

**From:** Tommy E Tankersley <ttankersley@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <nrcprep@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Fri, Jul 20, 2007 4:02 PM  
**Subject:** Response from "Comment on NRC Documents"

5/22/07  
 72 FR 28728

6

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by

Tommy E Tankersley (ttankersley@yahoo.com) on Friday, July 20, 2007 at 16:01:40

Document\_Title: NUREG-1122 Rev 2 Supp 1

Comments: 1) Generic 2.1.14 = While need to perform plant announcements is important, I DO NOT see the validity in testing our operators on the requirement to make said announcements. This appears to have LOW significance when compared to implementing actions necessary to ensure safe operations.  
 2) Generic 2.1.15 = While having a knowledge of standing orders or memos is valuable, I DO NOT see the relevance to understanding approved procedural guidance that ensures we operate the plant in a safe manner. We are allowed or permitted to operate the facility based on a memo or standing order.  
 3) Generic 2.1.36 = While applying industrial safety rules and guidelines (OSHA) is appropriate, I DO NOT see the significance of testing our operators on industrial safety principles that are in place for OUR SAFETY versus NUCLEAR SAFETY. NRC is tasked with observing and commenting on industrial safety but OSHA governs these rules.  
 4) Generic 2.4.3 = I agree that our operators must be able to monitor and interpret post-accident instrumentation. However, I am confused by the term IDENTIFY. In the SAT process that term means KNOWLEDGE of or ability to RECOGNIZE a condition which qualifies as LEVEL1 – Memory type question. I would expect to see a statement such as "Ability to monitor or interpret post-accident instrumentation" which give the ability to write LEVEL 2 or 3 questions.

organization: Entergy Nuclear

address1: P.O. Box 756

address2:

city: Port Gibson

state: MS

zip: 39150

country: USA

phone: 601-437-6301

RECEIVED

2007 JUL 23 PM 2:47

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
 BRANCH  
 USNRC

CONSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03  
 Add = D. Muller  
 (dsrm3)

**Mail Envelope Properties** (46A114BE.AE3 : 2 : 43747)

**Subject:** Response from "Comment on NRC Documents"  
**Creation Date** Fri, Jul 20, 2007 4:01 PM  
**From:** Tommy E Tankersley <[ttankersley@yahoo.com](mailto:ttankersley@yahoo.com)>

**Created By:** [ttankersley@yahoo.com](mailto:ttankersley@yahoo.com)

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01  
NRCREP

**Post Office**

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1912	Friday, July 20, 2007 4:01 PM
Mime.822	2537	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled