

Department of Energy

Albuquerque Operations Office P. O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115

DEC 0 6 1989

Mr. Edward F. Hawkins Licensing Branch 1 Uranium Recovery Field Office Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region IV P.O. Box 25325 Denver, CO 80225

Dear Ed,

Enclosed for your information is one (1) copy of Project Interface Document (PID) No. 18-S-13 concerning the Tuba City, Arizona site. The PID modifies the requirements for determining soundness of the bedding material and is considered to be a "Class II" change pursuant to Section 8.11 of the Remedial Action Plan.

If you have any questions or feel the "Class II" designation should be redesignated as "Class I", please contact Michael Abrams of my staff at (505) 845-4628 immediately. Otherwise, the change will be implemented.

Sincerely,

Mark L. Matthews

Acting Project Manager

Uranium Mill Tailings Project Office

Enclosure

cc w/o enclosure:

D. Gillen, NRC-HQ

J. Oldham, MK-F

K. Agogino, JEG

OFFICIAL DOCKET COPY

					· ·
MORRISON KNUDSEN		A PROJECT	OFFICE E DOCUMENT	•	8809U/0217U
Site	Date	PID No.	Site No.	Vic Pro	No.
Tuba City	10 Nov. 1989	18-S-13	18	Reference	
riginator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO	(415) 442-7586	Organization MKES	Answer By:	Subcontr	
ubject Use of Weighted Average for Sc	oundness Testing o	of Bedding		Subconti	act No:
escription of Problem and Recommended	i Solution	□ Clarif	ication	⊠ Change	
requires that the bedding tes fine fraction (smaller than soundness of the fine fraction 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percent	No 4. sieve). E on does not meet	Each fraction the requireme	is then teste	ed separately for so	oundness. The
Solution: Spec. No. 02278 fraction soundness values sha meet the requirements for sour	ill be calculated	for each sam		ed average of the co the weighted average	
Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag test conducted in accordance fraction test values shall be	with ASTM C88, th	erting the fo e weighted av	llowing sentenderage values ca	ce on Line 15: "For alculated from the f	the Soundness ne and coarse
				Phill	
			Originato	Signature	11-10-89 Date
Disposition MApproved	Disapproved Appro	oved as Noted	RAC SI LE MAI	- Mana	11-13.89
-	₫ No	\mathcal{O}	oject Control		11-13-89
If Yes, DOE approval required)	-, nv		ineering/Design	J V. S	en 11-10-89
•	CONTROLLE	•		00/10/1	11/13/89
Class II	WORK COPY	/ KAC COI	struction Engineer	Robert 6 Corner	11/13/07
	. अन्य श्वर रहते हैं प्रश्रिक हैं प्	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed for Quality quirements	Prie O Loote	11/13/89
				Signature	Date
- 1 // I	cation		Name T ()	Location	Cost/Time Est.
RAC Site Mgr. C. Wilhee		RAC Constr. Engr.	. Mgr. <u> </u>	WCY	☐ Attached

RAC Qual. Mgr.

DOE Proj Engr. 177.

RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Khvas

TAC Site Mgr.

RAC HS&E Mgr.

MK-087-MM(10/84)

☑ Not Required

DOE Approval

MORRISON KNUDSEN	•	PROJECT INTERFACE			8809U/0217U
Site Tuba City	Date 10 Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-S-13	Site No.		Vic Pro No.
Originator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO		Organization MRES	Answer By:		References: Subcontract:
Subject Use of Weighted Average for	· Soundness Testing of	Bedding			Subcontract No:
Description of Problem and Recomme	nded Solution	□ Clarifica	ntion	⊠ Change	
requires that the bedding fine fraction (smaller the soundness of the fine frac 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 per Solution: Spec. No. 022 fraction soundness values meet the requirements for spec.	an No 4. sieve). Ea tion does not meet thercent loss after 5 cy 78 will be revised to shall be calculated	ch fraction in the requirement cles). The require that for each samples.	s then tested s for soundnes t the weighted	separately ss in Spec.	for soundness. The No. 02278, Paragraph the coarse and fine
Revise Spec. No. 02278, Pa test conducted in accordance fraction test values shall	rag. 2.1.D.1 by inser ce with ASTM C88, the	ting the foll	age values cal Originator_		
Disposition 🙀 Approved	□ Disapproved □ Approve	ed as Noted	21		lekar 11-13.89
Criteria Change? Yes (If Yes, DOE approval required)	⊠ No	RAC Proje	ect Control		11-13-81 V-Enen 11-10-89
Class II	CONTROLLED WORK COPY	RAC Const	neering/Design truction Engineer _ for Quality Irements	Robert &	Cooney 11/13/89

Name

DOE Proj Engr. 17 Khrons RAC Qual. Mgr. Code

TAC Site Mgr. K. Kgogino Other J. Words

RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Khyos

RAC HS&E Mgr. F. Perfello

RAC Constr. Engr. Mgr.

Location

Name

Distribution

RAC Site Mgr.

MK-DAT-MM 10/84)

Date

Cost/Time Est.

Mot Required

DOE Approval

☐ Attached

Signature Location

(P)	MORRISON KNUDSEN

UMTRA PROJECT OFFICE PROJECT INTERFACE DOCUMENT

8809U/0217U

Subject Use of Weighted Average for Soundness Testing of Bedding Description of Problem and Recommended Solution Clarification Michael Problem: Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.D.1 specifies soundness testing of bedding per ASTM C88, which requires that the bedding test sample be separated into a coarse fraction (larger than No. 4 sieve) and a fine fraction (smaller than No 4. sieve). Each fraction is then tested separately for soundness. The soundness of the fine fraction does not meet the requirements for soundness in Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percent loss after 5 cycles). Solution: Spec. No. 02278 will be revised to require that the weighted average of the coarse and fine fraction soundness values shall be calculated for each sample and that the weighted average values shall meet the requirements for soundness in Parag. 2.1.C.3. Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inserting the following sentence on Line 15: "For the Soundness test conducted in accordance with ASTM C88, the weighted average values calculated from the fine and coarse fraction test values shall be used". Disposition Approved Disapproved Approved as Noted ARC Froject Control	MAKINODSEN	PHOJECI	INTERPACE	DUCUMEN	1	
Organization Continued Con	Site Tuba City		PID No. 18-S-13	Site No.		Vic Pro No.
Use of Weighted Average for Soundness Testing of Bedding Description of Problem and Recommended Solution Clarification Michael Problem: Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.D.1 specifies soundness testing of bedding per ASTM C88, which requires that the bedding test sample be separated into a coarse fraction (larger than No. 4 sieve) and a fine fraction (smaller than No 4, sieve). Each fraction is then tested separately for soundness. The soundness of the fine fraction does not meet the requirements for soundness in Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percent loss after 5 cycles). Solution: Spec. No. 02278 will be revised to require that the weighted average of the coarse and fine fraction soundness values shall be calculated for each sample and that the weighted average values shall meet the requirements for soundness in Parag. 2.1.C.3. Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inserting the following sentence on Line 15: "For the Soundness test conducted in accordance with ASTM C88, the weighted average values calculated from the fine and coarse fraction test values shall be used". Disposition Approved Disapproved Approved Approved as Noted Approved Signature Disapproved Disapproved Approved Ap				Answer By:		
Description of Problem and Recommended Solution Clarification Change Problem: Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.D.1 specifies soundness testing of bedding per ASTM C88, which requires that the bedding test sample be separated into a coarse fraction (larger than No. 4 sieve) and a fine fraction (smaller than No 4. sieve). Each fraction is then tested separately for soundness. The soundness of the fine fraction does not meet the requirements for soundness in Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percent loss after 5 cycles). Solution: Spec. No. 02278 will be revised to require that the weighted average of the coarse and fine fraction soundness values shall be calculated for each sample and that the weighted average values shall meet the requirements for soundness in Parag. 2.1.C.3. Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inserting the following sentence on Line 15: "For the Soundness test conducted in accordance with ASTM C88, the weighted average values calculated from the fine and coarse fraction test values shall be used". Originator II-10-89 Disposition Mapproved Disapproved Approved as Noted RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Engineering/Design RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Engineering/Design RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Site Magn. RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Site Magn. RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager II-10-89 RAC Site Magn. RAC Site Magn. RAC Site Magn. II-10-89 RAC Site Magn. RAC Site Magn. RAC Site Magn. II-10-89 RAC Site Magn. RAC S	Subject					
Problem: Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.D.1 specifies soundness testing of bedding per ASTM C88, which requires that the bedding test sample be separated into a coarse fraction (larger than No. 4 sieve) and a fine fraction (smaller than No 4. sieve). Each fraction is then tested separately for soundness. The soundness of the fine fraction does not meet the requirements for soundness in Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percent loss after 5 cycles). Solution: Spec. No. 02278 will be revised to require that the weighted average of the coarse and fine fraction soundness values shall be calculated for each sample and that the weighted average values shall meet the requirements for soundness in Parag. 2.1.C.3. Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inserting the following sentence on Line 15: "For the Soundness test conducted in accordance with ASTM C88, the weighted average values calculated from the fine and coarse fraction test values shall be used". Disposition Approved Disapproved Approved as Noted RAC Site Manager Disapproved Project Control RAC Engineering/Design RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager Date Disapproved RAC Site Manager Reviewed for Quality Requirements Paragraph RAC Construction Engineer RAC Site Manager Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date	Use of Weighted Average for Sou	undness Testing (of Bedding			·
requires that the bedding test sample be separated into a coarse fraction (larger than No. 4 sieve) and a fine fraction (smaller than No 4, sieve). Each fraction is then tested separately for soundness. The soundness of the fine fraction does not meet the requirements for soundness in Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percent loss after 5 cycles). Solution: Spec. No. 02278 will be revised to require that the weighted average of the coarse and fine fraction soundness values shall be calculated for each sample and that the weighted average values shall meet the requirements for soundness in Parag. 2.1.C.3. Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inserting the following sentence on Line 15: "For the Soundness test conducted in accordance with ASTM C88, the weighted average values calculated from the fine and coarse fraction test values shall be used". Originator	Description of Problem and Recommended	Solution	Clarifica	tion	⊠ Change	
Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inserting the following sentence on Line 15: "For the Soundness test conducted in accordance with ASTM C88, the weighted average values calculated from the fine and coarse fraction test values shall be used". Originator	requires that the bedding test fine fraction (smaller than N soundness of the fine fraction 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percer Solution: Spec. No. 02278 where fraction soundness values shall	c sample be sepand 4. sieve). It is does not meet not loss after 5 of the calculated is sample be calculated	rated into a co Each fraction i the requirement cycles). to require that for each sampl	arse fractions then test so for sound the weight	on (larger than ted separately ness in Spec. ted average of	No. 4 sieve) and a for soundness. The No. 02278, Paragraph the coarse and fine
Disposition Approved Disapproved Approved as Noted Criteria Change? Yes No RAC Project Control (If Yes, DOE approval required) RAC Engineering/Design RAC Construction Engineer Report 6 compare 11/13/89 RAC Construction Engineer Report 6 co	Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. test conducted in accordance w	2.1.D.1 by insith ASTM C88, th	erting the foll	age values o	alculated from or Dec	the fine and coarse
Criteria Change? Yes No (If Yes, DOE approval required) RAC Project Control - 3 - 8 RAC Engineering/Design	Disposition MApproved	Disapproved Appro	oved as Noted	A BAC SIAN		00 Km / 11-13.89
Class II RAC Construction Engineer Robert Company Reviewed for Quality Requirements Signature Date Cost/Time Est Concert Cost/Time Est Cost II Cost/Time Ist Cost II Cost/Time Ist Cost II Cost/Time Ist Cost II Cos			DAC Brode	,	alleger A Company	11-13-84
Class II Work CL. V RAC Construction Engineer Robert & Corner 11/13/89 Reviewed for Quality Requirements Signature Date Signature Date RAC Site Mgr. L. Wilhee RAC Constr. Engr. Mgr. L. Corner Mot RAC Qual. Mgr. P. Cole TAC Site Mgr. K. Mgr. Cole RAC Qual. Mgr. P. Cole Doe Appro RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Mgr. L. Corner Doe Appro Req.		NO .				
Requirements Signature Date Signature Distribution Name Location RAC Site Mgr. C. W. Mcc RAC Qual. Mgr. P. Core TAC Site Mgr. K. Mggno Other J. W. Mggso RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Mgr. Requirements Signature Date Cost/Time Est Mare Cost/Time Light Mot Requirements Signature Dotation Cost/Time Est Mot Requirements Signature Dotation Cost/Time Est Mot Requirements Signature Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Dotation Dot		No. 10 to 10	RAC Engin	eering/Design _		
Requirements Signature Date Signature Distribution Name Location RAC Site Mgr. C. W. Mcc RAC Qual. Mgr. P. Core TAC Site Mgr. K. Mggno Other J. W. Mggso RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Mgr. Requirements Signature Date Cost/Time Est Mare Cost/Time Light Mot Requirements Signature Dotation Cost/Time Est Mot Requirements Signature Dotation Cost/Time Est Mot Requirements Signature Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Dotation Name Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Cost/Time Est Dotation Dotation Dot		4	RAC Const	ruction Engine	er Robert 6	Cooney 11/13/89
Distribution Name Location Name Location Name Location Cost/Time Est RAC Site Mgr. \(\lambda	Class II	WUNN CLA	Reviewed	for quality		U/12/20
Distribution Name Location Name Location Cost/Time Est RAC Site Mgr. C. W. Coc RAC Constr. Engr. Mgr. Coc Martine Est DOE Proj Engr. 17 1/2025 RAC Qual. Mgr. 1/20/20 Distribution Fire Common RAC Site Mgr. 1/20/2000 Other 1/20/2000 DOE Appro RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. 1/20/2000 The Common Req.			nequ!	1 chelles		Date
DOE Proj Engr. 17. 1/hr0025 TAC Site Mgr. 16. 1/hr0025 RAC Qual. Mgr. 16. 1/hr0025 Other 1. 1/hr0025 DOE Appro Req.	Distribution Name Loca	tion		Name	Location	Cost/Time Est.
DOE Proj Engr. 17 Khronzs RAC Qual. Mgr. P Cofe TAC Site Mgr. K. Kgogino Other J. Whom F. F.C./ DOE Appro RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Knycs — Lymas	RAC Site Mar. T. Whoe		RAC Constr. Engr. M	gr. K.C	mer	☐ Attached ·
TAC Site Mgr. K. Klaugino Other 1. Oldham F. Feliz DOE Appro RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Khyes — Lymas			-	000	7	⊠ Not Required
RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Koyos			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	oldham	FIFOLIS	00E Approval
				1. Ch	19.	Req.

Y	MORRISON KNUDSEN
Site	

HATDA DOOTECT GETTEE

8809U	/02	17U
-------	-----	-----

KNUDSEN	•	INTERFAC		, •	•
Site Tuba City	Date 10 Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-S-13	Site No.	VI	ic Pro No.
Originator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO	Phone (415) 442-7586	Organization Answer By: References:			eferences: ubcontract:
Subject Use of Weighted Average for So		ubcontract No:			
Description of Problem and Recommended	d Solution	🗆 Clarifi	cation	⊠ Change	:
meet the requirements for soun Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag test conducted in accordance	No 4. sieve). Even does not meet ent loss after 5 cm will be revised all be calculated adness in Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inswith ASTM C88, th	rated into a cach fraction the requirement ycles). to require the for each sample.l.C.3.	is then tested to the tested to the sound not the weighted and that the tested to the	(larger than Modes are the separately for the second average of the second average of the second average of the second are the	No. 4 sieve) and a or soundness. The o. 02278, Paragraph he coarse and fine erage values shall
fraction test values shall be	used"•		Originator	Dell	11-10-89
Disposition MApproved]Disapproved []Appro	ved as Noted	50 510 41-	Signature	Char 11-13-89
· ·	Consulsed I Work Copy	RAC Proj RAC Engl	RAC Site Man lect Control lneering/Design truction Engineer		11-13-89 Exem 11-10-89 Exem 11/13/89
01433 11	WORN CUT	ner rener	l for Quality Hrements (Prie D Locate	11/13/89
		<u> </u>		Signature	Date
	ation		Name	Location	Cost/Time Est.
RAC Site Mgr. (U,Uhee) DOE Proj Engr. 17 Khronzo		RAC Constr. Engr.		ney	□ Attached □ Mot Required
TAC Site Mgr. K. Klacano		RAC Qual. Mgr.	P Core	F.FC/12	DOE Approval
RAC Site Qual. Engr. 10. 16/05 LAC HS&E Mgr. F. 12-4-16			1. Co.:		Req.

MORRISON	•	A PROJECT			8809U/0217U
			,	Vic Pr	a Na
Site Tuba City	Date 10 Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-S-13	Site No. 18		
Originator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO	(415) 442-7586	Organization MKES	Answer By:	Refere	nces: tract:
Subject					tract No:
Use of Weighted Average for So	oundness Testing o	of Bedding	·		
Description of Problem and Recommended	Solution	□ Clarific	ation	⊠ Change	
soundness of the fine fractio 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 perce Solution: Spec. No. 02278 fraction soundness values shameet the requirements for soun Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag test conducted in accordance with fraction test values shall be	nt loss after 5 c will be revised 11 be calculated dness in Parag. 2 . 2.1.D.1 by inse with ASTM C88, the	to require that for each samp .1.C.3.	t the weighte le and that t owing sentenc	d average of the change the weighted average e on Line 15: "Fo	coarse and fine ge values shall
Truction test varues sharr be	uscu •	٠		DR 11	_
			Originator		11-10-89 Dat
Disposition & Approved	Disapproved Appro	ved as Noted	RAC Site Man	Signature 194000 K	ne 11-13.89
	(No	O°	RAC Site Man	ager Viacetto	11-13-84
If Yes, DOE approval required)	• 100	_		J 1/2	
	Cuntain		neering/Design	$\Omega M = \Omega$	hen 11-10-89
Class II	WORK COPY	KAL Cons	truction Engineer	Robert 6 Come	u 11/13/89
	nomi ou i	ner lenca	for Quality Irements	Prie D. Locate	11/13/89
		,		Signature	Date
1//	ation		Name	Location	Cost/Time Est.
AC Site Hgr. C. Wilher	I	RAC Constr. Engr.	Igr. L. Co	nes	☐ Attached

RAC Qual. Mgr.

Other

DOE Proj Engr. 177

RAC HS&E Mgr.

RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Khycs

☑ Not Required

DOE Approval

MA	MORRISON
W.S	MORRISON KNUDSEN

UMTRA PROJECT OFFICE

8809U	/021	7U
-------	------	-----------

KNUDSEN	PROJECT	INTERFACE	DOCUMENT	·	
Site Tuba City	Date 10 Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-S-13	Site No.	Yic Pro	No.
Originator and Location Derek M. Boiton, SFO	Phone (415) 442-7586	Organization MKES	Answer By:	Referen Subcon	
Subject Use of Weighted Average for So	undness Testing (of Bedding		Subcon	tract No:
Description of Problem and Recommended	Solution	□ Clarific	ation	⊠ Change	·
fraction soundness values shal	t sample be separ No 4. sieve). E n does not meet nt loss after 5 c will be revised ll be calculated	rated into a clach fraction the requirement ycles). to require that for each samp	oarse fraction is then tested ts for soundnes	(larger than No. is separately for s	4 sieve) and a soundness. The 2278, Paragraph oarse and fine
meet the requirements for sound	· ·			•	
Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. test conducted in accordance w fraction test values shall be u	rith ASTM C88, the	erting the foll e weighted aver	lowing sentence rage values cal	e on Line 15: "For culated from the f	the Soundness ine and coarse
		·	Originator_	Doll	11-10-89
Disposition ⊠Approved □	Disapproved Appro	wed as Noted		Signature	Date
	No Disabbiosed Clybbio		RAC Site Mana ect Control	ger / lower	11-13-89
(If Yes, DOE approval required)		RAC Engl	neering/Design	D. r.E.	hen 11-10-89
	Commission	RAC Cons	truction Engineer	00/10/	
Class II	Work Cury	. Reviewed	for Quality direments (Phil D. Loate	11/13/89
Distribution Name Loca	ation		Name	Signature Location	Date Cost/Time Est.
RAC Site Mgr. T. Wilher		RAC Constr. Engr.		nev	☐ Attached
DOE Proj Engr. 17 Khrons		RAC Qual. Mgr.	P Cote		⊠ Not Required
TAC Site Hgr. 1. Ngogino		Other	oldfor	F. 10/12	DOE Approval Req.
RAC Site Qual. Engr. 10. 16/65 RAC HS&E Mgr. 1 12-40/10			1 // /	-	
With High			1. Car :	$\cdot Q$.

MORRISON KNUDSEN	•	A PROJECT	OFFICE CE DOCUMENT	8809U/0217U
Site Tuba City	Date 10 Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-S-13	Site No.	Vic Pro No.
Originator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO	Phone 442-7586	Organization MKES	Answer By:	References: Subcontract:
Subject Use of Weighted Average for S	· ·	f Bedding		Subcontract No:
Description of Problem and Recommende	ed Solution	□ Clarii	ication	⊠ Change
requires that the bedding terms fine fraction (smaller than soundness of the fine fraction. 1.C.3 (not more than 5 perconstruction soundness values shaped the requirements for soundness Spec. No. 02278, Para	est sample be separ No 4. sieve). E on does not meet tent loss after 5 c will be revised that be calculated undness in Parag. 2	rated into a ach fraction the requirement ycles). to require the for each sarull.C.3.	coarse fraction (la is then tested se nts for soundness nat the weighted av nple and that the u	bedding per ASTM C88, which arger than No. 4 sieve) and a eparately for soundness. The in Spec. No. 02278, Paragraph erage of the coarse and fine weighted average values shall Line 15: "For the Soundness ated from the fine and coarse
fraction test values shall be	used".	e weighted av		DRII
	•		Originator C	11-10-89 Dal
Disposition Approved	□ Disapproved □ Approv	ved as Noted	RAC Site Manager_	Malehar 11-13.8
	⊠ но	RAC Pr	oject Control	11-13-81
(If Yes, DOE approval required)			gineering/Design	D. K. Then 11-10-89
Class II	Control AD Work Copy	KAL CO	nstruction Engineer <u>Ro</u>	But & Cooner 11/13/89
CIOSS II	worn curi,		ed for Quality quirements	ie D. Loote 11/13/89

Signature Location Date Cost/Time Est. Location Name Distribution Name ☐ Attached RAC Site Mgr. RAC Constr. Engr. Mgr. Not Required RAC Qual, Mgr. DOE Proj Engr. Other TAC Site Mgr. laugino OOE Approval RAC Site Qual. Engr. RAC HS&E Mgr.

MORRISON KNUDSEN
The state of the s
Site Tuba City
Originator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO
Subject
Hea of Waightad Avanaga

UMTRA PROJECT OFFICE

8809U	/021	7U
-------	------	-----------

KNUDSEN	PROJECT	INTERFACE	DOCUMENT	•	
Site Tuba City	Date 10 Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-5-13	Site No.	YI	c Pro No.
Originator and Location Derek M. Bolton, SFO	Phone (415) 442-7586	Organization MKES	Answer By:	ľ	ferences: bcontract:
Subject Use of Weighted Average for So	undness Testing o	of Bedding		Su	bcontract No:
Description of Problem and Recommended	Solution	☐ Clarifica	tion	⊠ Change	
Problem: Spec. No. 02278, Par requires that the bedding testine fraction (smaller than soundness of the fine fraction 2.1.C.3 (not more than 5 percentage).	t sample be sepa No 4. sieve). E n does not meet nt loss after 5 c	rated into a co ach fraction i the requirement cycles).	arse fraction s then teste s for soundn	n (larger than Ned separately foess in Spec. No	lo. 4 sieve) and a or soundness. The
fraction soundness values sha meet the requirements for sound	ll be calculated	for each sampl	e and that	the weighted avo	erage values shall
Revise Spec. No. 02278, Parag. test conducted in accordance w fraction test values shall be	ith ASTM C88, th	erting the follo e weighted avera	owing sentend age values ca	e on Line 15: lculated from t	"For the Soundness he fine and coarse
		,	Originato	. Dole	11-10-89
				Signature	Date
	Disapproved Appro	RAC Proje	ct Control	ager Toul	11-13-89
Class II	CONTROLLE WORK COPY	RAC Const	eering/Design ruction Engineer	Robert & C	Then 11-10-89
Class II	WORK GUT I		for Quality rements	Pril D. Cook	11/13/89 Date
Distribution Name Loca	ation	<u></u>	Name	Signature Location	Cost/Time Est.
RAC Site Mgr. T. Wilher		RAC Constr. Engr. M		ney	Attached 図 Not Required
TAC Site Mgr. 17 K/Dranzo		RAC Qual. Mgr. Other	P Cote	F.Folic	DOE Approval
RAC Site Qual. Engr. W. Khycs LAC HS&E Hgr. F. Park			1'/	<u> </u>	
			1 vari	4	- IN -097-WH 10/84)

M	MORRISON
M.	MORRISON KNUDSEN

88	09	U/	02	17	ľU

.... 007 144/40/041

KNUD	SEN			• • • • • • • • •	CE DOCUMENT	r		
Site Tuba City		Date 10 N	e Nov. 1989	PID No. 18-S-13	Site No.		Vic Pro No.	
Originator and Locati Derek M. Bolton,	°\$F0		ne 5) 442-7586	Organization MKES	Answer By:		References: Subcontract:	
Subject							Subcontract No:	
Use of Weighted	Average for S	oundne	ess Testing o	f Bedding				
Description of Proble	m and Recommende	ed Solut	tion	□ Clar	ification	⊠ Change	!	
requires that the fine fraction (soundness of the 2.1.C.3 (not more fraction soundnemeet the requirer Revise Spec. No. test conducted if fraction test value.	smaller than e fine fraction of the fraction of the fraction of the first section of the firs	No 4. on doe ent lo will all be indness g. 2.1 with used	. sieve). Each os after 5 cy be revised to a calculated s in Parag. 2.1.D.1 by inse ASTM C88, the	ach fraction the requirement ycles). to require to for each solution. The foreign the few eighted a	on is then testonents for soundnents the weighte ample and that	ed separately less in Spec. ed average of the weighted ce on Line 15 alculated from	for soundness No. 02278, Potential the coarse average values: "For the Soundness the fine and th	ss. The Paragraph and fine es shall foundness d coarse 1-10-89 Date
Disposition	Approved	Disap	pproved Approv	red as Noted	RAC SILE MAI	nager	Elkar	11-13.89
Criteria Change?		⊠ No		RAC P	Project Control	262		11-13-89
(If Yes, DOE approval	requirea		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RAC E	Engineering/Design	<u></u>	Then.	11-10-89
Class II			Controlled was crov	KALL	Construction Engineer	r Robert 6	Cooney	11/13/89
Class II			WUNK CUPY	,	ewed for Quality Requirements	Prie D. Locate	U	11/13/89
				,		Signature		Date
Distribution Na	me Lo	cation			Wame	Location	, <u> </u>	st/lime Est.
RAC Site Mgr	Wilher		<u> </u>	RAC Constr. Eng	gr. Mgr. <u> </u>	ney		Attached
DOE Proj Engr. 17	Mpronz-			RAC Qual. Mgr	PCote			Not Required
TAC Site Mgr	Mgaginu			Other	1. oldpm	F. relie	<u> </u>] DOE Approval Req.
RAC Site Qual. Engr	W. Khyc	<u> </u>				nas		****
RAC HS&E Hgr.	Exella				1 Gor	10		