frequency | Example Event Type | Initiating Event Likelihood (I | | od (IEL) |
|-----|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| I | I > 1 per 1-10 yr Loss of Power Conversion System (TPCS) | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 11 | 1 per 10-10² yr | Loss of offsite power (LOOP), Loss of Class 1E 125V DC Bus A or B (LODC) | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 111 | 1 per 10² - 10³ yr | Steam Generator Tube Rupture (SGTR), Stuck open PORV/SRV (SORV), Small LOCA including RCP seal failures (SLOCA), Main Steam Line Break Outside Containment (MSLB) | 3 4 | | 5 |
| IV | 1 per 10³ - 10⁴ yr | Medium LOCA (MLOCA) . I OOP with Loss of One Class 1E (4.16-kV BUS (LEAC) | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| v | 1 per 10⁴ - 10⁵ yr | Large LOCA (LLOCA), Loss of Component Cooling Water (LCCW) | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| VI | less than 1 per 10⁵ yr | ATWS ⁽¹⁾ | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| | | | > 30 days | 3-30 days) | < 3 days |
| | | | Exposure T | ime for Degrade | d Condition |

Table 1 - Categories of Initiating Events for Generic PWR Nuclear Power Plant

Notes:

3. The SDP worksheets for ATWS core damage sequences assume that the ATWS is not recoverable by manual actuation of the reactor trip function. Thus, the ATWS frequency to be used by these worksheets must represent the ATWS condition that can only be mitigated by the systems shown in the worksheet (e.g., boration). Any inspection finding that represents a loss of capability for manual reactor trip for a postulated ATWS scenario should be evaluated by a risk analyst to consider the probability of a successful manual trip.

SEE RULE 1.6

Table 3.6SDP Worksheet for Generic PWR Nuclear Power Plant —
Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP)

| Safety Functions Needed: Emergency AC Power (EAC) Secondary Heat Removal (TDAFW) Secondary Heat Removal (AFW) Recovery of AC Power in < 2 hrs (REC2) Recovery of AC power in < 5 hrs (REC5) Early Inventory, HP Injection (EIHP) Primary Heat Removal, Feed/Bleed (FB) Low Pressure Recirculation (LPR) | | Full Creditable 1/3 Standby Di- 1/1 TDAFW pu 1/3 MDAFW tra Recovery of AC Recovery of AC 1/3 HHSI pump 2/2 pressurizer 1/3 LHSI trains cooling flow ali | e Mitigation Capability for Each Safety Fun- esel Generators (1 multi-train system) ($\frac{1}{2}$, mp (1 ASD train) with 1/5 safety relief valves ains (1 multi-train system) or 1/1 TDAFW train C power (operator action = 1) ⁽¹⁾ C power (operator action = 2) ^(3,4) os (1 multi-train system) PORVs open for Feed/Bleed (operator action and with the associated 1/3 RHR heat excha gned to CCW (1 multi-train system) | $\frac{\text{ction}}{\text{per SG that is f}}$ $\frac{1}{1} \text{ ASD train}$ $\frac{1}{1} = 2) (Porv' > 4$ $\frac{1}{1} \text{ ngers or } 2/6 \text{ RC}$ | RAIN) (RUE 23) ed by AFW RE DC AWERED FCs with |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Circle Affected Functions | | <u>IEL</u> | Remaining Mitigation Capability Rating for Each Affected Sequence | <u>Recovery of</u> Failed Train | <u>Results</u> |
| 1 LOOP - AFW - LPR (3) 2 + 4 + 3 | 9 | | | | |
| 2 LOOP - AFW - FB (4) 2 + 4 + 2 | 8 | | | : | |
| 3 LOOP - AFW - EIHP (5) 2 + 4 + 3 | 9 | | | | |
| 4 LOOP (EAC) LPR (7, 11) 2 + 3 + 3 (AC Recovered) | 8 | 3 | 3 + 3 | Ø | 9 |
| 5 LOOP - EAC - EIHP (8, 13) 2 + 3 + 3 (AC Recovered) | 8 | 3 | 3 + 3 | ø | 9 |
| 6 LOOP - EAC - REC5 (9) 2 + 3 + 2 | 7 | 3 | 3 + 2 | Ø | 8 |
| 7 LOOP - EAC TDAFW - FB (12) 2 + 3 + 1 + 2 (AC Recovered) | 8 | 3 | 3+1+2 | Q | 9 |

| 8 L | .00P - EAC - TDAFW - REC2 (14) 2 + 3 + 1 + 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 + 1 + (| | Ø. | 8 |
|------------|--|------------------|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Ide | entify any operator recovery action | s that | t are credited | to directly restore the degrade | d equipr | nent or initiatin | g event: |
| | | | | , , | | 1 | - |
| | | | | | | · . | |
| lf o | perator actions are required to credit placing mitigation | on equij | pment in service or f | for recovery actions, such credit should be give | en only if the | following criteria are i | net: 1) sufficient |
| tim cor | e is available to implement these actions, 2) environ ditions similar to the scenario assumed, and 5) any | mental equipn | conditions allow acc nent needed to com | cess where needed, 3) procedures exist, 4) tra uplete these actions is available and ready for | lining is cond use. | lucted on the existing | procedures under |
| | | | | | | 1 | |
| Not | <u>es</u> : | | | | | | |
| 1. | For the function "Recovery of AC Power | in < 2 | ! hrs (REC2)", g | eneric value was used. | | | |
| 2. | The HEP value provided by the licensee | for th | is action is 0.3. | | | ¢. | |
| _ | | | | | |) ;,, | |
| 3. | For the function "Recovery of AC Power this human action. | in < 5 | 5 hrs (REC5)", n | o human error probability was found | in the IPE | . We used the g | eneric value for |
| 4. | In an SBO situation, an RCP seal LOCA | may | occur, with subs | sequent core damage at about 5 hou | rs. | 1 | |
| | | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 01465 | > | |
| | | | | 0 | ~ | ŗ | |
| | | | | 9= | : 3 | | |
| | | | | | 2 | 24 .* | |
| | | | | 8: | = ~ | | |
| | | | | | | 44 - 1 - 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ı | |
| | | | | | | | |

.

.

•

•

RULE 1.6 (Increase IEL BY 2 Großes of MAGAITUDE + Loss of One Class 1E 4.16-kV Bus (LEAC)⁽¹⁾

| Safety Functions Needed: | | Full Creditable Mitigation Capability for Each Safety Function: | | | | |
|--|-------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|--|
| PORV Recloses (PORV) Secondary Heat Removal (AFW) High Pressure Injection for FB (EIHP) Primary Heat Removal, Feed/Bleed (FB) Low Pressure Recirculation (LPR) | | 2/2 Pressurizer PORVs reclose after opening during transient (1 train) ½ MDAFW trains (1 multi-train system) or 1/1 TDAFW train (1 ASD train) with 1/5 safety relief valve per SG that is fed by AFW ½ HHSI pumps (1 multi-train system) 2/2 pressurizer PORVs open for Feed/Bleed (operator action = 2) ½ LHSI pumps with (associated ½ RHR heat exchangers or 2/4 RCFCs with cooling flow aligned to CCW) (1 multi-train system) | | | | |
| Circle Affected Functions | | <u>IEL</u> (waved Norm#4 BE = "5") | Remaining Mitigation Capability Rating | Recovery of Failed Train | <u>Results</u> | |
| 1 LEAC - AFW - LPR (3) 4 + 4 + 3 | 11 | 3 | 4+3 | Ø | D | |
| 2 LEAC - AFW - FB (4) 4 + 4 + 2 | 10 | 3 | 4+2 | Ø | 9 | |
| 3 LEAC - AFW - EIHP (5) 4 + 4 + 3 | 11 | 3 | 4+3 | Ø | 10 | |
| 4 LEAC - PORV - LPR (7) 4 + 2 + 3 | 9 | 3 | 2+3 | Ð | 8 | |
| 5 LEAC - PORV - EIHP (8) 4 + 2 + 3 | 9 | .3 | 2+3 | <i>p</i> | 8 | |
| 6 LEAC - PORV - AFW (9) 4 + 2 + 4 | 10 | _3 | 2+4 | P | 9 | |
| Identify any operator recovery action | s tha | t are credited | to directly restore the degraded equip | ment or initiat | ng event: | |
| | | | | | | |

If operator actions are required to credit placing mitigation equipment in service or for recovery actions, such credit should be given only if the following criteria are met: 1) sufficient time is available to implement these actions, 2) environmental conditions allow access where needed, 3) procedures exist, 4) training is conducted on the existing procedures under conditions similar to the scenario assumed, and 5) any equipment needed to complete these actions is available and ready for use.

Notes:

1. The plant has three Class 1E 4.16-kV buses. The dominant impact is the loss of Class 1E 4.16-kV bus E1A because bus E1B does not support a charging pump, and bus E1C does not support a pressurizer PORV. Loss of Class 1E 4.16-kV bus E1A has the following impact: EDG11 lost; the battery charger of the Class 1E 125V DC buses E1A11 and E1D11 lose power, and the batteries will eventually fail due to depletion; channels I and II of Class 1E Vital 120V AC fail and as a result, SSPS train R is lost (reactor is tripped) and ESFAS train A is lost; MDAFW pump 11 is lost; SG PORVs SGA and SGD are modeled by the licensee as failing to operate on loss of AC power; charging pump 1B is lost; HP SI pump 1A is lost; block valve of pressurizer PORV PCV655A loses power; LP SI pump 1A; containment spray pump A is lost; SI recirculation pump A is lost; it appears that each RHR pump can be powered from two Class 1E 4.16-kV buses, and hence no RHR pump is lost; and reactor coolant fan coolers 11A and 12A are lost. We assume that one train of ECW and CCW will also be unavailable. When Vital 4.16 kV AC Bus E1A is lost, there is not motive power available to close the block valve of a stuck open PORV.

TOTALS = 2 +

| | Counting Rule Worksheet | | |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Step | Instructions | | |
| (1) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 9. | (1) | (1.67) |
| (2) | Divide the result of Step (1) by 3 and round down. | (2), <u>1</u> | a con an |
| (3) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 8. | (3) 4 | |
| (4) | Add the result of Step (3) to the result of Step (2). | (4) | (4.67) |
| (5) | Divide the result of Step (4) by 3 and round down. | (5) / | |
| (6) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 7. | (6) | |
| (7) | Add the result of Step (6) to the result of Step (5). | (7) | (0.33) |
| (8) | Divide the result of Step (7) by 3 and round down. | (8) | |
| (9) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 6. | (9) | |
| (10) | Add the result of Step (9) to the result of Step (8). | (10) 🖉 | |
| (11) | Divide the result of Step (10) by 3 and round down. | (11) | |
| (12) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 5. | (12) | |
| (13) | Add the result of Step (12) to the result of Step (11). | (13) | |
| (14) | Divide the result of Step (13) by 3 and round down. | (14) | |
| (15) | Enter the number of sequences with a risk significance equal to 4. | (15) | |
| (16) | Add the result of Step (15) to the result of Step (14). | (16) | |
| L | | | |
| | If the result of Step 16 is greater than zero, then the risk significance of the in safety significance (RED). | nspection finding is of high | |
| | If the result of Step 13 is greater than zero, then the risk significance of the in of substantial safety significance (YELLOW). | nspection finding is at least | |
| • | If the result of Step 10 is greater than zero, then the risk significance of the is of low to moderate safety significance (WHITE). | nspection finding is at least | |
| | If the result of Steps 10, 13, and 16 are zero, then the risk significance of the low safety significance (GREEN). | inspection finding is of very | |
| Phase | | | |
| 1, 1100 / | - HARM WELFER OF HUME IN STREETS WELFER | | |

Table 6 - Counting Rule Worksheet

· ·

ARE YOU DONE & ALMOST. EXTELNAL EVENTS => SEE SRA FOR 7" (MC 0609, APP-A, STEP 2.5)

LERF => SEE SRA FOR "7" (MC 0609 APP-A STEP 2.6)