NUREG/CR-1795 RE

# Ecological Studies of Wood-Boring Bivalves in the Vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Progess Report March - May 1980

Prepared by K. E. Hoagland, L. Crocket

Wetlands Institute Lehigh University

Prepared for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

## NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

## Available from

GPO Sales Program Division of Technical Information and Document Control U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Printed copy price: \$2.25

## and

National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

## Ecological Studies of Wood-Boring Bivalves in the Vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Progress Report March - May 1980

Manuscript Completed: October 1980 Date Published: December 1980

Prepared by K. E. Hoagland, L. Crocket

Wetlands Institute Lehigh University Stone Harbor, NJ 08247

Prepared for Division of Safeguards, Fuel Cycle and Environmental Research Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 NRC FIN No. B5744

## ABSTRACT

The species composition, distribution, and population dynamics of wood-boring bivalves are being studied in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Barnegat Bay, New Jersey. Untreated wood test panels are used to collect organisms at 12 stations. Physiological tolerances of 3 species are also under investigation in the laboratory. Relative destructiveness and competition among the species are being analyzed. The native species Teredo navalis and Bankia gouldi coexist with the introduced T. bartschi in Oyster Creek, at the mouth of Forked River and on the coast of the bay between the two creeks. Heavy mortality occurred in all species during winter and spring when the generating station was not operating. Very few T. bartschi were found alive in the spring panels; they were more affected than native species by the plant shutdown. Temperature and salinity tolerance tests begun during April and May, 1980, were not completed by the end of May because the adult shipworms proved to be very resistant to drastic changes in these physical parameters.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The purpose of this investigation is to understand the population dynamics and competitive interactions of shipworms in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS) and at control stations outside the influence of the station. The relative importance of the introduced species <u>Teredo bartschi</u> in causing damage, and physiological tolerances of all species, are being assessed. On a monthly basis, wood panels are added and removed for analysis of population dynamics and to obtain live animals for the lab studies. We also record temperature, salinity, and we estimate siltation levels at each station.

Our major findings are:

- 1. The OCNGS was not operating during the entire period of this report, and had been off since January 5, 1980. This caused a dramatic drop in shipworm infestation.
- 2. Water temperatures were often above ambient at Stout's Creek. Temperatures were slightly higher in the southern part of the study area during March and April.
- 3. No shipworm larvae settled on monthly panels removed in March-May, 1980.
- 4. <u>Teredo bartschi</u> was found alive only in Oyster Creek and at Bayside Beach Club. Winter-spring mortality was high for all species, but was highest for T. bartschi.
- 5. Larvae were found in the gills of T. navalis only in May, 1980.
- 6. The greatest number of living shipworms occurred at Holly Park, Waretown, and Bayside Beach Club near the mouth of Forked River. But because of past destruction, the greatest amount of wood loss occurred in Oyster Creek.
- 7. The largest living specimens in the cumulative panels consistently occurred at Station 4 in Forked River. Stations 1 and 14 had the largest living specimens taken from the yearly panels, but most individuals in the panels from Oyster Creek and Forked River were dead.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	.i
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	lv
LIST OF TABLES	'i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	i
PREVIOUS REPORTS	i
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	2
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4
PHYSICAL DATA	4
SHIPWORM POPULATIONS	.1
CONCLUSION	24
REFERENCES	25
APPENDIX. STATION LOCALITIES	27
DISTRIBUTION LIST	:9

## LIST OF TABLES

1.	Temperature Profiles in °C, March 7 - May 3, 1980	5
2.	Continuous Temperature Recorder Data (°C) for March 7 - June 6, 1980	6
3.	Salinity Profiles in °/00, March 7 - May 3, 1980	8
4.	Continuously Recording Salinometer Data, March 7 - June 7, 1980, in °/	9
5.	Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Circulation and Dilution Flow in gal. x10 <sup>6</sup> for March 1 - May 31, 1980	10
6.	Numbers of Living Shipworms in Cumulative Panels Submerged May 5, 1979	12
7.	Numbers of Living Shipworms plus Empty Tubes, Cumulative Panels Submerged May 5, 1979	13
8.	Percentage of Specimens Alive when Collected, Cumulative Panels	14
9.	Length Ranges of Living Shipworms, in mm, Cumulative Panels	15
10.	Numbers of Living Shipworms in Yearly Panels	16
11.	Numbers of Living Shipworms plus Empty Tubes, Yearly Panels	17
12.	Percentage of Specimens that were Alive when Collected, Yearly Panels	18
13.	Length Ranges of Living Shipworms, in mm, Yearly Panels	19
14.	Percentage of Wood Weight Lost by Cumulative Panels Submerged May 5, 1979	21
15.	Percentage of Wood Weight Lost by Yearly Panels	22
16.	Teredo navalis with Larvae in the Gills	23

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the many residents of Oyster Creek who have cooperated in our field work. James Selman, Jane Halbeisen, and Kenneth Pidcock provided technical assistance. Eugenia Böhlke of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia served as X-ray technologist. Virginia Ohori of J.C.P. & L. provided data on the operation of the Generating Station.

## PREVIOUS REPORTS

Twelve reports have been prepared under Contract AT(49-24)-0347 during three years of funding from the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1976-1979, under the title:

Analysis of Populations of boring and fouling organisms in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station with discussion of relevant physical parameters.

Those reports with NTIS numbers are:

NUREG/CR-0223	Dec. 1, 1977-Feb. 28, 1978
NUREG/CR-0380	Mar. 1, 1978-May 31, 1978
NUREG/CR-0634	Sept. 1, 1977-Aug. 31, 1978
NUREG/CR-0812	Sept. 1, 1978-Nov. 30, 1978
NUREG/CR-0896	Dec. 1, 1978-Feb. 28, 1979
NUREG/CR-1015	Mar. 1, 1979-May 31, 1979
NUREG/CR-1209	June 1, 1979-Aug. 31, 1979

One report has been published in this current series:

Ecological studies of wood-boring bivalves in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Sept. 1, 1979-Feb. 28, 1980. NTIS # NUREG/CR-1517. 65 pp.

## ECOLOGICAL STUDIES OF WOOD-BORING BIVALVES

## IN THE VICINITY OF THE OYSTER CREEK

## NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

March 1 - May 31, 1980

## INTRODUCTION

Previous studies have shown a direct causal relationship between the effluent of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station and the proliferation of shipworms (Teredinidae) in Oyster Creek and adjacent portions of Barnegat Bay, New Jersey (Turner, 1974; Hoagland et al, 1977; Hoagland et al, 1978; Hoagland and Crocket, 1979; Hoagland and Turner, 1980). The effluent adds heat to the receiving waters, which extends the breeding season of teredinids, increases their growth rates, and reduces their winter mortality rates. It has allowed the establishment of a tropical-subtropical shipworm, Teredo bartschi, in Oyster Creek and Forked River. The design of the generating station's cooling system, taking salt water from Barnegat Bay up Forked River, through the plant, and out into Oyster Creek, has increased the salinity of these two creeks. Shipworms now can reside in these creeks, which previously were unsuitable in salinity level and constancy for the establishment of actively breeding shipworm populations.

The populations of <u>Teredo bartschi</u> compared with the native species in Oyster Creek and Forked River are the focus of current studies. This report summarizes an ongoing collection of data on physical parameters of Barnegat Bay, as well as species composition, distribution, growth, mortality, and reproduction of teredinids. We also assess the degree of shipworm damage occurring at each station.

## METHODS

## Stations

Over the first three years of our study, 20 stations were established in Barnegat Bay to monitor boring and fouling organisms. In September, 1979, the number was reduced to 12. The stations are shown in Hoagland and Turner, 1980, and are listed in the appendix. The station numbers are not contiguous because some have been discontinued.

Station 1 is a northern control station on Barnegat Bay outside the influence of the heated effluent. Some shipworms, primarily <u>Bankia</u> <u>gouldi</u>, are traditionally found there. Station 3 is a control station in a tidal creek outside the influence of the effluent. Shipworms are rarely found there. Stations 4, 5, and 6 are in Forked River, influenced by the plant's water intake system. There is some recirculation of heated water that affects these stations, but the main influence is that the salinity is essentially that of the bay. Station 6 is sampled on a reduced schedule, only 4 times a year.

Station 8 is on the bay between Oyster Creek and Forked River. Stations 10-12 are in Oyster Creek, influenced directly by heat, increased (and constant) salinity, and other components of the effluent (heavy metals, silt, increased flow rate, etc.) Since JCP & L calculates average values of heavy metal input per month, exact data necessary to characterize the effluent completely are not available.

Stations 14 and 15 are at or near the southern limit of the thermal plume, on Barnegat Bay. Station 15, like Station 6, is being sampled on a reduced schedule. Station 18 on Long Beach Island is being used only as a reliable source of Teredo navalis for laboratory experiments.

## Field Work

Once each month, the water temperature and salinity are measured at each station. Air temperature and time of day are also recorded. The amount of silt settling on wood panels submerged for one month is estimated as trace, light, moderate, or heavy. At stations 1, 5, 11, and 14, records of temperature and salinity are kept by means of constant recording instruments that are serviced once a month.

White pine panels, approximately  $3/4" \ge 4" \ge 8"$ , are used to obtain shipworms for study. There are three panel series: 1) Each month, a panel that has been in the water for 1 month is removed and replaced. In this way data on monthly settlement and early growth of borers are obtained. 2) Each month, a panel that has been in the water for 12 months is

removed and replaced. It provides data on timing of reproduction, species and age structure of established borer communities, and other population data. 3) Each May, a series of 12 panels is deployed. These panels are removed one per month. They provide information on the cumulative growth and maturation of individual borers as well as development of the boring and fouling communities. The cumulative monthly amount of wood destruction can be evaluated. These three panel series are called M, Y, and C, respectively. The Y and C series are replicated at some stations, as indicated in the data tables to follow. Replication is not possible at all stations because of limited space where the water is deep enough to submerge a series of shipworm panels.

Panels are presoaked for 2 weeks, then set on aluminum frame racks against bulkheading or off finger docks. They rest about 6" above the water-sediment interface.

## Laboratory Work

Panels are examined for pediveliger shipworm larvae and boring isopods, scraped, and X-rayed to locate the shipworms and provide a permanent record of damage. It is possible to count and often to identify shipworms from the X-rays in uncrowded panels, but X-rays do not provide quantitative data in most cases. Therefore, using the X-rays as guides, the panels are dissected. All the shipworms are removed, identified, examined for larvae in the gills, and measured (length only). They are preserved in 75% buffered alcohol. Identifications are first made by technicians, but all Teredo spp. are checked by one of the senior investigators.

Wood fragments from the dissected panels are saved. Calcareous tubes and other debris left by the shipworms are removed with HCL. The wood is washed in fresh water, then dried to constant weight, allowed to cool to room temperature, and weighed. The panels are also weighed before going into the water. The weight difference is a measure of wood destruction due to boring organisms.

During dissection of the wood panels, we estimate the percentage of empty tubes, which indicate mortality. If pallets are still present in the empty tubes, we can record the species of the dead shipworm.

Shipworms from the replicate 12-month panels are not preserved but are kept alive and allowed to spawn in tanks containing filtered sea water (22% salinity) and new pine panels. In this way, we have established pure laboratory populations of <u>Teredo bartschi</u>. Individuals of <u>B</u>. gouldi and <u>T</u>. <u>navalis</u> from the field are being maintained in the laboratory. These stocks are used for temperature and salinity tolerance experiments. Attempts are underway to establish breeding colonies of Teredo navalis.

Experiments to determine temperature and salinity tolerances of the three teredinid species are underway. Three were reported in our last report (Hoagland et al, 1980). None were completed within March-May, 1980, so none are reported here. Long-term experiments were necessary because the shipworms tolerated drastic short-term changes in temperature and salinity.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Physical Data

The Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station was not operating during March-May, 1980, as shown by the temperature data in Tables 1 and 2. As in past years, Station 3 at Stout's Creek was often a few degrees warmer than the other stations. The daily temperature range was often slightly greater at bay stations than in the tidal creeks. The continuous temperature recorder data for March suggested a low-level heat addition for at least part of the month. However, the comparative data for Station 14 south of Oyster Creek are missing. Looking at the data for April, there may have been naturally warmer waters in the southern part of our test area (Stations 11 and 14) during March and April.

Tables 3 and 4 present monthly salinity profiles at the 9 stations to the nearest part per thousand. The fluctuation from month to month was very large at the two northernmost stations. The salinity at Stout's Creek in March was unusually high, while the among-station variation for March was low, indicating an intrusion of bay waters into the tidal creeks. The data for May show why shipworms are rarely found at Stout's Creek: the salinity there fell to only 4 °/••, while remaining above 13 °/•• at all other stations. The Oyster Creek station was pumping water at a reduced rate during this period (Table 5).

The amount of silt deposited on panels removed in March and April was estimated independently by two technicians on a scale of trace-lightmoderate-heavy. All monthly panels contained trace amounts. The cumulative panels removed in April varied in degree of silt. Silt was recorded at "trace" levels at bay control Stations 1 and 14 as well as at the bay Station 8 between Oyster Creek and Forked River. Silt was

ht at the control creek (Stout's Creek, Station 3). Moderate silt .s recorded at Station 11 in Oyster Creek, but all other stations in Ovster Creek and Forked River had heavy siltation.

m 1 1	-
Table	
10010	-

Temperature Profiles in ° C March 7 - May 3, 1980<sup>a</sup>

Station	March 7	April 7	May 3	Differential among months, within stations
1 3 4 5 8 10 11 12 14	$2.5^{b}$ $6.0^{c}$ $4.0$ $4.5$ $3.5^{c}$ $2.5^{b}$ $4.0$ $4.2$ $4.0$ $2.5$	12.8 13.5 14.2 <sup>c</sup> 13.0 13.0 12.5 13.0 11.5 <sup>b</sup> 12.5	16.0 19.2 <sup>c</sup> 15.0 15.0 17.0 14.0 14.0 14.5 13.5 <sup>b</sup>	13.5 13.2 11.0 10.5 13.5 11.5 10.0 10.3 9.5
among station	s	±•3	5.7	

within months

<sup>a</sup> The nuclear generating station was not operating

<sup>b</sup> Lowest monthly value

<sup>c</sup> Highest monthly value

¢

## Table 2

## Continuous Temperature Recorder Data (°C) for March 7 - June 6, 1980

Stations 1, 5, 11, 14

I. Temperature at 1:00 P.M. EST											
	ł	March 3	7-April	7	April 7-May 3				May 3-June 6		
	1	5	11	14 <sup>a</sup>	1	5	11	14	1	5 11	a 14
Mean Daily Temp. at 1PM	6.1	5.8	7.5		12.6	13.0	13.8	13.5	18.2	18.6	18.2
Standard deviation	2.4	2.1	2.2		1.5	1.5	1.6	1.4	2.6	1.9	2.5
Highest value of Temp.											
at 1 PM	11.3	10.3	12.1		15.4	15.4	16.4	16.0	23.8	22.8	22.9
Lowest value of Temp.					ļ						
at 1 PM	1.4	1.1	3.5		10.2	10.4	8.8	10.3	12.8	15.6	13.0
				-							
Monthly Temp. Range	9.9	9.2	8.6		5.2	5.0	7.6	5.7	11.0	7.2	9.9
	1				•				ļ.		

	· .		II.	Maximum	Daily	Temper	ature			·			
		1	March	7-April	7	t	April	7-May	3	Ma	y 3-Ju	ne 6	
		1	5	11	14 <sup>a</sup>	1	5	11	14	1	5	11 <sup>a</sup>	14
:	Mean value of Max. Daily Temp.	6.8	7.1	8.3		13.4	13.7	15.2	14.8	18.9	19.7		19.3
	Standard deviation Highest value of	2.5	2.1	1.8	·	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.7	2.6	2.7		2.5
	Max. Daily Temp. Lowest value of	12.1	11.9	12.2		15.9	15.9	17.7	18.2	24.4	25.3		23.4
	Max. Daily Temp. Monthly Range of	2.4	2.5	4.4		10.6	10.6	12.3	12.1	13.8	15.8		14.0
	Max. Daily Temp.	9.7	9.4	7.8		5.3	5.3	5.4	6.1	10.6	9.5		9.4

Table 2, continued

			<b>TTT</b> • •			Temper						
	1	March 7-April 7			April 7-May 3				May 3-June 6			
	1	5	11	14 <sup>a</sup>	1	5	11	14	1	5	11 <sup>a</sup>	14
Mean value of Min.	5.2	4.8	7.0		11.8	11.7	13.3	13.0	17.0	17.1		17.0
Daily Temp.												
Standard deviation	2.3	2.7	2.3		1.5	1.7	1.5	1.4	2.4	2.6		2.7
Highest value of												
Min. Daily Temp.	9.8	9.4	11.6		14.5	14.2	16.1	15.9	22.6	22.8		21.8
Lowest value of	I											
Min. Daily Temp.	1.2	-2.1	2.7		9.5	7.0	8.8	10.0	12.3	13.3		11.4
Monthly Range of												
Min. Daily Temp.	8.6	11.5	8.9		5.0	7.2	7.3	5.9	10.3	9.5		10.4
	•			1	,							

III. Minimum Daily Temperature

IV	. D	aily	Temperature	Range
----	-----	------	-------------	-------

	1	March	7-Apr:	il 7	1	April	7-May	3		May 3	-June 6	
	1	5	11	14 <sup>a</sup>	1	5	11	14	1	5	11 <sup>a</sup>	14
Mean value of Daily ∆T	1.6	2.3	1.3	0.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.6		2.3
Standard deviation	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.7	1.2	1.2	0.9	0.9	1.1		1.0
Largest Daily ∆T for one month	3.4	4.6	3.0	1.9	3.6	4.6	5.4	3.5	5.4	5.3		5.2
Smallest Daily AI for one month	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.9	1.0		0.9

Z

<sup>a</sup> Temperature Recorder malfunction

Station	March 7	April 7	May 3	Differential among months, within stations
1 3 4 5 8 10 11 12 14 fferential	20 18 <sup>a</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup> 21 22 <sup>b</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup> 22 <sup>b</sup>	8 <sup>a</sup> 8 <sup>a</sup> 18 19 20 18 17 16 22 <sup>b</sup> 	15 4 <sup>a</sup> 20 <sup>b</sup> 20 <sup>b</sup> 16 16 16 16 14 14	12 14 4 3 6 5 6 6 8

Salinity Profiles in °/00, March 7-May 3, 1980

Table 3

Differential among stations, within months

<sup>a</sup> Lowest monthly salinity

<sup>b</sup> Highest monthly salinity

Continuously Recording Salinometer Data (12:00 Noon, EST)						
	March 7-June	7, 1980, in °/	1			
Date	* Statistic	Sta. 5	Sta. 11			
March 7 <del>-</del> April 7	N x S <sub>x</sub>	0 - -	31 19.8 3.0			
April 7 May 3	N x S <sub>x</sub>	22 14.3 4.2	0 - -			
May 4 June 6	N x S_ x	19 17.4 1.0	21 16.4 1.0			

## Table 4

\*N = Number of days recorded; indicates extent of missing data.

 $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$  = Mean

 $S_{\overline{x}}$  = Standard deviation

Explanation of Missing Data: Sta. 1, 14 not in service Sta. 5 not in service during March; Sta. 11 not in service During April

# Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Circulation and Dilution Flow in gal. x 10<sup>6</sup> for March 1-May 31, 1980

Table 5

	Total Circulating Water Flow (gal. 10 <sup>6</sup> )	Total Dilution Flow (gal. 10 <sup>6</sup> )
March	0	22,437
April	0	18,514
May	5,277	18,369

## Shipworm Populations

The species of Teredinidae found in Barnegat Bay continued to be Bankia gouldi, Teredo navalis, and Teredo bartschi, as in our previous reports. The species are reviewed in Turner, 1966. Tables 6-8 present the numbers of individuals alive and dead in the cumulative panels submerged May 5, 1979; the panel removed on May 3, 1980, is equivalent to a yearly panel. The living populations were largest at Stations 1, 8, and 14. The most common species was B. gouldi, although T. navalis was almost equally abundant at Station 14 (Waretown). Mortality was greatest in Oyster Creek. Most of the Teredo sp. and Teredinid sp. reported in Table 7 were T. bartschi at Stations 4-12 and T. navalis at Station 1, but the pallets were lost or loose in the tubes and could not be associated with any particular tube because of severe wood decay. The species at Station 14 is unknown. The largest living specimens were at Station 4 in Forked River (Table 9); there were no juveniles settling anywhere during this period.

The cumulative and the yearly panels (Tables 10-12) yielded similar results, because the panels were in the water for almost the same duration. Again, <u>Bankia gouldi</u> was dominant among living specimens and most <u>Teredo bartschi</u> were dead. There were no juveniles. Mortality was greatest in Oyster Creek.

Because of the very heavy shipworm attack in the summer and fall of 1979, many panels were completely riddled and several were lost. The very heavy mortality of adults in 1980 is attributable to the heavy attack and disintegration of the wood in Oyster Creek and Forked River, as well as to the shutdown of the OCNGS and consequent mortality to <u>Teredo bartschi</u>. Many of the otherwise empty shipworm burrows contained <u>Nereis succinea</u>, which eat the shipworms when the wood begins to crumble and expose the tubes.

One difference between the cumulative and yearly panels was the size ranges of living specimens (Table 13 versus Table 9). The largest living specimens in the yearly panels were at Stations 1 and 14. However, the burrow lengths suggested many large but dead specimens at other stations. The actual sizes of the living shipworms in the two panel series were comparable.

There were no living <u>Teredo</u> <u>bartschi</u> in the yearly panels, and only 4 in the cumulative panels. This difference is within the realm of sampling error.

	Date R	lemoved	l: Mar	ch 7		April 7 May 3							
Station	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	<u>T.b.</u>	<u>T</u> .sp.	Total	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	Total	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	<u>T.b</u> .	Teredinid	Total
									l			sp.	
1	60	7	0	0	67	50	4	54	-		-		а
3	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	18	4	0	0	22	3	3	6	9	6	0	0	15
5	23	7	0	0	30	16	10	26	17	3	0	0	20
8	56	9	1	1	67	40	9	49	46	5	1	11	63
10	5	1	2	0	8	6	0	. 6	2	3	0	1	6
11	-	-	-	-	а	-	-	а	1 -	-	-		а
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
14	22	16	0	0	38	25	19	44	-28	23	0	0	51
Totals	184	44	3	1	232	140	45	185	102	42	1	12	157

Numbers of Living Shipworms in Cumulative Panels Submerged May 5, 1979

Table 6

<sup>a</sup>panel lost (riddled)

m 1		~
Tat	ore.	

Number of Living Shipworms plus Empty Tubes, Cumulative Panels Submerged May 5, 1979

	Date Removed: March 7							April 7				May 3					
Station	n B.g	. T.n.	т.ь.	T.sp	о. Т*	Total	B.g	. T.n.	T.sp	. T*	Total	B.g	. T.n.	T.b.	T.sp	). T*	Total
					_					-							
											•						
1	60	7	0	0	>93	>160	50	4	0	>162	>216	-	-	-	-	-	а
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	18	4-	0	4	3	29	3	3	3	0	9	10	6	0	3	4	23
5	23	7	0	0	67	97	16	10	0	40	66	17	3	0	5	33	58
8	56	9	1	0	84	150	40	9	0	51	100	52	5	1	0	57	115
10	7	1	2	Ъ	Ъ	Ъ	7	b	Ъ	ь	b	-	-	-		-	Ъ
11	-	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	a	-	-	-	-	-	а
12	-	-	-		-	Ъ	-	-	-	-	Ъ		2	-	-	-	-
14	24	16	0	0	16	56	28	19	0	18	65	28	23	0	0	65	116
								•									
Totals	>188	>44	>3	>4	>263	>502	>144	>45	>3	>272	>464	>107	> 39	>1	>8 >	159	> 312
	•																

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

<sup>b</sup> Panel riddled with empty tubes

\* Teredinid

## Table 8

	Date Removed: March 7					April 7		May 3			
	No.Living	Total No.			No.Living	Total No.	%	No.Living	Total No.		
Station	Spec imens	Tubes	%	1	Specimens	Tubes	Alive	Specimens	Tubes	%	
		Observed	Alive			Observed			Observed	Alive	
1	67	· >160	<42		54	216	25	а	а	_	
3	0	0	-		0	0	-	0	0	·	
4	22	29	76		6	9	67	15	23	65	
5	30	97	31		26	86	30	20	58	34	
8	67	150	45		49	100	49	63	115	55	
10	8	Ъ	< 2		6	b	< 1	6	Ъ	< 1	
11	а	а	-		а	а	-	а	а	-	
12	0	Ъ	0		0	b	0	2	Ъ	< 1	
14	38	56	68		44	65	68	51	116	44	
Totals	232	>502	<46	T	185	>464	<40	157	>312	<50	

## Percentage of Specimens Alive when Collected, Cumulative Panels

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

-

b Panel riddled with empty tubes

	Date Remo	ved: March 7	1	Ap:	ril 7	May		
Station	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	<u>T.b</u> .	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	B.g.	$\underline{T.n}$ .	<u>T.b</u> .
1	10-76	15-33		16-52	27-30		<u></u>	
4	88-180*	85-160*		124-230*	97-220*	110-265*	80-165*	
5	26-160	11-47		33-142	27-132	15-170	25-50	
8	15-100	20-71	7	16-165	19-93	15-145	20-75	30
10	20-140	45	6-12*	23-117		65-96	40-50	
11 12							62-95	
14	30-154	37-150		50-210	40-150	38-185	21-140	
						<u> </u>		

Length Ranges of Living Shipworms, in mm, Cumulative Panels

Table 9

\* Largest specimen of each species, each month

1	Date	Removed:	March	. 7		Apri	17		y 3		
Station	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	<u>T.sp</u> .	Total	<u>B.g</u> .	$\underline{T.n}$ .	Total	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	Total	
		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·						
1	24	3	0	· 27	34	2	36	20	3	23	
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	1	0	1	
4		-	-	а	-	-	а	10	3	13	
5	30	31	1	62	13	6	19	16	18	34	
8	56	13	0	69	34	10	44	24	1	25	
10	3	0	0	3	_	-	а	-	. <b>_</b>	а	
11	10	4	0	14	5	1	6	8	0	8	
12		-	-	а	<del>-</del> '	-	а	-		а	
14	16	23	0	39	22	35	57	24	10	34	
<u></u>		······································				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Totals	139	74	1	214	108	54	162	103	35	138	

Numbers of Living Shipworms in Yearly Panels

Table 10

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

	Date Re	emoved	l: Mar	ch 7	1	April 7					May 3			
Station	B.g.	T.n.	Tere	e- Total	B.g.	T.n.	Tere-	Total	B.g.	T.n	. Tere-	Total		
			dini	Ld			<u>dinid</u>				dinid			
-							_			••				
T	24	3	10	37	34	2	1	37	20	4	0	24		
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
4	-	-	-	а	-	-		а	12	3	10	25		
5	30	31	>139	>200	16	6	>168	>190	16	18	69	103		
8	56	13	>121	>190	34	10	> 66	>110	25	0	>100	>125		
10	3	Ъ	Ъ	ь	-	-	-	а	-	-	-	а		
11	10	4	Ъ	Ъ	5	1	Ъ	Ъ	8	Ъ	Ъ	Ъ		
12	-	_	-	а	- 1	-		а	-	-	-	а		
14	16	23	27	66	22	35	48	105	24	10	8	42		
			·····											
Totals	139	74	>297	>510 <sup>b</sup>	111	54	>283	>448 <sup>b</sup>	106	35	>187	> 328		

Numbers of Living Shipworms, plus Empty Tubes, Yearly Panels

Table 11

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

<sup>b</sup> Panel riddled with empty tubes

Tal	b1	e	1	2

Percentage of Specimens that were Alive when Collected, Yearly Panels

	Date Remo	ved: March	7	Apr	il 7		May 3		
Station	No.Living	Total No.	%	No.Living	Total No.	%	No.Living	Total No.	%
	Specimens	Observed	Alive	Specimens	Observed	Alive	Specimens	Observed	Alive
	-	Tubes			Tubes			Tubes	
		_							
1	27	37	73	36	37	97	23	24	96
3	0	0	-	0	0	-	1	1	100
4	а	a	-	а	а	-	13	25	52
5	61	>200	<31	19	>190	<10	34	103	33
8	69	>190	<36	44	>110	<40	25	>125	<20
10	3	Ъ	< 1	a	a	. –	а	а	-
11	14	Ъ	< 2	6	b	< 1	8	Ъ	< 1
1.2	a	а	<del>-</del> .	а	a	-	а	а	-
14	39	67	58	57	105	54	34	52	65
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····						
Totals	214	>510	<42	162	>448	<36	138	>328	<42

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

<sup>b</sup> Panel riddled with empty tubes

Date Removed: Ma	rch 7	April	7	1	May 3	
<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .	<u>B.g</u> .	<u>T.n</u> .		B.g.	<u>T.n</u> .
60-162*	109-210*	15-140	86-115		72-243 <sup>*</sup> 205 45-120	88-175 <b>*</b> 65-125
10-102	10-80	20-110	6-37		22 <b>-</b> 90 ·	11-80
10-150 45-120	13-70	11-115	10-50		14-70	broken
27-115	12-72	25-65	26		32-93	
30-155	31-140	32-232*	15-185*		31-190	30-90
	Date Removed: Ma <u>B.g</u> . 60-162* 10-102 10-150 45-120 27-115 30-155	Date Removed: March 7 <u>B.g.</u> <u>T.n.</u> 60-162* 109-210* 10-102 10-80 10-150 13-70 45-120 27-115 12-72 30-155 31-140	Date Removed: March 7April $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $\underline{B.g.}$ $60-162^*$ $109-210^*$ $15-140$ $10-102$ $10-80$ $20-110$ $10-150$ $13-70$ $11-115$ $45-120$ $27-115$ $12-72$ $25-65$ $30-155$ $31-140$ $32-232^*$	Date Removed: March 7April 7 $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $60-162^*$ $109-210^*$ $15-140$ $86-115$ $10-102$ $10-80$ $20-110$ $6-37$ $10-150$ $13-70$ $11-115$ $10-50$ $45-120$ $27-115$ $12-72$ $25-65$ $26$ $30-155$ $31-140$ $32-232^*$ $15-185^*$	Date Removed: March 7April 7 $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $60-162^*$ $109-210^*$ $15-140$ $86-115$ $10-102$ $10-80$ $20-110$ $6-37$ $10-150$ $13-70$ $11-115$ $10-50$ $45-120$ $27-115$ $12-72$ $25-65$ $26$ $30-155$ $31-140$ $32-232^*$ $15-185^*$	Date Removed: March 7April 7May 3 $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $\underline{B.g.}$ $\underline{T.n.}$ $\underline{B.g.}$ $60-162^*$ $109-210^*$ $15-140$ $86-115$ $72-243^*$ $205$ $20-100^*$ $15-140$ $86-115$ $72-243^*$ $10-102$ $10-80$ $20-110$ $6-37$ $22-90$ $10-150$ $13-70$ $11-115$ $10-50$ $14-70$ $45-120$ $27-115$ $12-72$ $25-65$ $26$ $32-93$ $30-155$ $31-140$ $32-232^*$ $15-185^*$ $31-190$

Length Ranges of Shipworms, in mm. Yearly Panels

Table 13

\* Largest specimen of each species, each month

Wood-weight data (Tables 14 and 15) demonstrate the severe attack suffered primarily during 1979. There was no significant increase in damage between March and May, 1980. Many panels lost over 75% of their wood weight. Damage was greatest in Oyster Creek, where many panels were lost altogether despite their being tied on to the racks with twine in addition to the usual metal fasteners.

Table 16 presents data on the number of <u>Teredo</u> <u>navalis</u> found brooding larvae in the gills. No brooding <u>T</u>. <u>bartschi</u> were found, and none of the brooding <u>T</u>. <u>navalis</u> were from Oyster Creek.

Station	March 7, 1980	April 7, 1980	May 3, 1980
1 3	73.9 0.0	86.8 0.0	a 0.0
4 5 8	58.9 66.0 75.4	b 65.4 67 8	ь 62.6 ь
10	73.0	72.1	74.0
11 12 14	80.2 54.3	81.1 61.8	73.0 56.1

Table 14

Percentage of Wood Weight Lost by Cumulative Panels Submerged May 5, 1979

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

<sup>b</sup> Data missing or error in recorded wood weight

Station	March 7, 1980	April 7, 1980	May 3, 1980
1	57.6	55.5	53.0
3	0.0	0.0	5.0
4	а	а	58.7
5	77.1	75.5	69.8
8	74.5	76.9	75.6
10	82.9	а	а
11	78.1	82.7	73.7
12	a	а	а
14	66.1	60.1	62.5

Table	15
Table	10

Percentage of Wood Weight Lost by Yearly Panels

<sup>a</sup> Panel lost (riddled)

Station	Date	No. with larvae	Total N	% with larvae
4	Cum May	6	6	100
5	Cum May	1	3	33
8	Cum May	1	5	20
14	Cum May	6	23	26
5	Yr. May	2	18	11
14	Yr. May	4	10	40

Table 16

Ĵ

## Teredo navalis with Larvae in the Gills

## CONCLUSIONS

The combination of heavy wood destruction in summer and fall, 1979, and the shutdown of the OCNGS in winter and spring, 1980, caused heavy mortality of shipworms in Oyster Creek and Forked River in 1980. Coupling these data with earlier observations of high numbers of shipworms when the station operated throughout the winter and early spring (Hoagland & Crocket, 1979; Hoagland & Turner, 1980), and low numbers when the plant was off (Hoagland <u>et al</u>. 1977), we now have a large amount of solid evidence that the shipworm outbreaks are correlated with the operation of the nuclear generating station at Oyster Creek.

Furthermore, <u>Teredo bartschi</u> population structure and size are more sensitive to the operation of the station than are population parameters of other species. There is a greater variation in <u>T. bartschi</u> population size, making it the major contributor to the shipworm outbreaks in both numbers and wood damage, despite its small size (usually less than 30 mm in length).

We recommend an intensive clean-up of old wood in Oyster Creek and the mouth and south branch of Forked River in the winter of 1980-81 in an effort to eliminate <u>Teredo</u> <u>bartschi</u> while its numbers are low. We are monitoring the population genetic structure of the species in order to evaluate if any future outbreaks are caused by re-introductions or by a few individuals surviving unfavorable periods such as the winter of 1979-80.

### REFERENCES

Hoagland, K. E. and L. Crocket. 1979. Analysis of populations of boring and fouling organisms in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station. Annual Progress Report. Sept. 1, 1977-Aug. 31, 1978. NUREG/CR-0634. 113 pp.\*

Hoagland, K. E. and R. D. Turner. 1980. Range extensions of teredinids (shipworms) and polychaetes in the vicinity of a temperate-zone nuclear generating station. Marine Biology (in press).

Hoagland, K. E., L. Crocket, and M. Rochester. 1978. Analysis of populations of boring and fouling organisms in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station with discussion of relevant physical factors over the period: Dec. 1, 1977-Feb. 28, 1978. NUREG/CR-0223. 44 pp. \*\*\*

Hoagland, K. E., L. Crocket, and R. D. Turner. 1980. Ecological studies of wood-boring bivalves in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Sept. 1, 1979-Feb. 28. 1980. NUREG/CR-1517.\* 65 pp.

Hoagland, K. E., R. D. Turner, and M. Rochester. 1977. Analysis of boring and fouling organisms in the vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station with discussion of relevant physical parameters over the period: April 30-November 30, 1976. Report to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Jan. 1, 1977. 61 pp.

Turner, R. D. 1966. An Illustrated Catalog of the Teredinidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia). Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. 265 pp.

Turner, R. D. 1974. In the path of a warm, saline effluent. <u>American</u> <u>Malacol. Union Bull. for 1973.</u> 39:36-41.

- \*Available for purchase from the NRC/GPO Sales Program, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161.
- \*\*\*Available for purchase from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161.

.

•

## APPENDIX: STATION LOCALITIES

STATION NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION	-	COORI	DINATES	-
1	Holly Park	Dick's Landing Island Drive Bayville, N.J. Bay control	Lat. Lon.	39° 74°	54' 8'	N W
3	Stout's Creek	End of Raleigh Drive Gustav Walters' residence Estuarine control		39° 74°	50.7' 9'	N W
4	Mouth of Forked River	South Shore Developed property Possible temperature increased oceanic influence due to reverse flow	ease e	39° 74°	49.6' 9.8'	N W
5	Leilani Drive	At branch point of Forked River		39° 74°	49.6' 10.5'	N W
6	Elk's Club	South Branch Forked River Increase in salinity due t plant intake canal		39° 74°	49.4' 10.9'	N W
8	Bayside Beach Club	On bay between Oyster Creat and Forked River across from 1815 Beach Blvd., Forked River, N.J. Temperature increase since plant operation.	ek.	39° 74"	49.0' 9.7'	N W
10	Kochman's Residence	End of Compass Rd. on #1 Lagoon, Oyster Creek, Waretown, N.J. Temperature, salinity, siltation increase		39° 74°	48.5' 10.6'	N W

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION	COORDINATES
11	Crisman's Residence	Dock Ave. on Oyster Creek, Waretown, N.J. Temperature, salinity, siltation increase	39° 48.5' N 74° 11.0' W
12	Gilmore's Residence	20 Dock Ave. on Oyster Creek Waretown, N.J. Temperature, salinity, siltation increase	39° 48.5' N 74° 11.3' W
14	Cottrell's Clam Factory	End of North Harbor Rd. Waretown, N.J. (Mouth of Waretown Creek) Within but near limits of reported thermal plume	39°47.7'N 74°10.9'W
15	Carl's Boats	Washington & Liberty Sts Waretown, N.J. (on the bay)	39°47' N 74°11' W
18	Barnegat Light	Marina adjacent to Coast Guard Station	39°45.8'N 74°6.5'W

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

## Distribution Category: RE

## Supplemental Distribution:

## Part A

Mr. Richard Baumgardt Dick's Landing Holly Park Bayville, New Jersey 08721

Mr. William Campbell P.O. Box 668 108 Long John Silver Way Waretown, New Jersey 08758

Mr. Stan Cottrell North Harbor Road Waretown, New Jersey 08758

Mr. Wilson T. Crisman 901 Hudson Street Hoboken, New Jersey 07030

Mr. and Mrs. Thomas Gilmore 20 Dock Ave., Box 205 E, R.R.I. Waretown, New Jersey 08758

Mr. Walter Holzman 1915 Beach Blvd. Forked River Beach, New Jersey 08731

Mr. Charles Kochman Compass Road Waretown, New Jersey 08758

Mr. Ed Sheridan 1108 Leilani Drive Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Mr. Gustav Walters 100 Manhattan Avenue, Apt. 706 Union City, New Jersey 07087 Mr. Edward Wheiler 16 River View Drive P. O. Box 642 Forked River, New Jersey 08731

## Part B

Battelle Columbus Laboratories Clapp Laboratories Duxbury, Massachusetts 02332

Mr. Michael Roche Supervisor of Environmental Science Jersey Central Power and Light Co. Madison Ave. at Punchbowl Road Morristown, New Jersey 07960

Dr. Glenn Paulson Asst. Commissioner for Science Dept. of Environmental Protection State of New Jersey P. O. Box 1390 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Mr. Alan R. Hoffman Lynch, Brewer, Hoffman & Sands Ten Post Office Square Suite 329 Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Mr. John Makai Nacote Creek Research Station Star Route Absecon, New Jersey 08201

Mr. Steve Lubow NJDEP-Division of Water Resources P.O. Box CN-029 Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dr. Harry L. Allen US EPA Region II 26 Federal Plaza Room 832 New York, New York 10007 Dr. John Strand Ecosystems Department Battelle Northwest Lab Richland, Washington 99352 . . • · 

. .

NRC FORM 335			1. REPORT NUMBER	(Assigned by DDC)		
(7-77) BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET			NUREG/CR-1795			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE (Add Volume No., if appropriate)			2. (Leave blank)			
Ecological Studies of Wood-Boring Bivalves in the Vicinity of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station			3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.			
7. AUTHOR(S)			5. DATE REPORT CO	OMPLETED		
K. E. Hoagland, L. Crocket			MONTH	YEAR		
9 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include	Zio Code	,	October	<u>1980</u>		
Wetlands Institute		,	MONTH December	YE 1980		
Lehigh University Stone Harbor NJ 08247			6. (Leave blank)			
			B. (Leave blank)			
12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include	Zip Code	1)				
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research			IU. PROJECT/TASK/	NORK ONTI NO.		
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555		1	11. CONTRACT NO.			
			B5744			
13. TYPE OF REPORT	PERIOD	COVERE	D (Inclusive dems)			
Progress Poport	Man	chl-	May 31 1080			
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	<u></u>		14. (Leave blank)			
16. ABSTRACT (200 word: or Mass) The species Composition, or wood-boring bivalves are being studied in the vi- Generating Station, Barnegat Bay, New Jersey. It collect organisms at 12 stations. Physiological under investigation in the laboratory. Relative the species are being analyzed. The native spec coexist with the introduced <u>T. bartschi</u> in Oyste and on the coast of the bay between the two cree species during winter and spring when the genera few <u>T. bartschi</u> were found alive in the spring native species by the plant shutdown. Temperatu during April and May, 1980, were not completed to shipworms proved to be very resistant to drastic	Instribution icinity Intreat icinity Intreat icinity Intreat icinity Intreat icinity Intreat icinity Intreat I	v of the ed wood ances of redo na redo na k, at leavy mo tation ; they salin end of jes in	and population e Oyster Creek d test panels of 3 species a ness and compe avalis and <u>Ban</u> the mouth of F ortality occur was not opera were more aff ity tolerance May because t these physical	n dynamics of Nuclear are used to re also tition among <u>kia gouldi</u> orked River red in all ting. Very ected than tests begun he adult parameters.		
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	17ª DES	CRIPTORS				
wood-boring bivalves Bankia gouldi Oyster Creek T. bartschi Barnegat Bay Teredo navalis	Shi	ipworms				
170. IUEN IIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS						
18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	19. (		CLASS (This moon) ified	21. NO. OF PAGES		
Unlimited	20. 5	ECURITY	CLASS (This page)	22. PRICE		
NRC FORM 335 (7-77)				I •		



# Federal Recycling Program

• • •

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001

# OFFICIAL BUSINESS

NUREG/CR-1795 ECOL

## ECOLOGICAL STUDIES OF WOOD BORING BIVALVES IN THE VICINITY OF THE OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

. . .

. . . .

.

.

.

## **DECEMBER 1980**